

# Filters in functional analysis

Nemesh N. T.

## Abstract

In this note we give a brief introduction into the theory of filters. Then we demonstrate several applications of filters in the proof of inevitably non-constructive theorems of functional analysis.

## 1 Set theoretic preliminaries

For a given set  $M$  by  $\mathcal{P}(M)$  we denote the set of all its subsets. By  $\mathcal{P}_0(M)$  we denote the set of all its finite subsets.

**Definition 1.1** *Let  $M$  be a set, a family  $\mathcal{F} \subset \mathcal{P}(M)$  with the following properties*

- (i)  $A, B \in \mathcal{F} \implies A \cap B \in \mathcal{F}$
- (ii)  $A \in \mathcal{F}, A \subset B \implies B \in \mathcal{F}$
- (iii)  $\emptyset \notin \mathcal{F}$

*is called a filter on the set  $M$ .*

**Remark 1.2** *Directly from these axioms it follows that for a filter  $\mathcal{F}$  on a set  $M$  we have*

- (i)  $M \in \mathcal{F}$
- (ii)  $A_1, \dots, A_n \in \mathcal{F} \implies A_1 \cap \dots \cap A_n \in \mathcal{F}$
- (iii)  $A \in \mathcal{F} \implies M \setminus A \notin \mathcal{F}$

**Definition 1.3** *Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a filter on the set  $M$ , then*

- (i)  $\mathcal{F}$  is called free if  $\bigcap \mathcal{F} = \emptyset$
- (ii)  $\mathcal{F}$  is called fixed if  $\bigcap \mathcal{F} = \{m\}$ , for some  $m \in M$

**Definition 1.4** *Let  $M$  be a set, then a family  $\mathcal{B} \subset \mathcal{P}(M)$  is called a filterbase if*

- (i)  $\mathcal{B} \neq \emptyset$
- (ii)  $\emptyset \notin \mathcal{B}$
- (iii)  $A, B \in \mathcal{B} \implies \exists C \in \mathcal{B} \quad C \subset A \cap B$

**Proposition 1.5** *Let  $\mathcal{B}$  be a filterbase on the set  $M$ , then the family*

$$\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{B}} = \{A \in \mathcal{P}(M) : \exists B \in \mathcal{B} : B \subset A\}$$

*is a filter on  $M$ .*

◁ Obvious. ▷

Thus we can describe filters via their filterbases.

**Example 1.6** A family  $\mathcal{F}_0(M) = \{A \in \mathcal{P}(M) : \text{Card}(M \setminus A) < \aleph_0\}$  is a filter called *Frechet filter*. Clearly, this is a free filter.

**Example 1.7** Let  $(N, \leq)$  be a directed set, then the family  $\mathcal{B}_N = \{\{\nu' \in N : \nu \leq \nu'\} : \nu \in N\}$  is a filterbase. The respective filter  $\mathcal{F}_N = \mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{B}_N}$  is called a *section filter* or a *filter of tails*.

**Example 1.8** Let  $(X, \tau)$  be a topological space, and  $x \in X$ . Then the set of open neighbourhoods  $\mathcal{N}(x)$  of  $x$  is a filterbase. The respective filter  $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{N}(x)}$  is called a *neighbourhoods filter*.

Clearly, any filter has the finite intersection property.

**Definition 1.9** Let  $\mathcal{I}$  be a family of subsets of  $M$ . We say that  $\mathcal{I}$  has the *finite intersection property* (f.i.p. for short) if  $A \cap B \in \mathcal{I}$  whenever  $A, B \in \mathcal{I}$ .

**Proposition 1.10** Let  $\mathcal{I}$  be a non-empty family of subsets of a set  $M$  with finite intersection property, then

$$\mathcal{I}_\cap := \{\cap \mathcal{A} : \mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{P}_0(\mathcal{I})\}$$

is a filterbase on  $M$ .

◁ Since  $\mathcal{I}$  is not empty there is a set  $A \in \mathcal{I}$ . Consider  $\mathcal{A} = \{A\} \in \mathcal{P}_0(\mathcal{I})$ , then  $A = \cap \mathcal{A} \in \mathcal{I}_\cap$ , so  $\mathcal{I}_\cap \neq \emptyset$ . Suppose,  $\emptyset \notin \mathcal{I}_\cap$ , then there is a finite family  $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{P}_0(\mathcal{I})$  with  $\cap \mathcal{A} = \emptyset$ . This contradicts finite intersection property of  $\mathcal{I}_\cap$ , hence  $\emptyset \notin \mathcal{I}_\cap$ . Finally, let  $A_1, A_2 \in \mathcal{I}_\cap$ , then  $A_1 = \cap \mathcal{A}_1$  and  $A_2 = \cap \mathcal{A}_2$  for some  $\mathcal{A}_1, \mathcal{A}_2 \in \mathcal{P}_0(\mathcal{I})$ . Clearly,  $\mathcal{A} := \mathcal{A}_1 \cup \mathcal{A}_2 \in \mathcal{P}_0(\mathcal{I})$ , so  $A := \cap \mathcal{A} \in \mathcal{I}_\cap$  and, obviously  $A \subset A_1 \cap A_2$ . ▷

**Example 1.11** Let  $A$  be a non-empty subset of a set  $M$ , then the family  $\mathcal{F}_A = \{B \in \mathcal{P}(M) : A \subset B\}$  is a filter, called a *filter generated by set  $A$* .

**Remark 1.12** Every filter  $\mathcal{F}$  on a finite set  $M$  is of the form  $\mathcal{F}_A$ . Indeed,  $\mathcal{F}$  is a finite set, then  $A = \bigcap \mathcal{F}$  is finite intersection of elements of  $\mathcal{F}$ , so  $A \in \mathcal{F}$ . Therefore any  $B \in \mathcal{F}$  contains  $A$ , and  $\mathcal{F} \subset \mathcal{F}_A$ . On the other hand any  $B \in \mathcal{P}(M)$  that contains  $A \in \mathcal{F}$  is in  $\mathcal{F}$  by definition of filter. So  $\mathcal{F}_A \subset \mathcal{F}$ .

