

Metric and topological freedom for operator sequence spaces

Norbert Nemesh, Sergei Shtainer

Abstract

In this paper we give description of free and cofree objects in the category of operator sequence spaces. First we show that this category possess the same duality theory as category of normed spaces, then with the aid of these results we give complete description of metrically and topologically free and cofree objects.

1 Preliminaries

1.1 Duality theory for normed spaces

Definition 1.1.1 ([1], 0.0.1, 4.4.1) *Let E , F and G be normed spaces and $\mathcal{D} : E \times F \rightarrow G$ be a bounded bilinear operator, then*

(i) \mathcal{D} is called non-degenerate from the left (right) if the operator

$${}^E\mathcal{D} : E \mapsto \mathcal{B}(F, G) : x \mapsto (y \mapsto \mathcal{D}(x, y)) \quad (\mathcal{D}^F : F \mapsto \mathcal{B}(E, G) : y \mapsto (x \mapsto \mathcal{D}(x, y)))$$

is injective;

(ii) \mathcal{D} is called isometric from the left (right) if ${}^E\mathcal{D}$ (\mathcal{D}^F) is isometric;

(iii) \mathcal{D} is called a vector duality if it is non degenerate from the left and from the right;

(iv) if $G = \mathbb{C}$ then vector duality \mathcal{D} is called scalar duality;

Bilinear functionals of the form

$$\mathcal{D}_{E, E^*} : E \times E^* \rightarrow \mathbb{C} : (x, f) \mapsto f(x) \quad \mathcal{D}_{E^*, E} : E^* \times E \rightarrow \mathbb{C} : (f, x) \mapsto f(x)$$

are called the standard scalar dualities. For all $x \in E$ and $f \in E^*$ we have

$$\|x\| = \sup\{|\mathcal{D}_{E, E^*}(x, f)| : f \in B_{E^*}\} \quad \|f\| = \sup\{|\mathcal{D}_{E, E^*}(x, f)| : x \in B_E\}$$

The first equality is a consequence of Hahn-Banach theorem, the second one is the usual definition of operator norm. Note that \mathcal{D}_{E, E^*}^E is the natural embedding ι_E into the second dual space. For a given $T \in \mathcal{B}(E, F)$, we have $\mathcal{D}_{F, F^*}(T(x), g) = \mathcal{D}_{E, E^*}(x, T^*(g))$ where $x \in E$ and $g \in F^*$. This is nothing more than the usual definition of adjoint operator.

Definition 1.1.2 *Let $\mathcal{D} : E \times F \rightarrow G$ be a vector duality between normed spaces E , F and G . We say that a net $(y_\nu)_{\nu \in N} \subset F$ \mathcal{D} -converges to $y \in F$ if for all $x \in E$ a net $\mathcal{D}(x, y_\nu - y)_{\nu \in N}$ converges to 0. Topology generated by this type of convergence we will denote by $\sigma_{\mathcal{D}}(F, E)$.*

Many types of convergence in functional analysis may be formulated in terms of \mathcal{D} -convergence, for example usual weak convergence is nothing more than $\mathcal{D}_{X^*, X}$ -convergence.

For a given $p \in [1, +\infty] \cup \{0\}$ by p' we denote conjugate exponent, i.e. $p' = p/(p-1)$ for $p \in (1, +\infty)$ while $1' = \infty$ and $0' = \infty' = 1$. Recall the following standard fact.

Proposition 1.1.3 *Let $\{E_\lambda : \lambda \in \Lambda\}$ be a family of normed spaces and $p \in [1, +\infty] \cup \{0\}$, then for the scalar duality*

$$\mathcal{D} : \bigoplus_p^0 \{E_\lambda : \lambda \in \Lambda\} \times \bigoplus_{p'} \{E_\lambda^* : \lambda \in \Lambda\} \rightarrow \mathbb{C} : (x, f) \mapsto \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda} f_\lambda(x_\lambda)$$

the linear operator $\mathcal{D} \bigoplus_{p'} \{E_\lambda^ : \lambda \in \Lambda\}$ is isometric. If $p \neq \infty$, then it is an isometric isomorphism.*

Similar result holds for \bigoplus_p -sums.

1.2 Operators between normed spaces

Definition 1.2.1 *Let $T : E \rightarrow F$ be a bounded linear operator between normed spaces E and F , then T is called*

- (i) *contractive, if $\|T\| \leq 1$;*
- (ii) *c -topologically injective, if there exist $c > 0$ such that for all $x \in E$ holds $\|x\| \leq c\|T(x)\|$. If mentioning of constant c will be irrelevant we will simply say that T is topologically injective.*
- (iii) *(strictly) c -topologically surjective, if for all $c' > c$ and $y \in F$ there exist $x \in E$ such that $T(x) = y$ and $\|x\| < c'\|y\|$ ($\|x\| \leq c\|y\|$). If mentioning of constant c will be irrelevant we will simply say that T is (strictly) topologically surjective.*
- (iv) *isometric, if it is contractive and 1-topologically injective*
- (v) *(strictly) coisometric, if it is contractive and (strictly) 1-topologically surjective.*

Clearly, our definition of isometric operator is equivalent to the usual one.

Proposition 1.2.2 *Let E, F be normed spaces and $T : E \rightarrow F$ be bounded linear operator. Then,*

- (i) *T (strictly) c -topologically surjective $\iff T(B_E^\circ) \supset c^{-1}B_F^\circ$ ($T(B_E) \supset c^{-1}B_F$)*
- (ii) *T (strictly) coisometric $\iff T(B_E^\circ) = B_F^\circ$ ($T(B_E) = B_F$)*

\triangleleft (i) Assume T is c -topologically surjective. Let $y \in c^{-1}B_F^\circ$, then there exist $k' > 1$ such that $k'y \in c^{-1}B_F^\circ$. Define $c' = k'c > c$. By assumption there exist $x \in E$ such that $T(x) = y$ and $\|x\| < c'\|y\| = c\|k'y\| < 1$. Since $y \in c^{-1}B_F^\circ$ is arbitrary, then $T(B_E^\circ) \supset c^{-1}B_F^\circ$. Conversely, assume that $T(B_E^\circ) \supset c^{-1}B_F^\circ$. Let $y \in F$ and $c' > c$, then $\tilde{y} = (c')^{-1}\|y\|^{-1}y \in c^{-1}B_F^\circ$. By assumption there exist $\tilde{x} \in B_E^\circ$ such that $T(\tilde{x}) = \tilde{y}$. In this case for $x := c'\|y\|\tilde{x}$ we have $\|x\| = c'\|y\|\|\tilde{x}\| < c'\|y\|$ and $T(x) = c'\|y\|T(\tilde{x}) = c'\|y\|\tilde{y} = y$. Since $y \in F$ and $c' > c$ are arbitrary, we conclude that T is c -topologically surjective.

Assume T is strictly c -topologically surjective. Let $y \in c^{-1}B_F$, then by assumption there exist $x \in E$ such that $T(x) = y$ and $\|x\| \leq c\|y\| = 1$. Since $y \in c^{-1}B_F$ is arbitrary, we have $T(B_E) \supset c^{-1}B_F$. Conversely assume that $T(B_E) \supset c^{-1}B_F$. Let $y \in F$, then $\tilde{y} = c^{-1}\|y\|^{-1}y \in c^{-1}B_F$. By assumption there exist $\tilde{x} \in B_E$ such that $T(\tilde{x}) = \tilde{y}$. In this case for $x := c\|y\|\tilde{x}$ we have $\|x\| = c\|y\|\|\tilde{x}\| \leq c\|y\|$ and $T(x) = c\|y\|T(\tilde{x}) = c\|y\|\tilde{y} = y$. Since $y \in F$ is arbitrary, then T is strictly c -topologically surjective.

(ii) Assume T is coisometric. Then $\|T\| \leq 1$ and as the consequence $T(B_E^\circ) \subset B_F^\circ$. From paragraph (i) it follows that $T(B_E^\circ) \supset B_F^\circ$. Taking into account the reverse inclusion we can say

$T(B_E^\circ) = B_F^\circ$. Conversely, assume that $T(B_E^\circ) = B_F^\circ$. In particular $\|T\| \leq 1$ and $T(B_E^\circ) \supset B_F^\circ$. From paragraph (ii) it follows that T is 1-topologically surjective. Hence, T is coisometric. Similar arguments applies for strictly coisometric operators. \triangleright

Proposition 1.2.3 *Let $T : E \rightarrow F$ be bounded linear operator between normed spaces and $c > 0$, then*

- (i) *if T is (strictly) c -topologically surjective, then T^* is c -topologically injective*
- (ii) *if T c -topologically injective, then T^* is strictly c -topologically surjective*
- (iii) *if T^* (strictly) c -topologically surjective, then T is c -topologically injective*
- (iv) *if T^* c -topologically injective and E is complete, then T is c -topologically surjective*

\triangleleft (i) Since T is c -topologically surjective we have $c^{-1}B_F^\circ \subset T(B_E^\circ)$, hence for all $g \in F^*$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|T^*(g)\| &= \sup\{|g(T(x))| : x \in B_E^\circ\} = \sup\{|g(y)| : y \in T(B_E^\circ)\} \geq \sup\{|g(y)| : y \in c^{-1}B_F^\circ\} \\ &= \sup\{|g(c^{-1}y)| : y \in B_F^\circ\} = c^{-1} \sup\{|g(y)| : y \in B_F^\circ\} = c^{-1}\|g\| \end{aligned}$$

Since $g \in F^*$ is arbitrary T^* is c -topologically injective. Similar argument applies for strictly c -topologically surjective operator.

(ii) Let $g \in E^*$. Since T is c -topologically injective, then $\tilde{T} := T|_{\text{Im}(T)}$ topological linear isomorphism. Denote by $i : \text{Im}(T) \rightarrow F$ the natural embedding of $\text{Im}(T)$ into F , then $T = i\tilde{T}$. Now consider bounded linear functional $f_0 := g\tilde{T}^{-1} \in F^*$. By Hahn-Banach theorem there exist bounded linear functional $f \in F^*$ such that $\|f\| = \|f_0\|$ and $f_0 = fi$. In this case $g = f_0\tilde{T} = f_0i\tilde{T} = fT = T^*(f)$. Since T is c -topologically injective, then for all $x \in F$ we have

$$|f(x)| = |g(\tilde{T}^{-1}(x))| \leq \|g\|\|\tilde{T}^{-1}(x)\| \leq \|g\|c\|T(\tilde{T}^{-1}(x))\| \leq c\|g\|\|x\|$$

Hence $\|f\| \leq c\|g\|$. Since $g \in E^*$ is arbitrary, then T^* is strictly c -topologically surjective.

(iii) From paragraph (i) it follows that T^{**} is c -topologically injective. Note that natural embedding into the second dual is isometric and also that $\iota_F T = T^{**}\iota_E$. Then for all $x \in E$ we get

$$\|T(x)\| = \|\iota_F(T(x))\| = \|T^{**}(\iota_E(x))\| \geq c^{-1}\|\iota_E(x)\| = c^{-1}\|x\|$$

Since $x \in E$ is arbitrary then T is c -topologically injective.

(iv) Assume that $c^{-1}B_F^\circ \not\subset \text{cl}_F(T(B_E^\circ))$, then there exist $y_0 \in c^{-1}B_F^\circ \setminus \text{cl}_F(T(B_E^\circ))$. In particular, $\|y_0\| < c^{-1}$. Consider sets $A = \{y_0\}$ and $B = \text{cl}_F(T(B_E^\circ))$. Obviously, A is compact and convex. Since B_E° is convex, and T is linear, then $T(B_E^\circ)$ is also convex. As the consequence B is closed and convex. By theorem 3.4 [5] there exist $g \in F^*$ and $\gamma_1, \gamma_2 \in \mathbb{R}$ such that for all $y \in B$ holds $\text{Re}(g(y_0)) > \gamma_2 > \gamma_1 > \text{Re}(g(y))$. Without loss of generality we may assume that $\gamma_1 > \gamma_2 = 1$. So all $x \in B_E^\circ$ we get $\text{Re}(g(y_0)) > 1 > \text{Re}(g(T(x)))$. Note that for all $x \in B_E^\circ$ there exist $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$ such that $|\alpha| < 1$ and $|g(T(x))| = \text{Re}(g(T(\alpha x)))$. Since $|\alpha| \leq 1$, we see that $\alpha x \in B_E^\circ$ and $|T^*(g)(x)| = |T(g(x))| = \text{Re}(g(T(\alpha x))) < 1$. Since $x \in B_E^\circ$ is arbitrary, then $\|T^*(g)\| \leq 1$. Further $\|g\| > |g(y_0)|/\|y_0\| > c \text{Re}(g(y_0)) > c$, but T^* is c -topologically injective. Hence, $\|g\| \leq c\|T^*(g)\| \leq c$. Contradiction, so $c^{-1}B_F^\circ \subset \text{cl}_F(T(B_E^\circ))$. As E is complete, by proposition 4.4.1 [2] we get $c^{-1}B_F^\circ \subset T(B_E^\circ)$. This implies that T is c -topologically surjective. \triangleright

2 Operator sequence spaces

2.1 Matrix notation

Definition 2.1.1 *Let $n, k \in \mathbb{N}$, then by $M_{n,k}$ we denote a linear space of complex valued matrices of the size $n \times k$. If E is a linear space, then by E^k we denote linear space of columns of the height k with entries in E .*

For a given $\alpha \in M_{n,k}$ and $x \in E^k$ by αx we denote column in E^n such that

$$(\alpha x)_i = \sum_{j=1}^n \alpha_{ij} x_j$$

This formula is a natural generalization of matrix multiplication.

By default, the linear space $M_{n,k}$, endowed with operator norm $\|\cdot\|$, but sometimes we will need so called Hilbert-Schmidt norm. It is defined as follows. Let $\alpha \in M_{n,k}$, then its Hilbert-Schmidt norm is defined as

$$\|\alpha\|_{hs} = \text{trace}(|\alpha|^2)^{1/2}$$

where $|\alpha| = (\alpha^* \alpha)^{1/2}$. Note that $\|\alpha\| \leq \|\alpha\|_{hs}$ and $\| |\alpha| \|_{hs} = \| |\alpha^*| \| = \|\alpha\|_{hs}$ ([3], 1.2). By $\text{diag}_n(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n)$ we will denote diagonal matrix of the size $n \times n$ with $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n$ on the main diagonal. We also use the notation $\text{diag}_n(\lambda) := \text{diag}_n(\lambda, \dots, \lambda)$. Given matrices $\alpha_1 \in M_{m,n_1}, \dots, \alpha_k \in M_{n,k_m}$ we can glue them together from the right to get the matrix $[\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k] \in M_{n,k_1+\dots+k_m}$.

2.2 Examples and definitions

For the beginning we need to recall standard definitions from [6].

Definition 2.2.1 ([6], 1.1.7) *Let E be a linear space, and for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ we have a norm on $\|\cdot\|_{\hat{n}} : E^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$. We say that the pair $X = (E^n, (\|\cdot\|_{\hat{n}})_{n \in \mathbb{N}})$, defines the structure of operator sequence space on E , if the following conditions are satisfied:*

(i) *for all $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$, $x \in E^{\hat{n}}$, $\alpha \in M_{m,n}$ holds*

$$\|\alpha x\|_{\hat{m}} \leq \|\alpha\| \|x\|_{\hat{n}}$$

(ii) *for all $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$, $x \in E^n$, $y \in E^m$ holds*

$$\left\| \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \end{pmatrix} \right\|_{\widehat{n+m}}^2 \leq \|x\|_{\hat{n}}^2 + \|y\|_{\hat{m}}^2$$

By $X^{\hat{n}}$ we will denote the normed space $(E^n, \|\cdot\|_{\hat{n}})$, we will call it n -th amplification of X .

Proposition 2.2.2 *Let X be an operator sequence space, $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $x \in E^{\hat{n}}$, then*

(i) *for all $m \in \mathbb{N}$ holds $\|(x, 0)^{tr}\|_{\widehat{n+m}} = \|x\|_{\hat{n}}$*

(ii) *for any partial isometry $s \in M_{n,n}$ holds $\|sx\|_{\hat{n}} = \|x\|_{\hat{n}}$. In particular norm doesn't change after permutation of coordinates*

◁ (i) Result follows from inequalities

$$\|(x, 0)^{tr}\|_{\widehat{n+m}} \leq (\|x\|_{\widehat{n}}^2 + \|0\|_{\widehat{m}}^2)^{1/2} = \|x\|_{\widehat{n}}$$

$$\|x\|_{\widehat{n}} = \|[\text{diag}_n(1), 0](x, 0)^{tr}\|_n \leq \|[\text{diag}_n(1), 0]\| \|(x, 0)^{tr}\|_{\widehat{n+m}} = \|(x, 0)^{tr}\|_{\widehat{n+m}}$$

(ii) Since s is partial isometry, then $s^*s = \text{diag}_n(1)$, so result follows from inequalities

$$\|sx\|_{\widehat{n}} \leq \|s\| \|x\|_{\widehat{n}} = \|x\|_{\widehat{n}} = \|s^*sx\|_{\widehat{n}} \leq \|s^*\| \|sx\|_{\widehat{n}} = \|sx\|_{\widehat{n}}$$

▷

Proposition 2.2.3 *The Hilbert space \mathbb{C} have unique operator sequence space structure given by identifications $\mathbb{C}^{\widehat{n}} = l_2^n$.*

◁ Let \mathbb{C} endowed with some operator sequence space structure. Fix $\xi \in \mathbb{C}^n$, then consider $\eta = (\|\xi\|_{l_2^n}, 0, \dots, 0)^{tr} \in \mathbb{C}^n$. Since $\|\eta\|_{l_2^n} = \|\xi\|_{l_2^n}$ there exist unitary matrix $s \in M_{n,n}$ such that $\eta = s\xi$. Therefore $\|\eta\|_{\widehat{n}} = \|s\xi\|_{\widehat{n}} \leq \|s\| \|\xi\|_{\widehat{n}} = \|\xi\|_{\widehat{n}}$. By proposition 2.2.2 we get that $\|\eta\|_{\widehat{n}} = \|\xi\|_{l_2^n}$, hence $\|\xi\|_{\widehat{n}} \geq \|\xi\|_{l_2^n}$. On the other hand from second axiom of operator sequence spaces we have $\|\xi\|_{\widehat{n}} \leq \|\xi\|_{l_2^n}$, therefore $\|\xi\|_{\widehat{n}} = \|\xi\|_{l_2^n}$. Since $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\xi \in \mathbb{C}^{\widehat{n}}$ are arbitrary we conclude $\mathbb{C}^{\widehat{n}} = l_2^n$.

▷

Proposition 2.2.4 *Let $(\|\cdot\|_{\widehat{n}} : E^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a family of functions satisfying axioms of operator sequence spaces, and assume that equality $\|x\|_{\widehat{1}} = 0$ implies $x = 0$. Then E is a operator sequence space.*

◁ Let $x \in E^n$ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$, then

$$\|\lambda x\|_{\widehat{n}} = \|\text{diag}_n(\lambda)x\|_{\widehat{n}} \leq \|\text{diag}_n(\lambda)\| \|x\|_{\widehat{n}} = |\lambda| \|x\|_{\widehat{n}} = |\lambda| \|\lambda^{-1}\lambda x\|_{\widehat{n}} \leq |\lambda| \|\lambda^{-1}\| \|\lambda x\|_{\widehat{n}} = \|\lambda x\|_{\widehat{n}}$$

Consequently $\|\lambda x\|_{\widehat{n}} = |\lambda| \|x\|_{\widehat{n}}$ for all $\lambda \neq 0$. For $\lambda = 0$ equality is obvious. Let $x', x'' \in E^n \setminus \{0\}$, then denote $\mu = (\|x'\|_{\widehat{n}}^2 + \|x''\|_{\widehat{n}}^2)^{1/2}$. In this case

$$\begin{aligned} \|x' + x''\|_{\widehat{n}}^2 &= \left\| \begin{pmatrix} \text{diag}_n(\mu) & 0 \\ 0 & \text{diag}_n(\mu) \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \mu^{-1}x' \\ \mu^{-1}x'' \end{pmatrix} \right\|_{\widehat{n}}^2 \leq \left\| \begin{pmatrix} \text{diag}_n(\mu) & 0 \\ 0 & \text{diag}_n(\mu) \end{pmatrix} \right\|^2 \left\| \begin{pmatrix} \mu^{-1}x' \\ \mu^{-1}x'' \end{pmatrix} \right\|_{\widehat{n}}^2 \\ &\leq \mu^2 (\mu^{-2} \|x'\|_{\widehat{n}}^2 + \mu^{-2} \|x''\|_{\widehat{n}}^2) = \|x'\|_{\widehat{n}}^2 + \|x''\|_{\widehat{n}}^2 \leq (\|x'\|_{\widehat{n}} + \|x''\|_{\widehat{n}})^2 \end{aligned}$$

Hence, for $x', x'' \neq 0$ we have $\|x' + x''\|_{\widehat{n}} \leq \|x'\|_{\widehat{n}} + \|x''\|_{\widehat{n}}$. For $x' = x'' = 0$ the equality is obvious.

▷

Proposition 2.2.5 ([6], 1.1.4) *Let X be operator sequence space, $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then for all $x \in X^{\widehat{n}}$ and $i = 1, n$ holds*

$$\|x_i\|_{\widehat{1}} \leq \|x\|_{\widehat{n}} \leq \sum_{k=1}^n \|x_k\|_{\widehat{1}} \leq n \|x\|_{\widehat{n}}$$

We say that X is a *operator sequence space* of normed space $(E, \|\cdot\|_{\widehat{1}})$. It is easy to see for a given operator sequence space X the normed space $X^{\widehat{n}}$ have its own natural structure of operator sequence space: it is enough to identify $(X^{\widehat{n}})^{\widehat{k}}$ with $X^{\widehat{nk}}$.

Example 2.2.6 ([6], 1.1.8) Let H be a Hilbert space, then its maximal operator sequence space structure is given by identifications $\max(H)^{\hat{n}} = \bigoplus_2 \{H : \lambda \in \mathbb{N}_n\}$. Obviously $\max(H)^{\hat{n}}$ is a Hilbert space for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$. We will call this structure the standard operator sequence space structure of H and usually denote $\max(H)$ as H .

Definition 2.2.7 ([6], 1.1.18) Let H be a Hilbert space, then its minimal operator sequence space structure is given by identifications $\min(H)^{\hat{n}} = \mathcal{B}(l_2^n, H)$.

By t_2^n we denote $\min(l_2^n)$.

Definition 2.2.8 Let A be a subalgebra of $\mathcal{B}(H)$ for some Hilbert space H , then we define its standard operator sequence space structure by embedding $A^n \hookrightarrow \mathcal{B}(H, H^{\hat{n}})$.

Proposition 2.2.9 Let A be a C^* algebra, then its standard operator sequence space structure doesn't depend on its representation on Hilbert space and for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $a \in A^{\hat{n}}$ we have

$$\|a\|_{\hat{n}} = \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n a_i^* a_i \right\|^{1/2}$$

In particular standard operator sequence space structures of \mathbb{C} regarded as C^* algebra and as Hilbert space are the same.

