Dual curvature measures and the dual Minkowski problem

Yiming Zhao

Department of Mathematics
Tandon School of Engineering, New York University

Analysis & Probability seminar, Case Western Reserve University

Outline

- Introduce dual curvature measures.
 - background, what are they, why are they called "dual" curvature measures

Outline

- Introduce dual curvature measures.
 - background, what are they, why are they called "dual" curvature measures
- Introduce the dual Minkowski problem.

Outline

- Introduce dual curvature measures.
 - background, what are they, why are they called "dual" curvature measures
- Introduce the dual Minkowski problem.
- Solve the dual Minkowski problem in some cases.

• convex bodies K — compact convex subset of \mathbb{R}^n with non-empty interior.

- convex bodies K compact convex subset of \mathbb{R}^n with non-empty interior.
- support function $h_K : S^{n-1} \to \mathbb{R}$

$$h_K(v) = \max\{x \cdot v : x \in K\}$$

- convex bodies K compact convex subset of \mathbb{R}^n with non-empty interior.
- support function $h_K : S^{n-1} \to \mathbb{R}$

$$h_K(v) = \max\{x \cdot v : x \in K\}$$

- convex bodies K compact convex subset of \mathbb{R}^n with non-empty interior.
- support function $h_K : S^{n-1} \to \mathbb{R}$

$$h_K(v) = \max\{x \cdot v : x \in K\}$$

can extend h_K to \mathbb{R}^n by making it homogeneous of degree 1.

- convex bodies K compact convex subset of \mathbb{R}^n with non-empty interior.
- support function $h_K : S^{n-1} \to \mathbb{R}$

$$h_K(v) = \max\{x \cdot v : x \in K\}$$

can extend h_K to \mathbb{R}^n by making it homogeneous of degree 1.

• For K with $o \in \text{int } K$, radial function $\rho_K : S^{n-1} \to \mathbb{R}$

$$\rho_K(u) = \max\{r > 0 : ru \in K\}.$$

- convex bodies K compact convex subset of \mathbb{R}^n with non-empty interior.
- support function $h_K : S^{n-1} \to \mathbb{R}$

$$h_K(v) = \max\{x \cdot v : x \in K\}$$

can extend h_K to \mathbb{R}^n by making it homogeneous of degree 1.

• For K with $o \in \text{int } K$, radial function $\rho_K : S^{n-1} \to \mathbb{R}$

$$\rho_K(u) = \max\{r > 0 : ru \in K\}.$$

- convex bodies K compact convex subset of \mathbb{R}^n with non-empty interior.
- support function $h_K : S^{n-1} \to \mathbb{R}$

$$h_K(v) = \max\{x \cdot v : x \in K\}$$

can extend h_K to \mathbb{R}^n by making it homogeneous of degree 1.

• For K with $o \in \text{int } K$, radial function $\rho_K : S^{n-1} \to \mathbb{R}$

$$\rho_K(u) = \max\{r > 0 : ru \in K\}.$$

can extend ρ_K to $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus o$ by making it homogeneous of degree -1.

The BM theory studies convex bodies and geometric invariants, measures associated with convex bodies.

The BM theory studies convex bodies and geometric invariants, measures associated with convex bodies.

volume and Minkowski combination

$$V(K) K+t \cdot L = \{x+ty : x \in K, y \in L\}$$

The BM theory studies convex bodies and geometric invariants, measures associated with convex bodies.

- volume and Minkowski combination V(K) $K + t \cdot L = \{x + ty : x \in K, y \in L\}$
- Steiner formula

$$V(K + tB) = \sum_{i=0}^{n} t^{i} {n \choose i} W_{i}(K)$$
 w_i(K) quermassintegral

The BM theory studies convex bodies and geometric invariants, measures associated with convex bodies.

- volume and Minkowski combination V(K) $K + t \cdot L = \{x + ty : x \in K, y \in L\}$
- Steiner formula

$$V(K + tB) = \sum_{i=0}^{n} t^{i} {n \choose i} W_{i}(K)$$
 W_i(K) quermassintegral

• (n-i)-th quermassintegral $W_{n-i}(K)$

The BM theory studies convex bodies and geometric invariants, measures associated with convex bodies.

- volume and Minkowski combination V(K) $K + t \cdot L = \{x + ty : x \in K, y \in L\}$
- Steiner formula

$$V(K + tB) = \sum_{i=0}^{n} t^{i} {n \choose i} W_{i}(K)$$
 W_i(K) quermassintegral

• (n-i)-th quermassintegral $W_{n-i}(K)$

The BM theory studies convex bodies and geometric invariants, measures associated with convex bodies.

- volume and Minkowski combination V(K) $K + t \cdot L = \{x + ty : x \in K, y \in L\}$
- Steiner formula

$$V(K + tB) = \sum_{i=0}^{n} t^{i} \binom{n}{i} W_{i}(K)$$
 W_i(K) quermassintegral

• (n-i)-th quermassintegral $W_{n-i}(K)$ in integral geometry, it is the average of projection areas:

$$W_{n-i}(K) = c \int_{G(n,i)} \mathcal{H}^i(P_{\xi}K) d\xi$$

The BM theory studies convex bodies and geometric invariants, measures associated with convex bodies.