**Definition 1.13** Let  $\mathcal{F}_1, \mathcal{F}_2$  be two filter on a set  $M$ . We say that  $\mathcal{F}_2$  *dominates*  $\mathcal{F}_1$  and write  $\mathcal{F}_1 \leq \mathcal{F}_2$  if  $\mathcal{F}_1 \subset \mathcal{F}_2$ .

**Remark 1.14** Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a family of filters on  $M$ , then  $\mathcal{F} = \bigcap \mathcal{F}$  is a filter. Clearly  $\mathcal{F}$  is dominated by any filter of  $\mathcal{F}$ .

**Definition 1.15** A filter  $\mathcal{U}$  on a set  $M$  is called an *ultrafilter* if any filter that dominates  $\mathcal{U}$  equals  $\mathcal{U}$ .

**Remark 1.16** It is easy to see that any fixed filter is an ultrafilter, but there are free ultrafilters too.

Now we present a very important lemma — an ultrafilter lemma.

**Lemma 1.17** *Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a filter on a set  $M$ , then there exists an ultrafilter  $\mathcal{U}$  that dominates  $\mathcal{F}$ .*

◁ Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a set of filters on  $M$  that dominate  $\mathcal{F}$ . It is easy to check that any linearly ordered chain  $\mathcal{C} \subset \mathcal{F}$  has a maximal element  $\bigcup \mathcal{C}$ . By Zorn's lemma  $\mathcal{F}$  has a maximal element  $\mathcal{U}$ . By construction this is an ultrafilter that dominates  $\mathcal{F}$ . ▷

Note: the ultrafilter lemma is weaker than the axiom of choice.

**Proposition 1.18** *Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a filter on a set  $M$ . Then the following are equivalent:*

- (i)  $A_1 \cup \dots \cup A_n \in \mathcal{F} \implies \exists i \in \{1, \dots, n\} \quad A_i \in \mathcal{F}$ ;
- (ii)  $A \cup B \in \mathcal{F} \implies (A \in \mathcal{F}) \vee (B \in \mathcal{F})$ ;
- (iii)  $(A \in \mathcal{F}) \vee (M \setminus A \in \mathcal{F})$ ;
- (iv)  $\mathcal{F}$  is an ultrafilter;

◁ (i)  $\implies$  (ii) Obvious

(ii)  $\implies$  (iii) Note that  $M = A \cup (M \setminus A)$  and recall that  $M \in \mathcal{F}$ .

(iii)  $\implies$  (iv) Let  $\mathcal{G}$  be a filter on  $M$  dominating  $\mathcal{F}$ . Consider arbitrary  $A \in \mathcal{G}$ , then  $M \setminus A \notin \mathcal{G}$  and a fortiori  $M \setminus A \notin \mathcal{F}$ . By assumption  $A \in \mathcal{F}$ . Since  $A \in \mathcal{G}$  is arbitrary  $\mathcal{F}$  dominates  $\mathcal{G}$ , but by construction  $\mathcal{G}$  dominates  $\mathcal{F}$ . Hence  $\mathcal{G} = \mathcal{F}$  and therefore  $\mathcal{F}$  is an ultrafilter.

(iv)  $\implies$  (ii) Assume that  $A \notin \mathcal{F}$  and  $B \notin \mathcal{F}$ . One can easily check that  $\mathcal{G} = \{C \in \mathcal{P}(M) : A \cup C \in \mathcal{F}\}$  is a filter on  $M$ . A moment thought shows that  $B \in \mathcal{G}$  and  $\mathcal{G}$  dominates  $\mathcal{F}$ . Since  $B \notin \mathcal{F}$ , then  $\mathcal{F}$  is not an ultrafilter.

(ii)  $\implies$  (i) Obvious induction on  $n$ . ▷

**Remark 1.19** *Any ultrafilter  $\mathcal{U}$  on a finite set  $M$  is fixed. As we noted above  $\mathcal{U} = \mathcal{F}_A$  for some  $A \in \mathcal{U}$ . If  $\text{Card}(A) > 1$ , then  $A$  has a proper subset  $B$  and  $\mathcal{F}_B$  dominates  $\mathcal{F}_A = \mathcal{U}$  while not equal to  $\mathcal{U}$ . Hence  $\mathcal{U}$  is not an ultrafilter, contradiction. Therefore  $A$  is a singleton and  $\mathcal{U}$  is fixed.*

**Proposition 1.20** *An ultrafilter  $\mathcal{U}$  on a infinite set  $M$ . Then  $\mathcal{U}$  is free iff it dominates Frechet filter on  $M$ .*

◁ Assume  $\mathcal{F}_0(M) \not\subset \mathcal{U}$ , then there exists  $A = \{m_1, \dots, m_n\} \in \mathcal{P}_0(M)$  such that  $M \setminus A \notin \mathcal{U}$ . Therefore  $A \in \mathcal{U}$ . Since  $A = \{m_1\} \cup \dots \cup \{m_n\}$ , then  $\{m_i\} \in \mathcal{U}$  for some  $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ . Therefore  $\mathcal{F}_{\{m_i\}} \subset \mathcal{U}$ . Since  $\mathcal{F}_{\{m_i\}}$  is an ultrafilter, then  $\mathcal{U} = \mathcal{F}_{\{m_i\}}$  and  $\bigcap \mathcal{U} = \{m_i\} \neq \emptyset$ . Thus  $\mathcal{U}$  is not an ultrafilter.