◁ Let $\pi : A \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(H)$ be any isometric $*$ -representation of A on the Hilbert space H . Fix $n \in \mathbb{N}$ then $a \in A^{\hat{n}}$ is identified with operator $T : H \mapsto H^{\hat{n}} : \xi \mapsto \bigoplus_2 \{\pi(a_i)(\xi) : i \in \mathbb{N}_n\}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \|a\|_{\hat{n}}^2 &= \|T\|^2 = \sup\{\|\bigoplus_2 \{\pi(a_i)(\xi) : i \in \mathbb{N}_n\}\|^2 : \xi \in B_H\} = \\ &= \sup\left\{\sum_{i=1}^n \langle \pi(a_i)(\xi), \pi(a_i)(\xi) \rangle : \xi \in B_H\right\} = \sup\left\{\left\langle \pi\left(\sum_{i=1}^n a_i^* a_i\right)(\xi), \xi\right\rangle : \xi \in B_H\right\} \end{aligned}$$

From proposition 2.2.4 and 2.2.5 [4] we get that $\sum_{i=1}^n a_i^* a_i \geq 0$, so $\pi(\sum_{i=1}^n a_i^* a_i) \geq 0$ and by proposition 6.4.6 [2] we get that

$$\|a\|_{\hat{n}}^2 = \sup\left\{\left\langle \pi\left(\sum_{i=1}^n a_i^* a_i\right)(\xi), \xi\right\rangle : \xi \in B_H\right\} = \left\|\pi\left(\sum_{i=1}^n a_i^* a_i\right)\right\| = \left\|\sum_{i=1}^n a_i^* a_i\right\|$$

If $A = \mathbb{C}$ we get

$$\|a\|_{\hat{n}} = \left|\sum_{i=1}^n \bar{a}_i a_i\right|^{1/2} = \left(\sum_{i=1}^n |a_i|^2\right)^{1/2} = \|a\|_{l_2^n}$$

so both definitions give the same operator sequence space structure. ▷

Proposition 2.2.10 Let Ω be a locally compact topological space, then for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$ we have an isometric isomorphism

$$i_C : C_0(\Omega)^{\hat{n}} \rightarrow C_0(\Omega, \mathbb{C}^n) : f \mapsto (\omega \mapsto (f_i(\omega))_{i \in \mathbb{N}_n})$$

◁ Using proposition 2.2.9 for any $f \in C_0(\Omega)^{\hat{n}}$ we get

$$\|f\|_{\hat{n}} = \left\|\sum_{i=1}^n f_i^* f_i\right\|^{1/2} = \sup\left\{\left(\sum_{i=1}^n |f_i(\omega)|^2\right)^{1/2} : \omega \in \Omega\right\} = \sup\{\|i_C(f)(\omega)\| : \omega \in \Omega\} = \|i_C(f)\|$$

Thus i_C is an isometry. For a given $g \in C_0(\Omega, \mathbb{C}^n)$ and each $i \in \mathbb{N}_n$ consider continuous function $f_i : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{C} : \omega \mapsto g(\omega)_i$ and define $f = (f_1, \dots, f_n)^{tr} \in C_0(\Omega)^{\hat{n}}$. Clearly, $i_C(f) = g$, so i_C is surjective. Therefore i_C is a surjective isometry, hence isometric isomorphism. ▷

2.3 Operators between operator sequence spaces

Definition 2.3.1 ([6], 1.2.1) *Let X and Y be operator sequence spaces and $\varphi : X \rightarrow Y$ be a linear operator. For a given $n \in \mathbb{N}$ its n -th amplification is called a linear operator $\varphi^{\hat{n}} : X^{\hat{n}} \rightarrow Y^{\hat{n}}$ defined by*

$$\varphi^{\hat{n}}(x) = (\varphi(x_i))_{i=1,n}$$

We say that φ sequentially bounded, if

$$\|\varphi\|_{sb} := \sup\{\|\varphi^{\hat{n}}\|_{\mathcal{B}(X^{\hat{n}}, Y^{\hat{n}})} : n \in \mathbb{N}\} < \infty$$

Proposition 2.3.2 *Let X, Y, Z be operator sequence spaces, $\varphi : X \rightarrow Y$, $\psi : Y \rightarrow Z$ be linear operators and $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$. Then*

- (i) φ injective (surjective) if and only if $\varphi^{\hat{n}}$ injective (surjective).
- (ii) $\|\varphi\|_{\hat{n}} \leq \|\varphi\|_{\widehat{n+1}}$ and as the consequence $\mathcal{SB}(X, Y) \subset \mathcal{B}(X, Y)$.
- (iii) $(\psi\varphi)^{\hat{n}} = \psi^{\hat{n}}\varphi^{\hat{n}}$ and as the consequence $\|\psi\varphi\|_{sb} \leq \|\psi\|_{sb}\|\varphi\|_{sb}$
- (iv) For all $\alpha \in M_{n,m}$, $x \in X^{\hat{m}}$ holds $\varphi^{\hat{n}}(\alpha x) = \alpha\varphi^{\hat{m}}(x)$

\triangleleft (i) Directly follows from definition

(ii) From proposition 2.2.2 we get

$$\begin{aligned} \|\varphi\|_{\hat{n}} &= \sup\{\|\varphi^{\hat{n}}(x)\|_{\hat{n}} : x \in B_{X^{\hat{n}}}\} = \sup\{\|\varphi^{\widehat{n+1}}((x, 0)^{tr})\|_{\hat{n}} : (x, 0)^{tr} \in B_{X^{\widehat{n+1}}}\} \\ &= \sup\{\|\varphi^{\widehat{n+1}}(x)\|_{\hat{n}} : x \in B_{X^{\widehat{n+1}}}\} = \|\varphi\|_{\widehat{n+1}} \end{aligned}$$

(ii) For all $x \in X^{\hat{n}}$ we have

$$(\psi\varphi)^{\hat{n}}(x) = ((\psi\varphi)(x_i))_{i \in \mathbb{N}_n} = (\psi(\varphi(x_i)))_{i \in \mathbb{N}_n} = \psi^{\hat{n}}((\varphi(x_i))_{i \in \mathbb{N}_n}) = \psi^{\hat{n}}(\varphi^{\hat{n}}(x))$$

so $(\psi\varphi)^{\hat{n}} = \psi^{\hat{n}}\varphi^{\hat{n}}$. And what is more,

$$\|\psi\varphi\|_{sb} = \sup\{\|\psi^{\hat{n}}\varphi^{\hat{n}}\| : n \in \mathbb{N}\} \leq \sup\{\|\psi^{\hat{n}}\|\|\varphi^{\hat{n}}\| : n \in \mathbb{N}\} \leq \|\psi\|_{sb}\|\varphi\|_{sb}$$

(iv) For each $i \in \mathbb{N}_n$ holds

$$\varphi^{\hat{n}}(\alpha x)_i = \varphi((\alpha x)_i) = \varphi\left(\sum_{j=1}^m \alpha_{ij}x_j\right) = \sum_{j=1}^m \alpha_{ij}\varphi(x_j) = \sum_{j=1}^m \alpha_{ij}\varphi^{\hat{m}}(x)_j = (\alpha\varphi^{\hat{m}}(x))_i$$

So $\varphi^{\hat{n}}(\alpha x) = \alpha\varphi^{\hat{m}}(x)$. \triangleright

Definition 2.3.3 *Let $\varphi : X \rightarrow Y$ be sequentially bounded operator between operator sequence spaces X and Y , then φ is called:*

- (i) sequentially contractive, if $\|\varphi\|_{sb} \leq 1$
- (ii) sequentially c -topologically injective, if for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ the linear operator $\varphi^{\hat{n}}$ is c -topologically injective. If mentioning of constant c will be irrelevant we will simply say that φ sequentially topologically injective.

- (iii) sequentially (strictly) c -topologically surjective, if for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ the linear operator $\varphi^{\hat{n}}$ is (strictly) c -topologically surjective. If mentioning of constant c will be irrelevant we will simply say that φ sequentially topologically surjective.
- (iv) sequentially isometric, if for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ the linear operator $\varphi^{\hat{n}}$ is isometric
- (v) sequentially (strictly) coisometric, if for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ the linear operator $\varphi^{\hat{n}}$ is (strictly) coisometric

Proposition 2.3.4 *Let X, Y, Z be operator sequence spaces and $\varphi_1 \in \mathcal{SB}(X, Y)$, $\varphi_2 \in \mathcal{SB}(Y, Z)$. Then*

- (i) if φ_i is sequentially c_i -topologically injective for $i \in \mathbb{N}_2$, then $\varphi_2\varphi_1$ is sequentially c_2c_1 -topologically injective.
- (ii) if φ_i is (strictly) sequentially c_i -topologically surjective for $i \in \mathbb{N}_2$, then $\varphi_2\varphi_1$ is (strictly) sequentially c_2c_1 -topologically surjective.

◁ (i) For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $x \in X^{\hat{n}}$ we have $\|(\varphi_2\varphi_1)^{\hat{n}}(x)\|_{\hat{n}} = \|\varphi_2^{\hat{n}}(\varphi_1^{\hat{n}}(x))\|_{\hat{n}} \geq c_2^{-1}\|\varphi_1^{\hat{n}}(x)\|_{\hat{n}} \geq c_2^{-1}c_1^{-1}\|x\|_{\hat{n}}$, hence $\varphi_2\varphi_1$ is sequentially c_2c_1 -topologically injective.

Assume φ_i is sequentially c_i -topologically surjective for $i \in \mathbb{N}_2$. From proposition 1.2.2 for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ we have $(\varphi_2\varphi_1)^{\hat{n}}(B_{X^{\hat{n}}}^{\circ}) = \varphi_2^{\hat{n}}(\varphi_1^{\hat{n}}(B_{X^{\hat{n}}}^{\circ})) \supset \varphi_2^{\hat{n}}(c_1^{-1}B_{Y^{\hat{n}}}^{\circ}) = c_1^{-1}\varphi_2^{\hat{n}}(B_{Y^{\hat{n}}}^{\circ}) = c^{-1}c_2^{-1}B_{Z^{\hat{n}}}^{\circ}$. Again from proposition 1.2.2 we get that $\varphi_2\varphi_1$ is sequentially c_2c_1 -topologically surjective. ▷

Now we can define two main categories in question. These are $SQNor$ and $SQNor_1$. Objects in $SQNor$ are operator sequence spaces, morphisms are sequentially bounded operators. Objects of $SQNor_1$ are operator sequence spaces, morphisms are sequentially contractive operators.

Proposition 2.3.5 ([6], 1.2.14) *Let X, Y be operator sequence spaces and $d = \dim(Y) < \infty$, then for all $T \in \mathcal{B}(X, Y)$ holds*

$$\|T\|_{sb} = \|T^{\hat{d}}\|$$

Proposition 2.3.6 ([6], 1.2.14) *Let X be operator sequence space, $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then the linear map*

$$i_{t_2} : X^{\hat{n}} \rightarrow \mathcal{SB}(t_2^n, X) : x \mapsto \left(\xi \mapsto \sum_{i=1}^n \xi_i x_i \right)$$

is an isometric isomorphism.

The space of sequentially bounded operators between operator sequence spaces X and Y will be denoted by $\mathcal{SB}(X, Y)$. Obviously, this is normed space, and what is more we can define operator sequence space structure on $\mathcal{SB}(X, Y)$ via identification

$$\mathcal{SB}(X, Y)^{\hat{n}} = \mathcal{SB}(X, Y^{\hat{n}})$$

In this identification every $\varphi \in \mathcal{SB}(X, Y)^{\hat{n}}$ is mapped to the linear operator

$$A(\varphi) : X \rightarrow Y^{\hat{n}} : x \mapsto (\varphi_i(x))_{i \in \mathbb{N}_n}$$

Definition 2.3.7 ([6], 1.2.11) *Let $\mathcal{R} : X \times Y \rightarrow Z$ be bilinear operator between operator sequence spaces X, Y, Z . For a given $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$ its $n \times m$ -th amplification is a linear operator*

$$\mathcal{R}^{\widehat{n \times m}} : X^{\hat{n}} \times Y^{\hat{m}} \rightarrow Z^{\widehat{n \times m}} : (x, y) \mapsto (\mathcal{R}(x_i, y_j))_{i \in \mathbb{N}_n, j \in \mathbb{N}_m}$$

Bilinear operator \mathcal{R} is called sequentially bounded if

$$\|\mathcal{R}\|_{sb} := \sup\{\|\mathcal{R}^{\widehat{n \times m}}\|_{\mathcal{B}(X^{\hat{n}} \times Y^{\hat{m}}, Z^{\widehat{n \times m}})} : n, m \in \mathbb{N}\} < \infty$$

Definition 2.3.8 Let $\mathcal{R} : X \times Y \rightarrow Z$ be bounded bilinear operator between normed spaces X , Y and Z , then

- (i) if Y and Z (X and Z) are operator sequence spaces, then \mathcal{R} is called sequentially isometric from the left (right) if the operator ${}^X\mathcal{R} : X \rightarrow \mathcal{SB}(Y, Z)$ ($\mathcal{R}^Y : Y \rightarrow \mathcal{SB}(X, Z)$) is isometric.
- (ii) if X , Y , Z are operator sequence spaces, then \mathcal{R} is called sequentially contractive if $\|\mathcal{R}\|_{sb} \leq 1$.

For a given operator sequence spaces X , Y , Z by $\mathcal{SB}(X \times Y, Z)$ we will denote the space of sequentially bounded bilinear operators from $X \times Y$ to Z . Obviously, this is a normed space, and what is more we can define operator sequence space structure on $\mathcal{SB}(X \times Y, Z)$ via identification

$$\mathcal{SB}(X \times Y, Z)^{\hat{n}} = \mathcal{SB}(X \times Y, Z^{\hat{n}})$$

where $n \in \mathbb{N}$. In this identification every $\mathcal{R} \in \mathcal{SB}(X \times Y, Z)^{\hat{n}}$ is mapped to the bilinear operator

$$A(\mathcal{R}) : X \times Y \rightarrow Z^{\hat{n}} : (x, y) \mapsto (\mathcal{R}_i(x, y))_{i \in \mathbb{N}_n}$$

It is easy to check that for all $x \in X^{\hat{n}}$, $y \in Y^{\hat{m}}$ and $\alpha \in M_{k,n}$ holds

$$\begin{aligned} A((\mathcal{R}^Y)^{\hat{m}}(y))^{\hat{n}}(x) &= A(({}^X\mathcal{R})^{\hat{n}}(x))^{\hat{m}}(y) = \widehat{\mathcal{R}^{n \times m}}(x, y) \\ \widehat{\mathcal{R}^{n \times k}}(x, \alpha y) &= [\alpha, \dots, \alpha] \widehat{\mathcal{R}^{n \times m}}(x, y) \end{aligned}$$

Proposition 2.3.9 Let X be a operator sequence space, then the bilinear operator $\mathcal{M} : \mathbb{C} \times X \rightarrow X : (\alpha, x) \mapsto \alpha x$ is sequentially contractive.

◁ Let $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}^{\hat{n}}$ and $x \in X^{\hat{m}}$. Consider matrix $\beta = [\text{diag}_m(\alpha_1), \dots, \text{diag}_m(\alpha_n)]^{tr}$, then one can easily check that $\|\beta\| = \|\alpha\|_{\hat{n}}$. Now note that $\|\widehat{\mathcal{M}^{n \times m}}(\alpha, x)\|_{\widehat{n \times m}} = \|\beta x\|_{\widehat{n \times m}} \leq \|\alpha\|_{\hat{n}} \|x\|_{\hat{m}}$. Since $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$ are arbitrary $\|\mathcal{M}\|_{sb} \leq 1$. ▷

Proposition 2.3.10 Let X , Y and Z be operator sequence spaces and $\mathcal{R} : X \times Y \rightarrow Z$ be a sequentially bounded bilinear operator, then for a fixed $x \in X^{\hat{1}}$ ($y \in Y^{\hat{1}}$) the linear operator ${}^X\mathcal{R}(x)$ ($\mathcal{R}^Y(y)$) is sequentially bounded with $\|{}^X\mathcal{R}(x)\|_{sb} \leq \|\mathcal{R}\|_{sb} \|x\|_{\hat{1}}$ ($\|\mathcal{R}^Y(y)\|_{sb} \leq \|\mathcal{R}\|_{sb} \|y\|_{\hat{1}}$).

◁ Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $x \in X^{\hat{n}}$, then

$$\|(\mathcal{R}^Y(y))^{\hat{n}}(x)\|_{\hat{n}} = \|\widehat{\mathcal{R}^{n \times 1}}(x, y)\|_{\widehat{n \times 1}} \leq \|\mathcal{R}\|_{sb} \|x\|_{\hat{n}} \|y\|_{\hat{1}}$$

Hence $\|\mathcal{R}^Y(y)\|_{sb} \leq \|\mathcal{R}\|_{sb} \|y\|_{\hat{1}}$. For the remaining case the proof is the same. ▷

Proposition 2.3.11 Let Z be operator sequence space, X (Y) be operator sequence space and Y (X) be a normed space. Assume $\mathcal{R} : X \times Y \rightarrow Z$ is sequentially isometric from the right (from the left), then there is operator sequence space structure on Y (X) given by family of norms

$$\begin{aligned} \|y\|_{\hat{k}}^{\mathcal{R}} &= \sup\{\|\widehat{\mathcal{R}^{n \times k}}(x, y)\|_{\widehat{n \times k}} : x \in B_{X^{\hat{n}}}, n \in \mathbb{N}\} \\ (\|x\|_{\hat{k}}^{\mathcal{R}} &= \sup\{\|\widehat{\mathcal{R}^{k \times n}}(x, y)\|_{\widehat{k \times n}} : y \in B_{Y^{\hat{n}}}, n \in \mathbb{N}\}) \end{aligned}$$

meanwhile $\|\mathcal{R}\|_{sb} \leq 1$. If additionally $d = \dim(Z) < \infty$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \|y\|_{\hat{k}}^{\mathcal{R}} &= \sup\{\|\widehat{\mathcal{R}^{dk \times k}}(x, y)\|_{\widehat{dk \times k}} : x \in B_{X^{\widehat{dk}}}\} \\ (\|x\|_{\hat{k}}^{\mathcal{R}} &= \sup\{\|\widehat{\mathcal{R}^{k \times dk}}(x, y)\|_{\widehat{k \times dk}} : y \in B_{Y^{\widehat{dk}}}\}) \end{aligned}$$

◁ We will consider only the case of bilinear operator sequentially isometric from the right. For the remaining case all arguments are the same. Let $y \in Y^{\hat{k}}$, where $k \in \mathbb{N}$. We will show that $\|y\|_{\hat{k}}$ is well defined. Indeed

$$\begin{aligned}\|\mathcal{R}^{\widehat{n \times k}}(x, y)\|_{\widehat{n \times k}} &= \left\| \sum_{j=1}^k \mathcal{R}^{\widehat{n \times k}}(x, (\delta_{ji} y_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}_k}) \right\|_{\widehat{n \times k}} \leq \sum_{j=1}^k \|\mathcal{R}^{\widehat{n \times k}}(x, (\delta_{ji} y_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}_k})\|_{\widehat{n \times k}} \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^k \|\mathcal{R}^{\widehat{n \times 1}}(x, y_j)\|_{\widehat{n \times 1}} = \sum_{j=1}^k \|A(\mathcal{R}^Y(y_j))^{\hat{n}}(x)\|_{\hat{n}}\end{aligned}$$

Now recall that \mathcal{R} is sequentially isometric from the right

$$\|y\|_{\hat{k}}^{\mathcal{R}} \leq \sum_{j=1}^k \sup\{\|A(\mathcal{R}^Y(y_j))^{\hat{n}}(x)\|_{\hat{n}} : x \in B_{X^{\hat{n}}}, n \in \mathbb{N}\} = \sum_{j=1}^k \|\mathcal{R}^Y(y_j)\|_{sb} = \sum_{j=1}^k \|y_j\| < +\infty$$

Hence, the function $\|\cdot\|_{\hat{k}} : Y^{\hat{k}} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ is well defined. It remains to check axioms of operator sequence spaces. Let $\alpha \in M_{m,k}$ and $x \in X^{\hat{n}}$, then it is easy to see that

$$\begin{aligned}\|\mathcal{R}^{\widehat{n \times m}}(x, \alpha y)\|_{\widehat{n \times m}} &= \|[\alpha, \dots, \alpha] \mathcal{R}^{\widehat{n \times k}}(x, y)\|_{\widehat{n \times k}} \\ &\leq \|[\alpha, \dots, \alpha]\|_{M_{m,nk}} \|\mathcal{R}^{\widehat{n \times k}}(x, y)\|_{\widehat{n \times k}} = \|\alpha\| \|\mathcal{R}^{\widehat{n \times k}}(x, y)\|_{\widehat{n \times k}}\end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned}\|\alpha y\|_{\hat{m}}^{\mathcal{R}} &= \sup\{\|\mathcal{R}^{\widehat{n \times m}}(x, \alpha y)\|_{\widehat{n \times m}} : x \in B_{X^{\hat{n}}}, n \in \mathbb{N}\} \leq \sup\{\|\alpha\| \|\mathcal{R}^{\widehat{n \times k}}(x, y)\|_{\widehat{n \times k}} : x \in B_{X^{\hat{n}}}, n \in \mathbb{N}\} \\ &\leq \|\alpha\| \sup\{\|\mathcal{R}^{\widehat{n \times k}}(x, y)\|_{\widehat{n \times k}} : x \in B_{X^{\hat{n}}}, n \in \mathbb{N}\} = \|\alpha\| \|y\|_{\hat{k}}^{\mathcal{R}}\end{aligned}$$

Let $0 < l < k$ and $y = (y', y'')^{tr}$, where $y' \in Y^{\hat{l}}$, $y'' \in Y^{\widehat{k-l}}$, then

$$\|\mathcal{R}^{\widehat{n \times k}}(x, y)\|_{\widehat{n \times k}}^2 = \left\| \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{R}^{\widehat{n \times l}}(x, y') \\ \mathcal{R}^{\widehat{n \times (k-l)}}(x, y'') \end{pmatrix} \right\|_{\widehat{n \times k}}^2 \leq \|\mathcal{R}^{\widehat{n \times l}}(x, y')\|_{\widehat{n \times l}}^2 + \|\mathcal{R}^{\widehat{n \times (k-l)}}(x, y'')\|_{\widehat{n \times (k-l)}}^2$$

Consequently,

$$\begin{aligned}\|y\|_{\hat{k}}^{\mathcal{R}^2} &\leq \sup\{\|\mathcal{R}^{\widehat{n \times l}}(x, y')\|_{\widehat{n \times l}}^2 : x \in B_{X^{\hat{n}}}, n \in \mathbb{N}\} + \sup\{\|\mathcal{R}^{\widehat{n \times (k-l)}}(x, y'')\|_{\widehat{n \times (k-l)}}^2 : x \in B_{X^{\hat{n}}}, n \in \mathbb{N}\} \\ &= \|y'\|_{\hat{l}}^{\mathcal{R}^2} + \|y''\|_{\widehat{k-l}}^{\mathcal{R}^2}\end{aligned}$$

Finally, for all $y \in Y^{\hat{1}}$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}\|y\|_{\hat{1}}^{\mathcal{R}} &= \sup\{\|\mathcal{R}^{\widehat{n \times 1}}(x, y)\|_{\widehat{n \times 1}} : x \in B_{X^{\hat{n}}}, n \in \mathbb{N}\} = \sup\{\|A(\mathcal{R}^Y(y))^{\hat{n}}(x)\|_{\hat{n}} : x \in B_{X^{\hat{n}}}, n \in \mathbb{N}\} \\ &= \|\mathcal{R}^Y(y)\|_{sb} = \|y\|\end{aligned}$$

Now from proposition 2.2.4 it follows that, family of functions $(\|\cdot\|_{\hat{k}})_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ defines a operator sequence space structure on the normed space Y . From definition of norm on $Y^{\hat{k}}$ it follows that $\|\mathcal{R}^{\widehat{n \times k}}\| \leq 1$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Since $n, k \in \mathbb{N}$ are arbitrary, then $\|\mathcal{R}\|_{sb} \leq 1$.

If Z is finite dimensional, then from proposition 2.3.5 we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\|y\|_{\widehat{k}}^{\mathcal{R}} &= \sup\{\|\widehat{\mathcal{R}^{n \times k}}(x, y)\|_{\widehat{n \times k}} : x \in B_{X^{\widehat{n}}}, n \in \mathbb{N}\} = \sup\{\|A((\mathcal{R}^Y)^{\widehat{k}}(y))^{\widehat{n}}(x)\|_{\widehat{n \times k}} : x \in B_{X^{\widehat{n}}}, n \in \mathbb{N}\} \\
&= \|A((\mathcal{R}^Y)^{\widehat{k}}(y))\|_{sb} = \|A((\mathcal{R}^Y)^{\widehat{k}}(y))^{\widehat{dk}}\| = \sup\{\|A((\mathcal{R}^Y)^{\widehat{k}}(y))^{\widehat{dk}}(x)\|_{\widehat{k \times dk}} : x \in B_{X^{\widehat{dk}}}\} \\
&= \sup\{\|\widehat{\mathcal{R}^{dk \times k}}(x, y)\|_{\widehat{dk \times k}} : x \in B_{X^{\widehat{dk}}}\}
\end{aligned}$$

▷

Proposition 2.3.12 *Let Z be operator sequence space, X (Y) be operator sequence space and Y (X) be a normed space. Assume $\mathcal{R} : X \times Y \rightarrow Z$ is sequentially isometric from the right (from the left). Endow Y (X) with the structure of operator sequence space as it was done in 2.3.11, then the linear operator \mathcal{R}^Y (${}^X\mathcal{R}$) is sequentially isometric.*

◁ We will consider only the case of bilinear operator sequentially isometric from the right. For the remaining case all arguments are the same. Let $k \in \mathbb{N}$. For all $y \in Y^{\widehat{k}}$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\|y\|_{\widehat{k}} &= \sup\{\|\widehat{\mathcal{R}^{n \times k}}(x, y)\|_{\widehat{n \times k}} : x \in B_{X^{\widehat{n}}} : n \in \mathbb{N}\} = \sup\{\|A((\mathcal{R}^Y)^{\widehat{k}}(y))^{\widehat{n}}(x)\|_{\widehat{n \times k}} : x \in B_{X^{\widehat{n}}}, n \in \mathbb{N}\} \\
&= \|A((\mathcal{R}^Y)^{\widehat{k}}(y))\|_{sb} = \|(\mathcal{R}^Y)^{\widehat{k}}(y)\|_{\widehat{k}}
\end{aligned}$$

So, \mathcal{R}^Y is sequentially isometric ▷

If conditions of previous proposition are satisfied we say that bilinear operator \mathcal{R} induces operator sequence space structure on Y (X).