- volume and Minkowski combination V(K) $K + t \cdot L = \{x + ty : x \in K, y \in L\}$
- Steiner formula

$$V(K + tB) = \sum_{i=0}^{n} t^{i} \binom{n}{i} W_{i}(K)$$
 $W_{i}(K)$ quermassintegral

• (n-i)-th quermassintegral $W_{n-i}(K)$ in integral geometry, it is the average of projection areas:

$$W_{n-i}(K) = c \int_{G(n,i)} \mathcal{H}^i(P_{\xi}K) d\xi$$

in differential geometry, integral of mean curvatures:

$$W_{n-i}(K) = c \int_{\partial K} H_{n-i-1}(K, x) dS(x)$$



localized Steiner formula

localized Steiner formula

• localized Steiner formula For $x \notin K$

localized Steiner formula
 For x ∉ K
 p_K(x) — closest point to x in K

localized Steiner formula
 For x ∉ K
 p_K(x) — closest point to x in K
 d(K, x) — distance from x to K

localized Steiner formula

For
$$x \notin K$$

 $p_K(x)$ — closest point to x in K
 $d(K, x)$ — distance from x to K
 $\nu_K(x) = \frac{x - p_K(x)}{|x - p_K(x)|}$ — a unit normal of K at $p_K(x)$

localized Steiner formula

For
$$x \notin K$$
 $p_K(x)$ — closest point to x in K $d(K,x)$ — distance from x to K $\nu_K(x) = \frac{x - p_K(x)}{|x - p_K(x)|}$ — a unit normal of K at $p_K(x)$ ω, η Borel subsets of S^{n-1} . Define

$$A_t(K, \omega) = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : 0 < d(K, x) \le t \text{ and } \frac{p_K(x)}{|p_K(x)|} \in \omega\}$$

$$B_t(K, \eta) = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : 0 < d(K, x) \le t \text{ and } \nu_K(x) \in \eta\}$$

localized Steiner formula

For
$$x \notin K$$
 $p_K(x)$ — closest point to x in K $d(K,x)$ — distance from x to K $\nu_K(x) = \frac{x-p_K(x)}{|x-p_K(x)|}$ — a unit normal of K at $p_K(x)$ ω,η Borel subsets of S^{n-1} . Define

$$A_t(K,\omega) = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : 0 < d(K,x) \le t \text{ and } \frac{p_K(x)}{|p_K(x)|} \in \omega\}$$

$$B_t(K,\eta) = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : 0 < d(K,x) \le t \text{ and } \nu_K(x) \in \eta\}$$

Localized Steiner Formula [Schneider, 2014]:

$$V(A_t(K,\omega)) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \binom{n}{i} t^{n-i} C_i(K,\omega)$$
 — curvature measures

$$V(B_t(K,\eta)) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \binom{n}{i} t^{n-i} S_i(K,\eta) \qquad \text{area measures}$$

In particular,

 $C_0(K,\cdot)$ — Aleksandrov's integral curvature $S_{n-1}(K,\cdot)$ — surface area measure

In particular,

 $C_0(K,\cdot)$ — Aleksandrov's integral curvature

 $S_{n-1}(K,\cdot)$ — surface area measure

Problem

The Minkowski problem. Given a non-zero finite Borel measure μ on S^{n-1} . Under what condition is there a K such that

$$\mu = S_{n-1}(K, \cdot)$$

In particular,

 $C_0(K,\cdot)$ — Aleksandrov's integral curvature

 $S_{n-1}(K,\cdot)$ — surface area measure

Problem

The Minkowski problem. Given a non-zero finite Borel measure μ on S^{n-1} . Under what condition is there a K such that

$$\mu = S_{n-1}(K, \cdot)$$

Ans: μ is not concentrated in any closed hemisphere and

$$\int_{S^{n-1}} v d\mu(v) = o.$$



In particular,

 $C_0(K,\cdot)$ — Aleksandrov's integral curvature

 $S_{n-1}(K,\cdot)$ — surface area measure

Problem

The Minkowski problem. Given a non-zero finite Borel measure μ on S^{n-1} . Under what condition is there a K such that

$$\mu = S_{n-1}(K, \cdot)$$

Ans: μ is not concentrated in any closed hemisphere and

$$\int_{S^{n-1}} v d\mu(v) = o.$$

Minkowski, Aleksandrov, Fenchel-Jessen, Cheng-Yau



Problem

The Minkowski problem. Given a non-zero finite Borel measure μ on S^{n-1} . Under what condition is there a K such that $\mu = S_{n-1}(K, \cdot)$.

Problem

The Minkowski problem. Given a non-zero finite Borel measure μ on S^{n-1} . Under what condition is there a K such that $\mu = S_{n-1}(K, \cdot)$.

Remark

• when K is smooth, the density of $S_{n-1}(K,\cdot)$ is the reciporical Gauss curvature. In this case, the Minkowski problem is the problem of prescribing Gauss curvature.

Problem

The Minkowski problem. Given a non-zero finite Borel measure μ on S^{n-1} . Under what condition is there a K such that $\mu = S_{n-1}(K, \cdot)$.

Remark

- when K is smooth, the density of $S_{n-1}(K,\cdot)$ is the reciporical Gauss curvature. In this case, the Minkowski problem is the problem of prescribing Gauss curvature.
- ullet also in the smooth case, when μ has a density f, the MP becomes the Monge-Ampère equation

$$\det(h_{ij} + h\delta_{ij}) = f.$$

Problem

The Minkowski problem. Given a non-zero finite Borel measure μ on S^{n-1} . Under what condition is there a K such that $\mu = S_{n-1}(K, \cdot)$.

Remark

- when K is smooth, the density of $S_{n-1}(K,\cdot)$ is the reciporical Gauss curvature. In this case, the Minkowski problem is the problem of prescribing Gauss curvature.
- ullet also in the smooth case, when μ has a density f, the MP becomes the Monge-Ampère equation

$$\det(h_{ij} + h\delta_{ij}) = f.$$

 other Minkowski problems: Aleksandrov problem, L_p Minkowski problem — in particular, the logarithmic Minkowski problem [Böröczky-LYZ, JAMS '12]

introduced by Lutwak in 1970s.

introduced by Lutwak in 1970s.

• introduced by Lutwak in 1970s. [Schneider, '14], [Gardner, '06].

- introduced by Lutwak in 1970s. [Schneider, '14], [Gardner, '06].
- many "dual" concepts and results radial summation, dual mixed volume, intersection body, etc.

- introduced by Lutwak in 1970s. [Schneider, '14], [Gardner, '06].
- many "dual" concepts and results radial summation, dual mixed volume, intersection body, etc.
- Busemann-Petty problem. K, L o-symmetric, $\mathcal{H}^{n-1}(K \cap H) \leq \mathcal{H}^{n-1}(L \cap H)$ for every hyperplane H that crosses the origin Is it true that $V(K) \leq V(L)$?