Conversely, if  $\mathcal{U}$  contains Frechet filter, then  $\bigcap \mathcal{U} \subset \bigcap \mathcal{F}_0(M) = \emptyset$ . Therefore  $\mathcal{U}$  is free. ▷

**Proposition 1.21** *Let  $\varphi : M \rightarrow N$  be a map between sets  $M$  and  $N$ . Then*

- (i) *If  $\mathcal{F}$  is a filter on  $M$ , then  $\varphi_{\rightarrow}[\mathcal{F}] := \{\varphi(A) : A \in \mathcal{F}\}$  is a filter base on  $N$ .*
- (ii) *If  $\mathcal{F}$  is a filter on  $M$ , then  $\varphi_{\leftarrow}[\mathcal{F}] = \{P \in \mathcal{P}(N) : \varphi^{-1}(P) \in \mathcal{F}\}$  is a filter on  $N$ .*
- (iii)  *$\varphi_{\rightarrow}[\mathcal{F}]$  is generated by  $\varphi_{\leftarrow}[\mathcal{F}]$*
- (iv) *If  $\mathcal{F}$  is an ultrafilter on  $M$ , then  $\varphi_{\leftarrow}[\mathcal{F}]$  is an ultrafilter on  $N$ .*

◁ (i) Let  $P_1, P_2 \in \varphi_{\rightarrow}[\mathcal{F}]$ , then  $P_1 = \varphi(A_1)$ ,  $P_2 = \varphi(A_2)$ , then there exists  $P_3 = \varphi(A_1 \cap A_2) \in \varphi_{\rightarrow}[\mathcal{F}]$  such that  $P_3 \subset \varphi(A_1) \cap \varphi(A_2) = P_1 \cap P_2$ . Since  $M \in \mathcal{F}$ , then  $\varphi(M) \in \varphi_{\rightarrow}[\mathcal{F}]$  and  $\varphi_{\rightarrow}[\mathcal{F}] \neq \emptyset$ . If  $\emptyset \in \varphi_{\rightarrow}[\mathcal{F}]$ , then  $\emptyset = \varphi(A)$  for some  $A \in \mathcal{F}$ . In fact,  $A = \emptyset$ , contradiction. So  $\emptyset \notin \varphi_{\rightarrow}[\mathcal{F}]$ . Therefore  $\varphi_{\rightarrow}[\mathcal{F}]$  is a filter base on  $N$ .

(ii) Let  $P_1, P_2 \in \varphi_{\leftarrow}[\mathcal{F}]$ . Then  $\varphi^{-1}(P_1) \in \mathcal{F}$ ,  $\varphi^{-1}(P_2) \in \mathcal{F}$  and  $\varphi^{-1}(P_1 \cap P_2) = \varphi^{-1}(P_1) \cap \varphi^{-1}(P_2) \in \mathcal{F}$ , i.e.  $P_1 \cap P_2 \in \varphi_{\leftarrow}[\mathcal{F}]$ . Consider arbitrary  $A \in \varphi_{\leftarrow}[\mathcal{F}]$  and  $B \in \mathcal{P}(M)$  such that  $A \subset B$ . Since  $A \in \varphi_{\leftarrow}[\mathcal{F}]$ , then  $\varphi^{-1}(A) \in \mathcal{F}$ . Since  $A \subset B$ , then  $\varphi^{-1}(B) \supset \varphi^{-1}(A)$ . Therefore  $B \in \varphi_{\leftarrow}[\mathcal{F}]$ . Finally, if  $\emptyset \in \mathcal{G}$ , then  $\emptyset = \varphi^{-1}(\emptyset) \in \mathcal{F}$ . Contradiction, so  $\emptyset \notin \varphi_{\leftarrow}[\mathcal{F}]$ . Therefore  $\varphi_{\leftarrow}[\mathcal{F}]$  is a filter on  $N$ .

(iii) Let  $P \in \varphi_{\rightarrow}[\mathcal{F}]$ , then  $P = \varphi(A)$  for some  $A \in \mathcal{F}$ . Note that  $\varphi^{-1}(P) \supset A \in \mathcal{F}$ , so  $\varphi^{-1}(P) \in \mathcal{F}$  and  $P \in \varphi_{\rightarrow}[\mathcal{F}]$ . This means that  $\varphi_{\rightarrow}[\mathcal{F}] \subset \varphi_{\leftarrow}[\mathcal{F}]$ . Take any  $P \in \varphi_{\leftarrow}[\mathcal{F}]$ , then  $A = \varphi^{-1}(P) \in \mathcal{F}$  and  $\varphi(A) \in \varphi_{\rightarrow}[\mathcal{F}]$ . Clearly,  $\varphi(A) \subset P$ . Since  $P$  is arbitrary we conclude that  $\varphi_{\leftarrow}[\mathcal{F}]$  is generated by  $\varphi_{\rightarrow}[\mathcal{F}]$ .

(iv) Assume  $P \notin \varphi_{\leftarrow}[\mathcal{F}]$ , then  $\varphi^{-1}(P) \notin \mathcal{F}$ . As  $\mathcal{F}$  is an ultrafilter, then  $\varphi^{-1}(N \setminus P) = M \setminus \varphi^{-1}(P) \in \mathcal{F}$ . Hence  $N \setminus P \in \varphi_{\leftarrow}[\mathcal{F}]$ . Thus  $\varphi_{\leftarrow}[\mathcal{F}]$  is an ultrafilter. ▷

## 2 Filters in topology

**Definition 2.1** Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a filter on a set  $M$ , and  $\varphi : M \rightarrow X$  be a map from  $M$  to the topological space  $X$ . We say that  $x$  is a limit of  $\varphi$  along  $\mathcal{F}$  and write  $x \in \lim_{\mathcal{F}} \varphi(m)$  if

$$\mathcal{N}(x) \subset \varphi_{\leftarrow}[\mathcal{F}]$$

which is equivalent to

$$\forall U \in \mathcal{N}(x) \quad \varphi^{-1}(U) \in \mathcal{F}$$

**Remark 2.2** (i) If  $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{F}_0(\mathbb{N})$ , then we get the usual limit of a sequence. (ii) If  $M$  is a topological space and  $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{N}(m)$ , then we get the usual definition of limit of function between topological spaces. (iii) If  $M$  is a directed set and  $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{F}_M$  we get the definition of a limit of the net  $(\varphi_m)_{m \in M}$ .