Proposition 2.3.13 *Let X, Y be operator sequence spaces, then the standard operator sequence space structure of $\mathcal{SB}(X, Y)$ coincides with operator sequence space structure of induced by bilinear operator*

$$\mathcal{E} : X \times \mathcal{SB}(X, Y) \rightarrow Y : (x, \varphi) \mapsto \varphi(x)$$

◁ In this particular case statement that \mathcal{E} is sequentially isometric from the right is tautological. Hence \mathcal{E} induces operator sequence space structure on $\mathcal{SB}(X, Y)$. Let $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\varphi \in \mathcal{SB}(X, Y)^{\widehat{k}}$. Obviously $\mathcal{E}^{\mathcal{SB}(X, Y)} = 1_{\mathcal{SB}(X, Y)}$, so

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\varphi\|_{\widehat{k}}^{\mathcal{E}} &= \sup\{\|\widehat{\mathcal{E}^{n \times k}}(x, \varphi)\|_{\widehat{n \times k}} : x \in B_{X^{\widehat{n}}}, n \in \mathbb{N}\} = \sup\{\|A((\mathcal{E}^{\mathcal{SB}(X, Y)})^{\widehat{k}}(\varphi))^{\widehat{n}}(x)\|_{\widehat{n \times k}} : x \in B_{X^{\widehat{n}}}, n \in \mathbb{N}\} \\
&= \sup\{\|A((1_{\mathcal{SB}(X, Y)})^{\widehat{k}}(\varphi))^{\widehat{n}}\| : n \in \mathbb{N}\} = \sup\{\|A(\varphi)^{\widehat{n}}\| : n \in \mathbb{N}\} = \|A(\varphi)\|_{sb} = \|\varphi\|_{\widehat{k}}
\end{aligned}$$

▷

2.4 Completion of operator sequence spaces

Definition 2.4.1 *Sequential operator space X is called Banach operator sequence space, if $X^{\hat{1}}$ is a Banach space.*

Proposition 2.4.2 *Let X be operator sequence space, $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then $X^{\hat{1}}$ is a Banach space if and only if $X^{\hat{n}}$ is a Banach space.*

◁. Assume $X^{\hat{1}}$ is complete. Let $(x^{(k)})_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a Cauchy sequence in $X^{\hat{n}}$. Fix $\varepsilon > 0$, then there exist $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $k, m > N$ implies $\|x^{(k)} - x^{(m)}\|_{X^{\hat{n}}} < \varepsilon$. From proposition 2.2.5 it follows that $\|x_i^{(k)} - x_i^{(m)}\|_{\hat{n}} < \varepsilon$ for $i \in \mathbb{N}_n$. Hence the sequences $(x_i^{(k)})_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ for $i \in \mathbb{N}_n$ are Cauchy sequences. Since $X^{\hat{1}}$ is complete, then there exist limits $x_i = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} x_i^{(k)}$. Consider column $x = (x_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}_n} \in X^{\hat{n}}$. Again from proposition 2.2.5 we have

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \|x^{(k)} - x\|_{\hat{n}} \leq \sum_{i=1}^n \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \|x_i^{(k)} - x_i\|_{\hat{1}} = 0$$

Thus, any Cauchy sequence $(x^{(k)})_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \subset X^{\hat{n}}$ is convergent, hence $X^{\hat{n}}$ is a Banach space. Conversely, assume $X^{\hat{n}}$ is a Banach space. Let $(x^{(k)})_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a Cauchy sequence in $X^{\hat{1}}$. Fix $\varepsilon > 0$, then there exist $N \in \mathbb{N}$, such that $k, m > N$ implies $\|x^{(k)} - x^{(m)}\|_{\hat{1}} < \varepsilon$. Consider sequence $(\tilde{x}^{(k)})_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ in $X^{\hat{n}}$ such that $\tilde{x}_i^{(k)} = x_i^{(k)} \delta_{1,i}$ for $i \in \mathbb{N}_n$. Then from proposition 2.2.2 we see that $\|\tilde{x}^{(k)} - \tilde{x}^{(m)}\|_{\hat{n}} = \|x^{(k)} - x^{(m)}\|_{\hat{1}} < \varepsilon$. Since $X^{\hat{n}}$ is complete, there exist the limit $\tilde{x} \in X^{\hat{n}}$. From proposition 2.2.5 it follows that

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \|x^{(k)} - \tilde{x}_1\|_{\hat{1}} = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \|(\tilde{x}^{(k)} - \tilde{x})_1\|_{\hat{1}} \leq \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \|\tilde{x}^{(k)} - \tilde{x}\|_{\hat{n}} = 0$$

Thus any Cauchy sequence $(x^{(k)})_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \subset X^{\hat{1}}$ is convergent, hence $X^{\hat{1}}$ is a Banach space. ▷

Theorem 2.4.3 *Let X be operator sequence space, \overline{X} be completion of $X^{\hat{1}}$, and $j_X : X \rightarrow \overline{X}$ be isometric inclusion with dense image. Then there is operator sequence space structure on \overline{X} , such that j_X is sequentially isometric.*

◁ Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $\bar{x} \in \overline{X}^n$. Then for each $i \in \mathbb{N}_n$ there exist a sequence $(x_i^{(k)})_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ such that $\bar{x}_i = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} j_X(x_i^{(k)})$. In particular, sequences $(x_i^{(k)})_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ are Cauchy sequences in $X^{\hat{1}}$. For each $k \in \mathbb{N}$ consider $x^{(k)} = (x_i^{(k)})_{i \in \mathbb{N}_n} \in X^{\hat{n}}$. By definition we put

$$\|\bar{x}\|_{\hat{n}} = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \|x^{(k)}\|_{\hat{n}}$$

We will show, that this is well defined norm on $X^{\hat{n}}$ and what is more this family of norms defines operator sequence space structure on \overline{X} . Fix $\varepsilon > 0$, since $(x_i^{(k)})_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ are Cauchy sequences, then there exist $N_i \in \mathbb{N}$ for $i \in \mathbb{N}_n$ such that $k, m > N_i$ implies $\|x_i^{(k)} - x_i^{(m)}\|_{\hat{1}} < \varepsilon$. Consider $N = \max_{i \in \mathbb{N}_n} N_i$, then from proposition 2.2.5 for $k, m > N$ we get

$$\left| \|x^{(k)}\|_{\hat{n}} - \|x^{(m)}\|_{\hat{n}} \right| \leq \|x^{(k)} - x^{(m)}\|_{\hat{n}} \leq \sum_{i=1}^n \|x_i^{(k)} - x_i^{(m)}\|_{\hat{1}} < n\varepsilon$$

Thus the sequence $(\|x^{(k)}\|_{\hat{n}})_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a Cauchy sequence and its limit in definition of $\|\bar{x}\|_{\hat{n}}$ does exists. Now we will show this limit does not depend on the choice of the sequence. Let $(x''^{(k)})_{k \in \mathbb{N}}, (x'^{(k)})_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$

be two such sequences in $X^{\hat{n}}$, such that $\bar{x}_i = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} j_X(x_i'^{(k)}) = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} j_X(x_i''^{(k)})$ for all $i \in \mathbb{N}_n$. Then from proposition 2.2.5, we have

$$\left| \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \|x''^{(k)}\|_{\hat{n}} - \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \|x'^{(k)}\|_{\hat{n}} \right| \leq \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \|x''^{(k)} - x'^{(k)}\|_{\hat{n}} \leq \sum_{i=1}^n \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \|x_i''^{(k)} - x_i'^{(k)}\|_{\hat{1}} = \sum_{i=1}^n 0 = 0$$

Hence this limits are equal and $\|\bar{x}\|_{\hat{n}}$ is well defined. Let $\bar{x}' \in X^{\hat{n}}$, $\bar{x}'' \in X^{\hat{m}}$ and $\alpha \in M_{l,n}$, then

$$\|\alpha \bar{x}'\|_{\hat{l}} = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \|\alpha x'^{(k)}\|_{\hat{l}} \leq \|\alpha\| \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \|x'^{(k)}\|_{\hat{n}} = \|\alpha\| \|\bar{x}'\|_{\hat{n}}$$

$$\left\| \begin{pmatrix} \bar{x}' \\ \bar{x}'' \end{pmatrix} \right\|_{\widehat{n+m}}^2 = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \left\| \begin{pmatrix} x'^{(k)} \\ x''^{(k)} \end{pmatrix} \right\|_{\widehat{n+m}}^2 \leq \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} (\|x'^{(k)}\|_{\hat{n}}^2 + \|x''^{(k)}\|_{\hat{m}}^2) = \|\bar{x}'\|_{\hat{n}}^2 + \|\bar{x}''\|_{\hat{m}}^2$$

From proposition 2.2.4 we see that functions in question defines operator sequence space structure on \bar{X} . For all $x \in X^{\hat{n}}$ consider stationary sequence $(j_X^{\hat{n}}(x))_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$, then

$$\|j_X^{\hat{n}}(x)\|_{\hat{n}} = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \|x^{(k)}\|_{\hat{n}} = \|x\|_{\hat{n}}$$

So j_X is sequentially isometric. \triangleright

Proposition 2.4.4 *Let X and Y be operator sequence spaces and $\varphi \in \mathcal{SB}(X, Y)$. Then there exist unique $\bar{\varphi} \in \mathcal{SB}(\bar{X}, \bar{Y})$ extending φ and what is more $\|\bar{\varphi}\|_{sb} = \|\varphi\|_{sb}$*

\triangleleft It is well known that there exist unique extension $\bar{\varphi} \in \mathcal{B}(\bar{X}, \bar{Y})$. For a given $x \in X^{\hat{n}}$ choose any sequence $(x^{(k)})_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \subset X^{\hat{n}}$ such that $\bar{x} = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} j_X(x^{(k)})$. Then

$$\|\bar{\varphi}^{\hat{n}}(\bar{x})\|_{\hat{n}} = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \|\varphi^{\hat{n}}(x^{(k)})\|_{\hat{n}} \leq \|\varphi\|_{sb} \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \|x^{(k)}\|_{\hat{n}} = \|\varphi\|_{sb} \|\bar{x}\|_{\hat{n}}$$

Similarly, for any $x \in X^{\hat{n}}$ we have

$$\|\varphi^{\hat{n}}(x)\|_{\hat{n}} = \|\bar{\varphi}^{\hat{n}}(j_X^{\hat{n}}(x))\|_{\hat{n}} = \|\bar{\varphi}\|_{sb} \|j_X^{\hat{n}}(x)\|_{\hat{n}} = \|\bar{\varphi}\|_{sb} \|x\|_{\hat{n}}$$

Since $n \in \mathbb{N}$ is arbitrary, then $\|\bar{\varphi}\|_{sb} = \|\varphi\|_{sb}$ and in particular $\bar{\varphi} \in \mathcal{SB}(\bar{X}, \bar{Y})$ \triangleright

Proposition 2.4.5 *Let X , Y and Z be operator sequence spaces and $\mathcal{R} \in \mathcal{SB}(X \times Y, Z)$. Then there exist unique $\bar{\mathcal{R}} \in \mathcal{SB}(\bar{X} \times \bar{Y}, \bar{Z})$ extending \mathcal{R} and what is more $\|\bar{\mathcal{R}}\|_{sb} = \|\mathcal{R}\|_{sb}$.*

\triangleleft From proposition 1.9 [7] we know that there exist unique bounded bilinear extension $\bar{\mathcal{R}} \in \mathcal{B}(\bar{X} \times \bar{Y}, \bar{Z})$. For a given $\bar{x} \in \bar{X}^{\hat{n}}$, $\bar{y} \in \bar{Y}^{\hat{m}}$ choose any sequences $(x^{(k)})_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \subset X^{\hat{n}}$ and $(y^{(k)})_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \subset Y^{\hat{m}}$ such that $\bar{x} = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} j_X^{\hat{n}}(x^{(k)})$ and $\bar{y} = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} j_Y^{\hat{m}}(y^{(k)})$. Then $\bar{\mathcal{R}}^{\widehat{n \times m}}(\bar{x}, \bar{y}) = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{R}^{\widehat{n \times m}}(x^{(k)}, y^{(k)})$ and

$$\|\bar{\mathcal{R}}^{\widehat{n \times m}}(\bar{x}, \bar{y})\|_{\widehat{n \times m}} = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \|\mathcal{R}^{\widehat{n \times m}}(x^{(k)}, y^{(k)})\|_{\widehat{n \times m}} \leq \|\mathcal{R}\|_{sb} \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \|x^{(k)}\|_{\hat{n}} \|y^{(k)}\|_{\hat{m}} = \|\mathcal{R}\|_{sb} \|\bar{x}\|_{\hat{n}} \|\bar{y}\|_{\hat{m}}$$

Similarly for any $x \in X^{\hat{n}}$, $y \in Y^{\hat{m}}$ we have

$$\|\mathcal{R}^{\widehat{n \times m}}(x, y)\|_{\widehat{n \times m}} = \|\bar{\mathcal{R}}^{\widehat{n \times m}}(j_X^{\hat{n}}(x), j_Y^{\hat{m}}(y))\|_{\widehat{n \times m}} \leq \|\bar{\mathcal{R}}\|_{sb} \|j_X^{\hat{n}}(x)\|_{\hat{n}} \|j_Y^{\hat{m}}(y)\|_{\hat{m}} = \|\bar{\mathcal{R}}\|_{sb} \|x\|_{\hat{n}} \|y\|_{\hat{m}}$$

Since $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$ are arbitrary, then $\|\bar{\mathcal{R}}\|_{sb} = \|\mathcal{R}\|_{sb}$ and in particular $\bar{\mathcal{R}} \in \mathcal{SB}(\bar{X} \times \bar{Y}, \bar{Z})$ \triangleright

Now we can enlarge the list of our main categories with $SQBan$ and $SQBan_1$. Their definitions are similar to definitions of $SQNor$ and $SQNor_1$.

2.5 Duality theory for operator sequence spaces

Definition 2.5.1 ([6], 1.3.8) *Let X be operator sequence space, then by definition its sequential dual space is the space $X^\Delta := \mathcal{SB}(X, \mathbb{C})$. Note that here we consider \mathbb{C} with standard operator sequence space structure from example 2.2.6.*

Proposition 2.5.2 ([6], 1.3.9) *Let X be operator sequence space, and $f \in X^\Delta$. Then for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ holds $\|f^{\hat{n}}\| = \|f\|$, and as the consequence $\|f\|_{sb} = \|f\|$.*

Proposition 2.5.3 ([6], 1.3.9) *Let X be operator sequence space, then \mathcal{D}_{X, X^*} is sequentially isometric from the left and from the right. What is more for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $x \in X^{\hat{n}}$ and $f \in (X^\Delta)^{\hat{n}}$ we have*

$$\|x\|_{\hat{n}} = \|x\|_{\hat{n}}^{\mathcal{D}_{X^*, X}} \quad \|f\|_{\hat{n}} = \|f\|_{\hat{n}}^{\mathcal{D}_{X, X^*}}$$

As the consequence we get that natural embedding into the second dual

$$\iota_X : X \rightarrow X^{\Delta\Delta}$$

is sequentially isometric.

◁ Since standard scalar duality is isometric from the left and from the right then using proposition 2.5.2 we conclude that it is also sequentially isometric from the left and from the right. From proposition 1.3.12 [6] we know that

$$\|x\|_{\hat{n}} = \sup\{\|A(f)^{\hat{n}}(x)\|_{\widehat{n \times n}} : f \in B_{(X^\Delta)^{\hat{n}}}\} \quad \|f\|_{\hat{n}} = \sup\{\|A(f)^{\hat{n}}(x)\|_{\widehat{n \times n}} : x \in B_{X^{\hat{n}}}\}$$

Now the desired equalities follow from identity $\widehat{\mathcal{D}_{X, X^*}^{n \times n}}(x, f) = A((\mathcal{D}_{X, X^*}^{X^*})^{\hat{n}}(f))^{\hat{n}}(x) = A(f)^{\hat{n}}(x)$. Thus we see that original operator sequence space structures of X and X^Δ coincide with the ones induced by bilinear operators $\mathcal{D}_{X^*, X}$ and \mathcal{D}_{X, X^*} . Hence, using that standard scalar duality is sequentially isometric from the right, we can apply proposition 2.3.12 to get that operator $\mathcal{D}_{X^*, X}^X$ is a sequential isometry. It remains to note that $\iota_X = \mathcal{D}_{X^*, X}^X$. ▷

Remark 2.5.4 *We will say that X is sequentially reflexive if ι_X is sequential isometric isomorphism. By proposition 2.5.3 operator ι_X is always sequentially isometric, so ι_X is a sequential isometric isomorphism if and only if it is surjective, which is equivalent to the usual reflexivity.*

Proposition 2.5.5 *Let X (Y) be operator sequence space and Y (X) be a normed space. Assume we are given a scalar duality $\mathcal{D} : X \times Y \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ such that \mathcal{D}^Y (${}^X\mathcal{D}$) are isometric isomorphisms, then if we consider Y (X) with induced operator sequence space structure, then \mathcal{D}^Y (${}^X\mathcal{D}$) would become sequentially isometric isomorphism.*

◁ We will consider the case when \mathcal{D}^Y is an isometric isomorphism, for the remaining case all arguments are the same. Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$. By proposition 2.5.2 bilinear operator \mathcal{D} is sequentially isometric from the right. Then by proposition 2.3.12 the linear operator \mathcal{D}^Y is sequentially isometric, but it is also bijective, because \mathcal{D}^Y is bijective. Therefore \mathcal{D}^Y is sequentially isometric isomorphism. ▷

2.6 Duality theory for operators between operator sequence spaces

Proposition 2.6.1 ([6], 1.3.14) *Let X, Y be operator sequence spaces and $\varphi \in \mathcal{SB}(X, Y)$. Then $\varphi^\Delta \in \mathcal{SB}(Y^\Delta, X^\Delta)$ and for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ holds $\|(\varphi^\Delta)^{\hat{n}}\| = \|\varphi^{\hat{n}}\|$. As the consequence, $\|\varphi^\Delta\|_{sb} = \|\varphi\|_{sb}$.*

Corollary 2.6.2 *From proposition 2.6.1 it follows that we have four well defined versions of functor $^\Delta$. They are of the form $^\Delta : \mathcal{K} \rightarrow \mathcal{K}$, where $\mathcal{K} \in \{SQNor, SQNor_1, SQBan, SQBan_1\}$.*

Further we will prove several technical lemmas necessary for description of duality for sequentially bounded operators.

Definition 2.6.3 ([6], 1.3.15) *Let X be operator sequence space and $n \in \mathbb{N}$, then by $t_2^n(X)$, we denote the normed space X^n with the norm*

$$\|x\|_{t_2^n(X)} := \inf \{ \|\tilde{\alpha}\|_{hs} \|\tilde{x}\|_{\hat{k}} : x = \tilde{\alpha}\tilde{x} \}$$

where $\tilde{\alpha} \in M_{n,k}$, $x \in X^k$ and $k \in \mathbb{N}$. If Y is an operator sequence space and $\varphi \in \mathcal{SB}(X, Y)$, then by $t_2^n(\varphi)$ we will denote the linear operator

$$t_2^n(\varphi) : t_2^n(X) \rightarrow t_2^n(Y) : x \mapsto \varphi^{\hat{n}}(x)$$

Proposition 2.6.4 *Let X be a operator sequence space $n \in \mathbb{N}$, then*

$$\|x\|_{t_2^n(X)} = \inf \{ \|\alpha'\|_{hs} \|x'\|_{\hat{k}} : x = \alpha'x' \}$$

where $\alpha' \in M_{n,n}$ is an invertible matrix and $x' \in X^n$.

\triangleleft Define right hand side of the equality to be proved by $\|x\|'_{t_2^n(X)}$. Fix $\varepsilon > 0$, then there exist $\tilde{\alpha} \in M_{n,k}$ and $\tilde{x} \in X^k$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $x = \tilde{\alpha}\tilde{x}$ and $\|\tilde{\alpha}\|_{hs} \|\tilde{x}\|_{\hat{k}} < \|x\|_{t_2^n(X)} + \varepsilon$. Consider polar decomposition $\tilde{\alpha} = |\tilde{\alpha}^*| \rho$ of matrix $\tilde{\alpha}$. Let p be orthogonal projection on $\text{Im}(|\tilde{\alpha}^*|)^\perp$. Then for all $\delta \in \mathbb{R}$ the matrix $\alpha'_\delta = |\tilde{\alpha}^*| + \delta p$ is invertible because $\text{Ker}(\alpha'_\delta) = \{0\}$. Since $\alpha'_0 = |\tilde{\alpha}^*|$ and the function $\|\alpha'_\delta\|_{hs}$ is continuous for $\delta \in \mathbb{R}$, then there exist such δ_0 that $\|\alpha'_{\delta_0}\|_{hs} < \| |\tilde{\alpha}^*| \|_{hs} + \varepsilon \|\tilde{x}\|_{\hat{k}}^{-1} = \|\tilde{\alpha}\|_{hs} + \varepsilon \|\tilde{x}\|_{\hat{k}}^{-1}$. Denote $\alpha' = \alpha'_{\delta_0} \in M_{n,n}$ and $x' = \rho\tilde{x} \in Y^n$, then

$$\alpha'x' = (|\tilde{\alpha}^*| + \delta_0 p)\rho\tilde{x} = |\tilde{\alpha}^*|\rho\tilde{x} + \delta_0 p\rho\tilde{x} = \tilde{\alpha}\tilde{x}$$

By construction of polar decomposition $\|\rho\| \leq 1$ hence using definition of $\|x\|'_{t_2^n(X)}$ we get

$$\|x\|'_{t_2^n(X)} \leq \|\alpha'\|_{hs} \|x'\|_{\hat{n}} \leq (\|\tilde{\alpha}\|_{hs} + \varepsilon \|\tilde{x}\|_{\hat{k}}) \|\rho\| \|\tilde{x}\|_{\hat{n}} \leq \|\tilde{\alpha}\|_{hs} \|\tilde{x}\|_{\hat{k}} + \varepsilon \leq \|x\|_{t_2^n(X)} + 2\varepsilon$$

Since $\varepsilon > 0$ is arbitrary, then $\|x\|'_{t_2^n(X)} \leq \|x\|_{t_2^n(X)}$. The reverse inequality is obvious, so $\|x\|_{t_2^n(X)} = \|x\|'_{t_2^n(X)}$. \triangleright

Proposition 2.6.5 *Let X, Y be operator sequence spaces, $\varphi \in \mathcal{SB}(X, Y)$ and $n, k \in \mathbb{N}$. Then*

- (i) *for all $\alpha \in M_{n,k}$ and $x \in t_2^k(X)$ holds $t_2^n(\varphi)(\alpha x) = \alpha t_2^k(\varphi)(x)$*
- (ii) *$t_2^n(\varphi) \in \mathcal{B}(t_2^n(X), t_2^n(Y))$, and $\|t_2^n(\varphi)\| \leq \|\varphi^{\hat{n}}\|$*
- (iii) *if $\varphi^{\hat{n}}$ (strictly) c -topologically surjective, then $t_2^n(\varphi)$ is also (strictly) c -topologically surjective*

(iv) if $\varphi^{\hat{n}}$ c -topologically injective, then $t_2^n(\varphi)$ is also c -topologically injective

◁ (i) Since $t_2^n(\varphi) = \varphi^{\hat{n}}$ as linear maps, then the result follows from paragraph 4 of proposition 2.3.2.

(ii) Let $x \in t_2^n(X)$ and $x = \alpha'x'$, where $\alpha \in M_{n,n}$ is an invertible matrix and $x' \in X^n$, then $t_2^n(\varphi)(x) = \alpha't_2^n(\varphi)(x') = \alpha'\varphi^{\hat{n}}(x')$. Hence from the definition of the norm on $t_2^n(Y)$ it follows

$$\|t_2^n(\varphi)(x)\|_{t_2^n(Y)} \leq \|\alpha'\|_{hs} \|\varphi^{\hat{n}}(x')\|_{\hat{n}} \leq \|\alpha'\|_{hs} \|\varphi^{\hat{n}}\| \|x'\|_{\hat{n}}$$

Now take infimum over all representations of x described above, then by proposition 2.6.4 we have

$$\|t_2^n(\varphi)(x)\|_{t_2^n(Y)} \leq \|\varphi^{\hat{n}}\| \|x\|_{t_2^n(X)}$$

Therefore $\|t_2^n(\varphi)\| \leq \|\varphi^{\hat{n}}\|$ and $t_2^n(\varphi) \in \mathcal{B}(t_2^n(X), t_2^n(Y))$.

(iii) Assume $\varphi^{\hat{n}}$ is c -topologically surjective. Let $y \in t_2^n(Y)$ and $y = \alpha'y'$, where $\alpha' \in M_{n,n}$ is an invertible matrix, $y' \in Y^n$. Let $c < c'' < c'$. Since $\varphi^{\hat{n}}$ is c -topologically surjective, then there exist $x' \in X^n$ such that $\varphi^{\hat{n}}(x') = y'$ and $\|x'\|_{\hat{n}} < c''\|y'\|_{\hat{n}}$. Consider $x := \alpha'x'$, then $t_2^n(\varphi)(x) = \alpha't_2^n(\varphi)(x') = \alpha'\varphi^{\hat{n}}(x') = \alpha'y' = y$. From definition of the norm on $t_2^n(X)$ we have

$$\|x\|_{t_2^n(X)} \leq \|\alpha'\|_{hs} \|x'\|_{\hat{n}} \leq \|\alpha'\|_{hs} c'' \|y'\|_{\hat{n}}$$

Now take infimum over all representation of y described above, then proposition 2.6.4 gives $\|x\|_{t_2^n(X)} \leq c''\|y\|_{t_2^n(Y)} < c'\|y\|_{t_2^n(Y)}$. Thus, for all $y \in t_2^n(Y)$ and $c' > c$ there exist $x \in t_2^n(X)$ such that $t_2^n(\varphi)(x) = y$ and $\|x\|_{t_2^n(X)} < c'\|y\|_{t_2^n(Y)}$. Therefore $t_2^n(\varphi)$ is c -topologically surjective.

Assume $\varphi^{\hat{n}}$ is strictly c -topologically surjective. Let $y \in t_2^n(Y)$ and $y = \alpha'y'$, where $\alpha' \in M_{n,n}$ is an invertible matrix, $y' \in Y^n$. Since $\varphi^{\hat{n}}$ is c -topologically surjective, then there exist $x' \in X^n$ such that $\varphi^{\hat{n}}(x') = y'$ and $\|x'\|_{\hat{n}} \leq c\|y'\|_{\hat{n}}$. Consider $x := \alpha'x'$, then $t_2^n(\varphi)(x) = \alpha't_2^n(\varphi)(x') = \alpha'\varphi^{\hat{n}}(x') = \alpha'y' = y$. From the definition of the norm on $t_2^n(X)$ we have

$$\|x\|_{t_2^n(X)} \leq \|\alpha'\|_{hs} \|x'\|_{\hat{n}} \leq \|\alpha'\|_{hs} c \|y'\|_{\hat{n}}$$

Now take infimum over all representations of y described above, then proposition 2.6.4 gives $\|x\|_{t_2^n(X)} \leq c\|y\|_{t_2^n(Y)}$. Thus, for all $y \in t_2^n(Y)$ there exist $x \in t_2^n(X)$ such that $t_2^n(\varphi)(x) = y$ and $\|x\|_{t_2^n(X)} \leq c\|y\|_{t_2^n(Y)}$. Therefore $t_2^n(\varphi)$ strictly c -topologically surjective.

(iv) Assume $x \in t_2^n(X)$, then denote $y := t_2^n(\varphi)(x)$. Consider representation $y = \alpha'y'$, where $\alpha' \in M_{n,n}$ is an invertible matrix and $y' \in Y^n$. Then $y' = (\alpha')^{-1}y = (\alpha')^{-1}t_2^n(\varphi)(x) = t_2^n(\varphi)((\alpha')^{-1}x) \in \text{Im}(t_2^n(\varphi))$. Since $\varphi^{\hat{n}}$ is c -topologically injective, then it is injective, so for $y' \in \text{Im}(t_2^n(\varphi))$ there exist $x' \in X^n$ such that $y' = t_2^n(\varphi)(x') = \varphi^{\hat{n}}(x')$. Since $\varphi^{\hat{n}}$ is c -topologically injective, then $\|x'\|_{\hat{n}} \leq c\|y'\|$. From the definition of the norm on $t_2^n(X)$ we have

$$\|x\|_{t_2^n(X)} \leq \|\alpha'\|_{hs} \|x'\|_{\hat{n}} \leq c\|\alpha'\|_{hs} \|y'\|_{\hat{n}}$$

Now take infimum over all representations of y described above, then proposition 2.6.4 gives $\|x\|_{t_2^n(X)} \leq c\|y\|_{t_2^n(Y)} = c\|t_2^n(\varphi)(x)\|_{t_2^n(Y)}$. Thus for all $x \in t_2^n(X)$ holds $\|t_2^n(\varphi)(x)\|_{t_2^n(Y)} \geq c^{-1}\|x\|_{t_2^n(X)}$. Therefore $t_2^n(\varphi)$ is c -topologically injective. ▷

Proposition 2.6.6 ([6], 1.3.16) *Let X be operator sequence space and $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then we have isometric isomorphisms*

$$\alpha_X^n : t_2^n(X^\Delta) \rightarrow (X^{\hat{n}})^* : f \mapsto \left(x \mapsto \sum_{i=1}^n f_i(x_i) \right) \quad \beta_X^n : (X^\Delta)^{\hat{n}} \rightarrow t_2^n(X)^* : f \mapsto \left(x \mapsto \sum_{i=1}^n f_i(x_i) \right)$$

Proposition 2.6.7 *Let X, Y be operator sequence spaces, $\varphi \in \mathcal{SB}(X, Y)$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$, then*

(i) $(\varphi^\Delta)^{\hat{n}}$ is c -topologically (surjective) injective $\iff t_2^n(\varphi)^*$ is c -topologically (surjective) injective

(ii) $t_2^n(\varphi^\Delta)$ is c -topologically (surjective) injective $\iff (\varphi^{\hat{n}})^*$ is c -topologically (surjective) injective

(iii) $\|(\varphi^\Delta)^{\hat{n}}\| = \|t_2^n(\varphi)^*\|$ and $\|t_2^n(\varphi^\Delta)\| = \|(\varphi^{\hat{n}})^*\|$ and $\|t_2^n(\varphi)\| = \|\varphi^{\hat{n}}\|$

\triangleleft Let $g \in (Y^\Delta)^{\hat{n}}$ and $x \in t_2^n(X)$, then

$$(\alpha_X^n(\varphi^\Delta)^{\hat{n}})(g)(x) = \alpha_X^n((\varphi^\Delta)^{\hat{n}}(g))(x) = \sum_{k=1}^n (\varphi^\Delta)^{\hat{n}}(g)_k(x_k) = \sum_{k=1}^n (\varphi^\Delta)(g_k)(x_k) = \sum_{k=1}^n g_k(\varphi(x_k))$$

$$(t_2^n(\varphi)^*\alpha_Y^n)(g)(x) = t_2^n(\varphi)^*(\alpha_Y^n(g))(x) = \alpha_Y^n(g)(t_2^n(\varphi)(x)) = \sum_{k=1}^n g_k(t_2^n(\varphi)(x)_k) = \sum_{k=1}^n g_k(\varphi(x_k))$$

Since g and x are arbitrary, then $\alpha_X^n(\varphi^\Delta)^{\hat{n}} = t_2^n(\varphi)^*\alpha_Y^n$. As α_Y^n and α_X^n are isometric isomorphisms we get that (i) holds and $\|(\varphi^\Delta)^{\hat{n}}\| = \|t_2^n(\varphi)^*\|$. Let $g \in t_2^n(Y^\Delta)$ and $x \in X^{\hat{n}}$, then

$$(\beta_X^n t_2^n(\varphi^\Delta))(g)(x) = \beta_X^n(t_2^n(\varphi^\Delta)(g))(x) = \sum_{k=1}^n t_2^n(\varphi^\Delta)(g)_k(x_k) = \sum_{k=1}^n (\varphi^\Delta)(g_k)(x_k) = \sum_{k=1}^n g_k(\varphi(x_k))$$

$$((\varphi^{\hat{n}})^*\beta_Y^n)(g)(x) = (\varphi^{\hat{n}})^*(\beta_Y^n(g))(x) = \beta_Y^n(g)(\varphi^{\hat{n}}(x)) = \sum_{k=1}^n g_k(\varphi^{\hat{n}}(x)_k) = \sum_{k=1}^n g_k(\varphi(x_k))$$

Since g and x are arbitrary, then $\beta_X^n t_2^n(\varphi^\Delta) = (\varphi^{\hat{n}})^*\beta_Y^n$. As β_Y^n and β_X^n are isometric isomorphisms we get that (ii) holds and $\|t_2^n(\varphi^\Delta)\| = \|(\varphi^{\hat{n}})^*\|$.

