

A note on relatively injective $C_0(S)$ -modules $C_0(S)$

N. T. Nemesh

Abstract: In this note we discuss some necessary and some sufficient conditions for relative injectivity of the $C_0(S)$ -module $C_0(S)$, where S is a locally compact Hausdorff space. We also give a Banach module version of Sobczyk's theorem. The main result of the paper is as follows: if $C_0(S)$ -module $C_0(S)$ is relatively injective then the equality $S = \beta(S \setminus \{s\})$ holds for any limit point $s \in S$.

Keywords: injective Banach module, $C_0(S)$ -space, almost compact space.

1 Introduction

Extension problems have been an important topic of functional analysis since its inception. The first example of successfully solved extension problem is the Hahn-Banach theorem [1, 2, 3]. In modern terms this theorem states that the field of complex numbers is an injective object in the category of Banach spaces. All known injective Banach spaces are isomorphic to the space of continuous functions on some compact space [4]. This fact motivates our study of injectivity of spaces of continuous functions, but this time we consider them as Banach modules.

2 Preliminaries

Before we proceed to the main topic we shall give a few definitions and notations.

Let M be a subset of a set N , then χ_M denotes the indicator function of M . If $f : N \rightarrow L$ is an arbitrary function, then $f|_M$ denotes its restriction to M .

Let S be a Hausdorff topological space. The space S is called *extremally disconnected* if any open subset of S has open closure; *Stonean* if it is extremally disconnected and compact; *pseudocompact* if any continuous function on S is bounded. If S is non-compact locally compact, then by αS we denote the *Alexandroff's compactification* of S , and by βS we denote *Stone-Cech compactification* of S . The *Stone-Cech remainder* $\beta S \setminus S$ we denote by S^* . A non-compact Hausdorff topological space S is called *almost compact* if $\alpha S = \beta S$. A typical example of almost compact space is $[0, \omega_1)$, where ω_1 is the first uncountable ordinal [6, paragraph 1.3]. More on extremally disconnected, pseudocompact and almost compact spaces can be found in [5, section 6.2], [5, section 3.10] and [6, paragraph 1.3] respectively.

For a given non-compact locally compact Hausdorff space S we can consider filter base \mathcal{B}_S consisting of complements of compact subsets of S . The filter \mathcal{F}_S generated by \mathcal{B}_S is called the *Frechet filter* on S . Now we can introduce several functional spaces on S . By $C(S)$ we denote the space of continuous functions on S . This space is normable if S is compact. By $C_b(S)$ we denote the Banach space of continuous bounded functions on S . Symbol $C_l(S)$ denotes the space of continuous functions that converge to a finite limit along filter \mathcal{F}_S . By $C_0(S)$ we denote the closed subspace of $C_l(S)$ of functions that converge to zero along \mathcal{F}_S (we also say that these functions vanish at infinity). If S is compact all these spaces coincide with $C(S)$.

Let A be a Banach algebra. We shall consider only right Banach modules over A with contractive outer action $\cdot : X \times A \rightarrow X$. Let X and Y be two right Banach A -modules, then a

map $\phi : X \rightarrow Y$ is an *A-morphism* if it is a continuous *A*-module map. Banach *A*-modules and *A*-morphisms form a category which we denote by **mod** – *A*.

In **mod** – *A* the notion of injectivity can be defined in different ways. Let $\xi : X \rightarrow Y$ be an *A*-morphism. Then it is called *relatively admissible* if $\eta \circ \xi = 1_X$ for some bounded linear operator $\eta : Y \rightarrow X$; *topologically admissible* if ξ is a linear homeomorphism on its image; *metrically admissible* if ξ is isometric. A Banach *A*-module *J* is called *relatively injective* (resp. *topologically injective*, resp. *metrically injective*) if for any relatively (resp. topologically, resp. metrically) admissible *A*-morphism $\xi : X \rightarrow Y$ and any *A*-morphism $\phi : X \rightarrow J$ there exists a continuous (resp. continuous, resp. continuous with the same norm as ϕ) *A*-module map $\psi : Y \rightarrow J$ making the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & & Y \\ & \nearrow \psi & \uparrow \xi \\ J & \xleftarrow{\phi} & X \end{array}$$

commutative.

If $A = \{0\}$, the category **mod** – *A* turns into the ordinary category of Banach spaces. In this case all Banach spaces are relatively injective. As for topologically and metrically injective Banach spaces, in the standard literature they are called \mathcal{P}_λ -spaces and \mathcal{P}_1 -spaces respectively. To this day there is no clear description of \mathcal{P}_λ -spaces [8, page vi], but for \mathcal{P}_1 -spaces the question is closed. Any \mathcal{P}_1 -space is isometrically isomorphic to $C(S)$ -space, where *S* is a Stonean space [9].