- introduced by Lutwak in 1970s. [Schneider, '14], [Gardner, '06].
- many "dual" concepts and results radial summation, dual mixed volume, intersection body, etc.
- Busemann-Petty problem. K, L o-symmetric, $\mathcal{H}^{n-1}(K \cap H) \leq \mathcal{H}^{n-1}(L \cap H)$ for every hyperplane H that crosses the origin Is it true that $V(K) \leq V(L)$?

- introduced by Lutwak in 1970s. [Schneider, '14], [Gardner, '06].
- many "dual" concepts and results radial summation, dual mixed volume, intersection body, etc.
- Busemann-Petty problem. K, L o-symmetric, $\mathcal{H}^{n-1}(K\cap H) \leq \mathcal{H}^{n-1}(L\cap H)$ for every hyperplane H that crosses the origin Is it true that $V(K) \leq V(L)$? true when n = 3, 4, false when n > 5.

- introduced by Lutwak in 1970s. [Schneider, '14], [Gardner, '06].
- many "dual" concepts and results radial summation, dual mixed volume, intersection body, etc.
- Busemann-Petty problem. K, L o-symmetric, $\mathcal{H}^{n-1}(K\cap H) \leq \mathcal{H}^{n-1}(L\cap H)$ for every hyperplane H that crosses the origin Is it true that $V(K) \leq V(L)$? true when n = 3, 4, false when n > 5.
- no measure, no PDE

- introduced by Lutwak in 1970s. [Schneider, '14], [Gardner, '06].
- many "dual" concepts and results radial summation, dual mixed volume, intersection body, etc.
- Busemann-Petty problem. K, L o-symmetric, $\mathcal{H}^{n-1}(K\cap H) \leq \mathcal{H}^{n-1}(L\cap H)$ for every hyperplane H that crosses the origin Is it true that $V(K) \leq V(L)$? true when n = 3, 4, false when n > 5.
- no measure, no PDE

- introduced by Lutwak in 1970s. [Schneider, '14], [Gardner, '06].
- many "dual" concepts and results radial summation, dual mixed volume, intersection body, etc.
- Busemann-Petty problem. K, L o-symmetric, Hⁿ⁻¹(K∩H) ≤ Hⁿ⁻¹(L∩H) for every hyperplane H that crosses the origin Is it true that V(K) ≤ V(L)? — true when n = 3, 4, false when n > 5.
- no measure, no PDE until [Huang-LYZ, ACTA 2016]

• radial combination $K + t \cdot L$

• radial combination $K + t \cdot L$

• radial combination $K + t^{\tilde{c}}L$

$$\rho_{K\tilde{+}t\tilde{\cdot}L} = \rho_K + t\rho_L$$

- radial combination $K + t^r L$ $\rho_{K + t^r L} = \rho_K + t \rho_L$
- dual Steiner formula

$$V(K\tilde{+}t\tilde{\cdot}B) = \sum_{i=0}^{n} t^{n-i} \binom{n}{i} \tilde{W}_{n-i}(K)$$

— dual quermassintegral

- radial combination $K + t^r L$ $\rho_{K + t^r L} = \rho_K + t \rho_L$
- dual Steiner formula

$$V(K\tilde{+}t\tilde{\cdot}B) = \sum_{i=0}^{n} t^{n-i} \binom{n}{i} \tilde{W}_{n-i}(K)$$

• dual quermassintegral $\tilde{W}_{n-i}(K)$

— dual quermassintegral

- radial combination $K + t^r L$ $\rho_{K + t^r L} = \rho_K + t \rho_L$
- dual Steiner formula

$$V(K\tilde{+}t\tilde{\cdot}B) = \sum_{i=0}^{n} t^{n-i} \binom{n}{i} \tilde{W}_{n-i}(K)$$

• dual quermassintegral $\tilde{W}_{n-i}(K)$

— dual quermassintegral

• radial combination $K + t^r L$ $\rho_{K+t^r l} = \rho_K + t \rho_L$

dual Steiner formula

$$V(K\tilde{+}t\tilde{\cdot}B) = \sum_{i=0}^{n} t^{n-i} \binom{n}{i} \tilde{W}_{n-i}(K)$$
 — dual quermassintegral

• dual quermassintegral $\tilde{W}_{n-i}(K)$ in integral geometry, mean of intersection areas: $\tilde{W}_{n-i}(K) = c \int_{G(n,i)} \mathcal{H}^i(K \cap \xi) d\xi$.

- radial combination $K + t^r L$ $\rho_{K+r,l} = \rho_K + t \rho_L$
- dual Steiner formula

$$V(K\tilde{+}t\tilde{\cdot}B) = \sum_{i=0}^{n} t^{n-i} \binom{n}{i} \tilde{W}_{n-i}(K)$$
 — dual quermassintegral

• dual quermassintegral $W_{n-i}(K)$ in integral geometry, mean of intersection areas:

$$\tilde{W}_{n-i}(K) = c \int_{G(n,i)} \mathcal{H}^i(K \cap \xi) d\xi.$$

has integral form: $\tilde{W}_{n-i}(K) = \frac{1}{n} \int_{S^{n-1}} \rho_K^i(u) du = \frac{i}{n} \int_K |x|^{i-n} dx$.

localized dual Steiner formula [Huang-LYZ, ACTA 2016]

localized dual Steiner formula [Huang-LYZ, ACTA 2016]

• localized dual Steiner formula [Huang-LYZ, ACTA 2016] For $x \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus o$

• localized dual Steiner formula [Huang-LYZ, ACTA 2016] For $x \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus o$ $\tilde{p}_K(x) = \rho_K(x)x \in \partial K$ — the point on ∂K that shares the same direction as x.

