

Atmospheric Retrievals with petitRADTRANS

- Evert Nasedkin 6 1*¶, Paul Mollière 1*, and Doriann Blain 1
- 1 Max Planck Institut für Astronomie, DE ¶ Corresponding author * These authors contributed equally.

DOI: 10.xxxxx/draft

Software

- Review 🗗
- Repository 🗗
- Archive ♂

Editor: Open Journals ♂

Reviewers:

@openjournals

Submitted: 01 January 1970 **Published:** unpublished

License

Authors of papers retain copyright and release the work under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (CC BY 4.0)₆

Summary

13

18

19

petitradition pe

Statement of need

Atmospheric retrievals are a cornerstone of exoplanet atmospheric characterisation. pRT is a powerful and user-friendly tool, and is unique in its abilities to characterise exoplanets in both emission and transmission. Various thermal structures, chemistry and cloud parameterisations and opacity calculation methods can be combined and used to perform parameter estimation and model comparison for a given atmospheric spectrum. With increasing volumes of both ground- and space-based spectra available, it is necessary for exoplanet researchers to have access to a range of characterisation tools.

petitRADTANS Retrieval Module

The Retrieval module combines the Radtrans forward modelling class with a nested sampler via a likelihood function to perform an atmospheric retrieval. Both MultiNest (J. Buchner et al., 2014; F. Feroz et al., 2009; Farhan Feroz et al., 2019; F. Feroz & Hobson, 2008) and Ultranest (J. Buchner et al., 2014; Johannes Buchner, 2019) samplers are available, with both offering MPI implementations that allow for easy parallelisation across a large cluster.

Datasets, priors and other retrieval hyper parameters are set through the RetrievalConfig class, while the models module includes a range of complete atmospheric models that can be fit to the data. Users can also define their own model function, either by making use of temperature profiles from the physics module and chemistry parameterisations from the chemistry module or by implementing their own forward model.

Multiple datasets can be included into a single retrieval, with each dataset receiving its own
Radtrans object used for the radiative transfer calculation where some or all forward model
parameters may be shared between the different data sets. This allows for highly flexible
retrievals where multiple spectral resolutions, wavelength ranges and even atmospheric models
can be combined in a single retrieval. Each dataset can also receive scaling factors (for the
flux, uncertainties or both), error inflation factors and offsets. The model functions are used



to compute a spectrum \vec{S} , which is convolved to the instrumental resolution and binned to the wavelength bins of the data using a custom binning function to account for non-uniform bin sizes. The resulting spectrum compared to the data with flux \vec{F} and covariance C in the likelihood function:

$$-2\log \mathcal{L} = (\vec{S} - \vec{F})^{T} \mathbf{C}^{-1} (\vec{S} - \vec{F}) + \log (2\pi \det (\mathbf{C})).$$
 (1)

The second term is included in the likelihood to allow for uncertainties to vary as a free parameter during the retrieval, and penalizes overly large uncertainties.

pRT can compute spectra either using line-by-line calculations, or using correlated-k (c-k) tables for defining the opacities of molecular species. We include up-to-date correlated-k line lists from Exomol (Chubb et al., 2020; McKemmish et al., 2016; Polyansky et al., 2018; Tennyson & Yurchenko, 2012) and HITEMP Hargreaves et al. (2020), with the full set of available opacities listed in the online documentation. The exo-k package is used to resample the the correlated-k opacity tables to a lower spectral resolution in order to reduce the computation 51 time (Leconte, 2021). Combining the c-k opacities of multiple species requires mixing the 52 distributions in g space. This operation is necessary when calculating emission spectra, and 53 accounting for multiple scattering in the clouds. Previously, this was accomplished by taking 1000 samples of each distribution. This sampling process resulted in non-deterministic spectral calculations with a small (up to 1%) scatter about the expected result, which could lead to 56 unexpected behaviour from the nested sampler as the same set of parameters could result in 57 different log-likelihood. pRT has been updated to fully mix the c-k distributions, iteratively mixing in any species with a significant opacity contribution: a species is only mixed in if the highest opacity value in a given spectral bin is larger than a threshold value. This theshold value is obtained by listing the smallest opacity value for every species in a given spectral bin, and then setting the threshold to 1% of the largest value from the list for each spectral bin. The resulting grid is linearly interpolated back to the 16 g points at each pressure and frequency bin as required by pRT. This fully deterministic process stabilized the log-likelihood calculations in the retrievals, and resulted in a 5× improvement in the speed of the c-k mixing 65 function.