Finally, from propositions 2.6.5, 2.6.1 we have inequalities $\|t_2^n(\varphi)\| \leq \|\varphi^{\hat{n}}\| = \|(\varphi^\Delta)^{\hat{n}}\| = \|t_2^n(\varphi)^*\| = \|t_2^n(\varphi)\|$, so $\|t_2^n(\varphi)\| = \|\varphi^{\hat{n}}\|$. \triangleright

Theorem 2.6.8 *Let X, Y be operator sequence spaces and $\varphi \in \mathcal{SB}(X, Y)$, then*

1. φ (strictly) sequentially c -topologically surjective $\implies \varphi^\Delta$ sequentially c -topologically injective
2. φ sequentially c -topologically injective $\implies \varphi^\Delta$ strictly sequentially c -topologically surjective
3. φ^Δ (strictly) sequentially c -topologically surjective $\implies \varphi$ sequentially c -topologically injective
4. φ^Δ sequentially c -topologically injective and X is complete $\implies \varphi$ sequentially c -topologically surjective
5. φ sequentially coisometric $\implies \varphi^\Delta$ sequentially isometric, if X is complete, then the reverse implication is also true.
6. φ sequentially isometric $\iff \varphi^\Delta$ sequentially strictly coisometric

◁ For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ we have the following chain of implications

$$\begin{aligned}
\varphi^{\hat{n}} \text{ } c\text{-topologically injective} &\implies t_2^n(\varphi) \text{ } c\text{-topologically injective} & 2.6.5 \\
&\implies t_2^n(\varphi)^* \text{ strictly } c\text{-topologically surjective} & 1.2.3 \\
&\implies (\varphi^\Delta)^{\hat{n}} \text{ strictly } c\text{-topologically surjective} & 2.6.7 \\
&\implies t_2^n(\varphi^\Delta) \text{ strictly } c\text{-topologically surjective} & 2.6.5 \\
&\implies (\varphi^{\hat{n}})^* \text{ strictly } c\text{-topologically surjective} & 2.6.7 \\
&\implies \varphi^{\hat{n}} \text{ } c\text{-topologically injective} & 1.2.3
\end{aligned}$$

So we get (ii) and (iii). Again for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ we have the following chain of implications

$$\begin{aligned}
\varphi^{\hat{n}} \text{ (strictly) } c\text{-topologically surjective} &\implies t_2^n(\varphi) \text{ } c\text{-topologically surjective} & 2.6.5 \\
&\implies t_2^n(\varphi)^* \text{ } c\text{-topologically injective} & 1.2.3 \\
&\implies (\varphi^\Delta)^{\hat{n}} \text{ } c\text{-topologically injective} & 2.6.7 \\
&\implies t_2^n(\varphi^\Delta) \text{ } c\text{-topologically injective} & 2.6.5 \\
&\implies (\varphi^{\hat{n}})^* \text{ } c\text{-topologically injective} & 2.6.7 \\
&\xRightarrow{X \text{ complete}} \varphi^{\hat{n}} \text{ } c\text{-topologically surjective} & 1.2.3
\end{aligned}$$

So we get (i) and (iv). Paragraphs (iv)–(vi) are a direct consequences of (i)–(iv) with $c = 1$ if one takes into account that φ is sequentially contractive if and only if φ^Δ is sequentially contractive (see proposition 2.6.1). ▷

2.7 Weak topologies for operator sequence spaces

Definition 2.7.1 Let $\mathcal{D} : X \times Y \rightarrow Z$ be a vector duality between operator sequence spaces X , Y and Z . We say that a net $(y_\nu)_{\nu \in N} \subset Y^{\hat{n}}$ sequentially \mathcal{D} -converges to $y \in Y^{\hat{n}}$ if it $\widehat{\mathcal{D}^{m \times n}}$ -converges for each $m \in \mathbb{N}$. Topology generated by this type of convergence we will denote by $\sigma_{\mathcal{D}}^{\hat{n}}(Y, X)$.

Proposition 2.7.2 Let $\mathcal{D} : X \times Y \rightarrow Z$ be a vector duality between operator sequence spaces X , Y and Z , then the following are equivalent

- (i) net $(y_\nu)_{\nu \in N} \subset Y^{\hat{n}}$ sequentially \mathcal{D} -converges to $y \in Y^{\hat{n}}$
- (ii) for each $i \in \mathbb{N}_n$ the net $((y_\nu)_i)_{\nu \in N} \subset Y^{\hat{1}}$ \mathcal{D} -converges to $y_i \in Y^{\hat{1}}$.

◁ (i) \implies (ii) Note that for all $i \in \mathbb{N}_n$ and $x \in X^{\hat{1}}$ we have $\mathcal{D}(x, (y_\nu)_i - y_i) = (\widehat{\mathcal{D}^{1 \times n}}(x, y_\nu - y))_i$. Using proposition 2.2.5, we get

$$\lim_{\nu} \|\mathcal{D}(x, (y_\nu)_i - y_i)\|_{\hat{1}} \leq \lim_{\nu} \|\widehat{\mathcal{D}^{1 \times n}}(x, y_\nu - y)\|_{\widehat{1 \times n}} = 0$$

so $((y_\nu)_i)_{\nu \in N}$ \mathcal{D} -converges to y_i .

(ii) \implies (i) Again from proposition 2.2.5 for all $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and $x \in X^{\hat{m}}$ we get

$$\lim_{\nu} \|\widehat{\mathcal{D}^{m \times n}}(x, y_\nu - y)\|_{\widehat{m \times n}} \leq \lim_{\nu} \sum_{j=1}^m \sum_{i=1}^n \|\mathcal{D}(x_j, (y_\nu)_i - y_i)\|_{\hat{1}} = \sum_{j=1}^m \sum_{i=1}^n \lim_{\nu} \|\mathcal{D}(x_j, (y_\nu)_i - y_i)\|_{\hat{1}} = 0$$

so $(y_\nu)_{\nu \in N}$ sequentially \mathcal{D} -converges to y . ▷

Proposition 2.7.3 Let $\mathcal{D}_1 : X_1 \times Y_1 \rightarrow Z_1$ and $\mathcal{D}_2 : X_2 \times Y_2 \rightarrow Z_2$ be vector dualities between operator sequence spaces and $\varphi : Y_1 \rightarrow Y_2$ be a linear operator, then φ is $\sigma_{\mathcal{D}_1}(Y_1^{\hat{1}}, X_1^{\hat{1}})$ - $\sigma_{\mathcal{D}_2}(Y_2^{\hat{1}}, X_2^{\hat{1}})$ continuous if and only if $\varphi^{\hat{n}}$ is $\sigma_{\mathcal{D}_1}^{\hat{n}}(Y_1, X_1)$ - $\sigma_{\mathcal{D}_2}^{\hat{n}}(Y_2, X_2)$ continuous.

$\triangleleft (i) \implies (ii)$ Assume the net $(y_\nu)_{\nu \in N} \subset Y^{\hat{n}}$ sequentially \mathcal{D}_1 -converges to $y \in Y^{\hat{n}}$. Then by proposition 2.7.2 for each $i \in \mathbb{N}_n$ the net $((y_\nu)_i)_{\nu \in N}$ \mathcal{D}_1 -converges to y_i . From assumption on φ we get that the net $(\varphi((y_\nu)_i))_{\nu \in N}$ \mathcal{D}_2 -converges to $\varphi(y_i)$ for each $i \in \mathbb{N}_n$. Again by the same proposition this means that the net $(\varphi^{\hat{n}}(y_\nu))_{\nu \in N}$ sequentially \mathcal{D}_2 -converges to $\varphi^{\hat{n}}(y)$. Since the net $(y_\nu)_{\nu \in N}$ is arbitrary, then $\varphi^{\hat{n}}$ is $\sigma_{\mathcal{D}_1}^{\hat{n}}(Y_1, X_1)$ - $\sigma_{\mathcal{D}_2}^{\hat{n}}(Y_2, X_2)$ continuous.

$(ii) \implies (i)$ Assume the net $(y_\nu)_{\nu \in N} \subset Y^{\hat{n}}$ \mathcal{D}_1 -converges to $y \in Y^{\hat{n}}$. Define $\tilde{y}_\nu \in Y^{\hat{n}}$ such that $(\tilde{y}_\nu)_1 = y_\nu$ and $(\tilde{y}_\nu)_i = 0$ for $i \in \mathbb{N}_n \setminus \{1\}$. By proposition 2.7.2 the net (\tilde{y}_ν) sequentially \mathcal{D}_1 -converges to $y \in Y^{\hat{n}}$ such that $y_1 = y$ and $y_i = 0$ for $i \in \mathbb{N}_n \setminus \{1\}$. From assumption on $\varphi^{\hat{n}}$ the net $(\varphi^{\hat{n}}(\tilde{y}_\nu))_{\nu \in N}$ sequentially \mathcal{D}_2 -converges to $\varphi^{\hat{n}}(\tilde{y})$. By proposition 2.7.2 we get that the net $(\varphi^{\hat{1}}((\tilde{y}_\nu)_1))_{\nu \in N} = (\varphi(y_\nu))_{\nu \in N}$ \mathcal{D}_2 -converges to $\varphi^{\hat{1}}((\tilde{y})_1) = \varphi(y)$. Since the net $(y_\nu)_{\nu \in N}$ is arbitrary, then φ is $\sigma_{\mathcal{D}_1}(Y_1, X_1)$ - $\sigma_{\mathcal{D}_2}(Y_2, X_2)$ continuous. \triangleright

Definition 2.7.4 Let X be an operator sequence space, then we define weak topology on $X^{\hat{n}}$ as $\sigma_{\mathcal{D}_{X^*, X}}^{\hat{n}}(X, X^*)$ topology and weak* topology on $(X^{\Delta})^{\hat{n}}$ as $\sigma_{\mathcal{D}_{X, X^*}}^{\hat{n}}(X^*, X)$ topology.

In particular proposition 2.7.2 tells us that weak and weak* convergence are equivalent to weak and weak* coordinatewise convergence respectively. From proposition 2.7.3 we get that continuity of the linear operator with respect to different weak topologies is equivalent to the continuity of the same type of amplified operator.

Proposition 2.7.5 ([6], 1.3.19) Let X be an operator sequence space, then there exist isometric isomorphism

$$\widetilde{\iota}_X^n : (X^{\Delta\Delta})^{\hat{n}} \rightarrow (X^{\hat{n}})^{**} : \psi \mapsto \left(f \mapsto \sum_{i=1}^n \psi_i((\alpha_X^n)^{-1}(f)_i) \right)$$

which is also a weak*-weak* homeomorphism.

\triangleleft From proposition 2.6.6 it follows that the desired isometric isomorphism is

$$\widetilde{\iota}_X^n := ((\alpha_X^n)^*)^{-1} \beta_{X^{\Delta}}^n.$$

Its action is given by the formula $\widetilde{\iota}_X^n(\psi)(f) = \sum_{i=1}^n \psi_i((\alpha_X^n)^{-1}(f)_i)$ where $\psi \in (X^{\Delta\Delta})^{\hat{n}}$ and $f \in (X^{\hat{n}})^*$. Assume a net $(\psi_\nu)_{\nu \in N} \subset (X^{\Delta\Delta})^{\hat{n}}$ weak* converges to $\psi \in (X^{\Delta\Delta})^{\hat{n}}$. By proposition 2.7.2 this is equivalent to weak* convergence of $((\psi_\nu)_i)_{\nu \in N} \subset X^{\Delta\Delta}$ to $\psi_i \in X^{\Delta\Delta}$ for each $i \in \mathbb{N}_n$. The latter is equivalent to convergence of the net $((\psi_\nu)_i(g))_{\nu \in N}$ to $(\psi)_i(g)$ for all $g \in X^{\Delta}$ and $i \in \mathbb{N}_n$. One can easily see such convergence is possible if and only if the net $(\sum_{i=1}^n (\psi_\nu)_i(g_i))_{\nu \in N}$ converges to $\sum_{i=1}^n (\psi)_i(g_i)$ for all $g = (g_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}_n} \in t_2^n(X^{\Delta})$. This is equivalent to convergence of the net $(\widetilde{\iota}_X^n(\psi_\nu)(f))_{\nu \in N}$ to $\widetilde{\iota}_X^n(\psi)(f)$ for all $f \in (X^{\hat{n}})^*$. This means that the net $(\widetilde{\iota}_X^n(\psi_\nu))_{\nu \in N} \subset (X^{\hat{n}})^{**}$ weak* converges to $\widetilde{\iota}_X^n(\psi)$. Since $\widetilde{\iota}_X^n$ is a bijections and all steps in the proof where equivalences then $\widetilde{\iota}_X^n$ is a weak*-weak* homeomorphism. \triangleright

Now we are able to proof an operator sequence space analogue of Goldstine theorem.

Proposition 2.7.6 Let X be an operator sequence space, then $\hat{\iota}_X^n(B_{X^{\hat{n}}})$ is weak* dense in $B_{(X^{\Delta\Delta})^{\hat{n}}}$. As the consequence $\hat{\iota}_X^n(X^{\hat{n}})$ is weak* dense in $(X^{\Delta\Delta})^{\hat{n}}$.

◁ For all $x \in X^{\widehat{n}}$ and $f \in (X^*)^{\widehat{n}}$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}\widetilde{\iota_X^n}(\iota_X^{\widehat{n}}(x))(f) &= \sum_{i=1}^n \iota_X^{\widehat{n}}(x)_i ((\alpha_X^n)^{-1}(f))_i = \sum_{i=1}^n \iota_X(x_i) ((\alpha_X^n)^{-1}(f))_i = \sum_{i=1}^n ((\alpha_X^n)^{-1}(f))_i (x_i) \\ &= \alpha_X^n((\alpha_X^n)^{-1}(f))(x) = f(x) = \iota_{X^{\widehat{n}}}(x)(f)\end{aligned}$$

so $\widetilde{\iota_X^n} \iota_X^{\widehat{n}} = \iota_{X^{\widehat{n}}}$ and since $\widetilde{\iota_X^n}$ is an isomorphism $\iota_X^{\widehat{n}} = (\widetilde{\iota_X^n})^{-1} \iota_{X^{\widehat{n}}}$. By theorem 3.96 [9] we have that $\iota_{X^{\widehat{n}}}(B_{X^{\widehat{n}}})$ is weak* dense in $B_{(X^{\widehat{n}})^{**}}$. Since $\widetilde{\iota_X}$ is an isometric weak*-weak* homeomorphism, then $\iota_X^{\widehat{n}}(B_{X^{\widehat{n}}}) = (\widetilde{\iota_X})^{-1} \iota_{X^{\widehat{n}}}(B_{X^{\widehat{n}}})$ is weak* dense in $(\widetilde{\iota_X})^{-1}(B_{(X^{\widehat{n}})^{**}}) = B_{(X^{\Delta\Delta})^{\widehat{n}}}$. ▷

Proposition 2.7.7 *Let X and Y be two operator sequence spaces and $\varphi \in \mathcal{SB}(X, Y^{\Delta})$. Then there exist unique weak* continuous $\widetilde{\varphi} \in \mathcal{SB}(X^{\Delta\Delta}, Y^{\Delta})$ extending φ and what is more $\|\widetilde{\varphi}\|_{sb} = \|\varphi\|$*

◁ Denote $\widetilde{\varphi} = (\varphi^{\Delta} \iota_Y)^{\Delta} = \iota_Y^{\Delta} \varphi^{\Delta\Delta}$. It is weak* continuous as a dual of bounded operator. One can easily check that $\varphi^{\Delta\Delta} \iota_X = \iota_{Y^{\Delta}} \varphi$ and $\iota_Y^{\Delta} \iota_{Y^{\Delta}} = 1_{Y^{\Delta}}$, so $\widetilde{\varphi} \iota_X = \iota_Y^{\Delta} \varphi^{\Delta\Delta} \iota_X = \iota_Y^{\Delta} \iota_{Y^{\Delta}} \varphi = \varphi$ and we get that $\widetilde{\varphi}$ is weak*-continuous extension of φ . By proposition 2.7.6 we have that $\iota_X(X)$ is weak* dense in $X^{\Delta\Delta}$. Hence $\widetilde{\varphi}$ is the unique extension of φ . From propositions 2.3.2, 2.5.3 and 2.6.1 we have

$$\begin{aligned}\|\varphi\|_{sb} &= \|\widetilde{\varphi} \iota_X\|_{sb} \leq \|\widetilde{\varphi}\|_{sb} \|\iota_X\|_{sb} = \|\varphi\|_{sb} \\ \|\widetilde{\varphi}\|_{sb} &= \|\iota_Y^{\Delta} \varphi^{\Delta\Delta}\|_{sb} \leq \|\iota_Y^{\Delta}\|_{sb} \|\varphi^{\Delta\Delta}\|_{sb} = \|\iota_Y\|_{sb} \|\varphi\|_{sb} = \|\varphi\|_{sb}\end{aligned}$$

So, $\|\widetilde{\varphi}\|_{sb} = \|\varphi\|_{sb}$. ▷

2.8 Subspaces and quotients of operator sequence spaces

Definition 2.8.1 ([6], 1.1.26) *Let X be operator sequence space, X_0 subspace of X , then there is natural operator sequence space structure on X_0 defined by $X_0^{\widehat{n}} = (X_0^n, \|\cdot\|_{\widehat{n}})$.*

In this case the natural inclusion $i_{X_0, X} : X_0 \rightarrow X$ obviously is sequentially isometric.

Definition 2.8.2 ([6], 1.1.27) *Let X be operator sequence space, and X_0 subspace of X , then there is natural operator sequence space structure on X/X_0 defined by identifications $(X/X_0)^{\widehat{n}} = X^{\widehat{n}}/X_0^{\widehat{n}}$, where $n \in \mathbb{N}$.*

Proposition 2.8.3 *Let $\varphi : X \rightarrow Y$ be a sequentially bounded operator between operator sequence spaces E and F . Let X_0 and Y_0 be closed subspaces of X and Y respectively, such that $\varphi(X_0) \subset Y_0$, then there exist well defined sequentially bounded linear operator $\widehat{\varphi} : X/X_0 \rightarrow Y/Y_0 : x + X_0 \mapsto T(x) + Y_0$ such that $\|\widehat{\varphi}^{\widehat{n}}\| \leq \|\varphi^{\widehat{n}}\|$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ so $\|\widehat{\varphi}\|_{sb} \leq \|\varphi\|_{sb}$. Moreover,*

1. if $X_0 \subset \text{Ker}(\varphi) \subset X_0$, then $\|\widehat{\varphi}\|_{sb} = \|\varphi\|_{sb}$
2. if $\text{Ker}(\varphi) = X_0$ and φ is sequentially c -topologically surjective, then $\widehat{\varphi}$ is sequentially c -topologically injective isomorphism
3. if $\text{Ker}(\varphi) = X_0$ and φ is sequentially coisometric, then $\widehat{\varphi}$ is a sequential isometric isomorphism

◁ Since for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ we have $\varphi^{\hat{n}}(X_0^{\hat{n}}) \subset Y_0^{\hat{n}}$, then from proposition 1.5.2 [2] we get that $\|\widehat{\varphi^{\hat{n}}}\| \leq \|\varphi^{\hat{n}}\|$, so $\|\widehat{\varphi}\|_{sb} \leq \|\varphi\|_{sb}$. (i) Clearly, $X_0^{\hat{n}} \subset \text{Ker}(\varphi^{\hat{n}})$, so from proposition 1.5.3 [2] we get that $\|\widehat{\varphi^{\hat{n}}}\| = \|\varphi^{\hat{n}}\|$, so $\|\widehat{\varphi}\|_{sb} = \|\varphi\|_{sb}$. (ii) Similarly, $X_0^{\hat{n}} = \text{Ker}(\varphi^{\hat{n}})$, so again from lemma A.2.1 [3] we get that $\varphi^{\hat{n}}$ is c -topologically injective isomorphism. Hence φ is sequentially c topologically injective isomorphism. (iii) By paragraph (i) we have $\|\widehat{\varphi^{\hat{n}}}\| \leq \|\varphi^{\hat{n}}\| \leq 1$. By paragraph (iii) we get that $\widehat{\varphi}$ is a 1-topologically injective isomorphism. Therefore $\varphi^{\hat{n}}$ is an isometric isomorphism for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Hence φ is a sequentially isometric isomorphism. ▷

Applying this proposition to $\varphi = i_{X_0, X}$ we see that the natural quotient mapping $\pi_{X_0, X}$ is sequentially coisometric.

Proposition 2.8.4 ([6], 1.4.13) *Let X be an operator sequence space and X_0 its closed subspace, then there exist sequentially isometric isomorphisms*

$$(X/X_0)^{\Delta} = X_0^{\perp} \quad X^{\Delta}/X_0^{\perp} = X_0^{\Delta}$$

◁ From theorem 2.6.8 operator $\pi_{X_0, X}^{\Delta}$ is sequentially isometric. Note that $\text{Im}(\pi_{X_0, X}^{\Delta}) = \{f \circ \pi_{X_0, X} : f \in (X/X_0)^{\Delta}\} = \{g \in X^{\Delta} : g(X_0) = \{0\}\} = X_0^{\perp}$. Hence corestriction of $\pi_{X_0, X}^{\Delta}|_{X_0^{\perp}} : (X/X_0)^{\Delta} \rightarrow X_0^{\perp}$ is a sequentially isometric isomorphism. Again from theorem 2.6.8 operator $i_{X_0, X}^{\Delta}$ is sequentially coisometric. Note that $\text{Ker}(i_{X_0, X}^{\Delta}) = \{f \in X^{\Delta} : f \circ i = 0\} = \{f \in X^{\Delta} : f(X_0) = \{0\}\} = X_0^{\perp}$. Hence by proposition 2.8.3 operator $\widehat{i_{X_0, X}^{\Delta}} : X^{\Delta}/X_0^{\perp} \rightarrow X_0^{\Delta}$ is a sequentially isometric isomorphism. ▷

Proposition 2.8.5 *Let X be a Banach operator sequence space and W be weak* closed subspace of X^* , then there exist sequentially isometric isomorphisms*

$$(X/W_{\perp})^{\Delta} = W \quad X^{\Delta}/W = W_{\perp}^{\Delta}$$

which are weak*-weak* homeomorphisms.

◁ Since W is weak* closed, then by theorem 4.7 [5] we have $(W_{\perp})^{\perp} = W$. Now applying proposition 2.8.4 to X and W_{\perp} we get the desired sequential isometric isomorphisms, they are $\pi_{W_{\perp}, X}^{\Delta}|^W$ and $\widehat{i_{W_{\perp}, X}^{\Delta}}$. As dual operators $\pi_{W_{\perp}, X}^{\Delta}$ and $i_{W_{\perp}, X}^{\Delta}$ are weak*-weak* continuous. Clearly, $\pi_{W_{\perp}, X}^{\Delta}|^W$ is weak*-weak* continuous as corestriction of such operator to the weak* closed subspace W . By lemma A.2.4 [8] operator $\widehat{i_{W_{\perp}, X}^{\Delta}}$ is also weak*-weak* continuous. Thus $\pi_{W_{\perp}, X}^{\Delta}|^W$ and $\widehat{i_{W_{\perp}, X}^{\Delta}}$ are weak*-weak* continuous isometries, so by lemma A.2.5 [8] they are weak*-weak* homeomorphisms. ▷

2.9 Direct sums of operator sequence spaces

Definition 2.9.1 ([6], 1.1.28) *Let $\{X_{\lambda} : \lambda \in \Lambda\}$ be a family of operator sequence spaces. By definition their \bigoplus_{∞} -sum is a operator sequence space structure on $\bigoplus_{\infty}\{X_{\lambda}^{\hat{1}} : \lambda \in \Lambda\}$, defined by identification*

$$\left(\bigoplus_{\infty}\{X_{\lambda} : \lambda \in \Lambda\}\right)^{\hat{n}} = \bigoplus_{\infty}\{X_{\lambda}^{\hat{n}} : \lambda \in \Lambda\}$$

Also, for a given $x \in (\bigoplus_{\infty}\{X_{\lambda} : \lambda \in \Lambda\})^{\hat{n}}$ by x_{λ} we denote element of $X_{\lambda}^{\hat{n}}$ such that $(x_{\lambda})_i = (x)_i_{\lambda}$ for all $i \in \mathbb{N}_n$.