• localized dual Steiner formula [Huang-LYZ, ACTA 2016] For $x \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus o$

 $\tilde{p}_K(x) = \rho_K(x)x \in \partial K$ — the point on ∂K that shares the same direction as x.

$$\tilde{d}(K,x) = |x - \tilde{p}_K(x)|$$

• localized dual Steiner formula [Huang-LYZ, ACTA 2016] For $x \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus o$

 $\tilde{p}_K(x) = \rho_K(x)x \in \partial K$ — the point on ∂K that shares the same direction as x.

$$d(K, x) = |x - \tilde{p}_K(x)|$$

 $d(K, x) = 0 \text{ if } x \in K$

• localized dual Steiner formula [Huang-LYZ, ACTA 2016] For $x \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus o$

 $\tilde{p}_K(x) = \rho_K(x)x \in \partial K$ — the point on ∂K that shares the same direction as x.

$$\tilde{d}(K,x) = |x - \tilde{p}_K(x)|$$

 $\tilde{d}(K,x) = 0$ if $x \in K$
 ω, η Borel subsets of S^{n-1} . Define

$$ilde{\mathcal{A}}_t(K,\eta) = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : 0 \leq ilde{\mathcal{d}}(K,x) \leq t \ ext{ and } K ext{ at } ilde{\mathcal{p}}_K(x) ext{ has outer normal in } \eta \} \ ilde{\mathcal{B}}_t(K,\omega) = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : 0 \leq ilde{\mathcal{d}}(K,x) \leq t \ ext{ and } rac{x}{|x|} \in \omega \}$$

localized dual Steiner formula [Huang-LYZ, ACTA 2016]

$$V(\tilde{A}_t(K,\eta)) = \sum_{i=0}^n \binom{n}{i} t^{n-i} \tilde{C}_i(K,\eta)$$
 — dual curvature measure

$$V(\tilde{B}_t(K,\eta)) = \sum_{i=0}^n \binom{n}{i} t^{n-i} \tilde{S}_i(K,\omega)$$
 — dual area measure

localized dual Steiner formula [Huang-LYZ, ACTA 2016]

$$V(\tilde{A}_t(K,\eta)) = \sum_{i=0}^n \binom{n}{i} t^{n-i} \tilde{C}_i(K,\eta)$$
 — dual curvature measure $V(\tilde{B}_t(K,\eta)) = \sum_{i=0}^n \binom{n}{i} t^{n-i} \tilde{S}_i(K,\omega)$ — dual area measure

• $\tilde{C}_0(K,\cdot)$ is "the same as" $C_0(K^*,\cdot)$

localized dual Steiner formula [Huang-LYZ, ACTA 2016]

$$V(\tilde{A}_t(K,\eta)) = \sum_{i=0}^n \binom{n}{i} t^{n-i} \tilde{C}_i(K,\eta)$$
 — dual curvature measure $V(\tilde{B}_t(K,\eta)) = \sum_{i=0}^n \binom{n}{i} t^{n-i} \tilde{S}_i(K,\omega)$ — dual area measure

- $\tilde{C}_0(K,\cdot)$ is "the same as" $C_0(K^*,\cdot)$
- $\tilde{C}_n(K,\cdot)$ is "the same as" cone volume measure (L_p surface area measure when p=0)

Dual curvature measures

integral representation:

$$\tilde{C}_i(K,\eta) = \frac{1}{n} \int_{\alpha_K^*(\eta)} \rho_K^i(u) du,$$

where α_K^* is very similar to inverse Gauss map.

Dual curvature measures

integral representation:

$$\tilde{C}_i(K,\eta) = \frac{1}{n} \int_{\alpha_K^*(\eta)} \rho_K^i(u) du,$$

where α_K^* is very similar to inverse Gauss map.

ullet easily extends to all real numbers. (will use $ilde{C}_q(K,\cdot)$)

Problem

The dual Minkowski problem: Given a nonzero finite Borel measure μ on S^{n-1} and $q \in \mathbb{R}$, under what condition(s) on μ does there exist a K such that $\mu = \tilde{C}_q(K, \cdot)$?

Problem

The dual Minkowski problem: Given a nonzero finite Borel measure μ on S^{n-1} and $q \in \mathbb{R}$, under what condition(s) on μ does there exist a K such that $\mu = \tilde{C}_q(K,\cdot)$?

$$\det(h_{ij}(v) + h(v)\delta_{ij}) = nh^{-1}(v)|\nabla_{S^{n-1}}h(v) + h(v)v|^{n-q}f(v)$$

Problem

The dual Minkowski problem: Given a nonzero finite Borel measure μ on S^{n-1} and $q \in \mathbb{R}$, under what condition(s) on μ does there exist a K such that $\mu = \tilde{C}_q(K,\cdot)$?

$$\det(h_{ij}(v) + h(v)\delta_{ij}) = nh^{-1}(v)|\nabla_{S^{n-1}}h(v) + h(v)v|^{n-q}f(v)$$

Problem

The even dual Minkowski problem: Given a nonzero even finite Borel measure μ on S^{n-1} and $q \in \mathbb{R}$, under what condition(s) on μ does there exist an o-symm K such that $\mu = \tilde{C}_q(K, \cdot)$?

Problem

The dual Minkowski problem: Given a nonzero finite Borel measure μ on S^{n-1} and $q \in \mathbb{R}$, under what condition(s) on μ does there exist a K such that $\mu = \tilde{C}_q(K, \cdot)$?

$$\det(h_{ij}(v) + h(v)\delta_{ij}) = nh^{-1}(v)|\nabla_{S^{n-1}}h(v) + h(v)v|^{n-q}f(v)$$

Problem

The even dual Minkowski problem: Given a nonzero even finite Borel measure μ on S^{n-1} and $q \in \mathbb{R}$, under what condition(s) on μ does there exist an o-symm K such that $\mu = \tilde{C}_q(K, \cdot)$?

q = 0 Aleksandrov problem, q = n logarithmic Minkowski problem

When q < 0, complete solution to the DMP

Theorem (Z., CVPDEs 2017)

Suppose μ is a non-zero finite Borel measure on S^{n-1} and q < 0. There exists a K such that $\mu = \tilde{C}_q(K,\cdot)$ iff μ is not concentrated in any closed hemisphere. Moreover, K is unique (if exists).