Various thermal, chemical and cloud parameterisations are available in pRT. Built in temperature profiles range from interpolated splines to physically motivated profiles as in Guillot (2010) and Mollière et al. (2020). Equilibrium and disequilibrium chemistry can be interpolated from a pre-computed grid on-the-fly. Chemical abundances can also be freely retrieved, with the additional possibility of using a combination of free and chemically consistent abundances. Cloud parameterisations range from a 'grey' continuum opacity applied at all wavelengths, to clouds parameterised as in Ackerman & Marley (2001), using log-normal or Hansen (1971) particle size distributions with real optical opacities for different compositions and particle shapes, and including self-scattering. Users can also pass functions to the Radtrans object that encode any absorption or scattering opacity as a function of wavelength and atmospheric position, allowing for a generic cloud implementation. Included in pRT is an option to use an adaptive pressure grid with a higher resolution around the location of the cloud base, allowing for more precise positioning of the cloud layers within the atmosphere.

Photometric data are fully incorporated into the retrieval process. The spectral model is multiplied by a filter transmission profile from the SVO database using the species package (Stolker et al., 2020). This results in accurate synthetic photometry, which can be compared to the values specied by the user with the add_photometry function.

Publication-ready summary plots of best fits, temperature and abundance profiles and corner plots can be automatically generated. Multiple retrieval results can be combined in the plots for model intercomparisons. Such results have been benchmarked against other widely used retrieval codes, in particular as part of the JWST Early Release Science program (Welbanks et al, in prep).



Acknowledgements

- We gratefully acknowledge contributions to petitRADTRANS from Eleonara Alei, Karan
- 91 Molaverdikhani, Francois Rozet, Aaron David Schneider, Tomas Stolker, Nick Wogan and
- 92 Mantas Zilinskas.

References

- Ackerman, A. S., & Marley, M. S. (2001). Precipitating Condensation Clouds in Substellar Atmospheres. 556(2), 872–884. https://doi.org/10.1086/321540
- Buchner, Johannes. (2019). Collaborative Nested Sampling: Big Data versus Complex Physical Models. 131(1004), 108005. https://doi.org/10.1088/1538-3873/aae7fc
- Buchner, J., Georgakakis, A., Nandra, K., Hsu, L., Rangel, C., Brightman, M., Merloni,
 A., Salvato, M., Donley, J., & Kocevski, D. (2014). X-ray spectral modelling of the
 AGN obscuring region in the CDFS: Bayesian model selection and catalogue. 564, A125.
 https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/201322971
- Chubb, K. L., Rocchetto, M., Al-Refaie, A. F., Waldmann, I., Min, M., Barstow, J., Molliére, P.,
 Phillips, M. W., Tennyson, J., & Yurchenko, S. N. (2020). The ExoMolOP Database: Crosssections and k-tables for Molecules of Interest in High-Temperature Exoplanet Atmospheres.