Proposition 2.9.2 *Let $\{X_\lambda : \lambda \in \Lambda\}$ and $\{Z_\lambda : \lambda \in \Lambda\}$ be two families of operator sequence spaces and Y be a operator sequence space. Let $\mathcal{D}_\lambda : Y \times Z_\lambda \rightarrow X_\lambda$ where $\lambda \in \Lambda$ is a family of vector dualities, then define vector duality*

$$\mathcal{D} : Y \times \bigoplus_\infty \{Z_\lambda : \lambda \in \Lambda\} \rightarrow \bigoplus_\infty \{X_\lambda : \lambda \in \Lambda\} : (y, z) \mapsto \bigoplus_\infty \{\mathcal{D}_\lambda(y, z_\lambda) : \lambda \in \Lambda\}$$

Assume $\mathcal{D}_\lambda^{Z_\lambda}$ is sequentially isometric for each $\lambda \in \Lambda$, then so does $\mathcal{D}^{\bigoplus_\infty \{Z_\lambda : \lambda \in \Lambda\}}$. If additionally $\mathcal{D}_\lambda^{Z_\lambda}$ is surjective for each $\lambda \in \Lambda$, then $\mathcal{D}^{\bigoplus_\infty \{Z_\lambda : \lambda \in \Lambda\}}$ is a sequential isometric isomorphism.

◁ Denote $Z = \bigoplus_\infty \{Z_\lambda : \lambda \in \Lambda\}$. Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $z \in Z^{\hat{n}}$. Since $\mathcal{D}_\lambda^{Z_\lambda}$ is sequentially isometric, then

$$\|z_\lambda\|_{\hat{n}} = \|(\mathcal{D}_\lambda^{Z_\lambda})^{\hat{n}}(z_\lambda)\|_{\hat{n}} = \sup\{\|\mathcal{D}_\lambda^{k \times n}(y, z_\lambda)\|_{\widehat{k \times n}} : k \in \mathbb{N}, y \in B_{Y^{\hat{k}}}\}$$

Now note that,

$$\begin{aligned} \|(\mathcal{D}^Z)^{\hat{n}}(z)\|_{\hat{n}} &= \|A((\mathcal{D}^Z)^{\hat{n}}(z))\|_{sb} \\ &= \sup\{\|A((\mathcal{D}^Z)^{\hat{n}}(z))^{\hat{k}}(y)\|_{\widehat{k \times n}} : k \in \mathbb{N}, y \in B_{Y^{\hat{k}}}\} \\ &= \sup\{\|\mathcal{D}^{k \times n}(y, z)\|_{\widehat{k \times n}} : k \in \mathbb{N}, y \in B_{Y^{\hat{k}}}\} \\ &= \sup\{\|\bigoplus_\infty \{\mathcal{D}_\lambda^{k \times n}(y, z_\lambda) : \lambda \in \Lambda\}\|_{\widehat{k \times n}} : k \in \mathbb{N}, y \in B_{Y^{\hat{k}}}\} \\ &= \sup\{\|\mathcal{D}_\lambda^{k \times n}(y, z_\lambda)\|_{\widehat{k \times n}} : k \in \mathbb{N}, y \in B_{Y^{\hat{k}}}, \lambda \in \Lambda\} \\ &= \sup\{\|z_\lambda\|_{\hat{n}} : \lambda \in \Lambda\} \\ &= \|z\|_{\hat{n}} \end{aligned}$$

Hence \mathcal{D}^Z is a sequential isometry. Now consider second assumption. Define natural projections $p_\lambda : \bigoplus_\infty \{X_\lambda : \lambda \in \Lambda\} \rightarrow X_\lambda : x \mapsto x_\lambda$. Take any $\varphi \in \mathcal{SB}(Y, X)$, and define $\varphi_\lambda = p_\lambda \varphi$. For each $\lambda \in \Lambda$ we know that $\mathcal{D}_\lambda^{Z_\lambda}$ is surjective, so there is $z_\lambda \in Z_\lambda$ such that $\mathcal{D}_\lambda^{Z_\lambda}(z_\lambda) = \varphi_\lambda$. Since $\mathcal{D}_\lambda^{Z_\lambda}$ is isometric, then $\|z_\lambda\| = \|p_\lambda \varphi\| \leq \|\varphi\|$ so $\sup\{\|z_\lambda\| : \lambda \in \Lambda\} < \infty$. Then we have well defined $z \in \bigoplus_\infty \{Z_\lambda : \lambda \in \Lambda\}$. Note that for all $y \in Y$ we have

$$\mathcal{D}^Z(z)(y) = \bigoplus_\infty \{\mathcal{D}_\lambda^{Z_\lambda}(z_\lambda)(y) : \lambda \in \Lambda\} = \bigoplus_\infty \{\varphi_\lambda(y) : \lambda \in \Lambda\} = \bigoplus_\infty \{p_\lambda \varphi(y) : \lambda \in \Lambda\} = \varphi(y)$$

hence $\mathcal{D}^Z(z) = \varphi$. Since φ is arbitrary, then \mathcal{D}^Z is surjective, but it is also injective as any isometry. Hence \mathcal{D}^Z and all its amplifications are bijective, but they are all isometric, therefore \mathcal{D}^Z is a sequential isometric isomorphism. ▷

Proposition 2.9.3 *Let $\{X_\lambda : \lambda \in \Lambda\}$ be a family of operator sequence spaces, then*

(i) *there is a sequential isometric isomorphism*

$$\mathcal{SB}\left(Y, \bigoplus_\infty \{X_\lambda : \lambda \in \Lambda\}\right) = \bigoplus_\infty \{\mathcal{SB}(Y, X_\lambda) : \lambda \in \Lambda\}$$

(ii) *the operator sequence space $\bigoplus_\infty \{X_\lambda : \lambda \in \Lambda\}$ with natural projections*

$$p_\lambda : \bigoplus_\infty \{X_\lambda : \lambda \in \Lambda\} \rightarrow X_\lambda$$

is a categorical product in $SQNor_1$.

◁ (i) By proposition 2.3.13 vector dualities $\mathcal{E}_\lambda : Y \times \mathcal{SB}(Y, X_\lambda) \rightarrow X_\lambda : (y, \varphi) \mapsto \varphi(y)$ satisfy both assumptions of proposition 2.9.2, hence $\mathcal{E}^{\oplus_\infty \{\mathcal{SB}(Y, X_\lambda) : \lambda \in \Lambda\}}$ is a desired isometric isomorphism.

(ii) For all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $x \in (\bigoplus_\infty \{X_\lambda : \lambda \in \Lambda\})^{\hat{n}}$ we have

$$\|p_\lambda^{\hat{n}}(x)\|_{\hat{n}} = \|(x_{i,\lambda})_{i \in \mathbb{N}_n}\|_{\hat{n}} \leq \sup\{\|(x_{i,\lambda})_{i \in \mathbb{N}_n}\|_{\hat{n}} : \lambda \in \Lambda\} = \|x\|_{\hat{n}}$$

so p_λ is sequentially bounded, and even sequentially contractive. Now consider any family of sequentially contractive operators $\{\varphi_\lambda \in \mathcal{SB}(Y, X_\lambda) : \lambda \in \Lambda\}$. By previous paragraph for $\varphi = \mathcal{E}^{\oplus_\infty \{\mathcal{SB}(Y, X_\lambda) : \lambda \in \Lambda\}}(\bigoplus_\infty \{\varphi_\lambda : \lambda \in \Lambda\})$ we have $\|\varphi\|_{sb} = \sup\{\|\varphi_\lambda\|_{sb} : \lambda \in \Lambda\} \leq 1$. Moreover, for all $y \in Y$ we have

$$p_\lambda \varphi(y) = p_\lambda \mathcal{E}^{\oplus_\infty \{\mathcal{SB}(Y, X_\lambda) : \lambda \in \Lambda\}}(\bigoplus_\infty \{\varphi_\lambda : \lambda \in \Lambda\})(y) = p_\lambda(\bigoplus_\infty \{\varphi_\lambda(y) : \lambda \in \Lambda\}) = \varphi_\lambda(y)$$

i.e. $p_\lambda \varphi = \varphi_\lambda$. Since Y and the family $\{\varphi_\lambda : \lambda \in \Lambda\}$ are arbitrary, then $\bigoplus_\infty \{X_\lambda : \lambda \in \Lambda\}$ is indeed a product in $SQNor_1$. ▷

Definition 2.9.4 Let $\{X_\lambda : \lambda \in \Lambda\}$ be a family of operator sequence spaces. By definition their \bigoplus_1^0 -sum is a operator sequence space structure on $\bigoplus_1^0 \{X_\lambda^1 : \lambda \in \Lambda\}$, defined by embedding

$$\bigoplus_1^0 \{X_\lambda : \lambda \in \Lambda\} \hookrightarrow \left(\bigoplus_\infty \{X_\lambda^\Delta : \lambda \in \Lambda\} \right)^\Delta$$

Proposition 2.9.5 Let $\{X_\lambda : \lambda \in \Lambda\}$ and $\{Z_\lambda : \lambda \in \Lambda\}$ be two families of operator sequence spaces and Y be a operator sequence space. Let $\mathcal{D}_\lambda : X_\lambda \times Z_\lambda \rightarrow Y$ where $\lambda \in \Lambda$ is a family of vector dualities, then define vector duality

$$\mathcal{D} : \bigoplus_1^0 \{X_\lambda : \lambda \in \Lambda\} \times \bigoplus_\infty \{Z_\lambda : \lambda \in \Lambda\} \rightarrow Y : (x, z) \mapsto \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \mathcal{D}_\lambda(x_\lambda, z_\lambda)$$

Assume $\mathcal{D}_\lambda^{Z_\lambda}$ is sequentially isometric for each $\lambda \in \Lambda$, then so does $\mathcal{D}^{\oplus_\infty \{Z_\lambda : \lambda \in \Lambda\}}$. If additionally $\mathcal{D}_\lambda^{Z_\lambda}$ is surjective for each $\lambda \in \Lambda$, then $\mathcal{D}^{\oplus_\infty \{Z_\lambda : \lambda \in \Lambda\}}$ is a sequential isometric isomorphism.

◁ Denote $Z = \bigoplus_\infty \{Z_\lambda : \lambda \in \Lambda\}$ and $X = \bigoplus_1^0 \{X_\lambda : \lambda \in \Lambda\}$. Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $z \in Z^{\hat{n}}$. Since $\mathcal{D}_\lambda^{Z_\lambda}$ is sequentially isometric, then

$$\|z_\lambda\|_{\hat{n}} = \|(\mathcal{D}_\lambda^{Z_\lambda})^{\hat{n}}(z_\lambda)\|_{\hat{n}} = \sup\{\|\widehat{\mathcal{D}_\lambda^{Z_\lambda} \times n}(x_\lambda, z_\lambda)\|_{\widehat{k \times n}} : k \in \mathbb{N}, x_\lambda \in B_{X_\lambda^{\hat{k}}}\}$$

Now note that,

$$\begin{aligned} \|(\mathcal{D}^Z)^{\hat{n}}(z)\|_{\hat{n}} &= \|A((\mathcal{D}^Z)^{\hat{n}}(z))\|_{sb} \\ &= \sup\{\|A((\mathcal{D}^Z)^{\hat{n}}(z))^{\hat{k}}(x)\|_{\widehat{k \times n}} : k \in \mathbb{N}, x \in B_{X^{\hat{k}}}\} \\ &= \sup\{\|\widehat{\mathcal{D}_{Y,Y^*}^{kn \times m}}(A((\mathcal{D}^Z)^{\hat{n}}(z))^{\hat{k}}(x), f)\|_{\widehat{kn \times m}} : k \in \mathbb{N}, x \in B_{X^{\hat{k}}}, m \in \mathbb{N}, f \in B_{(Y^\Delta)^{\hat{m}}}\} \end{aligned}$$

One can check that $\mathcal{D}_{Y,Y^*}(\mathcal{D}^Z(z)(x), f) = \mathcal{D}_{\bigoplus_1^0 \{X_\lambda : \lambda \in \Lambda\}, \bigoplus_\infty \{X_\lambda : \lambda \in \Lambda\}}(x, \bigoplus_\infty \{((\mathcal{D}_\lambda^{Z_\lambda})(z_\lambda))^*(f) : \lambda \in \Lambda\})$, so applying proposition 2.5.3 we get

$$\begin{aligned} \|(\mathcal{D}^Z)^{\hat{n}}(z)\|_{\hat{n}} &= \sup\{\|\widehat{\mathcal{D}_{Y,Y^*}^{kn \times m}}(A((\mathcal{D}^Z)^{\hat{n}}(z))^{\hat{k}}(x), f)\|_{\widehat{kn \times m}} : k \in \mathbb{N}, x \in B_{X^{\hat{k}}}, m \in \mathbb{N}, f \in B_{(Y^\Delta)^{\hat{m}}}\} \\ &= \sup\{\|\widehat{\mathcal{D}_{\bigoplus_1^0 \{X_\lambda : \lambda \in \Lambda\}, \bigoplus_\infty \{X_\lambda^* : \lambda \in \Lambda\}}^{kn \times m}}(x, \bigoplus_\infty \{A(((\Delta \cdot \mathcal{D}_\lambda^{Z_\lambda})^{\hat{n}}(z_\lambda))^{\hat{m}}(f)) : \lambda \in \Lambda\})\|_{\widehat{kn \times m}} : \\ &\quad k \in \mathbb{N}, x \in B_{X^{\hat{k}}}, m \in \mathbb{N}, f \in B_{(Y^\Delta)^{\hat{m}}}\} \\ &= \sup\{\|\bigoplus_\infty \{A(((\Delta \cdot \mathcal{D}_\lambda^{Z_\lambda})^{\hat{n}}(z_\lambda))^{\hat{m}}(f)) : \lambda \in \Lambda\}\|_{\widehat{m \times n}} : m \in \mathbb{N}, f \in B_{(Y^\Delta)^{\hat{m}}}\} \\ &= \sup\{\|A(((\Delta \cdot \mathcal{D}_\lambda^{Z_\lambda})^{\hat{n}}(z_\lambda))^{\hat{m}}(f))\|_{\widehat{m \times n}} : m \in \mathbb{N}, f \in B_{(Y^\Delta)^{\hat{m}}}, \lambda \in \Lambda\} \end{aligned}$$

Apply proposition 2.5.3 once again

$$\begin{aligned}
\|(\mathcal{D}^Z)^{\hat{n}}(z)\|_{\hat{n}} &= \sup\{\|A((\mathcal{D}_\lambda^{Z_\lambda})^{\hat{n}}(z_\lambda))^{\widehat{m}}(f)\|_{\widehat{m \times n}} : m \in \mathbb{N}, f \in B_{(Y^\Delta)^{\widehat{m}}}, \lambda \in \Lambda\} \\
&= \sup\{\|\widehat{\mathcal{D}_{X_\lambda, X_\lambda^*}^{ln \times m}}(A(((\mathcal{D}_\lambda^{Z_\lambda})^{\hat{n}}(z_\lambda))^{\hat{l}}(x_\lambda), f))\|_{\widehat{ln \times m}} : l \in \mathbb{N}, x_\lambda \in B_{X_\lambda^{\hat{l}}}, m \in \mathbb{N}, \\
&\quad f \in B_{(Y^\Delta)^{\widehat{m}}}, \lambda \in \Lambda\} \\
&= \sup\{\|A(((\mathcal{D}_\lambda^{Z_\lambda})^{\hat{n}}(z_\lambda))^{\hat{l}}(x_\lambda))\|_{\widehat{l \times n}} : l \in \mathbb{N}, x_\lambda \in B_{X_\lambda^{\hat{l}}}, \lambda \in \Lambda\} \\
&= \sup\{\|(\mathcal{D}_\lambda^{Z_\lambda})^{\hat{n}}(z_\lambda)\|_{\hat{n}} : \lambda \in \Lambda\} \\
&= \sup\{\|z_\lambda\|_{\hat{n}} : \lambda \in \Lambda\} \\
&= \|z\|_{\hat{n}}
\end{aligned}$$

Hence \mathcal{D}^Z is a sequential isometry. Now consider second assumption. Define natural injections $i_\lambda : X_\lambda \rightarrow \bigoplus_1^0 \{X_\lambda : \lambda \in \Lambda\} : x_\lambda \mapsto (\dots, 0, x_\lambda, 0, \dots)$. Take any $\varphi \in \mathcal{SB}(\bigoplus_1^0 \{X_\lambda : \lambda \in \Lambda\})$, and define $\varphi_\lambda = \varphi i_\lambda$. For each $\lambda \in \Lambda$ we know that $\mathcal{D}_\lambda^{Z_\lambda}$ is surjective, so there is $z_\lambda \in Z_\lambda$ such that $\mathcal{D}_\lambda^{Z_\lambda}(z_\lambda) = \varphi_\lambda$. Since $\mathcal{D}_\lambda^{Z_\lambda}$ is isometric, then $\|z_\lambda\| = \|p_\lambda \varphi\| \leq \|\varphi\|$ so $\sup\{\|z_\lambda\| : \lambda \in \Lambda\} < \infty$. Then we have well defined $z \in \bigoplus_\infty \{Z_\lambda : \lambda \in \Lambda\}$. Note that for all $x \in \bigoplus_1^0 \{X_\lambda : \lambda \in \Lambda\}$ we have

$$\mathcal{D}^Z(z)(x) = \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \mathcal{D}_\lambda^{Z_\lambda}(z_\lambda)(x_\lambda) = \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \varphi_\lambda(x_\lambda) = \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \varphi i_\lambda(x_\lambda) = \varphi \left(\sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda} i_\lambda(x_\lambda) \right) = \varphi(x)$$

hence $\mathcal{D}^Z(z) = \varphi$. Since φ is arbitrary, then \mathcal{D}^Z is surjective, but it is also injective as any isometry. Hence \mathcal{D}^Z and all its amplifications are bijective, but they are all isometric, therefore \mathcal{D}^Z is a sequential isometric isomorphism. \triangleright

Proposition 2.9.6 *Let $\{X_\lambda : \lambda \in \Lambda\}$ be a family of operator sequence spaces, then*

(i) *there is a sequential isometric isomorphism*

$$\mathcal{SB} \left(\bigoplus_1^0 \{X_\lambda : \lambda \in \Lambda\}, Y \right) = \bigoplus_\infty \{\mathcal{SB}(X_\lambda, Y) : \lambda \in \Lambda\}$$

(ii) *the operator sequence space $\bigoplus_1^0 \{X_\lambda : \lambda \in \Lambda\}$ with natural injections $i_\lambda : X_\lambda \rightarrow \bigoplus_\infty \{X_\lambda : \lambda \in \Lambda\}$ is a categorical coproduct in $SQNor_1$.*

\triangleleft 1) By proposition 2.3.13 vector dualities $\mathcal{E}_\lambda : X_\lambda \times \mathcal{SB}(X_\lambda, Y) \rightarrow Y : (x_\lambda, \varphi) \mapsto \varphi(x_\lambda)$ satisfy both assumptions of proposition 2.9.2, hence $\mathcal{E} \bigoplus_\infty \{\mathcal{SB}(X_\lambda, Y) : \lambda \in \Lambda\}$ is a desired isometric isomorphism.

(ii) For all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $x \in (\bigoplus_1^0 \{X_\lambda : \lambda \in \Lambda\})^{\hat{n}}$ holds

$$\begin{aligned}
\|i_\lambda^{\hat{n}}(x)\|_{\hat{n}} &= \sup\{\|\widehat{\mathcal{D}_{\bigoplus_1^0 \{X_\lambda : \lambda \in \Lambda\}, \bigoplus_\infty \{X_\lambda^* : \lambda \in \Lambda\}}^{n \times n}}(i_\lambda^{\hat{n}}(x), f)\|_{\widehat{n \times n}} : f \in B_{(\bigoplus_\infty \{X_\lambda^\Delta : \lambda \in \Lambda\})^{\hat{n}}}\} \\
&= \sup\{\|\widehat{\mathcal{D}_{X_\lambda, X_\lambda^*}^{n \times n}}(\tilde{p}_\lambda^{\hat{n}}(f), x)\|_{\widehat{n \times n}} : f \in B_{(\bigoplus_\infty \{X_\lambda^\Delta : \lambda \in \Lambda\})^{\hat{n}}}\} \\
&= \sup\{\|\widehat{\mathcal{D}_{X_\lambda, X_\lambda^*}^{n \times n}}(f, x)\|_{\widehat{n \times n}} : f \in B_{(X_\lambda^\Delta)^{\hat{n}}}\} \\
&= \|x\|_{\hat{n}}
\end{aligned}$$

so i_λ is sequentially bounded, and even sequentially isometric. Now consider any family of sequentially contractive operators $\{\varphi_\lambda \in \mathcal{SB}(X_\lambda, Y) : \lambda \in \Lambda\}$. By previous paragraph for $\varphi =$

$\mathcal{E} \oplus_\infty \{\mathcal{SB}(X_\lambda, Y) : \lambda \in \Lambda\} (\oplus_\infty \{\varphi_\lambda : \lambda \in \Lambda\})$ we have $\|\varphi\|_{sb} = \sup\{\|\varphi_\lambda\|_{sb} : \lambda \in \Lambda\} \leq 1$. Moreover, for all $y \in Y$ we have

$$\varphi_{i_\lambda}(x_\lambda) = \mathcal{E} \oplus_\infty \{\mathcal{SB}(Y, X_\lambda) : \lambda \in \Lambda\} (\oplus_\infty \{\varphi_\lambda : \lambda \in \Lambda\})(i_\lambda(x_\lambda)) = \sum_{\lambda' \in \Lambda} \varphi_{\lambda'}(i_\lambda(x_\lambda)) = \varphi_\lambda(x_\lambda)$$

i.e. $\varphi_{i_\lambda} = \varphi_\lambda$. Since Y and the family $\{\varphi_\lambda : \lambda \in \Lambda\}$ are arbitrary, then $\bigoplus_1^0 \{X_\lambda : \lambda \in \Lambda\}$ is indeed a coproduct in $SQNor_1$. \triangleright

Proposition 2.9.7 *Let $\{X_\lambda : \lambda \in \Lambda\}$ be a family of operator sequence spaces, then there exist sequentially isometric isomorphism*

$$\left(\bigoplus_1^0 \{X_\lambda : \lambda \in \Lambda\}\right)^\Delta = \bigoplus_\infty \{X_\lambda^\Delta : \lambda \in \Lambda\}$$

\triangleleft The result follows from proposition 2.9.6 with $Y = \mathbb{C}$. \triangleright

Definition 2.9.8 *Let $\{X_\lambda : \lambda \in \Lambda\}$ be a family of operator sequence space. By definition their \bigoplus_0^0 -sum is an operator sequence space structure on $\bigoplus_0^0 \{X_\lambda^\Delta : \lambda \in \Lambda\}$, considered as subspace of operator sequence space $\bigoplus_\infty \{X_\lambda : \lambda \in \Lambda\}$.*

Proposition 2.9.9 *Let $\{X_\lambda : \lambda \in \Lambda\}$ be a family of operator sequence spaces, then the set $\{\bigoplus_\infty \{\iota_{X_\lambda}^{\hat{n}}(x_\lambda) : \lambda \in \Lambda\} : x \in B_{(\bigoplus_0^0 \{X_\lambda : \lambda \in \Lambda\})^{\hat{n}}}\}$ is weak* dense in $B_{(\bigoplus_\infty \{X_\lambda^{\Delta\Delta} : \lambda \in \Lambda\})^{\hat{n}}}$*

\triangleleft Let $\psi \in (\bigoplus_\infty \{X_\lambda^{**} : \lambda \in \Lambda\})^{\hat{m}}$ with $\|\psi\|_{\hat{m}} \leq 1$. In particular $\|\psi_{i,\lambda}\| \leq 1$ for all $i \in \mathbb{N}_m$ and $\lambda \in \Lambda$. For any $\lambda \in \Lambda$ by theorem 3.96 [9] we have that $\iota(B_{X_\lambda})$ is weak* dense in X_λ^{**} so for each $i \in \mathbb{N}_m$ we have a net $(x''_{\nu,i,\lambda} : \nu \in N_{i,\lambda}) \subset B_{X_\lambda}$ that is weak* converges to $\psi_{i,\lambda}$. For each $i \in \mathbb{N}_m$ consider poset $N_i = \prod_{\lambda \in \Lambda} N_{i,\lambda}$ with standard product order, natural projections $\pi_{i,\lambda} : N_i \rightarrow N_{i,\lambda}$ and define a subnet $x'_{\nu,i,\lambda} = x''_{\pi_{i,\lambda}(\nu),i,\lambda}$ for all $\nu \in N_i$. So we get a net $(x'_{\nu,i,\lambda} : \nu \in N_i)$ that is weak* converges to $\psi_{i,\lambda}$. The latter is equivalent to the weak* convergence of the net $(\bigoplus_\infty \{\iota_{X_\lambda}(x'_{\nu,i,\lambda}) : \lambda \in \Lambda\} : \nu \in N_i) \subset B_{\bigoplus_\infty \{X_\lambda^{**} : \lambda \in \Lambda\}}$ to ψ_i . Again, consider poset $N = \prod_{i=1}^m N_i$ with standard product order, natural projections $\pi_i : N \rightarrow N_i$ and define a subnet $x_{\nu,i,\lambda} = x'_{\pi_i(\nu),i,\lambda}$ for all $\nu \in N$. Then we get a net $(\bigoplus_\infty \{\iota_{X_\lambda}(x_{\nu,i,\lambda}) : \lambda \in \Lambda\} : \nu \in N)$ that weak* converges to ψ_i . By proposition 2.7.2 we get that the net $(\bigoplus_\infty \{\iota_{X_\lambda}(x_{\nu,\lambda}) : \lambda \in \Lambda\} : \nu \in N)$ weak* converges to ψ and thanks to the definition of the norm in \bigoplus_∞ -sum this net is in the unit ball of $(\bigoplus_\infty \{X_\lambda^{\Delta\Delta} : \lambda \in \Lambda\})^{\hat{m}}$. The last is equivalent to the desired density result. \triangleright

Proposition 2.9.10 *Let $\{X_\lambda : \lambda \in \Lambda\}$ be a family of operator sequence spaces, then there exist sequentially isometric isomorphism*

$$\left(\bigoplus_0^0 \{X_\lambda : \lambda \in \Lambda\}\right)^\Delta = \bigoplus_1 \{X_\lambda^\Delta : \lambda \in \Lambda\}$$

\triangleleft For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $f \in \left(\bigoplus_0^0 \{X_\lambda^\Delta : \lambda \in \Lambda\}\right)^{\hat{n}}$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \|(\mathcal{D}_{\bigoplus_0^0 \{X_\lambda : \lambda \in \Lambda\}, \bigoplus_1 \{X_\lambda^* : \lambda \in \Lambda\}}^{\bigoplus_1 \{X_\lambda^* : \lambda \in \Lambda\}})^{\hat{n}}(f)\|_{\hat{n}} = \\ & = \sup\{\|\mathcal{D}_{\bigoplus_0^0 \{X_\lambda : \lambda \in \Lambda\}, \bigoplus_1 \{X_\lambda^* : \lambda \in \Lambda\}}^{m \times n}(x, f)\|_{\widehat{m \times n}} : m \in \mathbb{N}, x \in B_{\bigoplus_0^0 \{X_\lambda : \lambda \in \Lambda\}}\} \end{aligned}$$

$$= \sup\{\|\mathcal{D}_{\bigoplus_1\{X_\lambda^*:\lambda\in\Lambda\},\bigoplus_\infty\{X_\lambda^{**}:\lambda\in\Lambda\}}^{m\times n}(f, \bigoplus_\infty\{\iota_{X_\lambda}(x_\lambda) : \lambda \in \Lambda\})\|_{\widehat{m\times n}} : m \in \mathbb{N}, x \in B_{\bigoplus_0\{X_\lambda:\lambda\in\Lambda\}}\}$$

Since tautologically \mathcal{D} is weak* continuous in the second variable, then from proposition 2.9.9 we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \|(\mathcal{D}_{\bigoplus_0\{X_\lambda:\lambda\in\Lambda\},\bigoplus_1\{X_\lambda^*:\lambda\in\Lambda\}})^{\widehat{n}}(f)\|_{\widehat{n}} = \\ & = \sup\{\|\mathcal{D}_{\bigoplus_1\{X_\lambda^*:\lambda\in\Lambda\},\bigoplus_\infty\{X_\lambda^{**}:\lambda\in\Lambda\}}(f, \psi)\|_{\widehat{m\times n}} : m \in \mathbb{N}, x \in B_{\bigoplus_\infty\{X_\lambda^{\Delta\Delta}:\lambda\in\Lambda\}}\} = \|f\|_{\widehat{n}} \end{aligned}$$

Therefore $\mathcal{D}_{\bigoplus_0\{X_\lambda:\lambda\in\Lambda\},\bigoplus_1\{X_\lambda^*:\lambda\in\Lambda\}}$ is a sequential isometry, but by proposition 1.1.3 it is also bijective, hence this is the desired sequential isometric isomorphism. \triangleright

Similar results holds for Banach operator sequence spaces (just replace \bigoplus_1^0 -sums and \bigoplus_0^0 -sums with \bigoplus_1 -sums and \bigoplus_0 -sums).