**Remark 2.3** Let  $b$  be a prebase of topology  $\tau$  of a topological space  $X$ . Since all open sets are unions of finite intersections of elements of  $b$ , then it is enough to check the definition of limit along the filter not for all neighbourhoods of the point but just for elements of prebase.

**Remark 2.4** If  $\varphi(m) = x$  for all  $m \in M$  and some  $x \in X$ , then for any filter  $\mathcal{F}$  we have  $\lim_{\mathcal{F}} \varphi(m) = x$ . Indeed for any  $U \in \mathcal{N}(x)$  we have  $\varphi^{-1}(U) = M \in \mathcal{F}$ .

**Remark 2.5** If  $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{F}_A$  for some  $A \in \mathcal{P}_0(M)$ , then  $\varphi(A) \subset \text{cl}_X(\{x\})$ . Indeed, for any  $U \in \mathcal{N}(x)$  we have  $A \subset \varphi^{-1}(U)$ . This is equivalent to  $\varphi(A) \subset \text{cl}_X(\{x\})$ . If  $A = \{m\}$  we get that the limit along the fixed ultrafilter  $\mathcal{F}_{\{m\}}$  always equals  $\text{cl}_X(\varphi(m))$ .

**Proposition 2.6** Let  $\mathcal{F}_1, \mathcal{F}_2$  be two filters on a set  $M$  and  $\varphi : M \rightarrow X$  be a map from  $M$  to the topological space  $X$ . If  $\mathcal{F}_2$  dominates  $\mathcal{F}_1$ , then

$$\lim_{\mathcal{F}_1} \varphi(m) \subset \lim_{\mathcal{F}_2} \varphi(m)$$

◁ Obvious. ▷

**Proposition 2.7** *In a Hausdorff topological space, if a limit along the filter exists it is unique. In this case we will write  $x = \lim_{\mathcal{F}} \varphi(m)$*

◁ Let  $x, y \in \lim_{\mathcal{F}} \varphi(m)$ . Assume  $x \neq y$ . Since  $X$  is a Hausdorff space, then there exist  $U \in \mathcal{N}(x)$  and  $V \in \mathcal{N}(y)$  such that  $U \cap V = \emptyset$ . Since  $x, y \in \lim_{\mathcal{F}} \varphi(m)$ , then  $\varphi^{-1}(U), \varphi^{-1}(V) \in \mathcal{F}$ . In particular,  $\emptyset = \varphi^{-1}(U \cap V) = \varphi^{-1}(U) \cap \varphi^{-1}(V) \in \mathcal{F}$ . Contradiction, so  $x = y$ . ▷

**Proposition 2.8** *Let  $\mathcal{U}$  be an ultrafilter on a set  $M$ . Let  $\varphi : M \rightarrow X$  be a map from  $M$  into a compact topological space  $X$ . Then  $\lim_{\mathcal{F}} \varphi(m)$  exists.*

◁ Suppose no point in  $x \in X$  is a limit of  $\varphi$  along  $\mathcal{U}$ . Hence for every  $x \in X$  there is a neighbourhood  $U_x \in \mathcal{N}(x)$  such that  $\varphi^{-1}(U_x) \notin \mathcal{U}$ . By compactness of  $X$  a cover  $\{U_x : x \in X\}$  have a finite subcover  $\{U_{x_k} : k \in \{1, \dots, n\}\}$ . Note that

$$\varphi^{-1}(U_{x_1}) \cup \dots \cup \varphi^{-1}(U_{x_n}) = \varphi^{-1}(X) = M \in \mathcal{F}$$

Since  $\mathcal{U}$  is an ultrfilter, then  $U_{x_i} \in \mathcal{U}$  for some  $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ . Contradiction. Hence there exists an  $x \in X$  such that  $x \in \lim_{\mathcal{F}} \varphi(m)$ . ▷

**Corollary 2.9** *Let  $(x_\nu)_{\nu \in N}$  be a bounded net in  $\mathbb{C}$  and  $\mathcal{U}$  be an ultrafilter dominating section filter on  $N$ , then  $\lim_{\mathcal{U}} x_\nu$  exists and unique.*

◁ Existence follows from proposition 2.8. Uniqueness follows from proposition 2.7. ▷

**Proposition 2.10** *Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a filter on a set  $M$ ,  $X$  and  $Y$  be two topological spaces. Assume we are given a map  $\varphi : M \rightarrow X$  and a continuous map  $g : X \rightarrow Y$ . Then*

$$g\left(\lim_{\mathcal{F}} \varphi(m)\right) \subset \lim_{\mathcal{F}} g(\varphi(m))$$

◁ Let  $x \in \lim_{\mathcal{F}} \varphi(m)$ . For any  $U \in \mathcal{N}(x)$  we have  $\varphi^{-1}(U) \in \mathcal{F}$ . Let  $V \in \mathcal{N}(g(x))$ , then  $g^{-1}(V) \in \mathcal{N}(x)$  and therefore

$$(g \circ \varphi)^{-1}(V) = \varphi^{-1}(g^{-1}(V)) \in \mathcal{F}$$

Since  $V \in \mathcal{N}(x)$  is arbitrary, then  $g(x) \in \lim_{\mathcal{F}} (g \circ \varphi)(m) = \lim_{\mathcal{F}} g(\varphi(m))$  ▷

For a given family of topological spaces  $(X_\lambda)_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$  by  $\prod_{\lambda \in \Lambda} X_\lambda$  we denote their Tychonoff's product. By  $\pi_\lambda : \prod_{\lambda \in \Lambda} X_\lambda \rightarrow X_\lambda$  and  $i_\lambda : X_\lambda \rightarrow \prod_{\lambda \in \Lambda} X_\lambda$  we denote the natural projections and injections respectively. A prebase of this topology is  $\{i_\lambda(U_\lambda) : \lambda \in \Lambda, x \in X_\lambda, U_\lambda \in \mathcal{N}(x_\lambda)\}$ .