When 0 < q < n, even case

When 0 < q < n, even case q-th subspace mass inequality (I): We say that μ satisfies the q-th subspace mass inequality, if when 1 < q < n

$$\frac{\mu(S^{n-1}\cap\xi_i)}{|\mu|}<1-\frac{(n-i)(q-1)}{(n-1)q},$$

for each $i = 1, \dots, n-1$ and each i-dim subspace ξ_i ;

When 0 < q < n, even case q-th subspace mass inequality (I): We say that μ satisfies the q-th subspace mass inequality, if when 1 < q < n

$$\frac{\mu(S^{n-1}\cap\xi_i)}{|\mu|}<1-\frac{(n-i)(q-1)}{(n-1)q},$$

for each $i = 1, \dots, n-1$ and each i-dim subspace ξ_i ; when $0 < q \le 1$

 $\boldsymbol{\mu}$ is not concentrated in any subspheres.

Theorem (Huang-LYZ, ACTA 2016)

Suppose μ is a nonzero even finite Borel measure on S^{n-1} and 0 < q < n. If μ satisfies the q-th subspace mass inequality (I), then there exists an o-symm K such that $\mu = \tilde{C}_q(K,\cdot)$.

Theorem (Huang-LYZ, ACTA 2016)

Suppose μ is a nonzero even finite Borel measure on S^{n-1} and 0 < q < n. If μ satisfies the q-th subspace mass inequality (I), then there exists an o-symm K such that $\mu = \tilde{C}_q(K,\cdot)$.

Remark

• $0 < q \le 1$, μ not concentrated in any subsphere, obviously optimal.

Theorem (Huang-LYZ, ACTA 2016)

Suppose μ is a nonzero even finite Borel measure on S^{n-1} and 0 < q < n. If μ satisfies the q-th subspace mass inequality (I), then there exists an o-symm K such that $\mu = \tilde{C}_q(K,\cdot)$.

Remark

- $0 < q \le 1$, μ not concentrated in any subsphere, obviously optimal.
- 1 < q < n, the condition is not necessary.

Theorem (Huang-LYZ, ACTA 2016)

Suppose μ is a nonzero even finite Borel measure on S^{n-1} and 0 < q < n. If μ satisfies the q-th subspace mass inequality (I), then there exists an o-symm K such that $\mu = \tilde{C}_q(K,\cdot)$.

Remark

- $0 < q \le 1$, μ not concentrated in any subsphere, obviously optimal.
- 1 < q < n, the condition is not necessary.

Theorem (Huang-LYZ, ACTA 2016)

Suppose μ is a nonzero even finite Borel measure on S^{n-1} and 0 < q < n. If μ satisfies the q-th subspace mass inequality (I), then there exists an o-symm K such that $\mu = \tilde{C}_q(K,\cdot)$.

Remark

- $0 < q \le 1$, μ not concentrated in any subsphere, obviously optimal.
- 1 < q < n, the condition is not necessary.

$$T_a = \left\{ x \in \mathbb{R}^n : x_1^2 + \dots + x_i^2 \le a^2 \text{ and } x_{i+1}^2 + \dots + x_n^2 \le 1 \right\}$$

when $q \in (1, n)$

```
when q \in (1, n)
q-th subspace mass inequality (II):
```

when $q \in (1, n)$

q-th subspace mass inequality (II):

We say that μ satisfies the q-th subspace mass inequality, if

$$\frac{\mu(S^{n-1} \cap \xi_i)}{|\mu|} < \begin{cases} \frac{i}{q}, & \text{if } i < q, \\ 1, & \text{if } i \ge q, \end{cases}$$

for each $i = 1, \dots, n-1$ and each i-dim subspace ξ_i .

when $q \in (1, n)$

q-th subspace mass inequality (II):

We say that μ satisfies the q-th subspace mass inequality, if

$$\frac{\mu(S^{n-1} \cap \xi_i)}{|\mu|} < \begin{cases} \frac{i}{q}, & \text{if } i < q, \\ 1, & \text{if } i \ge q, \end{cases}$$

for each $i = 1, \dots, n-1$ and each i-dim subspace ξ_i .

Theorem (Z., JDG, to appear)

Suppose μ is a non-zero even finite Borel measure on S^{n-1} and q is an integer in (1, n). If μ satisfies the q-th subspace mass inequality (II), then there exists o-symm K such that $\mu = \tilde{C}_q(K, \cdot)$.

when $q \in (1, n)$

q-th subspace mass inequality (II):

We say that μ satisfies the q-th subspace mass inequality, if

$$\frac{\mu(S^{n-1} \cap \xi_i)}{|\mu|} < \begin{cases} \frac{i}{q}, & \text{if } i < q, \\ 1, & \text{if } i \geq q, \end{cases}$$

for each $i = 1, \dots, n-1$ and each i-dim subspace ξ_i .

Theorem (Z., JDG, to appear)

Suppose μ is a non-zero even finite Borel measure on S^{n-1} and q is an integer in (1, n). If μ satisfies the q-th subspace mass inequality (II), then there exists o-symm K such that $\mu = \tilde{C}_q(K, \cdot)$.

Theorem (Böröczky & Henk & Pollehn, JDG, to appear)

q-th subspace mass inequality (II) is also necessary for $q \in (1, n)$.

Variational method

find a maximization problem whose Euler-Lagrange equation implies that the maximizer is the solution to the DMP.

Variational method

- find a maximization problem whose Euler-Lagrange equation implies that the maximizer is the solution to the DMP.
- 2 show the maximum can be obtained.

Given μ even.

Given μ even. Define $\Phi: C_e^+(S^{n-1}) \to \mathbb{R}$ by

$$\Phi(h) = -\frac{1}{|\mu|} \int_{S^{n-1}} \log h(v) d\mu(v) + \frac{1}{q} \log \tilde{W}_{n-q}([h]),$$

where $[h] = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : x \cdot v \le h(v), \forall v \in S^{n-1}\}$ is the Wulff shape.