Next proposition extensively uses terminology and results of [10].

Proposition 2.9.11 *Let $\{X_\lambda : \lambda \in \Lambda\}$ be a family of reflexive operator sequence spaces, then $\bigoplus_\infty\{X_\lambda : \lambda \in \Lambda\}$ have unique (up to sequential isometry) Banach operator sequence space predual $\bigoplus_1\{X_\lambda^\Delta : \lambda \in \Lambda\}$*

\triangleleft For each $\lambda \in \Lambda$ the space X_λ is reflexive, so it belongs to the class (L_0) , so by theorem 1 [10] the space $\bigoplus_0\{X_\lambda : \lambda \in \Lambda\}$ is in the class (L_0) . By remark after proposition 4 [10] and propositions 2.9.7, 2.9.10 we get that $\bigoplus_0\{X_\lambda : \lambda \in \Lambda\}^{**} = \bigoplus_\infty\{X_\lambda^{**} : \lambda \in \Lambda\} = \bigoplus_\infty\{X_\lambda : \lambda \in \Lambda\}$ have as Banach space unique up to isometric isomorphism predual Banach space $(\bigoplus_0\{X_\lambda : \lambda \in \Lambda\})^* = \bigoplus_1\{X_\lambda^* : \lambda \in \Lambda\}$. Since being operator sequence space predual is a stronger property than being Banach space predual, then the only candidate for operator sequence space predual of $\bigoplus_\infty\{X_\lambda : \lambda \in \Lambda\}$ is $\bigoplus_1\{X_\lambda^\Delta : \lambda \in \Lambda\}$. By remark 2.5.4 the space X_λ is sequentially reflexive for each $\lambda \in \Lambda$ and by proposition 2.9.7 we get

$$\left(\bigoplus_1\{X_\lambda^\Delta : \lambda \in \Lambda\}\right)^\Delta = \bigoplus_\infty\{X_\lambda^{\Delta\Delta} : \lambda \in \Lambda\} = \bigoplus_\infty\{X_\lambda : \lambda \in \Lambda\}$$

\triangleright

2.10 Minimal and maximal structure of operator sequence space

Definition 2.10.1 ([6], 2.1.1) *Minimal structure of operator sequence space $\min(E)$ for a normed space E is given by identifications $\min(E)^{\widehat{n}} = \mathcal{B}(l_2^n, E)$, so for each $x \in E^n$ we have*

$$\|x\|_{\widehat{n}} = \sup \left\{ \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n \xi_i x_i \right\| : \xi \in B_{l_2^n} \right\}$$

Proposition 2.10.2 ([6], 2.1.4) *Let X be an operator sequence space, then the following are equivalent*

- (i) $X = \min(X^{\widehat{1}})$
- (ii) for every operator sequence space Y each bounded linear operator $\varphi : Y \rightarrow X$ is sequentially bounded and $\|\varphi\|_{sb} = \|\varphi\|$
- (iii) for every operator sequence space Y there is isometric isomorphism $\mathcal{SB}(Y, X)^{\widehat{1}} = \mathcal{B}(Y^{\widehat{1}}, X^{\widehat{1}})$

Proposition 2.10.3 ([6], 1.1.11, 2.1.5) *The map*

$$\begin{aligned} \min : Nor_1 &\rightarrow SQNor_1 : X \mapsto \min(X) \\ \varphi &\mapsto \varphi \end{aligned}$$

is a covariant functor from category of normed spaces into the category of operator sequence spaces

Clearly, the following definition is a generalization of example 2.2.7.

Definition 2.10.4 ([6], 2.1.7) *Maximal structure of operator sequence space $\max(E)$ for a given normed space E is given by family of norms*

$$\|x\|_{\hat{n}} = \inf \left\{ \|\alpha\|_{M_{n,k}} \left(\sum_{i=1}^k \|\tilde{x}_i\|^2 \right)^{1/2} : x = \alpha \tilde{x} \right\}$$

where $x \in E^{\hat{n}}$, $\alpha \in M_{n,k}$, $\tilde{x} \in E^k$.

Proposition 2.10.5 ([6], 2.1.9) *Let X be an operator sequence space, then the following are equivalent*

- (i) $X = \max(X^{\hat{1}})$
- (ii) *for every operator sequence space Y each bounded linear operator $\varphi : X \rightarrow Y$ is sequentially bounded and $\|\varphi\|_{sb} = \|\varphi\|$*
- (iii) *for every operator sequence space Y there is isometric isomorphism $\mathcal{SB}(X, Y)^{\hat{1}} = \mathcal{B}(X^{\hat{1}}, Y^{\hat{1}})$*

Proposition 2.10.6 ([6], 1.1.11, 2.1.10) *The map*

$$\begin{aligned} \max : Nor_1 &\rightarrow SQNor_1 : X \mapsto \max(X) \\ \varphi &\mapsto \varphi \end{aligned}$$

is a covariant functor from the category of normed spaces into the category of operator sequence spaces.

Proposition 2.10.7 *Let $\varphi : E \rightarrow F$ be bounded linear operator between normed spaces E and F , then*

- (i) *if φ is c -topologically injective, then $\min(\varphi)$ is sequentially c -topologically injective*
- (ii) *if φ is isometric, then $\min(\varphi)$ is sequentially isometric*

◁ (i) For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $x \in \min(E)^{\hat{n}}$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|\min(\varphi)^{\hat{n}}(x)\|_{\hat{n}} &= \sup \left\{ \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n \xi_i \varphi^{\hat{n}}(x)_i \right\| : \xi \in B_{l_2^n} \right\} = \sup \left\{ \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n \xi_i \varphi(x_i) \right\| : \xi \in B_{l_2^n} \right\} \\ &= \sup \left\{ \left\| \varphi \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \xi_i x_i \right) \right\| : \xi \in B_{l_2^n} \right\} \geq c^{-1} \sup \left\{ \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n \xi_i x_i \right\| : \xi \in B_{l_2^n} \right\} = c^{-1} \|x\|_{\hat{n}} \end{aligned}$$

Hence $\min(\varphi)$ is sequentially c -topologically injective.

(ii) By previous paragraph $\min(\varphi)$ is 1-topologically injective. On the other hand, by proposition 2.10.2 we have $\|\min(\varphi)\|_{sb} = \|\varphi\| = 1$. Therefore $\min(\varphi)$ is sequentially isometric. ▷

Proposition 2.10.8 *Let $\{X_\lambda : \lambda \in \Lambda\}$ be a family of minimal operator sequence spaces, then $\bigoplus_\infty \{X_\lambda : \lambda \in \Lambda\}$ is also minimal.*

◁ Let Y be arbitrary operator sequence space, then from propositions 2.9.3, 2.10.2 and 2.10.5 we have isometric identifications

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{SB}(Y, \bigoplus_\infty \{X_\lambda : \lambda \in \Lambda\})^{\hat{1}} &= \bigoplus_\infty \{\mathcal{SB}(Y, X_\lambda)^{\hat{1}} : \lambda \in \Lambda\} = \bigoplus_\infty \{\mathcal{B}(Y^{\hat{1}}, X_\lambda^{\hat{1}}) : \lambda \in \Lambda\} \\ &= \bigoplus_\infty \{\mathcal{SB}(\max(Y^{\hat{1}}), X_\lambda)^{\hat{1}} : \lambda \in \Lambda\} = \mathcal{SB}(\max(Y^{\hat{1}}), \bigoplus_\infty \{X_\lambda : \lambda \in \Lambda\})^{\hat{1}} \\ &= \mathcal{B}(Y^{\hat{1}}, (\bigoplus_\infty \{X_\lambda : \lambda \in \Lambda\})^{\hat{1}}) \end{aligned}$$

Since Y is arbitrary, from proposition 2.10.2 we conclude that $\bigoplus_\infty \{X_\lambda : \lambda \in \Lambda\}$ have minimal operator sequence space structure. ▷

Proposition 2.10.9 *Let A be a commutative C^* algebra and X be an operator sequence space, then every bounded linear operator $\varphi : X \rightarrow A$ is sequentially bounded with $\|\varphi\|_{sb} = \|\varphi\|$. As the consequence the standard operator sequence space structure of A is minimal.*

◁ As A is a commutative C^* algebra, by Gelfand-Naimark theorem 2.1.10 [4] we may assume that $A = C_0(\Omega)$. Using proposition 2.2.9 for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $x \in X^{\hat{n}}$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|\varphi^{\hat{n}}(x)\|_{\hat{n}} &= \|i_C(\varphi^{\hat{n}}(x))\| = \sup\{\|i_C(\varphi^{\hat{n}}(x))(\omega)\| : \omega \in \Omega\} = \sup\{\langle i_C(\varphi^{\hat{n}}(x))(\omega), \xi \rangle : \omega \in \Omega, \xi \in B_{\mathbb{C}^n}\} \\ &= \sup\left\{\left|\sum_{i=1}^n \varphi(x_i)(\omega) \bar{\xi}_i\right| : \omega \in \Omega, \xi \in B_{\mathbb{C}^n}\right\} = \sup\left\{\left|\varphi\left(\sum_{i=1}^n \bar{\xi}_i x_i\right)(\omega)\right| : \omega \in \Omega, \xi \in B_{\mathbb{C}^n}\right\} \\ &= \sup\left\{\left\|\varphi\left(\sum_{i=1}^n \bar{\xi}_i x_i\right)\right\| : \xi \in B_{\mathbb{C}^n}\right\} \leq \|\varphi\| \sup\left\{\left\|\sum_{i=1}^n \bar{\xi}_i x_i\right\|_{\hat{n}} : \xi \in B_{\mathbb{C}^n}\right\} \\ &\leq \|\varphi\| \|x\|_{\hat{n}} \sup\{\|\text{diag}_n(\bar{\xi}_1, \dots, \bar{\xi}_n)\| : \xi \in B_{\mathbb{C}^n}\} = \|\varphi\| \|x\|_{\hat{n}} \sup\left\{\max_{i \in \mathbb{N}_n} |\bar{\xi}_i| : \xi \in B_{\mathbb{C}^n}\right\} \leq \|\varphi\| \|x\|_{\hat{n}} \end{aligned}$$

Therefore $\|\varphi\|_{sb} \leq \|\varphi\|$. Since we always have $\|\varphi\| \leq \|\varphi\|_{sb}$, then we get the desired equality. As operator sequence space X is arbitrary, from proposition 2.10.2 we see that A have minimal operator sequence space structure. ▷

Proposition 2.10.10 *Let X be an operator sequence space, then X is minimal if and only if there exist sequential isometry from X into $C(\Omega)$ for some compact topological space Ω .*

◁ Assume X have minimal structure. Consider natural isometry $i : X \rightarrow C(B_{X^*})$ (see A1 [7]). By proposition 2.10.7 we know that $\min(i) : \min(X^{\hat{1}}) \rightarrow \min(C(B_{X^*})^{\hat{1}})$ is sequentially isometric. By proposition 2.10.9 we have $\min(C(B_{X^*})^{\hat{1}}) = C(B_{X^*})$ and by assumption $\min(X^{\hat{1}}) = X$, so we get the desired sequential isometry $\min(i) : X \rightarrow C(B_{X^*})$.

Conversely, assume we are given sequential isometry $i : X \rightarrow C(\Omega)$. Since $i^{\hat{1}} : X^{\hat{1}} \rightarrow C(\Omega)^{\hat{1}}$ is an isometry, by proposition 2.10.7 we have sequential isometry $\min(i) : \min(X^{\hat{1}}) \rightarrow \min(C(\Omega)^{\hat{1}})$. By proposition 2.10.9 we have $\min(C(\Omega)^{\hat{1}}) = C(\Omega)$, so we have one more sequential isometry $\min(i) : \min(X^{\hat{1}}) \rightarrow C(\Omega)$. Since $i = \min(i)$ as linear maps we conclude that $X = \min(X^{\hat{1}})$ ▷

Proposition 2.10.11 *Let $\varphi : E \rightarrow F$ be bounded linear operator between normed spaces E and F , then*

- (i) *if φ is c -topologically surjective, then $\max(\varphi)$ is sequentially c -topologically surjective*
- (ii) *if φ is coisometric, then $\max(\varphi)$ is sequentially coisometric*

◁ (i) By lemma A.2.1 [3] we know that $\widehat{\varphi} : E/\text{Ker}(\varphi) \rightarrow F$ is c^{-1} -topologically injective isomorphism of normed spaces. Then it have right inverse bounded operator $\psi : F \rightarrow E/\text{Ker}(\varphi)$ with $\|\psi\| \leq c$. By proposition 2.10.5 we have sequentially bounded operator $\psi' : \max(F) \rightarrow \max(E)/\text{Ker}(\varphi) : x \mapsto \psi(x)$ with $\|\psi'\|_{sb} = \|\psi\| \leq c$. From proposition 2.8.3 we have factorization $\max(\varphi) = \widehat{\max(\varphi)}\pi_{\text{Ker}(\varphi),E}$, where $\widehat{\max(\varphi)} : E/\text{Ker}(\varphi) \rightarrow F$ is sequentially bounded operator. Clearly $\widehat{\max(\varphi)} = \widehat{\varphi}$ and $\psi = \psi'$ as linear maps, hence $\widehat{\max(\varphi)}$ and ψ' are sequentially bounded linear operators which are inverse to each other. Now, for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $y \in \max(F)^{\widehat{n}}$ consider $x = (\psi')^{\widehat{n}}(y)$, then $(\widehat{\max(\varphi)})^{\widehat{n}}(x) = y$ and $\|x\|_{\widehat{n}} = \|(\psi')^{\widehat{n}}(y)\|_{\widehat{n}} \leq \|(\psi')^{\widehat{n}}\| \|y\|_{\widehat{n}} \leq \|\psi'\|_{sb} \|y\|_{\widehat{n}} \leq c \|y\|_{\widehat{n}}$. Since $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $y \in \max(F)^{\widehat{n}}$ are arbitrary, then $\max(\varphi)$ is sequentially c -topologically surjective. Since $\pi_{\text{Ker}(\varphi),E}$ is sequentially 1-topologically surjective, then by proposition 2.3.4 $\max(\varphi) = \widehat{\max(\varphi)}\pi_{\text{Ker}(\varphi),E}$ is c -topologically surjective.

(ii) By previous paragraph $\max(\varphi)$ is 1-topologically surjective. On the other hand, by proposition 2.10.5 we have $\|\max(\varphi)\|_{sb} = \|\varphi\| = 1$. Therefore $\max(\varphi)$ is sequentially coisometric. ▷

Proposition 2.10.12 *Let $\{X_\lambda : \lambda \in \Lambda\}$ be a family of maximal operator sequence spaces, then $\bigoplus_1 \{X_\lambda : \lambda \in \Lambda\}$ is also maximal.*

◁ Let Y be arbitrary operator sequence space, then from propositions 2.9.6, 2.10.2 and 2.10.5 we have isometric identifications

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{SB}\left(\bigoplus_1^0 \{X_\lambda : \lambda \in \Lambda\}, Y\right)^{\widehat{1}} &= \bigoplus_\infty \{\mathcal{SB}(X_\lambda, Y)^{\widehat{1}} : \lambda \in \Lambda\} = \bigoplus_\infty \{\mathcal{B}(X_\lambda^{\widehat{1}}, Y^{\widehat{1}}) : \lambda \in \Lambda\} \\ &= \bigoplus_\infty \{\mathcal{SB}(X_\lambda, \min(Y^{\widehat{1}}))^{\widehat{1}} : \lambda \in \Lambda\} = \mathcal{SB}\left(\bigoplus_1^0 \{X_\lambda : \lambda \in \Lambda\}, \min(Y^{\widehat{1}})\right)^{\widehat{1}} \\ &= \mathcal{B}\left(\left(\bigoplus_1^0 \{X_\lambda : \lambda \in \Lambda\}\right)^{\widehat{1}}, Y^{\widehat{1}}\right) \end{aligned}$$

Since Y is arbitrary, from proposition 2.10.5 we conclude that $\bigoplus_1^0 \{X_\lambda : \lambda \in \Lambda\}$ have maximal operator sequence space structure. ▷

Proposition 2.10.13 *Let Λ be an arbitrary, set, then $l_1^0(\Lambda) := \bigoplus_1 \{\mathbb{C} : \lambda \in \Lambda\}$ have maximal operator sequence structure.*

◁ By proposition 2.2.3 operator sequence space structure of \mathbb{C} is unique and in particular maximal. Now result follows from proposition 2.10.12. ▷

Proposition 2.10.14 *Let X be an operator sequence space, then X is maximal if and only if there exist sequential coisometry from $l_1(\Lambda)$ onto X for some set Λ .*

◁ Assume X have maximal structure. Consider natural coisometry $\pi : l_1(B_X) \rightarrow X$ (see A1 [7]). By proposition 2.10.11 we know that $\max(\pi) : \max(l_1(B_X)^{\hat{1}}) \rightarrow \max(X^{\hat{1}})$ is sequentially coisometric. By proposition 2.10.13 we have $\max(l_1(B_X)^{\hat{1}}) = l_1(B_X)$ and by assumption $\max(X^{\hat{1}}) = X$, so we get the desired sequential coisometry $\max(\pi) : l_1(B_X) \rightarrow X$.

Conversely, assume we are given sequential coisometry $\pi : l_1(\Lambda) \rightarrow X$, then by proposition 2.8.3 we have that X and $l_1(\Lambda)/\text{Ker}(\pi)$ are sequentially isometrically isomorphic via $\widehat{\pi}$. Since $\pi^{\hat{1}} : l_1(\Lambda)^{\hat{1}} \rightarrow X^{\hat{1}}$ is coisometric too, by proposition 2.10.11 we have sequential coisometry $\max(\pi) : \max(l_1(\Lambda)^{\hat{1}}) \rightarrow \max(X^{\hat{1}})$. From proposition 2.10.13 it is known that $\max(l_1(\Lambda)^{\hat{1}}) = l_1(\Lambda)$, so we have one more sequential coisometry $\max(\pi) : l_1(\Lambda) \rightarrow \max(X^{\hat{1}})$. Again by proposition 2.8.3 we see that $\max(X^{\hat{1}})$ and $l_1(\Lambda)/\text{Ker}(\pi)$ are sequentially isometrically isomorphic via $\widehat{\max(\pi)}$. Therefore $X = l_1(\Lambda)/\text{Ker}(\pi) = \max(X^{\hat{1}})$. ▷

Proposition 2.10.15 ([6], 2.1.11) *Let E be a normed space, then identity operator gives sequential isometric isomorphisms*

$$\max(E^*) = \min(E)^{\Delta}, \quad \min(E^*) = \max(E)^{\Delta}$$

Similar results holds in categories $SQNor$, $SQBan$ and $SQBan_1$.

2.11 Tensor products of operator sequence spaces

It is natural to expect some kind of tensor product linearizing sequentially bounded bilinear operators.

Definition 2.11.1 ([6], 3.1.1) *Let X and Y be operator sequence spaces, then their maximal tensor product is a operator sequence space $X \otimes_{\text{Max}} Y$ with the family of norms $(\|\cdot\|_{(X \otimes_{\text{Max}} Y)^{\hat{n}}})_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ given by equalities*

$$\|u\|_{(X \otimes_{\text{Max}} Y)^{\hat{n}}} = \inf \left\{ \|[\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k]\|_{M_{n,kl^2}} \left(\sum_{i=1}^k \|x_i\|_{X^{\hat{l}}}^2 \|y_i\|_{Y^{\hat{l}}}^2 \right)^{1/2} : u = \sum_{i=1}^k \alpha_i(x_i \otimes y_i) \right\}$$

where $u \in (X \otimes_{\text{Max}} Y)^{\hat{n}}$, $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k \in M_{n,kl^2}$ and $x \in X^{\hat{l}}$, $y \in Y^{\hat{l}}$. Using standard completion procedure for operator sequence spaces, we define completed version of this tensor product, which we will denote $X \otimes^{\text{Max}} Y$.

In [[6] 3.1.2] it is proved that, the norm defined above is the maximal cross norm making $X \otimes Y$ a operator sequence space. This tensor product is called *maximal* and denoted by $X \otimes_{\text{Max}} Y$. Maximal tensor product have universal property with respect to the class of sequentially bounded bilinear operators.

Proposition 2.11.2 ([6], 3.1.3, 3.1.4) *Let X , Y and Z be operator sequence spaces, then there exist sequential isometric isomorphisms*

$$\mathcal{SB}(X \otimes_{\text{Max}} Y, Z) = \mathcal{SB}(X \otimes^{\text{Max}} Y, Z) = \mathcal{SB}(X \times Y, Z) = \mathcal{SB}(X, \mathcal{SB}(Y, Z)) = \mathcal{SB}(Y, \mathcal{SB}(X, Z))$$

natural in X , Y and Z .

Corollary 2.11.3 *Let X , Y be operator sequence spaces, then there exist sequential isometric isomorphisms*

$$\mathcal{SB}(X^{\Delta}, Y) = \mathcal{SB}(X, Y^{\Delta}) = (X \otimes_{\text{Max}} Y)^{\Delta}$$

natural in X and Y .

3 Rigged categories

3.1 Projectivity and injectivity. Freedom and cofreedom

Now we will quote some definitions and results from [11]. Let \mathcal{K} be an arbitrary category.

Definition 3.1.1 ([11], 2.1) *A pair $(\mathcal{K}, \square : \mathcal{K} \rightarrow \mathcal{L})$, where \square is a faithful covariant functor, is called a rigged category. A dual rigged category of (\mathcal{K}, \square) is a rigged category $(\mathcal{K}^o, \square^o : \mathcal{K}^o \rightarrow \mathcal{L}^o)$.*

Definition 3.1.2 ([11], 2.1) *A morphism τ in \mathcal{K} is called \square -admissible epimorphism (monomorphism) if $\square(\tau)$ is a retraction (coretraction) in \mathcal{L} .*

Definition 3.1.3 ([11], 2.2) *An object $P \in \mathcal{K}$ ($I \in \mathcal{K}$) is called \square -projective (\square -injective) with respect to a rigged category (\mathcal{K}, \square) , if for every $X, Y \in \mathcal{K}$ and every \square -admissible epimorphism $\tau : Y \rightarrow X$ (monomorphism $\tau : X \rightarrow Y$) the map $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{K}}(P, \tau)$ ($\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{K}}(\tau, I)$) is surjective.*

Definition 3.1.4 ([11], 2.10) *An object $F \in \mathcal{K}$ is called \square -free (\square -cofree) with base $M \in \mathcal{L}$, if there exist a morphism $j : M \rightarrow \square(F)$ ($j : \square(F) \rightarrow M$), such that for each $X \in \mathcal{K}$ and each morphism $\varphi : M \rightarrow \square(X)$ ($\varphi : \square(X) \rightarrow M$) there exist a unique $\psi : F \rightarrow X$ ($\psi : X \rightarrow F$), making the following diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \square(F) & & \square(F) \\ \uparrow j & \searrow \square(\psi) & \nwarrow \square(\psi) \\ M & \xrightarrow{\varphi} \square(X) & M \xleftarrow{\varphi} \square(X) \end{array}$$

commutative. The morphism j is called universal arrow.

Definition 3.1.5 *A rigged category (\mathcal{K}, \square) is called freedom-loving (cofreedom-loving), if every object in \mathcal{L} is a base of some \square -free (\square -cofree) object in \mathcal{K} .*

The following results will be extremely useful in near future.

Proposition 3.1.6 *Let $M \in \mathcal{L}$ be a base of \square -free (\square -cofree) objects F_1, F_2 in the rigged category (\mathcal{K}, \square) , then F_1 and F_2 are isomorphic.*

◁ Consider category \mathcal{K}_M , whose objects are pairs of the form $(X, \varphi : M \rightarrow \square X)$, and morphisms from (X_1, φ_1) to (X_2, φ_2) are morphisms ψ in \mathcal{K} , such that $\varphi_2 = \square(\psi)\varphi_1$. Composition of morphisms in \mathcal{K}_M is the same as in \mathcal{K} . Clearly, every object F is \square -free in \mathcal{K} with base M and universal arrow j if and only if (F, j) is the terminal object in \mathcal{K}_M . Recall that every terminal object in any category is unique up to isomorphism. It remains to note that every isomorphism in \mathcal{K}_M is an isomorphism in \mathcal{K} . ▷

Proposition 3.1.7 *Let $\square_{12} : \mathcal{K}_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{K}_2$, $\square_{23} : \mathcal{K}_2 \rightarrow \mathcal{K}_3$ be faithful functors. Denote $\square_{13} = \square_{23}\square_{12}$. Let F_1 be \square_{12} -free (\square_{12} -cofree) object with base F_2 and universal arrow j_{12} in the rigged category $(\mathcal{K}_1, \square_{12})$. Let F_2 be \square_{23} -free (\square_{23} -cofree) object with base F_3 and universal arrow j_{23} in the rigged category $(\mathcal{K}_2, \square_{23})$. Then F_1 is a \square_{13} -free (\square_{13} -cofree) object with base F_3 and universal arrow $\square_{23}(j_{23})j_{12}$ in the rigged category $(\mathcal{K}_1, \square_{13})$.*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & \mathcal{K}_2 & \\ \square_{12} \nearrow & & \searrow \square_{23} \\ \mathcal{K}_1 & \xrightarrow{\square_{13}} & \mathcal{K}_3 \end{array}$$

As the consequence, if rigged categories $(\mathcal{K}_1, \square_{12})$, $(\mathcal{K}_1, \square_{12})$ are freedom-loving (cofreedom-loving), then so does $(\mathcal{K}_1, \square_{13})$. For cofree objects the proof is the same.