3 Necessary and sufficient conditions for injectivity

In this section we shall discuss necessary and sufficient conditions for the relative injectivity of $C_0(S)$ -module $C_0(S)$, where *S* is a locally compact Hausdorff space. We start from a quite restrictive sufficient condition.

Proposition 3.1. *Let *S* be a Stonean space. Then $C(S)$ -module $C(S)$ is relatively injective.*

Proof. Denote $A = C(S)$. Since *S* is Stonean, then *A* is an AW^* -algebra [10, section 1, paragraph 7]. It was shown in [11, theorem 2] that any AW^* -algebra is a metrically injective bimodule over itself. Careful inspection of the proof shows that the same argument is valid for the right *A*-module *A*. It remains to recall that any metrically injective module is relatively injective. \square

To give a rather burdensome necessary condition for relative injectivity of $C(S)$ -module $C(S)$ we start from somewhat specific case.

Proposition 3.2. *Let *S* be a non-compact locally compact Hausdorff space. Suppose $C(\alpha S)$ -module $C(\alpha S)$ is relatively injective. Then *S* is almost compact.*

Proof. Obviously $C(\alpha S)$ and $C_l(S)$ are isometrically isomorphic as Banach algebras, so $C_l(S)$ -module $C_l(S)$ is relatively injective. Note that $C_0(S)$ is a two sided ideal of $C_l(S)$ consisting of functions that vanish at infinity. This ideal is complemented via projection $P : C_l(S) \rightarrow C_0(S) : x \mapsto x - (\lim_{\mathcal{F}_S} x(s))\chi_S$. Consider an isometric embedding $\xi : C_0(S) \rightarrow C_l(S) : x \mapsto x$ which is a $C_l(S)$ -morphism. Since $P \circ \xi = 1_{C_0(S)}$, then ξ is relatively admissible.

Fix $f \in C_b(S)$ and consider $C_l(S)$ -morphism $\phi : C_0(S) \rightarrow C_l(S) : x \mapsto f \cdot x$. Since $C_l(S)$ is relatively injective $C_l(S)$ -module, then there exists a $C_l(S)$ -morphism $\psi : C_l(S) \rightarrow C_l(S)$ such that $\phi = \psi \circ \xi$. In particular, for all $x \in C_0(S)$ we have $f \cdot x = \phi(x) = \psi(\xi(x)) = \psi(x) = \psi(x \cdot \chi_S) =$

$x \cdot \psi(\chi_S)$. Fix $s \in S$. Since S is locally compact and Hausdorff, then by [5, corollary 3.3.3] there exists a continuous function $e \in C_0(S)$ such that $e(s) = 1$. Hence, $f(s) = f(s)e(s) = (f \cdot e)(s) = (e \cdot \psi(\chi_S))(s) = e(s)\psi(\chi_S)(s) = \psi(\chi_S)(s)$. Since $s \in S$ is arbitrary $f = \psi(\chi_S)$. By construction $\psi(\chi_S) \in C_l(S)$, so $f \in C_l(S)$. Recall that $f \in C_b(S)$ is arbitrary, so $C_b(S) \subset C_l(S)$. This is possible only if $C_b(S) = C_l(S)$.

Note that in the category of Banach spaces $C_b(S)$ is isometrically isomorphic to $C(\beta S)$, and $C_l(S)$ is isometrically isomorphic to $C(\alpha S)$. So we conclude that Banach spaces $C(\beta S)$ and $C(\alpha S)$ are isometrically isomorphic. By Banach-Stone theorem [12, theorem 83] the spaces αS and βS are homeomorphic. Therefore S is almost compact. \square

Now its time to introduce yet another notion of compactness.

Definition 3.3. *A compact Hausdorff space S is called uniformly compact if for every limit point $s \in S$ the space $S \setminus \{s\}$ is almost compact.*

In other words a compact Hausdorff space S is uniformly compact if for every limit point $s \in S$ we have $S = \beta(S \setminus \{s\})$.