Given μ even. Define $\Phi: C_e^+(\mathcal{S}^{n-1}) \to \mathbb{R}$ by

$$\Phi(h) = -\frac{1}{|\mu|} \int_{S^{n-1}} \log h(v) d\mu(v) + \frac{1}{q} \log \tilde{W}_{n-q}([h]),$$

where $[h] = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : x \cdot v \le h(v), \forall v \in S^{n-1}\}$ is the Wulff shape. Obviously Φ is homogeneous of degree 0.

Given μ even. Define $\Phi: C_e^+(\mathcal{S}^{n-1}) \to \mathbb{R}$ by

$$\Phi(h) = -\frac{1}{|\mu|} \int_{S^{n-1}} \log h(v) d\mu(v) + \frac{1}{q} \log \tilde{W}_{n-q}([h]),$$

where $[h] = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : x \cdot v \le h(v), \forall v \in S^{n-1}\}$ is the Wulff shape. Obviously Φ is homogeneous of degree 0.

Problem (The maximization problem)

$$\sup\{\Phi(h): h \in C_e^+(S^{n-1})\}$$

Given μ even. Define $\Phi: C_e^+(S^{n-1}) \to \mathbb{R}$ by

$$\Phi(h) = -\frac{1}{|\mu|} \int_{S^{n-1}} \log h(v) d\mu(v) + \frac{1}{q} \log \tilde{W}_{n-q}([h]),$$

where $[h] = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : x \cdot v \le h(v), \forall v \in S^{n-1}\}$ is the Wulff shape. Obviously Φ is homogeneous of degree 0.

Problem (The maximization problem)

$$\sup\{\Phi(h):h\in C_e^+(S^{n-1})\}$$

Lemma

If a maximizer exists, then it must be the support function of some o-symm convex body.



Given μ even. Define $\Phi: C_e^+(S^{n-1}) \to \mathbb{R}$ by

$$\Phi(h) = -\frac{1}{|\mu|} \int_{S^{n-1}} \log h(v) d\mu(v) + \frac{1}{q} \log \tilde{W}_{n-q}([h]),$$

where $[h] = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : x \cdot v \le h(v), \forall v \in S^{n-1}\}$ is the Wulff shape. Obviously Φ is homogeneous of degree 0.

Problem (The maximization problem)

$$\sup\{\Phi(h):h\in C_e^+(S^{n-1})\}$$

Lemma

If a maximizer exists, then it must be the support function of some o-symm convex body. Moreover, the maximizer (up to a dilation) is a solution to the DMP.

Variational formula [Huang-LYZ, ACTA 2016]: convex body K, continuous function f on the sphere

$$\left. \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \right|_{t=0} \log \tilde{W}_{n-q}([h_K e^{tf}]) = \frac{q}{\tilde{W}_{n-q}(K)} \int_{S^{n-1}} f(v) d\tilde{C}_q(K, v).$$

Variational formula [Huang-LYZ, ACTA 2016]: convex body K, continuous function f on the sphere

$$\left. \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \right|_{t=0} \log \tilde{W}_{n-q}([h_K e^{tf}]) = \frac{q}{\tilde{W}_{n-q}(K)} \int_{S^{n-1}} f(v) d\tilde{C}_q(K, v).$$

Recall $\Phi(h) = -\frac{1}{|\mu|} \int_{S^{n-1}} \log h(v) d\mu(v) + \frac{1}{q} \log \tilde{W}_{n-q}([h]).$

Variational formula [Huang-LYZ, ACTA 2016]: convex body K, continuous function f on the sphere

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\left|_{t=0}\log \tilde{W}_{n-q}([h_K e^{tf}]) = \frac{q}{\tilde{W}_{n-q}(K)} \int_{S^{n-1}} f(v) d\tilde{C}_q(K,v).$$

Recall $\Phi(h) = -\frac{1}{|\mu|} \int_{\mathcal{S}^{n-1}} \log h(v) d\mu(v) + \frac{1}{q} \log \tilde{W}_{n-q}([h]).$

Proof to the lemma.

Suppose h_K is the maximizer.

Variational formula [Huang-LYZ, ACTA 2016]: convex body K, continuous function f on the sphere

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\left|_{t=0}\log \tilde{W}_{n-q}([h_Ke^{tf}]) = \frac{q}{\tilde{W}_{n-q}(K)}\int_{S^{n-1}}f(v)d\tilde{C}_q(K,v).$$

Recall $\Phi(h) = -\frac{1}{|\mu|} \int_{\mathcal{S}^{n-1}} \log h(v) d\mu(v) + \frac{1}{q} \log \tilde{W}_{n-q}([h]).$

Proof to the lemma.

Suppose h_K is the maximizer. Because Φ is homogeneous of degree 0, we may dilate K so that $\tilde{W}_{n-q}(K) = |\mu|$.

Variational formula [Huang-LYZ, ACTA 2016]: convex body K, continuous function f on the sphere

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\left|_{t=0}\log \tilde{W}_{n-q}([h_Ke^{tf}]) = \frac{q}{\tilde{W}_{n-q}(K)}\int_{S^{n-1}}f(v)d\tilde{C}_q(K,v).$$

Recall $\Phi(h) = -\frac{1}{|\mu|} \int_{\mathcal{S}^{n-1}} \log h(v) d\mu(v) + \frac{1}{q} \log \tilde{W}_{n-q}([h]).$

Proof to the lemma.

Suppose h_K is the maximizer. Because Φ is homogeneous of degree 0, we may dilate K so that $\tilde{W}_{n-q}(K) = |\mu|$. For arbitrary even cont. f, consider $h_t = h_K e^{tf}$.

Variational formula [Huang-LYZ, ACTA 2016]: convex body K, continuous function f on the sphere

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\left|_{t=0}\log \tilde{W}_{n-q}([h_Ke^{tf}]) = \frac{q}{\tilde{W}_{n-q}(K)}\int_{S^{n-1}}f(v)d\tilde{C}_q(K,v).$$

Recall $\Phi(h) = -\frac{1}{|\mu|} \int_{S^{n-1}} \log h(v) d\mu(v) + \frac{1}{q} \log \tilde{W}_{n-q}([h]).$

Proof to the lemma.