**Proposition 2.11** *Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a filter on a set  $M$ ,  $(X_\lambda)_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$  be a family of topological spaces. Assume we are given a map  $\varphi : M \rightarrow \prod_{i \in I} X_i$ , then*

$$\lim_{\mathcal{F}} \varphi(m) = \prod_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \lim_{\mathcal{F}} \pi_\lambda(\varphi(m))$$

◁ Let  $x \in X = \prod_{i \in I} X_i$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} x \in \lim_{\mathcal{F}} \varphi(m) &\iff \forall U \in \mathcal{N}(x) \quad \varphi^{-1}(U) \in \mathcal{F} \\ &\iff \forall \lambda \in \Lambda \quad \forall U_\lambda \in \mathcal{N}(x_\lambda) \quad \varphi^{-1}(i_\lambda(U_\lambda)) \in \mathcal{F} \\ &\iff \forall \lambda \in \Lambda \quad \forall U_\lambda \in \mathcal{N}(x_\lambda) \quad \varphi^{-1}(\pi_\lambda^{-1}(U_\lambda)) \in \mathcal{F} \\ &\iff \forall \lambda \in \Lambda \quad x_\lambda \in \lim_{\mathcal{F}} \pi_\lambda(\varphi(m)) \\ &\iff x \in \prod_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \lim_{\mathcal{F}} \pi_\lambda(\varphi(m)) \end{aligned}$$

▷

**Remark 2.12** As a consequence of two previous proposition we see that limits along filters act much like the usual limits. We can substitute Tychonoff's product in the role of  $X$  in the proposition 2.10. Therefore we can handle limits along filters for several variables in the limit. For example

$$\lim_{\mathcal{F}} g(\varphi_1(m), \varphi_2(m)) = g(\lim_{\mathcal{F}} \varphi_1(m), \lim_{\mathcal{F}} \varphi_2(m))$$

As the consequence, limit along any filter for a scalar valued functions is linear and multiplicative.

**Proposition 2.13** Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a filter on a set  $M$ . Assume we are given two maps  $\varphi : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  and  $\psi : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  such that there exist  $\lim_{\mathcal{F}} \varphi(m)$  and  $\lim_{\mathcal{F}} \psi(m)$ . Then

$$\forall m \in M \quad \varphi(m) \leq \psi(m) \implies \lim_{\mathcal{F}} \varphi(m) \leq \lim_{\mathcal{F}} \psi(m)$$

◁ Assume  $\lim_{\mathcal{F}} \varphi(m) - \lim_{\mathcal{F}} \psi(m) = \lim_{\mathcal{F}} (\varphi(m) - \psi(m)) = a > 0$ . Consider  $U = (a/2, 3a/2) \in \mathcal{N}(a)$ , then  $(\varphi - \psi)^{-1}(U) \in \mathcal{F}$ . On the other hand  $(\varphi - \psi)^{-1}(U) = \emptyset$  because  $\varphi(m) \leq \psi(m)$  for all  $m \in M$ . Therefore  $\emptyset \in \mathcal{F}$ . Contradiction, hence  $\lim_{\mathcal{F}} \varphi(m) \leq \lim_{\mathcal{F}} \psi(m)$ . ▷

**Definition 2.14** Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a filter on a set  $M$ , and  $\varphi : M \rightarrow X$  be a map from  $M$  to a topological space  $X$ . We say that a point  $x \in X$  is a cluster point of  $\varphi$  along filter  $\mathcal{F}$  is

$$\forall U \in \mathcal{N}(x) \quad \forall A \in \mathcal{F} \quad \varphi^{-1}(U) \cap A \neq \emptyset$$

**Proposition 2.15** Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a filter on a set  $M$ , and  $\varphi : M \rightarrow X$  be a map from  $M$  to the topological space  $X$ . Then the set of cluster point of  $\varphi$  along filter  $\mathcal{F}$  equals

$$\bigcap_{A \in \mathcal{F}} \text{cl}_X(\varphi(A))$$

◁ This is just manipulations with definitions:

$$\begin{aligned} x \in \bigcap_{A \in \mathcal{F}} \text{cl}_X(\varphi(A)) &\iff \forall A \in \mathcal{F} \quad \forall U \in \mathcal{N}(x) \quad U \cap \varphi(A) \neq \emptyset \\ &\iff \forall U \in \mathcal{N}(x) \quad \forall A \in \mathcal{F} \quad \varphi^{-1}(U) \cap A \neq \emptyset \end{aligned}$$

▷

**Proposition 2.16** Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a filter on a set  $M$ , and  $\varphi : M \rightarrow X$  be a map from  $M$  to a topological space  $X$ . Then  $x \in X$  is a cluster point of  $\varphi$  along filter  $\mathcal{F}$  iff there exists an ultrafilter  $\mathcal{G}$  dominating  $\mathcal{F}$  such that  $x \in \lim_{\mathcal{G}} \varphi(m)$

◁  $\implies$  Consider family  $\mathcal{B} = \{\varphi^{-1}(U) \cap A : A \in \mathcal{F}, U \in \mathcal{N}(x)\}$ . Since  $x$  is a cluster point of  $\varphi$  along  $\mathcal{F}$ , then  $\mathcal{B}$  is non-empty and doesn't contain an empty set. If  $A, B \in \mathcal{F}$  and  $U, V \in \mathcal{N}(x)$  then

$$(\varphi^{-1}(U) \cap A) \cap (\varphi^{-1}(V) \cap B) = \varphi^{-1}(U \cap V) \cap (A \cap B)$$

