
100%

Autonomous driving (case study)

100%

1. To help you practice strategies for machine learning, in this week we'll present another scenario and ask how you would act. We think this "simulator" of working in a machine learning project will give a task of what leading a machine learning project could be like! 1/1 point

You are employed by a startup building self-driving cars. You are in charge of detecting road signs (stop sign, pedestrian crossing sign, construction ahead sign) and traffic signals (red and green lights) in images. The goal is to recognize which of these objects appear in each image. As an example, the above image contains a pedestrian crossing sign and red traffic lights



Your 100,000 labeled images are taken using the front-facing camera of your car. This is also the distribution of data you care most about doing well on. You think you might be able to get a much larger dataset off the internet, that could be helpful for training even if the distribution of internet

You are just getting started on this project. What is the first thing you do? Assume each of the steps below would take about an equal amount of time (a few days).

0	Spend a few days collecting more data using the front-facing camera of your car, to better understand how much data per unit time you can collect.
0	Spend a few days getting the internet data, so that you understand better what data is available. $\label{eq:controlled}$
0	Spend a few days training a basic model and see what mistakes it makes.
\circ	Spend a few days checking what is human-level performance for these tasks so that you can get a accurate estimate of Bayes error.

As discussed in lecture, applied ML is a highly iterative process. If you train a basic model and carry out error analysis (see what mistakes it makes) it will help point you in more promising directions.

Your goal is to detect road signs (stop sign, pedestrian crossing sign, construction ahead sign) and traffic signals (red and green lights) in images. The goal is to recognize which of these objects appear in each image. You plan to use a deep neural network with ReLU units in the hidden layers. 1/1 point

For the output layer, a softmax activation would be a good choice for the output layer because this is a multi-task learning problem. True/False?

○ True

False

Softmax would be a good choice if one and only one of the possibilities (stop sign, speed bump, pedestrian crossing, green light and red light) was present in each image.

You are carrying out error analysis and counting up what errors the algorithm makes. Which of these datasets do you think you should manually go through and carefully examine, one image at a 1 / 1 point

0 10,000 images on which the algorithm made a mistake

O 500 randomly chosen images

500 images on which the algorithm made a mistake

0 10,000 randomly chosen images

Focus on images that the algorithm got wrong. Also, 500 is enough to give you a good initial sense of the error statistics. There's probably no need to look at 10,000, which will take a long

4. After working on the data for several weeks, your team ends up with the following data:

1/1 point

100,000 labeled images taken using the front-facing camera of your car.

• Each image's labels precisely indicate the presence of any specific road signs and traffic signals or combinations of them. For example, $y^{(i)}$ = \$\$\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}\$\$ means the image contains a stop sign and a red traffic light.

Because this is a multi-task learning problem, you need to have all your $y^{(i)}$ vectors fully labeled. If one example is equal to \$\$\begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ ? \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ ? \end{bmatrix}\$\$ then the learning algorithm will not be able to use that example. True/False?

○ True

False

✓ Correct

	comes from a	on of data you care about contains images from your car's front-facing; different distribution than the images you were able to find and downl should you split the dataset into train/dev/test sets?		1/1 point						
		Mix all the 100,000 images with the 900,000 images you found online. Shuffle everything. Split the 1,000,000 images dataset into 980,000 for the training set, 10,000 for the dev set and 10,000 for the test set.								
		e training set to be the 900,000 images from the internet along with 20,000 ir ront-facing camera. The 80,000 remaining images will be split equally in dev								
		e training set to be the 900,000 images from the internet along with 80,000 i ront-facing camera. The 20,000 remaining images will be split equally in dev								
		100,000 images with the 900,000 images you found online. Shuffle everythin mages dataset into 600,000 for the training set, 200,000 for the dev set and								
	✓ Correct									
	distribu	seen in lecture, it is important that your dev and test set have the closest pr ution to "real"-data. It is also important for the training set to contain enough d having a data-mismatch problem.								
i.	Assume you've	1/1 point								
	Dataset:	Contains:	Error of the algorithm:							
	Training	940,000 images randomly picked from (900,000 internet images + 60,000 car's front-facing camera images)	8.8%							
	Training-	20,000 images randomly picked from (900,000 internet images + 60,000 car's front-facing camera images)	9.1%							
	Dev	20,000 images from your car's front-facing camera	14.3%							
	Test	20,000 images from the car's front-facing camera	14.8%							
	same traini You have a error. You have a set than on You have a set than on Correct You have a human-leve You have a human-leve You friend dev/test dis Your friend the dev/test dis Your friend the dev/test dis Your friend the dev/test dis Correct The alg becaus human	e from the previous question, a friend thinks that the training data distribut the training data distribution the dew/test distribution. What do you think? It is right. (I.e., Bayes error for the training data distribution is probably lower stribution.) It is wrong, (I.e., Bayes error for the training data distribution is probably high at distribution.) ufficient information to tell if your friend is right or wrong. orithm does better on the distribution of data it trained on. But you don't kneek trained on that no distribution or if it really is easier. To get a better sent elevel error separately on both distributions.	human-level close. training-dev er than the ribution is than for the her than for	1/1 point						
		your discoveries:	e is a table	1/1 point						
	Errors due to	incorrectly labeled data	4.1%							
			8.0%							
			2.2%							
	In this table, 4	.1%, 8.0%, etc.are a fraction of the total dev set (not just examples you								
	mislabeled). I.e	e. about 8.0/14.3 = 56% of your errors are due to foggy pictures. Im this analysis implies that the team's highest priority should be to br	ing more foggy							
	largest cate	egory of error to avoid wasting the team's time.								
	False becau	ue to incorrectly labeled data 4.1% 4.1% 4.0% 4.0% 4.1% 4.0% 4.1% 4.0% 4.1% 4.0% 4.1% 4.0% 4.1% 4.0% 4.1% 4.0% 4.1% 4.0% 4.1% 4.0% 4.1% 4.0% 4.1% 4.0% 4.1% 4.0% 4.1% 4.0% 4.0% 4.1% 4.0%								
			es) is more							