Suppose h_K is the maximizer. Because Φ is homogeneous of degree 0, we may dilate K so that $\tilde{W}_{n-q}(K) = |\mu|$. For arbitrary even cont. f, consider $h_t = h_K e^{tf}$.

$$0 = \frac{\partial}{\partial t}\bigg|_{t=0} \Phi(h_t)$$

Variational formula [Huang-LYZ, ACTA 2016]: convex body K, continuous function f on the sphere

$$\left. \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \right|_{t=0} \log \tilde{W}_{n-q}([h_K e^{tf}]) = \frac{q}{\tilde{W}_{n-q}(K)} \int_{S^{n-1}} f(v) d\tilde{C}_q(K, v).$$

Recall $\Phi(h) = -\frac{1}{|\mu|} \int_{S^{n-1}} \log h(v) d\mu(v) + \frac{1}{q} \log \tilde{W}_{n-q}([h]).$

Proof to the lemma.

Suppose h_K is the maximizer. Because Φ is homogeneous of degree 0, we may dilate K so that $\tilde{W}_{n-q}(K) = |\mu|$. For arbitrary even cont. f, consider $h_t = h_K e^{tf}$.

$$0 = \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \Big|_{t=0} \Phi(h_t)$$

$$= -\frac{1}{|\mu|} \int_{S^{n-1}} f(v) d\mu(v) + \frac{1}{\tilde{W}_{n-q}(K)} \int_{S^{n-1}} f(v) d\tilde{C}_q(K, v).$$

Problem (The maximization problem)

$$\sup\{\Phi(h_K): K \in \mathcal{K}_e^n\},\$$

where
$$\Phi(h_K) = -\frac{1}{|\mu|} \int_{\mathcal{S}^{n-1}} \log h_K d\mu + \frac{1}{q} \log \tilde{W}_{n-q}(K)$$
.

Problem (The maximization problem)

$$\sup\{\Phi(h_K): K \in \mathcal{K}_e^n\},\$$

where
$$\Phi(h_K) = -\frac{1}{|\mu|} \int_{\mathcal{S}^{n-1}} \log h_K d\mu + \frac{1}{q} \log \tilde{W}_{n-q}(K)$$
.

sketch of the proof.

Suppose o-symm Q_l is a maximizing sequence.

Problem (The maximization problem)

$$\sup\{\Phi(h_K): K \in \mathcal{K}_e^n\},\$$

where
$$\Phi(h_K) = -\frac{1}{|\mu|} \int_{\mathcal{S}^{n-1}} \log h_K d\mu + \frac{1}{q} \log \tilde{W}_{n-q}(K)$$
.

sketch of the proof.

Suppose o-symm Q_l is a maximizing sequence. Since Φ is homogeneous of degree 0, assume diameter of each Q_l is 1.

Problem (The maximization problem)

$$\sup\{\Phi(h_K): K \in \mathcal{K}_e^n\},\$$

where
$$\Phi(h_K) = -\frac{1}{|\mu|} \int_{\mathcal{S}^{n-1}} \log h_K d\mu + \frac{1}{q} \log \tilde{W}_{n-q}(K)$$
.

sketch of the proof.

Suppose o-symm Q_l is a maximizing sequence. Since Φ is homogeneous of degree 0, assume diameter of each Q_l is 1. By Blaschke's selection theorem, (taking a subsequence) we may assume $Q_l \to Q_0$.

Problem (The maximization problem)

$$\sup\{\Phi(h_K): K \in \mathcal{K}_e^n\},\$$

where
$$\Phi(h_K) = -\frac{1}{|\mu|} \int_{\mathcal{S}^{n-1}} \log h_K d\mu + \frac{1}{q} \log \tilde{W}_{n-q}(K)$$
.

sketch of the proof.

Suppose o-symm Q_i is a maximizing sequence. Since Φ is

homogeneous of degree 0, assume diameter of each Q_l is 1.

By Blaschke's selection theorem, (taking a subsequence) we may assume $Q_1 \rightarrow Q_0$.

In general Q_0 is compact, convex, o-symm.

Problem (The maximization problem)

$$\sup\{\Phi(h_K): K \in \mathcal{K}_e^n\},\$$

where
$$\Phi(h_K) = -\frac{1}{|\mu|} \int_{\mathcal{S}^{n-1}} \log h_K d\mu + \frac{1}{q} \log \tilde{W}_{n-q}(K)$$
.

sketch of the proof.

Suppose o-symm Q_l is a maximizing sequence. Since Φ is

homogeneous of degree 0, assume diameter of each Q_l is 1.

By Blaschke's selection theorem, (taking a subsequence) we may assume $Q_l \rightarrow Q_0$.

In general Q_0 is compact, convex, o-symm.

If we can further show $o \in \text{int } Q_0$, or $Q_0 \in \mathcal{K}_e^n$, then done (by continuity of Φ).

• Show $o \in \text{int } Q_0$

• Show $o \in \text{int } Q_0$ Assume otherwise.

• Show $o \in \text{int } Q_0$

Assume otherwise. Then Q_0 is contained in some lower dimensional subspace.

• Show $o \in \text{int } Q_0$

Assume otherwise. Then Q_0 is contained in some lower dimensional subspace. At the end of the day, show $\Phi(h_{Q_l}) \to -\infty$.

• Show $o \in \text{int } Q_0$

Assume otherwise. Then Q_0 is contained in some lower dimensional subspace. At the end of the day, show $\Phi(h_{Q_l}) \to -\infty$. John ellipsoid E_l of Q_l , $E_l \subset Q_l \subset \sqrt{n}E_l$.