Proposition 3.4. *Stonean spaces are uniformly compact.*

Proof. Let S be a Stonean space and $s \in S$ be its limit point. Denote $S_\circ = S \setminus \{s\}$. Since S is compact and S_\circ is its open subset then S_\circ is locally compact. As s is a limit point in S , then space S_\circ is non-compact and $\alpha S_\circ = S$. Let $A, B \subset S_\circ$ be two completely separated subsets. By definition it means that there exists a continuous function $f : S_\circ \rightarrow [0, 1]$ such that $f(A) = \{0\}$ and $f(B) = \{1\}$. Consider disjoint open sets $U = f^{-1}([0, 1/3)) \subset S_\circ$ and $V = f^{-1}((1/2, 1]) \subset S_\circ$. Clearly, U and V are open in S . Since S is extremally disconnected then U and V have disjoint closures in S . As $A \subset U$, $B \subset V$, the sets A and B also have disjoint closures in S . By theorem [5, theorem 3.6.2] we get that $\beta S_\circ = \alpha S_\circ = S$. \square

Corollary 3.5. *A metrizable space is uniformly compact if and only if it is finite.*

Proof. Let S be a metrizable space with topology induced by metric d . Suppose that S is uniformly compact. Assume that S has a limit point $s \in S$. Then the space $S_\circ = S \setminus \{s\}$ is almost compact and therefore pseudocompact [6, proposition 1.3.10]. Consider continuous function $f : S_\circ \rightarrow \mathbb{R} : x \mapsto d(x, s)^{-1}$. This function is unbounded because $s \in S$ is a limit point. Therefore S_\circ is not pseudocompact. Contradiction. Thus S is a compact metric space without limit points, hence S is finite.

Conversely, if S is finite it is vacuously uniformly compact. \square

The following example is due to K. P. Hart.

Proposition 3.6. *The Tychonoff's product of an uncountable family of compact Hausdorff spaces that are not singletons is uniformly compact.*

Proof. Let $\mathcal{S} = (S_\lambda)_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$ be a family of compact Hausdorff spaces. By Tychonoff's theorem their product S is compact [5, theorem 3.2.4]. Let $s \in S$ be a limit point in S . Since the spaces S_λ are not singletons for all $\lambda \in \Lambda$, then there exists a point $s' \in S$ such that $s_\lambda \neq s'_\lambda$ for all $\lambda \in \Lambda$. Let $\Sigma(s')$ be the Σ -product of \mathcal{S} at point s' , that is $\Sigma(s')$ consists of all points in S that differ from s' at at most countably many coordinates. By [5, exercise 3.12.24(c)] we have $S = \beta(\Sigma(s'))$. Since $\Sigma(s') \subset S \setminus \{s\} \subset S = \beta(\Sigma(s'))$, then by [5, corollary 3.6.9] $\beta(S \setminus \{s\}) = \beta(\Sigma(s')) = S$. As $s \in S$ is an arbitrary limit point, then S is uniformly compact. \square

In some cases the property of being uniformly compact depends on the set of axioms of the set theory. By ZFC we denote the standard Zermelo-Fraenkel set theory together with the axiom of choice. By CH_n we denote the axiom that the cardinality of continuum equals the n -th uncountable cardinal. Finally, MA stands for the Martin's axiom. For details see [13]. On the one hand \mathbb{N}^* is not uniformly compact in $\text{ZFC} + \text{CH}_1$ [14]. On the other hand, it is consistent with $\text{ZFC} + \text{MA} + \text{CH}_2$ that \mathbb{N}^* is uniformly compact [15].

We are ready to formulate the main result of the paper.

Theorem 3.7. *Let S be a locally compact Hausdorff space. If $C_0(S)$ -module $C_0(S)$ is relatively injective, then S is uniformly compact.*

Proof. Since $C_0(S)$ -module $C_0(S)$ is relatively injective from [16, corollary 2.2.8 (i)] we know that $C_0(S)$ has a left identity. Therefore $\chi_S \in C_0(S)$, hence S is compact. Let s be a non-isolated point in S and $S_\circ = S \setminus \{s\}$. Since $\alpha S_\circ = S$, we see that $C(\alpha S_\circ)$ -module $C(\alpha S_\circ)$ is relatively injective. By proposition 3.2 the space S_\circ is almost compact. Since $s \in S$ is arbitrary the space S is uniformly compact. \square

Corollary 3.8. *Let S be a compact metrizable space. If $C(S)$ -module $C(S)$ is relatively injective then S is finite.*

Proof. The result directly follows from theorem 3.7 and corollary 3.5. \square

Currently all known examples of locally compact spaces S such that $C_0(S)$ -module $C_0(S)$ is relatively injective are extremally disconnected. It would be interesting to get non-extremally disconnected examples, if they exist. The first candidate is the space \mathbb{N}^* . It is not extremally disconnected [5, example 6.2.31], but it is uniformly compact under certain set theoretic assumptions. However $C(\mathbb{N}^*)$ is not an injective Banach space [17, corollary 2]. Thanks to proposition 3.6 another possible candidate is an uncountable power of the discrete space $\{0, 1\}$.