Since  $U \cap V \in \mathcal{N}(x)$  and  $A \cap B \in \mathcal{F}$ , then by definition of cluster point of  $\varphi$  along the filter  $\mathcal{F}$  the intersection is non-empty. As the consequence  $\mathcal{B}$  is a filter base. Let  $\mathcal{G}$  be an ultrafilter containing it, then  $\mathcal{G}$  dominates  $\mathcal{F}$ . Indeed, for any  $A \in \mathcal{F}$ ,  $U \in \mathcal{N}(x)$  we have  $\varphi^{-1}(U) \cap A \in \mathcal{B} \subset \mathcal{G}$ , so  $A \in \mathcal{G}$  because  $\varphi^{-1}(U) \cap A \subset A$ . For the same reason  $\varphi^{-1}(U) \in \mathcal{G}$ . Since  $U$  is arbitrary  $x \in \lim_{\mathcal{G}} \varphi(m)$

$\impliedby$  For each  $U \in \mathcal{N}(x)$  we have  $\varphi^{-1}(U) \in \mathcal{G}$ . If  $A \in \mathcal{F} \subset \mathcal{G}$ , then  $\varphi^{-1}(U) \cap A \in \mathcal{G}$  which implies  $\varphi^{-1}(U) \cap A \neq \emptyset$ . Since  $U \in \mathcal{N}(x)$  is arbitrary, then  $x$  is a cluster point of  $\varphi$  along  $\mathcal{F}$ . ▷

**Corollary 2.17** Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a filter on a set  $M$ , and  $\varphi : M \rightarrow X$  be a map from  $M$  to a compact Hausdorff space  $X$ . Then  $\lim_{\mathcal{F}} \varphi(m)$  is the only cluster point of  $\varphi$  along  $\mathcal{F}$

◁ Consider ultrafilter  $\mathcal{G}$  dominating filter  $\mathcal{F}$ . By proposition 2.8 there exists an  $x \in \lim_{\mathcal{G}} \varphi(m)$ . It is unique because  $X$  is Hausdorff. Now we apply proposition 2.16. ▷

### 3 Filters in functional analysis

Now we present a short proof of Banach-Alaoglu theorem.

**Proposition 3.1** *Let  $E$  be a normed space, then the unit ball  $B_{E^*}$  of  $E^*$  is weak\* compact.*

◁ It is enough to show that every net  $(f_\nu)_{\nu \in N} \subset B_{E^*}$  have weak\* convergent subnet. Consider ultrafilter dominating section filter of the directed set  $N$ . For each  $x \in X$  the set  $\{f_\nu(x) : \nu \in N\}$  is bounded in  $\mathbb{C}$ , so by proposition 2.9 we have a well defined map  $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{C} : x \mapsto \lim_{\mathcal{U}} f_\nu(x)$ . By remark 2.12 it is a bounded linear functional. Thus we proved that  $f$  is limit of  $1_{E^*}$  along  $\mathcal{U}$  in  $(E^*, \sigma(E^*, E))$ . By proposition 2.16 we have that  $f$  is a cluster point of  $1_{E^*}$  along  $\mathcal{F}_N$ , because  $\mathcal{U}$  is an ultrafilter that dominates section filter  $\mathcal{F}_N$ . Therefore  $f$  is an accumulation point of  $(f_\nu)_{\nu \in N}$ .

▷

Here is one more application of ultrafilters to show existence of so called Banach limits.

**Definition 3.2** *A linear functional  $f \in (\ell_\infty)^*$  is called a Banach limit if it satisfies the following conditions:*

- (i)  $f$  extend the linear functional  $f_0 : c \rightarrow \mathbb{C} : x \mapsto \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x(n)$ .
- (ii)  $\|f\| = 1$  and  $\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} x(n) \leq f(x) \leq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} x(n)$ .
- (iii)  $f(S(x)) = f(x)$  for all  $x \in \ell_\infty$  where  $S : \ell_\infty \rightarrow \ell_\infty$  is a left shift operator.
- (iv)  $f(x) \geq 0$  for all  $x \geq 0$ ,  $x \in \ell_\infty$ .

**Proposition 3.3** *A Banach limit exists.*

◁ For each  $x \in \ell_\infty$  and  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  consider number  $f_n(x) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n x(k)$ . We have the following properties for this family of functionals

(i') By Caesaro theorem  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x(n)$  for all  $x \in c$ .

(ii')  $|f_n(x)| \leq \|x\|$  for all  $x \in \ell_\infty$  and  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Even more, for all real valued  $x \in \ell_\infty$  and  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exist an  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} x(n) - \varepsilon < |f_n(x)| \leq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} x(n) + \varepsilon$  for all  $n > N$ .

(iii')  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (f_n(S(x)) - f_n(x)) = 0$  for all  $x \in \ell_\infty$

(iv')  $f_n(x) \geq 0$  for all  $x \in \ell_\infty$

Now we proceed to the proof of paragraphs (i) – (iv).

(i) Now (i') gives that  $(f_n(x))_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  is bounded sequence in  $\mathbb{C}$  for any fixed  $x \in \ell_\infty$ . Therefore we have a well defined limit  $f(x) = \lim_{\mathcal{U}} f_n(x)$  along an ultrafilter  $\mathcal{U}$  dominating section filter on  $\mathbb{N}$ . Since  $\mathcal{U}$  dominates section filter on  $\mathbb{N}$ , so  $f(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x(n)$  for all  $x \in c$ .