• Show $o \in \text{int } Q_0$

Assume otherwise. Then Q_0 is contained in some lower dimensional subspace. At the end of the day, show $\Phi(h_{Q_l}) \to -\infty$. John ellipsoid E_l of Q_l , $E_l \subset Q_l \subset \sqrt{n}E_l$. Say

$$E_I = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : \frac{|x \cdot e_{1I}|^2}{a_{1I}^2} + \cdots + \frac{|x \cdot e_{nI}|^2}{a_{nI}^2} \leq 1\},$$

where $0 < a_{1l} \le a_{2l} \le \cdots \le a_{nl} \le 1$.

• Show $o \in \text{int } Q_0$

Assume otherwise. Then Q_0 is contained in some lower dimensional subspace. At the end of the day, show $\Phi(h_{Q_l}) \to -\infty$. John ellipsoid E_l of Q_l , $E_l \subset Q_l \subset \sqrt{n}E_l$. Sav

$$E_{l} = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^{n} : \frac{|x \cdot e_{1l}|^{2}}{a_{1l}^{2}} + \cdots + \frac{|x \cdot e_{nl}|^{2}}{a_{nl}^{2}} \leq 1\},$$

where $0 < a_{1l} \le a_{2l} \le \cdots \le a_{nl} \le 1$.

Assume $a_{1l}, \cdots, a_{kl} \rightarrow 0$ and $a_{k+1,l}, \cdots, a_{nl} \rightarrow > 0$

• Show $o \in \text{int } Q_0$

Assume otherwise. Then Q_0 is contained in some lower dimensional subspace. At the end of the day, show $\Phi(h_{Q_l}) \to -\infty$. John ellipsoid E_l of Q_l , $E_l \subset Q_l \subset \sqrt{n}E_l$. Say

$$E_{I} = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^{n} : \frac{|x \cdot e_{1I}|^{2}}{a_{1I}^{2}} + \cdots + \frac{|x \cdot e_{nI}|^{2}}{a_{nI}^{2}} \leq 1\},$$

where $0 < a_{1l} \le a_{2l} \le \cdots \le a_{nl} \le 1$.

Assume $a_{1l}, \cdots, a_{kl} \rightarrow 0$ and $a_{k+1,l}, \cdots, a_{nl} \rightarrow > 0$ Let

$$T_{l} = \{ x \in \mathbb{R}^{n} : \frac{|x \cdot e_{1l}|^{2}}{a_{1l}^{2}} + \dots + \frac{|x \cdot e_{kl}|^{2}}{a_{kl}^{2}} \le 1$$
and $|x \cdot e_{k+1,l}|^{2} + \dots + |x \cdot e_{nl}|^{2} \le 1 \}.$

• Show $o \in \text{int } Q_0$

Assume otherwise. Then Q_0 is contained in some lower dimensional subspace. At the end of the day, show $\Phi(h_{Q_l}) \to -\infty$. John ellipsoid E_l of Q_l , $E_l \subset Q_l \subset \sqrt{n}E_l$. Say

$$E_{l} = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^{n} : \frac{|x \cdot e_{1l}|^{2}}{a_{1l}^{2}} + \cdots + \frac{|x \cdot e_{nl}|^{2}}{a_{nl}^{2}} \leq 1\},$$

where $0 < a_{1l} \le a_{2l} \le \cdots \le a_{nl} \le 1$.

Assume $a_{1l}, \cdots, a_{kl} \rightarrow 0$ and $a_{k+1,l}, \cdots, a_{nl} \rightarrow > 0$ Let

$$T_{l} = \{ x \in \mathbb{R}^{n} : \frac{|x \cdot e_{1l}|^{2}}{a_{1l}^{2}} + \dots + \frac{|x \cdot e_{kl}|^{2}}{a_{kl}^{2}} \le 1$$

$$\text{and } |x \cdot e_{k+1,l}|^{2} + \dots + |x \cdot e_{nl}|^{2} \le 1 \}.$$

Obviously $E_I \subset T_I$.



Hence $E_I \subset Q_I \subset \sqrt{n}T_I$.

$$\Phi(h_{Q_l}) \leq -\frac{1}{|\mu|} \int_{\mathcal{S}^{n-1}} \log h_{\mathcal{E}_l}(v) d\mu(v) + \frac{1}{q} \log \tilde{W}_{n-q}(T_l) + c.$$

$$\Phi(h_{Q_l}) \leq -\frac{1}{|\mu|} \int_{S^{n-1}} \log h_{E_l}(v) d\mu(v) + \frac{1}{q} \log \tilde{W}_{n-q}(T_l) + c.$$

Estimate the first term by "partition of the sphere" and "subspace mass inequality"

$$\Phi(h_{Q_l}) \leq -\frac{1}{|\mu|} \int_{S^{n-1}} \log h_{E_l}(v) d\mu(v) + \frac{1}{q} \log \tilde{W}_{n-q}(T_l) + c.$$

Estimate the first term by "partition of the sphere" and "subspace mass inequality"

$$\mathsf{first}\;\mathsf{term} \leq -\left(\frac{1}{q} - \varepsilon_0\right) \log(a_{1l} \cdots a_{kl}) + c$$

$$\Phi(h_{Q_l}) \leq -\frac{1}{|\mu|} \int_{S^{n-1}} \log h_{E_l}(v) d\mu(v) + \frac{1}{q} \log \tilde{W}_{n-q}(T_l) + c.$$

Estimate the first term by "partition of the sphere" and "subspace mass inequality"

first term
$$\leq -\left(\frac{1}{q} - \varepsilon_0\right) \log(a_{1l} \cdots a_{kl}) + c$$

Estimate the second term by using "spherical coordinates"

second term
$$\leq \frac{1}{q} \log(a_{1l} \cdots a_{kl}) + c$$

• What about q > n?

- What about q > n?
- What about non-even case?

- What about q > n?
- What about non-even case?
- Uniqueness when q > 0?

- What about q > n?
- What about non-even case?
- Uniqueness when q > 0?
- Regularity?

- What about q > n?
- What about non-even case?
- Uniqueness when q > 0?
- Regularity?
- Everything that can be done with surface area measure.