4 A module version of Sobczyk's theorem

In classical Banach space theory all infinite dimensional injective Banach spaces are non-separable since all these spaces contain a copy of $\ell_\infty(\mathbb{N})$ [18, corollary 1.1.4]. Sobczyk showed that c_0 is an injective space but among separable Banach spaces [19, theorem 5]. Later Zippin, showed that all spaces injective in the category of separable Banach spaces are isomorphic to c_0 [20]. Here we shall show that for any set Λ the $\ell_\infty(\Lambda)$ -module $c_0(\Lambda)$ is relatively injective. Note that by theorem 3.7 the $c_0(\Lambda)$ -module $c_0(\Lambda)$ is not relatively injective for infinite Λ .

Now we need to recall a few notions from Banach space theory. A bounded linear operator T is called *weakly compact* if it maps bounded sets into relatively weakly compact sets. A bounded linear operator T is called *completely continuous* if it maps weakly convergent sequences into norm convergent sequences.

A Banach space E is called a *Grothendieck space* if every weak* convergent sequence in E^* converges weakly. Obviously all reflexive spaces are Grothendieck spaces. A Banach space E is called *weakly compactly generated* if there is a weakly compact set $K \subset E$ whose linear span is dense in E . Typical examples of weakly compactly generated spaces are reflexive spaces and separable spaces [21, paragraph 13.1]. Finally, we say that a Banach space E has the *Dunford-Pettis property* if for any weakly null $(f_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset E^*$ and any weakly null sequence $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset E$ holds $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n(x_n) = 0$. For any compact space S the Banach space $C(S)$ has the Dunford-Pettis property [23].

Proposition 4.1. *Any bounded linear operator $T : \ell_\infty(\Lambda) \rightarrow c_0(\Lambda)$ is weakly compact and even completely continuous.*

Proof. Note that $\ell_\infty(\Lambda)$ is isometrically isomorphic to $C(\beta\Lambda)$. Since $\beta\Lambda$ is a Stonean space, then by [22, theorem 9, p. 168] the space $\ell_\infty(\Lambda)$ is a Grothendieck space. The space $c_0(\Lambda)$ is weakly compactly generated [21, paragraph 13.1 example (iii)]. Then the operator T is weakly compact [21, exercise 13.33]. Again, since the space $\ell_\infty(\Lambda)$ is a $C(S)$ -space for $S = \beta\Lambda$, then it has the Dunford-Pettis property [21, theorem 13.43]. Therefore any weakly compact operator with domain $\ell_\infty(\Lambda)$ is completely continuous [21, proposition 13.42]. \square

Proposition 4.2. *Let Λ be an infinite set and $x : \Lambda \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be a function such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x(\lambda_n) = 0$ for all sequences $(\lambda_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ of distinct elements in Λ . Then $\lim_{\mathcal{F}_\Lambda} x(\lambda) = 0$.*

Proof. Suppose it is not true that $\lim_{\mathcal{F}_\Lambda} x(\lambda) = 0$. Then there exists an $\epsilon > 0$ such that for any $L \in \mathcal{F}_\Lambda$ there exists a $\lambda \in L$ with the property $|x(\lambda)| > \epsilon$. By induction we can construct a sequence $(\lambda_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ of distinct elements in Λ such that $|x(\lambda_k)| \geq \epsilon$. Therefore $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} x(\lambda_k) \neq 0$. Contradiction. \square

Proposition 4.3. *Let Λ be an infinite set. Then for any bounded linear operator $T : \ell_\infty(\Lambda) \rightarrow c_0(\Lambda)$ holds $\lim_{\mathcal{F}_\Lambda} T(\chi_{\{\lambda\}})(\lambda) = 0$.*

Proof. Take an arbitrary sequence $(\lambda_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ of distinct elements in Λ . Then $(\chi_{\{\lambda_n\}})_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ weakly converges to 0 in $c_0(\Lambda)$, and a fortiori in $\ell_\infty(\Lambda)$. By proposition 4.1 the operator T is completely continuous, so $T(\chi_{\{\lambda_n\}})$ converges to 0 in norm. In particular, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} T(\chi_{\{\lambda_n\}})(\lambda_n) = 0$. Now from proposition 4.2 we get the desired equality. \square

Theorem 4.4. *For any set Λ the right $\ell_\infty(\Lambda)$ -module $c_0(\Lambda)$ is relatively injective.*

Proof. Assume that Λ is infinite. By [7, proposition IV.1.39] it is enough to show that the morphism of right $\ell_\infty(\Lambda)$ -modules $\rho : c_0(\Lambda) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(\ell_\infty(\Lambda), c_0(\Lambda)) : x \mapsto (a \mapsto x \cdot a)$ admits a left inverse. It does exist. Consider linear operator $\tau : \mathcal{B}(\ell_\infty(\Lambda), c_0(\Lambda)) \rightarrow c_0(\Lambda) : T \mapsto (\lambda \mapsto T(\chi_{\{\lambda\}})(\lambda))$. By proposition 4.3 this is a well defined linear operator. One can easily check that τ is even a contractive morphism of right $\ell_\infty(\Lambda)$ -modules.