(ii) Taking the limit along  $\mathcal{U}$  in (ii') we get that  $|f(x)| \leq \|x\|$  for all  $x \in \ell_\infty$ , i.e.  $\|f\| \leq 1$ . Since  $f$  extend  $f_0$ , and  $\|f_0\| = 1$ , then  $\|f\| = 1$ . Again taking the limit along  $\mathcal{U}$  in the second inequality of (ii') we get  $\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} x(n) - \varepsilon < f(x) \leq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} x(n) + \varepsilon$  for all  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Since  $\varepsilon > 0$  is arbitrary we get the desired inequality.

(iii) Since  $\mathcal{U}$  dominates section filter on  $\mathbb{N}$  we have  $f(S(x)) - f(x) = \lim_{\mathcal{U}} (f_n(S(x)) - f_n(x)) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (f_n(S(x)) - f_n(x)) = 0$ .

(iv) Again taking the limit along  $\mathcal{U}$  in inequality of (iv') we get  $f(x) \geq 0$  for all  $x \geq 0$ ,  $x \in \ell_\infty$ .

▷

**Remark 3.4** Usually it is impossible to find the value of a Banach limit for a given sequence in  $\ell_\infty$ . But there could be exceptions. Consider sequence  $x \in \ell_\infty(\mathbb{N})$  given by the formula  $x(n) = (1 + (-1)^n)/2$ . Clearly,  $x + S(x) = 1_{\mathbb{N}}$ , so for any Banach limit  $f$  we have  $1 = f(1_{\mathbb{N}}) = f(x + S(x)) = f(x) + f(S(x)) = 2f(x)$ . Therefore,  $f(x) = 1/2$ .

Now we need to remind a well known definition from topology

**Definition 3.5** Let  $S$  be a discrete set. Then by  $\beta S$  we denote the set of ultrafilters on  $S$ . The prebase of the topology of  $\beta S$  is given by  $\{\mathcal{F} \in \beta S : A \notin \mathcal{F}\}$  for some  $A \in \mathcal{P}(S)$ .

One can show that

- (i)  $\beta S$  is an extremelly disconnected compact Hausdorff topological space
- (ii)  $S$  may be identified with the set of fixed ultrafilters on  $S$  and this set is dense in  $\beta S$
- (iii)  $\beta$  is freedom functor from the category of discrete spaces into the category of extremelly disconnected compact Hausdorff topological spaces.

**Proposition 3.6** The spectrum of the commutative Banach algebra  $\ell_\infty(S)$  is homeomorphic to  $\beta S$ .

◁ Take any ultrafilter  $\mathcal{U} \in \beta S$ , then we have a well defined bounded character  $f : \ell_\infty(S) \rightarrow \mathbb{C} : x \mapsto \lim_{\mathcal{U}} x(s)$ . It remains to show that any bounded character is of this form. Let  $f$  be a nonzero multiplicative functional on  $\ell_\infty(S)$ . Since  $f(1_S) = f(1_S^2) = f(1_S)^2$ , we get that  $f(1_S) = 1$  (it cannot be zero, because then  $f = 0$ ). Now let  $a \in \ell_\infty(S)$  such that  $a(s) \in \{0, 1\}$  for all  $s \in S$ . Write  $\alpha = f(a)$ . As  $a(1 - a) = 0$ , we have  $0 = f(a(1 - a)) = f(a)f(1 - a) = \alpha(1 - \alpha)$ . So either  $\alpha = 0$  or  $\alpha = 1$ . Note that we can write  $a = 1_A$  where  $A = \{s \in S : a(s) = 1\}$ . Now define  $\mathcal{U} = \{A \in \mathcal{P}(S) : f(1_A) = 1\}$ . In fact,  $\mathcal{U}$  is an ultrafilter. Indeed,

- (i)  $S \in \mathcal{U}$  (since  $f(1_S) = 1$ )
- (ii)  $A \in \mathcal{U}$  iff  $S \setminus A \notin \mathcal{U}$  because  $1_A 1_{S \setminus A} = 0$
- (iii) If  $A, B \in \mathcal{U}$ , then  $A \cap B \in \mathcal{U}$  because  $1_{A \cap B} = 1_A 1_B$
- (iv) If  $A \in \mathcal{U}$  and  $A \subset B$ , then  $B \in \mathcal{U}$  because  $1_A = 1_A 1_B$

Now let  $c \in \ell_\infty$  be positive, i.e.  $0 \leq c \leq 1$ . Define sets

$$A_j^{(n)} = \left\{ s \in S : \frac{j}{2^n} \leq c(s) < \frac{(j+1)}{2^n} \right\}$$

for  $j = \{0, \dots, 2^n - 1\}$ . For a given  $s \in S$ , these sets are pairwise disjoint and  $\bigcup_{j=0}^{2^n-1} A_j^{(n)} = S \in \mathcal{U}$ . As  $\mathcal{U}$  is an ultrafilter, for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  there is exactly one  $j(n) \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $A_{j(n)}^{(n)} \in \mathcal{U}$ , and none of the others is. Define

$$c_n = \sum_{j=0}^{2^n-1} \frac{j}{2^n} 1_{A_j^{(n)}}.$$

By construction,  $\|c - c_n\| \leq 2^{-n}$ , so  $c_n \rightarrow c$  in  $\ell_\infty(S)$ . As  $f$  is continuous, we have

$$f(c) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f(c_n) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{j=0}^{2^n-1} \frac{j}{2^n} f(1_{A_j^{(n)}}) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{j(n)}{2^n} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} c(j(n)) = \lim_{\mathcal{U}} c(n).$$