◁ Consider arbitrary object $X \in \mathcal{K}_1$ and a morphism $\varphi : F_3 \rightarrow \square_{13}(X)$. Since F_2 is a \square_{23} -free object, then there exist unique $\psi : F_2 \rightarrow \square_{12}(X)$, such that $\varphi = \square_{23}(\psi)j_{23}$. Since F_1 is \square_{12} -free, then there exist the unique $\chi : F_1 \rightarrow X$, such that $\psi = \square_{12}(\chi)j_{12}$.

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \square_{23}(\square_{12}(F_1)) & \xrightarrow{\square_{23}(\square_{12}(\chi))} & \square_{23}(\square_{12}(X)) \\
 \square_{23}(j_{12}) \uparrow & \nearrow \square_{23}(\psi) & \uparrow \\
 \square_{23}(F_2) & \xrightarrow{\varphi} & \\
 j_{23} \uparrow & & \\
 F_3 & &
 \end{array}$$

Therefore $\varphi = \square_{23}(\psi)j_{23} = \square_{23}(\square_{12}(\chi))\square_{23}(j_{23})j_{12} = \square_{13}(\chi)j_{13}$, where $j_{13} = \square_{23}(j_{23})j_{12}$ is a universal arrow. Since X and φ are arbitrary, then F_1 is a \square_{13} -free object with base F_3 . For cofree objects the proof is the same. ▷

Proposition 3.1.8 ([11], 2.3) *Let (\mathcal{K}, \square) be a rigged category, and $P \in \mathcal{K}$ ($I \in \mathcal{K}$) be \square -projective (\square -injective) object, then*

- (i) *if $\sigma : P \rightarrow Q$ ($\sigma : I \rightarrow J$) is a retraction, then Q is \square -projective (J \square -injective)*
- (ii) *if $\sigma : X \rightarrow P$ ($\sigma : X \rightarrow I$) is \square -admissible epimorphism (monomorphism), then σ is a retraction (coretraction)*

Proposition 3.1.9 ([11], 2.11) *Let (\mathcal{K}, \square) be a rigged category, then*

- (i) *if $F \in \mathcal{K}$ is a \square -free (\square -cofree), then it is \square -projective (\square -injective)*
- (ii) *if X such object in \mathcal{K} , that $\square(X)$ is a base of \square -free (\square -cofree) object F , then there exist \square -admissible epimorphism (monomorphism) from F to X (from X to F).*
- (iii) *if \mathcal{K} is freedom-loving (cofreedom-loving), then $P \in \mathcal{K}$ ($I \in \mathcal{K}$) is \square -projective (\square -injective) if and only if it is a retract of \square -free (\square -cofree) object.*

Proposition 3.1.10 ([11], 2.13) *Let (\mathcal{K}, \square) be a rigged category, and Λ be any set. Assume for each $\lambda \in \Lambda$ an object $F_\lambda \in \mathcal{K}$ is \square -free (\square -cofree) with base $M_\lambda \in \mathcal{L}$. Assume that $\{F_\lambda : \lambda \in \Lambda\}$ admits coproduct (product) F , and the family $\{M_\lambda : \lambda \in \Lambda\}$ admits coproduct (product) M . Then the object F is \square -free (\square -cofree) with base M .*

Proposition 3.1.11 ([11], 4.5) *Let $(\mathcal{K}_1, \square_1 : \mathcal{K}_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_1)$ and $(\mathcal{K}_2, \square_2 : \mathcal{K}_2 \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_2)$ are rigged categories, and we are given covariant functors $\Phi : \mathcal{K}_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{K}_2$ and $\Psi : \mathcal{L}_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_2$ such that the diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \mathcal{K}_1 & \xrightarrow{\square_1} & \mathcal{L}_1 \\
 \Phi \downarrow & & \downarrow \Psi \\
 \mathcal{K}_2 & \xrightarrow{\square_2} & \mathcal{L}_2
 \end{array}$$

is commutative. Assume that Φ and Ψ has left (right) adjoint functors Φ^ and Ψ^* (${}^*\Phi$ and ${}^*\Psi$) respectively, and $F \in \mathcal{K}_2$ is a \square_2 -free (\square_2 -cofree) object with base $M \in \mathcal{L}_2$. Then $\Phi^*(F) \in \mathcal{K}_1$ (${}^*\Phi(F) \in \mathcal{K}_1$) is a \square_1 -free (\square_1 -cofree) object with base $\Psi^*(M) \in \mathcal{L}_1$ (${}^*\Psi(M) \in \mathcal{L}_1$).*

3.2 Normed semilinear spaces

In what follows we will need the following construction.

Definition 3.2.1 A semilinear space V over field K is an ordered triple (V, K, \cdot) , where V is a nonempty set, whose elements are called vectors, K is a field, whose elements are called scalars, $\cdot : K \times V \rightarrow V$ is a map satisfying the following axioms

(i)

(ii) for all $x \in V$, $\alpha, \beta \in K$ holds $\alpha \cdot (\beta \cdot x) = (\alpha\beta) \cdot x$

(iii) for all $x \in V$ holds $1_K \cdot x = x$

(iv) there exist a vector $0 \in V$, such that $0_K \cdot x = 0$ for all $x \in V$.

The vector $0 \in V$ is called a zero vector.

Clearly, zero vector is unique and $\alpha \cdot 0 = 0$ for all $\alpha \in K$.

Example 3.2.2 Consider wedge sum $\bigvee \{K : \lambda \in \Lambda\}$ of copies of the field K , which intersects by zero vector, for some set Λ . Multiplication in wedge sum is inherited from the field. Obviously, this is a semilinear space over field K , which we will denote K^Λ . By K^\varnothing we will understand semilinear space, consisting of single zero vector.

Definition 3.2.3 A map $\varphi : V \rightarrow W$ between semilinear spaces V and W is called semilinear operator, if $\varphi(\alpha \cdot x) = \alpha \cdot \varphi(x)$ for all $\alpha \in K$ and $x \in V$.

Consider category Lin_0^K , whose objects are semilinear spaces over field K , and morphisms — semilinear operator. We can easily get complete characterization of objects of this category.

Proposition 3.2.4 Every semilinear space is isomorphic in Lin_0^K to K^Λ for some set Λ .

◁ We say that two vectors $x, y \in V$ are equivalent if $x = \alpha y$ for some $\alpha \in K \setminus \{0\}$. This relation \sim is an equivalence relation. Let $\{x_\lambda : \lambda \in \Lambda\}$ be a set of representatives of each equivalence class except equivalence class of zero vector. Then the semilinear operator $\varphi : K^\Lambda \rightarrow V : z_\lambda \mapsto z_\lambda x_\lambda$ is an isomorphism in Lin_0^K ▷

Definition 3.2.5 A semilinear normed space over normed field K is a pair $(E, \|\cdot\|)$, where E is a semilinear space over field K and $\|\cdot\| : E \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ is a map, which we will call a norm, satisfying the following relations:

(i) if $x \in E$ and $\|x\| = 0$, then $x = 0$;

(ii) for all $x \in E$ and $\alpha \in K$ holds $\|\alpha \cdot x\| = |\alpha| \|x\|$.

Example 3.2.6 For a given normed field K we define a norm on K^Λ , by equality $\|z_\lambda\| := |z_\lambda|_K$ for each $z_\lambda \in K^\Lambda$.

Definition 3.2.7 A semilinear operator $\varphi : E \rightarrow F$ between semilinear normed spaces E and F is called bounded, if $\|\varphi(x)\| \leq C \|x\|$ for some constant $C \in \mathbb{R}_+$. Infima of all such constants we will call a norm of φ and will denote it by $\|\varphi\|$.

Now consider category Nor_0^K , whose objects are semilinear normed spaces, and morphisms — bounded semilinear operators. It is not hard to classify objects of this category.

Proposition 3.2.8 *Every semilinear normed space in Nor_0^K is isomorphic to K^Λ for some set Λ .*

◁ Using proposition 3.2.4 consider equivalence relation \sim and a set $\{x_\lambda : \lambda \in \Lambda\}$ of representatives of equivalence classes, except equivalence class of zero vector. Fix some $\alpha \in K$ such that $0 < |\alpha| < 1$. For each $\lambda \in \Lambda$ there exist $m_\lambda \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $|\alpha|^{-m_\lambda} \leq \|x_\lambda\| < |\alpha|^{-m_\lambda+1}$. Define $y_\lambda = \alpha^{m_\lambda} x_\lambda$, then $1 \leq \|y_\lambda\| < |\alpha|$. Now it is easy to see that the semilinear operator $\varphi : K^\Lambda \rightarrow E : z_\lambda \mapsto z_\lambda y_\lambda$ is an isomorphism in Nor_0^K ▷

In what follows by Nor_0 we will denote the category $Nor_0^\mathbb{C}$.

3.3 Examples of rigged categories

Consider several examples. For simplicity we will deal only with normed spaces. One can easily extend these constructions to the case of normed modules.

Example 3.3.1 (Metric freedom, [11]) *Let $\mathcal{K} = Nor_1$, $\mathcal{L} = Set$. The functor \square sends a normed space to its closed unit ball, and morphism is mapped to its birestriction to unit balls in domain and range space. In this case \square -admissible epimorphisms are strict coisometries., \square -free object with one point base is \mathbb{C} . Hence from proposition 3.1.10 immediately follows, that \square -free object with base Λ is $l_1^0(\Lambda)$.*

Example 3.3.2 (Topological freedom) *Let $\mathcal{K} = Nor$, $\mathcal{L} = Nor_0$. The functor \square sends a normed space to its underlying semilinear normed space with the same norm, and a morphism remains the same. In this case \square -admissible epimorphisms are topologically surjective operators, \square -free object with base \mathbb{C}^Λ is $l_1^0(\Lambda)$.*

Example 3.3.3 (Metric cofreedom, [11]) *Let $\mathcal{K} = Nor_1$, $\mathcal{L} = Set^0$. The functor \square send a normed space X into the unit ball of X^* , a morphism is mapped to birestriction of dual morphism to unit balls of domain and range spaces. In this case \square -admissible epimorphisms are isometries, \square -cofree object with base Λ easily constructed from example 3.3.1 and proposition 3.1.10 — this is the space $l_\infty(\Lambda)$.*

Example 3.3.4 (Topological cofreedom, [12]) *Let $\mathcal{K} = Nor$, $\mathcal{L} = Nor_0^0$. The functor \square send a normed space to underlying semilinear normed space of its dual, a morphism is mapped to the its adjoint. In this case \square -admissible epimorphisms are topologically injective operators, \square -cofree objects with base \mathbb{C}^Λ easily constructed from example 3.3.2 and proposition 3.1.10 — this is the space $l_\infty(\Lambda)$.*

All these examples have their obvious Banach analogues, given by completion of free and cofree objects mentioned above. Moreover these examples have their quantum versions: the role of free object with one point base instead of \mathbb{C} plays the operator space $\mathcal{N}_\infty := \bigoplus_1^0 \{\mathcal{N}(\mathbb{C}^n) : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ ([11], 5.9, see also [12]). Our immediate goal is to show the same role for operator sequence spaces is played by $t_2^\infty := \bigoplus_1^0 \{t_2^n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$.

4 Free operator sequence spaces

4.1 Metric freedom

We begin with metric version of freedom for operator sequence spaces. Consider functor

$$\begin{aligned}\square_{sqMet} : SQNor_1 &\rightarrow Set : X \mapsto \prod \{B_{X^{\hat{n}}} : n \in \mathbb{N}\} \\ \varphi &\mapsto \prod \{\varphi^{\hat{n}}|_{B_{X^{\hat{n}}}}^{B_{Y^{\hat{n}}}} : n \in \mathbb{N}\}\end{aligned}$$

sending a operator sequence space to the cartesian product of unit balls of its amplifications.

Proposition 4.1.1 \square_{sqMet} -admissible epimorphisms are exactly sequentially strictly coisometric operators.

◁ A morphism φ is \square_{sqMet} -admissible epimorphism if $\square_{sqMet}(\varphi)$ is invertible from the right as morphism in Set . This is equivalent to surjectivity of $\square_{sqMet}(\varphi)$, which is equivalent to surjectivity of $\varphi^{\hat{n}}|_{B_{X^{\hat{n}}}}^{B_{Y^{\hat{n}}}}$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. The latter means that $\varphi^{\hat{n}}$ strictly coisometric for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$. So $\varphi^{\hat{n}}$ sequentially strictly coisometric. ▷

By I_n we denote the element of $(t_2^n)^{\hat{n}} = \mathcal{B}(l_2^n, l_2^n)$, corresponding to the identity operator.

Proposition 4.1.2 Let X be a operator sequence space and $x \in B_{X^{\hat{n}}}$. Then there exist unique sequentially contractive operator $\psi_n \in \mathcal{SB}(t_2^n, X)$, such that $\psi_n^{\hat{n}}(I_n) = x$.

◁ Since, $I_n = (e_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}_n}$, where e_i is the i -th orth of underlying space t_2^n . Obviously, there exist unique linear operator ψ_n , satisfying $\psi_n(e_i) = x_i$, $i \in \mathbb{N}_n$. It is remains to check that ψ_n is sequentially contractive. Let $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $y \in B_{(t_2^n)^{\hat{k}}}$, then $y_i = \sum_{j=1}^n \alpha_{ij} e_j$, $i \in \mathbb{N}_k$ for some matrix $\alpha \in M_{k,n}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}\|\psi_n^{\hat{k}}(y)\|_{\hat{k}} &= \|(\psi_n(y_i))_{i \in \mathbb{N}_k}\|_{\hat{k}} = \left\| \left(\sum_{j=1}^n \alpha_{ij} \psi_n(e_j) \right)_{i \in \mathbb{N}_k} \right\|_{\hat{k}} = \left\| \left(\sum_{j=1}^n \alpha_{ij} x_j \right)_{i \in \mathbb{N}_k} \right\|_{\hat{k}} \\ &= \|\alpha x\|_{\hat{k}} \leq \|\alpha\| \|x\|_{\hat{n}} = \|y\|_{(t_2^n)^{\hat{k}}} \|x\|_{\hat{n}} \leq 1\end{aligned}$$

Therefore ψ_n is sequentially contractive. ▷

Proposition 4.1.3 Metrically free operator sequence space with one point base is a space $t_2^\infty := \bigoplus_1^0 \{t_2^n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$.

◁ Define universal arrow as such $j : \{\lambda\} \rightarrow t_2^\infty : \lambda \mapsto (I_1, I_2, \dots, I_n, \dots)$. Let X be arbitrary operator sequence space and $\varphi : \{\lambda\} \rightarrow \prod_{n \in \mathbb{N}} B_{X^{\hat{n}}}$ be some map. Denote $x = \varphi(\lambda)$. From proposition 4.1.2 and properties of corproducts it follows that, there exist unique sequentially contractive operator $\psi = \bigoplus_1^0 \{\psi_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\} \in \mathcal{SB}(\bigoplus_1^0 \{t_2^n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}, X)$, such that $\psi^{\hat{n}}(i_n(I_n)) = x$, for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Here $i_n : t_2^n \rightarrow t_2^\infty$ stands for standard embedding.

$$\begin{array}{ccc}\square_{sqMet}(t_2^\infty) & & \\ \uparrow j & \searrow \square_{sqMet}(\psi) & \\ \{\lambda\} & \xrightarrow{\varphi} & \square_{sqMet}(X)\end{array}$$

In this case $\varphi = \square_{sqMet}(\psi)j$. Since X and φ are arbitrary, then t_2^∞ is metrically free with one point base. ▷

Thus we are ready to state the final result.

Theorem 4.1.4 *Metrically free operator sequence space with base Λ is up to sequential isometric isomorphism a \bigoplus_1^0 -sum of copies of the space t_2^∞ , indexed by elements of the set Λ .*

◁ Result follows from propositions 3.1.10 and 4.1.3 ▷

Corollary 4.1.5 *Every operator sequence space is an image of sequentially strictly coisometric operator from $\bigoplus_1^0\{t_2^\infty : \lambda \in \Lambda\}$ for some set Λ .*

◁ From theorem 4.1.4 we see that $(SQNor_1, \square_{sqMet})$ is freedom-loving. Now the desired result follows from propositions 3.1.9 and 4.1.1. ▷

Similar propositions are valid in Banach case (just replace \bigoplus_1^0 -sums with \bigoplus_1 -sums).

4.2 Topological freedom

Let's proceed to consideration of sequential version of topological freedom. Consider functor

$$\begin{aligned} \square_{sqTop} : SQNor &\rightarrow Nor_0 : X \mapsto \bigoplus_\infty \{X^{\hat{n}} : n \in \mathbb{N}\} \\ \varphi &\mapsto \bigoplus_\infty \{\varphi^{\hat{n}} : n \in \mathbb{N}\}, \end{aligned}$$

sending operator sequence space to underlying semilinear normed space of \bigoplus_∞ -sum of its amplifications.

Proposition 4.2.1 *Let $\varphi : X \rightarrow Y$ be bounded linear operator between normed spaces X and Y , then it is c -topologically surjective if and only if there exist bounded semilinear operator $\rho : Y \rightarrow X$ such that $\|\rho\| \leq c$ and $\varphi\rho = 1_Y$.*

◁ Assume φ is c -topologically surjective. Consider relation \sim on S_Y defined as follows: $e_1 \sim e_2$ if and only if there exist $\alpha \in \mathbb{T}$ such that $e_1 = \alpha e_2$. Clearly, \sim is an equivalence relation, so we can consider a set of non-zero representatives of equivalence classes, say $\{r_\lambda : \lambda \in \Lambda\}$. By construction, for each $e \in S_Y$ we have unique $\alpha(e) \in \mathbb{T}$ and $\lambda(e) \in \Lambda$ such that $e = \alpha(e)r_{\lambda(e)}$. Clearly, for any $z \in \mathbb{T}$ and $e \in S_Y$ we have $\alpha(ze) = z\alpha(e)$ and $\lambda(ze) = \lambda(e)$. Since φ is c -topologically surjective, then, in particular, for each $\lambda \in \Lambda$ we have $x(\lambda) \in X$ such that $\|x(\lambda)\| \leq c\|r_\lambda\|$ and $\varphi(x(\lambda)) = r_\lambda$. Consider, map $\tilde{\rho} : S_Y \rightarrow X : e \mapsto \alpha(e)x(\lambda(e))$. It is easy to see that for all $z \in \mathbb{T}$ and $e \in S_Y$ holds $\tilde{\rho}(ze) = z\tilde{\rho}(e)$, $\|\tilde{\rho}(e)\| \leq c$ and $\varphi(\tilde{\rho}(e)) = e$. Now consider map $\rho : Y \rightarrow X : y \mapsto \|y\|\tilde{\rho}(\|y\|^{-1}y)$ and $\rho(0) = 0$. Using properties of $\tilde{\rho}$ it is trivial to check that ρ is semilinear operator such that $\|\rho\| \leq c$ and $\varphi\rho = 1_Y$.

Conversely, assume there exist bounded semilinear operator $\rho : Y \rightarrow X$ such that $\|\rho\| \leq c$ and $\varphi\rho = 1_Y$. Take any $y \in Y$ and consider $x = \rho(y)$, then $\|x\| \leq C\|y\|$ and $\varphi(x) = y$. Hence φ is c -topologically surjective. ▷

Proposition 4.2.2 *\square_{sqTop} -admissible epimorphisms are exactly sequentially topologically surjective operators.*

◁ For a given operator sequence space Z by $i_n^Z : Z^{\hat{n}} \rightarrow \square_{sqTop}(Z)$ we denote natural embedding, and by $p_n^Z : \square_{sqTop}(Z) \rightarrow Z^{\hat{n}}$ we denote natural projection. Assume that $\varphi : X \rightarrow Y$ is c -sequentially topologically surjective. Fix $n \in \mathbb{N}$, then by proposition 4.2.1 there exist bounded

semilinear operator ρ^n such that $\varphi^{\hat{n}}\rho^n = 1_{Y^{\hat{n}}}$ and $\|\rho^n\| \leq c$. Consider map $\rho = \bigoplus_{\infty}\{\rho^n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$. For each $y \in \square_{sqTop}(Y)$ we have

$$\|\rho(y)\| = \sup\{\|\rho^n(p_n^Y(y))\|_{\hat{n}} : n \in \mathbb{N}\} \leq c \sup\{\|p_n^Y(y)\|_{\hat{n}} : n \in \mathbb{N}\} = c\|y\|$$

so ρ is semilinear bounded operator. Moreover, $\square_{sqTop}(\varphi)\rho = 1_{\square_{sqTop}(Y)}$, hence φ is \square_{sqTop} -admissible epimorphism. Conversely, if φ is \square_{sqTop} -admissible epimorphism, then there exist bounded right inverse semilinear operator ρ to $\square_{sqTop}(\varphi)$. Then for every $y \in Y^{\hat{n}}$ holds $\square_{sqTop}(\varphi)\rho(i_n^Y(y)) = i_n^Y(y)$. In particular $\varphi^{\hat{n}}(p_n^X(\rho(i_n^Y(y)))) = y$. Denote $x = p_n^X(\rho(i_n^Y(y)))$ and $c = \|\rho\|$, then $\varphi^{\hat{n}}(x) = y$ and $\|x\|_{\hat{n}} \leq \|\rho(i_n^Y(y))\| \leq c\|i_n^Y(y)\| = c\|y\|_{\hat{n}}$. Therefore, φ is sequentially topologically surjective. \triangleright

We are ready to state the main result of this section.

Proposition 4.2.3 *Let F be metrically free operator sequence space with base Λ . Then F is topologically free operator sequence space with base \mathbb{C}^{Λ} .*

\triangleleft Let $j' : \Lambda \rightarrow \square_{sqMet}(F)$ be universal arrow in the diagram of metric freedom of F . Define semilinear bounded operator $j : \mathbb{C}^{\Lambda} \rightarrow \square_{sqTop}(F) : z_{\lambda} \mapsto z_{\lambda}j(\lambda)$. Consider arbitrary bounded semilinear operator $\varphi : \mathbb{C}^{\Lambda} \rightarrow \square_{sqTop}(X)$, where X is arbitrary operator sequence space. Then for $\varphi' := \|\varphi\|_{sb}^{-1}\varphi$ there exist a unique ψ' , such that $\varphi' = \square_{sqMet}(\psi')j$. Now, it is easy to see that for $\psi := \|\varphi\|_{sb}\psi'$ the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \square_{sqTop}(F) & & \\ \uparrow j & \searrow \square_{sqTop}(\psi) & \\ \mathbb{C}^{\Lambda} & \xrightarrow{\varphi} & \square_{sqTop}(X) \end{array}$$

is commutative. Assume there two morphisms ψ_1 and ψ_2 making the diagram above commutative. Denote $C = \max(\|\varphi\|_{sb}, \|\psi_1\|_{sb}, \|\psi_2\|_{sb})$, then morphisms $C^{-1}\psi_1$ and $C^{-1}\psi_2$ make the following diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \square_{sqMet}(F) & & \\ \uparrow j' & \searrow ? & \\ \mathbb{C}^{\Lambda} & \xrightarrow{C^{-1}\varphi'} & \square_{sqMet}(X) \end{array}$$

commutative. This contradicts uniqueness of morphism ψ' , so ψ is unique. \triangleright

As the consequence we get complete description of topologically free operator sequence spaces

Theorem 4.2.4 *A operator sequence space is topologically free if and only if it is sequentially topologically isomorphic to \bigoplus_1^0 -sum of copies of the space t_2^{∞} , indexed by elements of some set Λ .*

Corollary 4.2.5 *Every operator sequence space is an image of sequentially topologically surjective operator from $\bigoplus_1^0\{t_2^{\infty} : \lambda \in \Lambda\}$ for some set Λ .*

\triangleleft From theorem 4.1.4 it follows that the rigged category $(SQNor, \square_{sqTop})$ is freedom-loving. Now the desired result follows from propositions 3.1.9 and 4.2.2 \triangleright

Similar propositions are valid in Banach case (just replace \bigoplus_1^0 -sums with \bigoplus_1 -sums).

4.3 Pseudotopological freedom and projectivity

One may ask, whether existence of uniform constant C in the definition of sequential topological surjectivity is necessary? Indeed more natural definition would require just topological surjectivity of all amplifications of sequentially bounded operator. Later we will see that this class of admissible epimorphisms doesn't give rich homological theory.

The type of projectivity given by this kind of sequentially bounded admissible epimorphisms we will call pseudotopological. Consider functor

$$\begin{aligned} \square_{sqpTop} : SQNor \rightarrow Nor_0 : X &\mapsto X^{\hat{1}} \\ \varphi &\mapsto \varphi \end{aligned}$$

sending operator sequence space to the underlying semilinear normed space of the first amplification.

Definition 4.3.1 *A sequentially bounded operator $\varphi : X \rightarrow Y$ is called pseudotopologically surjective if for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ there exist $c_n > 0$ such that for all $y \in Y^{\hat{n}}$ we can find $x \in X^{\hat{n}}$ with $\varphi^{\hat{n}}(x) = y$ and $\|x\|_{\hat{n}} \leq c_n \|y\|_{\hat{n}}$*

Proposition 4.3.2 *Let $\varphi : X \rightarrow Y$ be sequentially bounded operator, then the following are equivalent*

- (i) φ \square_{sqpTop} -admissible epimorphism
- (ii) φ is pseudotopologically surjective
- (iii) $\varphi^{\hat{1}}$ is topologically surjective

\triangleleft (i) \implies (ii) Assume φ is \square_{sqpTop} -admissible epimorphism, then for some $c_1 > 0$ and any $y \in Y$ there exist $x \in X$ with $\varphi(x) = y$ and $\|x\| \leq c_1 \|y\|$. Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $y \in Y^{\hat{n}}$, then consider $x \in X^{\hat{n}}$, such that $\varphi(x_i) = y_i$ and $\|x_i\| \leq c_1 \|y_i\|$ for all $i \in \mathbb{N}_n$. Let $e_i \in M_{1,n}$ be row-matrix with 1 in the i -th place and 0 in others, then

$$\begin{aligned} \|x\|_{\hat{n}} &\leq \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \|x_i\|_1^2 \right)^{1/2} \leq \left(\sum_{i=1}^n c_1^2 \|y_i\|_1^2 \right)^{1/2} \leq c_1 \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \|e_i y\|_{\hat{n}}^2 \right)^{1/2} \\ &\leq c_1 \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \|e_i\|^2 \|y\|_{\hat{n}}^2 \right)^{1/2} = c_1 n^{1/2} \|y\|_{\hat{n}} \end{aligned}$$

Clearly, $\varphi(x) = y$ so φ is pseudotopologically surjective.