1 -----

Correct, this is the most appropriate decision in this situation.
You can buy a specially designed windshield wiper that help wipe off some of the raindrops on the front-facing camera. Based on the table from the previous question, which of the following statements do you agree with?
 2.2% would be a reasonable estimate of the maximum amount this windshield wiper could improve performance.
2.2% would be a reasonable estimate of the minimum amount this windshield wiper could improve performance.
2.2% would be a reasonable estimate of how much this windshield wiper will improve performance.
2.2% would be a reasonable estimate of how much this windshield wiper could worsen performance in the worst case.
Correct Yes. You will probably not improve performance by more than 2.2% by solving the raindrops problem. If your dataset was infinitely big, 2.2% would be a perfect estimate of the improvement you can achieve by purchasing a specially designed windshield wiper that removes the raindrops.
You decide to use data augmentation to address foggy images. You find 1,000 pictures of fog off the internet, and "add" them to clean images to synthesize foggy days, like this:
image from foggy image from synthesized front-facing camera the internet foggy image
non daing canona ino morner loggy mago
+
Which of the following statements do you agree with? So long as the synthesized fog looks realistic to the human eye, you can be confident that the
synthesized data is accurately capturing the distribution of real foggy images (or a subset of it), since human vision is very accurate for the problem you're solving.
 Adding synthesized images that look like real foggy pictures taken from the front-facing camera of your car to training dataset won't help the model improve because it will introduce avoidable-bias.
There is little risk of overfitting to the 1,000 pictures of fog so long as you are combing it with a much
larger (>>1,000) of clean/non-foggy images.
Correct Very lifethe numbers and improve healt coefficient them the model will just on them on if you had
Yes. If the synthesized images look realistic, then the model will just see them as if you had added useful data to identify road signs and traffic signals in a foggy weather. I will very likely help.
After working further on the problem, you've decided to correct the incorrectly labeled data on the dev set. Which of these statements do you agree with? (Check all that apply).
✓ You should also correct the incorrectly labeled data in the test set, so that the dev and test sets
continue to come from the same distribution
✓ Correct
Yes because you want to make sure that your dev and test data come from the same distribution for your algorithm to make your team's iterative development process is efficient.
You should correct incorrectly labeled data in the training set as well so as to avoid your training set
now being even more different from your dev set.
You should not correct the incorrectly labeled data in the test set, so that the dev and test sets continue to come from the same distribution
You should not correct incorrectly labeled data in the training set as it does not worth the time.
✓ Correct
True, deep learning algorithms are quite robust to having slightly different train and dev
distributions.
So far your algorithm only recognizes red and green traffic lights. One of your colleagues in the startup is starting to work on recognizing a yellow traffic light. (Some countries call it an orange light rather than a yellow light; we'll use the US convention of calling it yellow.) Images containing yellow lights are quite rare, and she doesn't have enough data to build a good model. She hopes you can help her out using transfer learning.
What do you tell your colleague?
 She should try using weights pre-trained on your dataset, and fine-tuning further with the yellow- light dataset.
If she has (say) 10,000 images of yellow lights, randomly sample 10,000 images from your dataset and put your and her data together. This prevents your dataset from "swamping" the yellow lights dataset.
You cannot help her because the distribution of data you have is different from hers, and is also lacking the yellow label.
Recommend that she try multi-task learning instead of transfer learning using all the data.
. / Corner
Correct Yes. You have trained your model on a huge dataset, and she has a small dataset. Although your
labels are different, the parameters of your model have been trained to recognize many characteristics of road and traffic images which will be useful for her problem. This is a perfect case for transfer learning, she can start with a model with the same architecture as yours, change what is after the last hidden layer and initialize it with your trained parameters.

Correct

13.	Another colleague wants to use microphones placed outside the car to better hear if there're other vehicles around you. For example, if there is a police vehicle behind you, you would be able to hear their siren. However, they don't have much to train this audio system. How can you help? Transfer learning from your vision dataset could help your colleague get going faster. Multi-task learning seems significantly less promising.	1/1 point
	 Multi-task learning from your vision dataset could help your colleague get going faster. Transfer learning seems significantly less promising. 	
	Either transfer learning or multi-task learning could help our colleague get going faster. Neither transfer learning nor multi-task learning seems promising.	
	Correct Yes. The problem he is trying to solve is quite different from yours. The different dataset structures make it probably impossible to use transfer learning or multi-task learning.	
14.	To recognize red and green lights, you have been using this approach:	1/1 point
	 (A) Input an image (x) to a neural network and have it directly learn a mapping to make a prediction as to whether there's a red light and/or green light (y). 	
	A teammate proposes a different, two-step approach:	
	(B) In this two-step approach, you would first (i) detect the traffic light in the image (if any), then (ii) determine the color of the illuminated lamp in the traffic light.	
	Between these two, Approach B is more of an end-to-end approach because it has distinct steps for the input end and the output end. True/False?	
	○ True ⑤ False	
	✓ Correct Yes. (A) is an end-to-end approach as it maps directly the input (x) to the output (y).	
15.	Approach A (in the question above) tends to be more promising than approach B if you have a(fill in the blank).	1/1 point
	Large training set Multi-task learning problem.	
	Large bias problem.	
	Problem with a high Bayes error.	
	Correct Yes. In many fields, it has been observed that end-to-end learning works better in practice, but requires a large amount of data.	