 A&A.
- Feroz, F., & Hobson, M. P. (2008). Multimodal nested sampling: An efficient and robust alternative to Markov Chain Monte Carlo methods for astronomical data analyses. 384(2), 449–463. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2966.2007.12353.x
- Feroz, F., Hobson, M. P., & Bridges, M. (2009). MULTINEST: An efficient and robust Bayesian inference tool for cosmology and particle physics. 398(4), 1601–1614. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2966.2009.14548.x
- Feroz, Farhan, Hobson, M. P., Cameron, E., & Pettitt, A. N. (2019). Importance Nested Sampling and the MultiNest Algorithm. *The Open Journal of Astrophysics*, 2(1), 10. https://doi.org/10.21105/astro.1306.2144
- Guillot, T. (2010). On the radiative equilibrium of irradiated planetary atmospheres. 520, A27. https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/200913396
- Hansen, J. E. (1971). Multiple Scattering of Polarized Light in Planetary Atmospheres Part II.

 Sunlight Reflected by Terrestrial Water Clouds. *Journal of Atmospheric Sciences*, 28(8), 1400–1426. https://doi.org/10.1175/1520-0469(1971)028%3C1400:MSOPLI%3E2.0.CO;2
- Hargreaves, R. J., Gordon, I. E., Rey, M., Nikitin, A. V., Tyuterev, V. G., Kochanov, R. V., & Rothman, L. S. (2020). An Accurate, Extensive, and Practical Line List of Methane for the HITEMP Database. 247(2), 55. https://doi.org/10.3847/1538-4365/ab7a1a
- Leconte, J. (2021). Spectral binning of precomputed correlated-k coefficients. 645, A20. https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/202039040
- Madhusudhan, N., & Seager, S. (2009). A Temperature and Abundance Retrieval Method for Exoplanet Atmospheres. 707(1), 24–39. https://doi.org/10.1088/0004-637X/707/1/24
- McKemmish, L. K., Yurchenko, S. N., & Tennyson, J. (2016). ExoMol Molecular linelists
 XVIII. The spectrum of Vanadium Oxide. Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc., 463, 771–793.
 https://doi.org/10.1093/mnras/stw1969
- Mollière, P., Stolker, T., Lacour, S., Otten, G. P. P. L., Shangguan, J., Charnay, B., Molyarova, T., Nowak, M., Henning, Th., Marleau, G.-D., Semenov, D. A., van Dishoeck, E., Eisenhauer, F., Garcia, P., Garcia Lopez, R., Girard, J. H., Greenbaum, A. Z., Hinkley, S.,



- Kervella, P., ... Zurlo, A. (2020). Retrieving scattering clouds and disequilibrium chemistry in the atmosphere of HR 8799e. *640*, A131. https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/202038325
- Mollière, P., Wardenier, J. P., Boekel, R. van, Henning, Th., Molaverdikhani, K., & Snellen,
 I. A. G. (2019). petitRADTRANS: A Python radiative transfer package for exoplanet
 characterization and retrieval. A&A, 627, A67. https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/
 201935470
- Polyansky, O. L., Kyuberis, A. A., Zobov, N. F., Tennyson, J., Yurchenko, S. N., & Lodi, L. (2018). ExoMol molecular line lists XXX: A complete high-accuracy line list for water. Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc., 480, 2597–2608. https://doi.org/10.1093/mnras/sty1877
- Rothman, L. S., Gordon, I. E., Barber, R. J., Dothe, H., Gamache, R. R., Goldman, A., Perevalov, V. I., Tashkun, S. A., & Tennyson, J. (2010). HITEMP, the high-temperature molecular spectroscopic database. 111, 2139–2150. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jqsrt.2010. 05.001
- Stolker, T., Quanz, S. P., Todorov, K. O., Kühn, J., Mollière, P., Meyer, M. R., Currie, T., Daemgen, S., & Lavie, B. (2020). MIRACLES: atmospheric characterization of directly imaged planets and substellar companions at 4-5 μ m. I. Photometric analysis of β Pic b, HIP 65426 b, PZ Tel B, and HD 206893 B. 635, A182. https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/201937159
- Tennyson, J., & Yurchenko, S. N. (2012). ExoMol: Molecular line lists for exoplanet and other atmospheres. 425(1), 21–33. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2966.2012.21440.x