(ii) \implies (iii) Obvious.

(iii) \implies (i) By proposition 4.2.1 there exist bounded semilinear operator ρ such that $\varphi\rho = 1_Y$. This means, that $\square_{sqpTop}(\varphi)$ have right inverse, i.e. φ is \square_{sqpTop} -admissible epimorphism. \triangleright

Consider functors

$$\begin{aligned} \square_{sqRel} : SQNor \rightarrow Nor : X &\mapsto X^{\hat{1}} & \square_{norTop} : Nor \rightarrow Nor_0 : X &\mapsto X \\ \varphi &\mapsto \varphi & \varphi &\mapsto \varphi \end{aligned}$$

Note the obvious identity $\square_{sqpTop} = \square_{norTop} \square_{sqRel}$.

Proposition 4.3.3 *In the rigged category $(SQNor, \square_{sqRel})$*

- (i) \square_{sqRel} -free objects are exactly operator sequence spaces sequentially topologically isomorphic to $\max(E)$ for some normed space E . This category is freedom-loving.
- (ii) Every retract of \square_{sqRel} -free object have maximal structure of operator sequence space
- (iii) each \square_{sqRel} -projective object is \square_{sqRel} -free.

◁ (i) Let $E \in Nor$. We will show that $\max(E)$ is \square_{sqRel} -free object with base E . Universal arrow will be as such $j : E \rightarrow \square_{sqRel}(\max(E)) : x \mapsto x$. Let X be arbitrary operator sequence space and $\varphi : E \rightarrow \square_{sqRel}(X)$ be arbitrary bounded linear operator. Consider linear operator $\psi : \max(E) \rightarrow X : x \mapsto \varphi(x)$. From proposition 2.10.5 it follows, that ψ is sequentially bounded. Clearly, $\varphi = \square_{sqRel}(\psi)j$. Since X and φ are arbitrary, then $\max(E)$ is \square_{sqRel} -free object. From proposition 3.1.6 it follows that \square_{sqRel} -free objects are sequentially topologically isomorphic to $\max(E)$. Since E is arbitrary normed space, then the rigged category $(SQNor, \square_{sqRel})$ is freedom-loving.

(ii) Let $\sigma : \max(E) \rightarrow X$ be a retraction in $SQNor$. Then σ is topologically surjective and by proposition 2.10.11 X have maximal structure.

(iii) Let P be \square_{sqRel} -projective object, then from proposition 3.1.9 it follows that it is a retract of \square_{sqRel} -free object, and from paragraph (ii) that P have maximal structure of operator sequence space, i.e. $P = \max(\square_{sqRel}(P))$. Now from paragraph (i) we see that P is \square_{sqRel} -free. ▷

Proposition 4.3.4 *In the rigged category (Nor, \square_{norTop})*

- (i) \square_{norTop} -admissible epimorphisms are exactly topologically surjective operators
- (ii) \square_{norTop} -free objects are normed spaces topologically isomorphic to $l_1^0(\Lambda)$ with base \mathbb{C}^Λ .
- (iii) \square_{norTop} -projective objects are normed spaces topologically isomorphic to $l_1^0(\Lambda)$ for some set Λ .

◁ (i) Follows from proposition 4.2.1/

(ii) Consider map $j : \mathbb{C}^\Lambda \rightarrow l_1^0(\Lambda) : z_\lambda \mapsto z_\lambda \delta_\lambda$. For a given semilinear bounded operator $\varphi : \mathbb{C}^\Lambda \rightarrow \square_{norTop}(X)$, where X is an arbitrary normed space consider linear operator $\psi : l_1^0(\Lambda) \rightarrow X : f \mapsto \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda} f(\lambda) \varphi(1_\lambda)$. Since $\|\psi(f)\| \leq \|\varphi\| \|f\|$, then ψ is bounded. Moreover it is straightforward to check that $\square_{norTop}(\psi)j = \varphi$. Uniqueness of ψ follows from the chain of equalities

$$\psi(f) = \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda} f(\lambda) \psi(\delta_\lambda) = \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda} f(\lambda) \square_{norTop}(\psi)(j(1_\lambda)) = \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda} f(\lambda) \varphi(1_\lambda)$$

(iii) See [13] theorem 0.12 ▷

Theorem 4.3.5 *A sequential operator space is pseudotopologically projective if and only if it is sequentially topologically isomorphic to $\max(l_1^0(\Lambda))$ for some set Λ .*

◁ From proposition 4.3.4 it follows that $l_1^0(\Lambda)$ is \square_{norTop} -free with base \mathbb{C}^Λ . From proposition 4.3.3 we get that $\max(l_1^0(\Lambda))$ is \square_{sqRel} -free with base $l_1^0(\Lambda)$. Then from proposition 3.1.7 we see that $\max(l_1^0(\Lambda))$ is \square_{sqRel} -free with base \mathbb{C}^Λ . Now from proposition 3.1.6 we know that all pseudotopologically free objects are of the form $\max(l_1^0(\Lambda))$ for some set Λ . ▷

Corollary 4.3.6 *Every operator sequence space is an image of topologically surjective operator from $\max(l_1^0(\Lambda))$ for some set Λ .*

◁ From theorem 4.3.5 it follows that the rigged category $(SQNor, \square_{sqpTop})$ is freedom-loving. Now the desired result follows from propositions 3.1.9 and 4.3.2. ▷

Theorem 4.3.7 *Every pseudotopologically projective operator sequence space is sequentially topologically isomorphic to $\max(l_1^0(\Lambda))$ for some set Λ .*

◁ Let P be pseudotopologically projective operator sequence space. From proposition 4.3.5, 4.3.6 we see that there exist \square_{sqpTop} -admissible epimorphism $\sigma : \max(l_1^0(\Lambda)) \rightarrow P$ for some set Λ . Since $\max(l_1^0(\Lambda))$ is \square_{sqpTop} -free object, then from proposition 3.1.8 we get that σ is a retraction in $SQNor$. From paragraph (ii) of proposition 4.3.3 we get, the structure of operator sequence space P is maximal, i.e. $P = \max(\square_{sqRel}(P))$. Since σ is a retraction in $SQNor$, it is also a retraction in Nor from the space $l_1^0(\Lambda)$. By proposition 4.3.4 the space $l_1^0(\Lambda)$ is \square_{sqRel} -free. As $\square_{sqRel}(P)$ is its retract in Nor , then from proposition 3.1.9 we see that $\square_{sqRel}(P)$ is \square_{norTop} -projective. In this case again from proposition 4.3.4 we conclude, that $\square_{sqRel}(P)$ is topologically isomorphic to $l_1^0(\Lambda')$ for some set Λ' . Applying \max functor to this isomorphism, we establish sequential topological isomorphism between $P = \max(\square_{sqRel}(P))$ and $\max(l_1^0(\Lambda'))$. ▷

Similar propositions are valid in Banach case (just replace l_1^0 spaces with l_1 space).

5 Cofree operator sequence spaces

In what follows we will use the following simple observation

From propositions 2.9.7 and 2.3.6 it follows, that there exist sequential isometric isomorphisms

$$(t_2^\infty)^\Delta = \bigoplus_\infty \{(t_2^n)^\Delta : n \in \mathbb{N}\} = \bigoplus_\infty \{l_2^n : n \in \mathbb{N}\} = l_2^\infty$$

Therefore applying again proposition 2.9.7 we get a sequential isometric isomorphism:

$$\left(\bigoplus_1^0 \{t_2^\infty : \lambda \in \Lambda\}\right)^\Delta = \bigoplus_\infty \{l_2^\infty : \lambda \in \Lambda\}$$

5.1 Metric cofreedom

Consider functor

$$\begin{aligned} \square_{sqMet}^d : SQNor_1 &\rightarrow Set^o : X \mapsto \prod \{B_{(X^\Delta)^{\hat{n}}} : n \in \mathbb{N}\} \\ \varphi &\mapsto \prod \left\{ (\varphi^\Delta)^{\hat{n}} \Big|_{B_{(Y^\Delta)^{\hat{n}}}}^{B_{(X^\Delta)^{\hat{n}}}} : n \in \mathbb{N} \right\} \end{aligned}$$

Proposition 5.1.1 \square_{sqMet}^d -admissible monomorphisms are exactly sequentially isometric operators.

◁ A morphism φ is \square_{sqMet}^d -admissible monomorphism if and only if $\square_{sqMet}^d(\varphi)$ is invertible from the left in Set^o . This is equivalent to surjectivity of $\square_{sqMet}^d(\varphi^\Delta)$. The latter is equivalent to surjectivity of $(\varphi^\Delta)^{\hat{n}} \Big|_{B_{(X^\Delta)^{\hat{n}}}}^{B_{(Y^\Delta)^{\hat{n}}}}$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. This means that $(\varphi^\Delta)^{\hat{n}}$ is strictly coisometric for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, i.e. φ^Δ is sequentially strictly coisometric. By theorem 2.6.8 it is equivalent to φ being sequentially isometric. ▷

Theorem 5.1.2 *Metrically cofree operator sequence space with base Λ is up to sequential isometric isomorphism a \bigoplus_∞ -sum of copies of the space $l_2^\infty := \bigoplus_\infty \{l_2^n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$, indexed by elements Λ .*

◁ Let Λ be an arbitrary. Consider commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} SQNor_1^o & \xrightarrow{(\square_{sqMet}^d)^o} & Set \\ \nabla \downarrow & & \downarrow 1_{Set} \\ SQNor_1 & \xrightarrow{\square_{sqMet}} & Set \end{array}$$

Here ∇ is a covariant version of Δ functor. That diagram is indeed commutative since for any operator sequence spaces X, Y and arbitrary $\varphi \in \mathcal{SB}(X, Y)$ holds

$$1_{Set}((\square_{sqMet}^d)^o(\varphi)) = \prod_{n \in \mathbb{N}} (\varphi^\Delta)^{\hat{n}} \Big|_{B_{(Y^\Delta)^{\hat{n}}}}^{B_{(X^\Delta)^{\hat{n}}}} = \square_{sqMet}(\nabla(\varphi))$$

From remark 2.11.3 we see that ∇ have left adjoint functor, which is Δ . Analogously 1_{Set} is adjoint to itself from the left and from the right. By theorem 4.1.4 the object $\bigoplus_1^0 \{t_2^\infty : \lambda \in \Lambda\}$ is \square_{sqMet} -free, so by proposition 3.1.11 the object $(\bigoplus_1^0 \{t_2^\infty : \lambda \in \Lambda\})^\Delta = \bigoplus_\infty \{l_2^\infty : \lambda \in \Lambda\}$ is $(\square_{sqMet}^d)^o$ -free, which is the same as being \square_{sqMet}^d -cofree. Since the set Λ is arbitrary, using proposition 3.1.6 we get that all \square_{sqMet} -cofree objects with base Λ are sequentially isometrically isomorphic to the space constructed above. ▷

Corollary 5.1.3 *From every operator sequence space there exist a sequentially isometric operator into $\bigoplus_\infty \{l_2^\infty : \lambda \in \Lambda\}$ for some set Λ .*

◁ From theorem 4.1.4 it follows that the rigged category $(SQNor_1, \square_{sqMet}^d)$ is cofreedom-loving. Now the desired result from propositions 3.1.9 and 5.1.1. ▷

Proposition 5.1.4 *An operator sequence space X is a dual operator sequence space if and there is sequentially isometric weak*-weak* homeomorphism onto weak* closed subspace of $\bigoplus_\infty \{l_2^\infty : \lambda \in \Lambda\}$ for some set Λ .*

◁ Assume X is a dual operator sequence space with sequential predual X_Δ . By proposition 4.1.5 for some set Λ we have sequentially coisometric operator $\pi : \bigoplus_1^0 \{t_2^\infty : \lambda \in \Lambda\} \rightarrow X_\Delta$. By theorem 2.6.8 operator π^Δ is a sequential isometry from $X_\Delta^\Delta = X$ into $(\bigoplus_1^0 \{t_2^\infty : \lambda \in \Lambda\})^\Delta = \bigoplus_\infty \{l_2^\infty : \lambda \in \Lambda\}$. By lemma A.2.5 [8] operator π^Δ is weak*-weak* homeomorphism onto its weak* closed image.

Conversely, if X is a weak* closed subspace of $Y := \bigoplus_\infty \{l_2^\infty : \lambda \in \Lambda\}$ for some set Λ , then by proposition 2.8.5 we have $X = (Y/X_\perp)^\Delta$. Hence X is dual operator sequence space with sequential predual $X_\Delta := Y/X_\perp$. ▷

Similar propositions are valid in Banach case.

5.2 Topological cofreedom

Consider functor

$$\begin{aligned} \square_{sqTop}^d : SQNor &\rightarrow Nor_0^o, X \mapsto \bigoplus_\infty \{(X^\Delta)^{\hat{n}} : n \in \mathbb{N}\} \\ \varphi &\mapsto \bigoplus_\infty \{(\varphi^\Delta)^{\hat{n}} : n \in \mathbb{N}\} \end{aligned}$$

Proposition 5.2.1 \square_{sqTop}^d -admissible monomorphisms are exactly sequentially topologically injective operators.

◁ A morphism φ is a \square_{sqTop}^d -admissible monomorphism if and only if $\square_{sqTop}^d(\varphi)$ is invertible as morphism in Nor_0^o . This is equivalent to say that $\square_{sqTop}^d(\varphi) = \square_{sqTop}^d(\varphi^\Delta)$ is invertible from the right as morphism in Nor_0 . From proposition 4.2.2 this is equivalent to sequential topological surjectivity of φ^Δ . By theorem 2.6.8 this is equivalent to φ being sequentially topologically injective. ▷

Theorem 5.2.2 A operator sequence space is topologically cofree if and only if it is sequentially topologically isomorphic to \bigoplus_∞ sum of copies of the space l_2^∞ indexed by elements of some set Λ .

◁ Let Λ be an arbitrary set. Consider commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} SQNor^o & \xrightarrow{(\square_{sqTop}^d)^o} & Nor_0 \\ \nabla \downarrow & & \downarrow 1_{Nor_0} \\ SQNor & \xrightarrow{\square_{sqTop}} & Nor_0 \end{array}$$

Here ∇ is a covariant version of Δ functor. This diagram is commutative since for any operator sequence spaces X, Y and arbitrary $\varphi \in \mathcal{SB}(X, Y)$ holds

$$1_{Nor_0}((\square_{sqTop}^d)^o(\varphi)) = \bigoplus_\infty \{(\varphi^\Delta)^{\hat{n}} : n \in \mathbb{N}\} = \square_{sqTop}(\nabla(\varphi))$$

From remark 2.11.3 we see that ∇ have left adjoint functor, which is Δ . Analogously 1_{Nor_0} is adjoint to itself from the left and from the right. By theorem 4.2.4 the object $\bigoplus_1^0 \{t_2^\infty : \lambda \in \Lambda\}$ is \square_{sqTop} -free, so by proposition 3.1.11 the object $(\bigoplus_1^0 \{t_2^\infty : \lambda \in \Lambda\})^\Delta = \bigoplus_\infty \{l_2^\infty : \lambda \in \Lambda\}$ is $(\square_{sqTop}^d)^o$ -free which is the same as being \square_{sqTop}^d -cofree. Using proposition 3.1.6 we get, that all \square_{sqTop} -cofree objects with base \mathbb{C}^Λ are sequentially topologically isomorphic to the space constructed above. ▷

Corollary 5.2.3 Every metrically cofree operator sequence space is topologically cofree.

Corollary 5.2.4 From every operator sequence space there exist sequentially topologically injective operator into $\bigoplus_\infty \{l_2^\infty : \lambda \in \Lambda\}$ for some set Λ .

◁ From theorem 5.2.2 it follows that the rigged category $(SQNor, \square_{sqTop}^d)$ is cofreedom-loving. Now the desired result follows from propositions 3.1.9 and 5.2.1. ▷

Similar propositions are valid in Banach case.

5.3 Pseudotopological cofreedom and injectivity

Consider functor

$$\begin{aligned} \square_{sqTop}^d : SQNor &\rightarrow Nor_0^o, X \mapsto (X^\Delta)^{\hat{1}} \\ &\varphi \mapsto \varphi^\Delta \end{aligned}$$

sending operator sequence space to the underlying semilinear normed space of the first amplification of its sequential dual, and morphism is mapped to its adjoint considered as bounded semilinear operator.

Definition 5.3.1 A sequentially bounded operator $\varphi : X \rightarrow Y$ is called pseudotopologically injective, if for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ there exist $c_n > 0$ such that for all $x \in X^{\hat{n}}$ holds $c_n \|\varphi^{\hat{n}}(x)\|_{\hat{n}} \geq \|x\|_{\hat{n}}$

Proposition 5.3.2 Let $\varphi : X \rightarrow Y$ be sequentially bounded operator between operator sequence spaces, then the following are equivalent

- (i) φ is \square_{sqpTop} -admissible monomorphism
- (ii) φ is pseudotopologically injective
- (iii) $\varphi^{\hat{1}}$ is topologically injective

\triangleleft (i) \implies (ii) Let φ be \square_{sqpTop}^d -admissible monomorphism. Then $\square_{sqpTop}^d(\varphi)$ is invertible from the left as morphism in Nor_0^o . This is equivalent to say that $\square_{sqpTop}^d(\varphi) = \square_{sqpTop}(\varphi^{\Delta})$ is invertible from the right as morphism in Nor_0 . From proposition 4.3.2 it is equivalent to pseudotopological surjectivity of φ^{Δ} . By proposition 1.2.3 this is equivalent to φ being pseudotopologically injective.

(ii) \implies (iii) Obvious.

(iii) \implies (i) Let $\varphi^{\hat{1}}$ be topologically injective then by proposition 1.2.3 $(\varphi^{\Delta})^{\hat{1}}$ is topologically surjective. From proposition 4.3.2 φ^{Δ} is \square_{sqpTop} -admissible epimorphism, i.e. $\square_{sqpTop}(\varphi^{\Delta})$ is invertible from the right as morphism in Nor_0 . Hence $\square_{sqpTop}^d(\varphi) = \square_{sqpTop}(\varphi^{\Delta})$ is invertible from the left as morphism in Nor_0^o . Hence φ is \square_{sqpTop}^d -admissible monomorphism. \triangleright

Consider functors

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \square_{sqRel}^d : SQNor \rightarrow Nor^o : X \mapsto (X^{\Delta})^{\hat{1}} & & \square_{norTop}^d : Nor^o \rightarrow Nor_0^o : X \mapsto X \\ \varphi \mapsto \varphi & & \varphi \mapsto \varphi \end{array}$$

Note the obvious identity $\square_{sqpTop}^d = \square_{norTop}^d \square_{sqRel}^d$.

Proposition 5.3.3 In the rigged category $(SQNor, \square_{sqRel}^d)$

- (i) \square_{sqRel}^d -cofree objects are exactly operator sequence spaces sequentiall topologically isomorphic to $\min(E^*)$ for some normed space E . This category is cofreedom-loving.
- (ii) Every retract of \square_{sqRel}^d -cofree object have minimal structure of operator sequence space.

\triangleleft (i) Let $E \in Nor$. Consider commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} SQNor^o & \xrightarrow{(\square_{sqRel}^d)^o} & Nor \\ \nabla \downarrow & & \downarrow 1_{Nor} \\ SQNor & \xrightarrow{\square_{sqRel}} & Nor \end{array}$$

Here ∇ is a covariant version of Δ functor. This diagram is commutative since for any operator sequence spaces X, Y and arbitrary $\varphi \in \mathcal{SB}(X, Y)$ holds

$$1_{Nor}((\square_{sqRel}^d)^o(\varphi)) = \varphi^{\Delta} = \square_{sqRel}(\nabla(\varphi))$$

From remark 2.11.3 we see that ∇ have left adjoint functor, which is Δ . Analogously 1_{Nor} is adjoint to itself from the left and from the right. By proposition 4.3.3 the object $\max(E)$ is \square_{sqRel} -free,

so from propositions 3.1.11, 2.10.15 the object $(\max(E))^\Delta = \min(E^*)$ is $(\square_{sqRel}^d)^o$ -free, which is the same as being \square_{sqRel}^d -cofree. Since the space E is arbitrary, using proposition 3.1.6 we get that all \square_{sqRel}^d -cofree objects with base E are sequentially topologically isomorphic to the space constructed above. As the consequence the rigged category $(SQNor, \square_{sqRel}^d)$ is cofreedom-loving.

(ii) Let $\sigma : \min(E^*) \rightarrow X$ be a retraction in $SQNor$. Right inverse of σ we will denote by ρ . Since ρ is topologically injective, then by proposition 2.10.7 we see that X have minimal struture.

▷

Proposition 5.3.4 *In the rigged category $(Nor^o, \square_{norTop}^d)$*

(i) \square_{norTop}^d -admissible monomorphisms are exactly topologically surjective operators

(ii) \square_{norTop}^d -cofree objects are exactly normed spaces topologically isomorphic to $l_1^0(\Lambda)$ with base \mathbb{C}^Λ .

(iii) \square_{norTop}^d -injective objects are normed spaces topologically isomorphic to $l_1^0(\Lambda)$ for some set Λ .

◁ All results follow from proposition 5.3.4 if one note that $\square_{norTop}^d = \square_{norTop}^o$. ▷

Theorem 5.3.5 *A operator sequence space is pseudotopologically cofree if and only if it is sequentially topologically isomorphic to $\min(l_\infty(\Lambda))$ for some set Λ .*

◁ From proposition 5.3.4 it follows that $l_1^0(\Lambda)$ is \square_{norTop}^d -cofree with base \mathbb{C}^Λ . From proposition 5.3.3 we get that $\min(l_1^0(\Lambda)^*) = \min(l_\infty(\Lambda))$ is \square_{sqRel}^d -cofree with base $l_1^0(\Lambda)$. Then from proposition 3.1.7 we see that $\min(l_\infty(\Lambda))$ is \square_{sqTop}^d -cofree with base \mathbb{C}^Λ . Now from proposition 3.1.6 we know that all pseudotopologically cofree objects are of the form $\min(l_\infty(\Lambda))$ for some set Λ . ▷

Corollary 5.3.6 *From every operator sequence space there exist topologically injective operator into $\min(l_\infty(\Lambda))$ for some set Λ .*

◁ From theorem 5.3.5 it follows that the rigged category $(SQNor, \square_{sqTop}^d)$ is cofreedom-loving. Now the desired result follows from propositions 3.1.9 and 5.3.2. ▷

Theorem 5.3.7 *Every pseudotopologically injective operator sequence space is sequentially topologically isomorphic to $\min(F)$, where F is a retract in Nor of the space $l_\infty(\Lambda)$ for some set Λ .*

◁ Let I be pseudotopologically injective operator sequence space. From propositions 5.3.5, 5.3.6 we see that there exist \square_{sqTop}^d -admissible monomorphism $\sigma : I \rightarrow \min(l_\infty(\Lambda))$ for some set Λ . Since $\min(l_\infty(\Lambda))$ is \square_{sqTop}^d -cofree object, then from proposition 3.1.8 it follows that σ is a coretraction in $SQNor$. Let ρ be right inverse morphism of σ in $SQNor$. It is a retraction in $SQNor$, then from paragraph (ii) of proposition 5.3.3 we get that the structure of operator sequence space I is minimal, i.e. $I = \min(\square_{sqRel}(I))$. Since ρ is a retraction in $SQNor$, it is retraction in Nor from $l_\infty(\Lambda)$ to $F := \square_{sqRel}(I)$. ▷

Similar propositions are valid in Banach case.

Remark 5.3.8 *Unfortunately, in theorem 5.3.7 by analogy with theorem 4.3.7 we can't state that retracts of $l_\infty(\Lambda)$ are of the form $l_\infty(\Lambda')$ for some set Λ' . Indeed, in [14] corollary 4.4 it was shown existence of topologically injective space F which can't be topologically isomorphic to any dual space and in particular to $l_\infty(\Lambda')$ for any set Λ' . On the other hand for some set Λ there exist isometric embedding $i : F \rightarrow l_\infty(\Lambda)$. Since F is topologically injective, then by proposition 3.1.8 this is a coretraction. Therefore, F is a retract of $l_\infty(\Lambda)$, which can not be topologically isomorphic to $l_\infty(\Lambda')$ for any set Λ' .*

References

- [1] *Helemskii A. Ya.* Quantum Functional Analysis: Non-Coordinate Approach. American Mathematical Society; 1st edition (December 10, 2010)
- [2] *Helemskii A. Ya.* Lectures and Exercises on Functional Analysis. Translations of Mathematical Monographs, vol. 233, 2006.
- [3] *Ruan Z.-J., Effros E.* Operator Spaces, London Math. Soc. Monographs, New Series 23, Oxford University Press, New York 2000.
- [4] *Murphy G. J.* C^* -Algebras and Operator Theory. Academic Press, 1 edition (September 11, 1990)
- [5] *Rudin W.* Functional Analysis. McGraw-Hill Science/Engineering/Math; 2 edition (January 1, 1991).
- [6] *Lambert A.* Operatorfolgenräume. Eine Kategorie auf dem Weg von den Banach-Räumen zu den Operatorräumen. Dissertation zur Erlangung des Grades Doktor der Naturwissenschaften der Technisch-Naturwissenschaftlichen Fakultät I der Universität des Saarlandes. Saarbrücken, 2002.
- [7] *Defant A., Floret K.* Tensor norms and operator ideals, North-Holland Amsterdam, London, New York, Tokio 1993.
- [8] *Blecher P., Le Merdy C.* Operator Algebras and Their Modules: An Operator Space Approach, Oxford University Press, USA (January 13, 2005)
- [9] *M. Fabian, P. Habala, V. Montesinos, V. Zizler* Banach Space Theory: The Basis for Linear and Nonlinear Analysis, Springer; 2011 edition (December 15, 2010)
- [10] *L. Brown, T. Ito* Classes of Banach spaces with unique isometric preduals. Pacific J. Math. Volume 90, Number 2 (1980), 249–492
- [11] *Helemskii A. Ya.* Metric freeness and projectivity for classical and quantum normed modules. Sb. Math. 204, No. 7, 1056–1083 (2013); translation from Mat. Sb. 204, No. 7, 127–158 (2013).
- [12] *Steiner S.* Topological freedom for classical and quantum modules. Preprint.
- [13] *N. Groenbaek.* Lifting problems for normed spaces. Preprint.
- [14] *Haskell P. Rosenthal* On injective Banach spaces and the spaces $L_\infty(\mu)$ for finite measures μ . Acta Mathematica, July 1970, Volume 124, Issue 1, pp 205–248