The last step is to extend  $f$  by linearity to all of  $\ell_\infty(S)$ . Therefore we showed that

$$\Phi : \text{Spec}(\ell_\infty(S)) \rightarrow \beta S : f \mapsto \mathcal{U}$$



is a bijection. We claim this is a homeomorphism. Take any element  $G$  of prebase of the topology of  $\beta S$ , then  $G = \{\mathcal{F} \in \beta S : A \notin \mathcal{F}\}$  for some  $A \in \mathcal{P}(S)$ . As was shown above  $A \in \mathcal{U} \in \beta S$  iff  $f(1_A) = 1$  for  $f = \Phi^{-1}(\mathcal{U})$ . Therefore  $\Phi^{-1}(G) = \{f \in \text{Spec}(\ell_\infty(S)) : f(1_A) \neq 1\}$  which is open in  $(\ell_\infty(S), \sigma(\ell_\infty(S)^*, \ell_\infty(S)))$  and therefore in  $\text{Spec}(\ell_\infty(S))$ . Since  $G$  is an arbitrary element of prebase of the topology of  $\beta S$ , then  $\Phi$  is continuous. Since  $\text{Spec}(\ell_\infty(S))$  and  $\beta S$  are Hausdorff compacts and  $\Phi$  is a bijection, then  $\Phi$  is a homeomorphism.  $\triangleright$

This correspondence is of use in the measure theory too. For example, one can check that for a given ultrafilter  $\mathcal{U}$ , the map

$$\mu : \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{N}) \rightarrow \mathbb{R} : A \mapsto \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } A \in \mathcal{U} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

is a finitely additive measure on  $\mathbb{N}$ . The functional  $f \in L_\infty(\mathbb{N}, \mu)^*$  corresponding to this measure is just the limit along the ultrafilter  $\mathcal{U}$ .

Another example of a finitely additive measure is as follows. For example, for a given  $A \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{N})$  define  $d_n(A) = \frac{1}{n} \text{Card}(A \cap \{1, \dots, n\})$ . By propositions 2.17 and 2.15 the set  $\bigcap_{S \in \mathcal{U}} \text{cl}_{\mathbb{R}}(\{d_n(A) : n \in S\})$  is a singleton. Hence it is of the form  $\{\mu(A)\}$ . We claim that

$$\mu : \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{N}) \rightarrow \mathbb{R} : A \mapsto \mu(A)$$

is a finitely additive measure on  $\mathbb{N}$ . It is easy to understand if one notes that this measure correspond to the Banach limit on  $\ell_\infty$  constructed in proposition 3.3.

Now we proceed to the one of the numerous applications of ultrafilters in the local theory of Banach spaces.

**Proposition 3.7** *Let  $E$  be a Banach space, such that for any its  $n$ -dimensional subspace  $F$  there exists an isomorphism  $T : F \rightarrow \ell_2^n$  with the property  $\|T\| \|T^{-1}\| \leq C$ . Then  $E$  is isomorphic to some Hilbert space.*

$\triangleleft$  We can find a family of linearly independent vectors  $\{x_\lambda : \lambda \in \Lambda\}$  such that we have the following representation  $E = \text{cl}_E(\text{span}\{x_\lambda : \lambda \in \Lambda\})$ . Denote  $E_S = \text{span}\{x_\lambda : \lambda \in S\}$  for finite subset  $S$  in  $\Lambda$ , then

$$E = \text{cl}_E(E_\infty) \quad \text{where} \quad E_\infty = \bigcup_{S \in \mathcal{P}_0(\Lambda)} E_S$$

Fix  $S \in \mathcal{P}_0(\Lambda)$ , then by assumption there exists an operator  $T_S : E_S \rightarrow \ell_2^n$  (where  $n = \text{Card}(S)$ ) such that  $\|T_S\| \|T_S^{-1}\| \leq C$ . After suitable rescaling of  $T_S$  we can assume that  $\|T_S\| \leq 1$  and  $\|T_S^{-1}\| < C$ . Consider function

$$\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{E_S} : E_S \times E_S \rightarrow \mathbb{R} : (x, y) \mapsto \langle T_S(x), T_S(y) \rangle_{\ell_2^n}$$

Since  $T_S$  is an isomorphism this map is inner product, and what is more

$$C^{-2} \|x\|^2 \leq \langle x, x \rangle_{E_S} \leq \|x\|^2 \quad (1)$$

As the strange consequence for a fixed  $x \in E_\infty$  the sequence  $\{\langle x, x \rangle_{E_S} : S \in \mathcal{P}_0(\Lambda)\}$  is a subset of Hausdorff compact  $[0, \|x\|^2] \subset \mathbb{R}$ .

On a directed set  $(\mathcal{P}_0(\Lambda), \subset)$  with standard ordering consider respective section filter  $\mathcal{F}$  and an ultrafilter  $\mathcal{U}$  dominating  $\mathcal{F}$ . Define the map

$$\|\cdot\|_{E_\infty} : E_\infty \rightarrow \mathbb{R} : x \mapsto \lim_{\mathcal{U}} \langle x, x \rangle_{E_S}^{1/2}$$

It is well defined because limit along ultrafilter for any sequence contained in a Hausdorff compact exists and unique.

One can check that  $\|\cdot\|_{E_\infty}$  is a norm satisfying parallelogram law. By Jordan von Neumann theorem we have well defined inner product

$$\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{E_\infty} : E_\infty \times E_\infty \rightarrow \mathbb{C} : (x, y) \mapsto \sum_{k=1}^4 \frac{i^k}{4} \|x + i^k y\|_{E_\infty}^2$$

Since  $E = \text{cl}_E(E_\infty)$ , there is continuous extension  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_E$  of  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{E_\infty}$  to the inner product on the whole  $E$ . Now from 1 it follows that norm  $\|\cdot\|_E$  induced by  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_E$  is equivalent to the original norm of  $E$ . Hence identity map

$$1_E : (E, \|\cdot\|) \rightarrow (E, \|\cdot\|_E) : x \mapsto x$$

gives the desired isomorphism.  $\triangleright$

## References

- [1] *Sleziak M.*  $\mathcal{F}$ -convergence, filters and nets.
- [2] *Bourbaki N.* Eléments de mathématiques. Hermann, 1963.
- [3] *Engelking R.* General topology. Heldermann, 1989.