If Λ is finite it is a Stonean space. Then $c_0(\Lambda) = \ell_\infty(\Lambda) = C(\Lambda)$ and by proposition 3.1 the $\ell_\infty(\Lambda)$ -module $c_0(\Lambda)$ is relatively injective. \square

5 Funding

This research was supported by the Russian Foundation for Basic Research (grant no. 19-01-00447).

References

- [1] *Hahn, H.*, Über lineare Gleichungssysteme in linearen Räumen., J. Reine Angew. Math., 157, 214–229, 1927.
- [2] *Banach, S.*, Sur les fonctionnelles linéaires, Stud. Math., 1(1), 211–216, 1929.
- [3] *Banach, S.*, Sur les fonctionnelles linéaires II, Stud. Math., 1(1), 223–239, 1929.

- [4] *Blasco, J.L. and Ivorra, C.*, On constructing injective spaces of type $C(K)$, *Indagationes Mathematicae*, 9(12), 161–172, 1998.
- [5] *Engelking, R.*, General topology, 1977.
- [6] *Hrušák, M. and Tamariz-Mascarúa, A. and Tkachenko, M.*, Pseudocompact topological spaces, Springer, 2018.
- [7] *Helemskii, A. Ya.*, The homology of Banach and topological algebras, Springer, 1989.
- [8] *Avilés, A. and Sánchez, F. C. and Castillo, J. MF. and González, M. and Moreno, Y.*, Separably injective Banach spaces, 17–65, 2016.
- [9] *Hasumi, M.*, The extension property of complex Banach spaces, *Tohoku Mathematical Journal*, Second Series, 10(2), 135–142, 1958.
- [10] *Berberian, S. K.* Baer *-rings, 195, 2010.
- [11] *Takesaki, M.*, On the Hahn-Banach type theorem and the Jordan decomposition of module linear mapping over some operator algebras, *Kodai Mathematical Seminar Reports*, 12, 1–10, 1960.
- [12] *Stone, M. H.*, Applications of the theory of Boolean rings to general topology, *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society*, 41(3), 375–481, 1937.
- [13] *Kunen, K.*, Set theory an introduction to independence proofs, Elsevier, 2014.
- [14] *Fine, N. J. and Gillman, L.* Extension of continuous functions in $\beta\mathbf{N}$ *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 66, 376–381, 1960.
- [15] *van Douwen, E. and Kunen, K. and van Mill, J.* There can be C^* -embedded dense proper subspaces in $\beta\omega - \omega$, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 105(2), 462–470, 1989.
- [16] *Ramsden, P.*, Homological properties of semigroup algebras, The University of Leeds, 2009.
- [17] *Amir, D.*, Projections onto continuous function spaces, *Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society*, 15(3), 396–402, 1964.
- [18] *Rosenthal, H.*, On relatively disjoint families of measures, with some applications to Banach space theory *Stud. Math.*, 37(1), 13–36, 1970.
- [19] *Sobczyk, A.*, Projection of the space (m) on its subspace (c_0) , *Bulletin of the American Mathematical Society*, 47(12), 938–947, 1941.
- [20] *Zippin, M.*, The separable extension problem, *Israel Journal of Mathematics*, 26(3–4), 372–387, 1977.
- [21] *Fabian, M. and Habala, P. and Hajek, P. and Montesinos, V. and Zizler, V.* Banach space theory. The basis for linear and non-linear analysis. Springer, 2011.
- [22] *Grothendieck, A.*, Sur les applications linéaires faiblement compactes d’espaces du type $C(K)$, *Canadian Journal of Mathematics*, 5, 129–173, 1953.

- [23] *Dunford, N. and Pettis, B. J.*, Linear operations on summable functions, Transactions of the American Mathematical Society, 47(3), 323–392, 1940.

Norbert Nemesh, Faculty of Mechanics and Mathematics, Moscow State University, Moscow
119991 Russia

E-mail: nemeshnorbert@yandex.ru