



Machine Learning

CS60050

Kernel Methods




Learning From Data

Yaser S. Abu-Mostafa
California Institute of Technology

Lecture 15: **Kernel Methods**



Sponsored by Caltech's Provost Office, E&AS Division, and IST • Tuesday, May 22, 2012



What do we need from the \mathcal{Z} space?

$$\mathcal{L}(\boldsymbol{\alpha}) = \sum_{n=1}^N \alpha_n - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=1}^N \sum_{m=1}^N y_n y_m \alpha_n \alpha_m \mathbf{z}_n^\top \mathbf{z}_m$$

Constraints: $\alpha_n \geq 0$ for $n = 1, \dots, N$ and $\sum_{n=1}^N \alpha_n y_n = 0$

$$\boxed{g(\mathbf{x}) = \text{sign}(\mathbf{w}^\top \mathbf{z} + b)} \quad \text{need } \mathbf{z}_n^\top \mathbf{z}$$

where $\mathbf{w} = \sum_{\mathbf{z}_n \text{ is SV}} \alpha_n y_n \mathbf{z}_n$

and b : $y_m (\mathbf{w}^\top \mathbf{z}_m + b) = 1$ need $\mathbf{z}_n^\top \mathbf{z}_m$

Generalized inner product

Given two points \mathbf{x} and $\mathbf{x}' \in \mathcal{X}$, we need $\mathbf{z}^\top \mathbf{z}'$

Let $\mathbf{z}^\top \mathbf{z}' = K(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}')$ (the kernel) “inner product” of \mathbf{x} and \mathbf{x}'

Example: $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, x_2) \longrightarrow$ 2nd-order Φ

$$\mathbf{z} = \Phi(\mathbf{x}) = (1, x_1, x_2, x_1^2, x_2^2, x_1x_2)$$

$$K(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}') = \mathbf{z}^\top \mathbf{z}' = 1 + x_1x'_1 + x_2x'_2 + \\ x_1^2x'^2_1 + x_2^2x'^2_2 + x_1x'_1x_2x'_2$$

The trick

Can we compute $K(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}')$ **without** transforming \mathbf{x} and \mathbf{x}' ?

Example: Consider $K(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}') = (1 + \mathbf{x}^T \mathbf{x}')^2 = (1 + x_1 x'_1 + x_2 x'_2)^2$

$$= 1 + x_1^2 x'^2_1 + x_2^2 x'^2_2 + 2x_1 x'_1 + 2x_2 x'_2 + 2x_1 x'_1 x_2 x'_2$$

This is an inner product!

$$(1, x_1^2, x_2^2, \sqrt{2}x_1, \sqrt{2}x_2, \sqrt{2}x_1x_2)$$

$$(1, x'^2_1, x'^2_2, \sqrt{2}x'_1, \sqrt{2}x'_2, \sqrt{2}x'_1x'_2)$$

The polynomial kernel

$\mathcal{X} = \mathbb{R}^d$ and $\Phi : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}$ is polynomial of order Q

The “equivalent” kernel $K(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}') = (1 + \mathbf{x}^\top \mathbf{x}')^Q$

$$= (1 + x_1 x'_1 + x_2 x'_2 + \cdots + x_d x'_d)^Q$$

Compare for $d = 10$ and $Q = 100$

Can adjust scale: $K(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}') = (a\mathbf{x}^\top \mathbf{x}' + b)^Q$

We only need \mathcal{Z} to exist!

If $K(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}')$ is an inner product in some space \mathcal{Z} , we are good.

Example: $K(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}') = \exp(-\gamma \|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}'\|^2)$

Infinite-dimensional \mathcal{Z} : take simple case

$$K(x, x') = \exp(-(x - x')^2)$$


$$= \exp(-x^2) \exp(-x'^2) \underbrace{\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{2^k (x)^k (x')^k}{k!}}_{\exp(2xx')}$$

This kernel in action

Slightly non-separable case:

Transforming \mathcal{X} into ∞ -dimensional \mathcal{Z}

Overkill? Count the support vectors



Kernel formulation of SVM

Remember quadratic programming? The only difference now is:

$$\underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} y_1 y_1 K(\mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}_1) & y_1 y_2 K(\mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}_2) & \dots & y_1 y_N K(\mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}_N) \\ y_2 y_1 K(\mathbf{x}_2, \mathbf{x}_1) & y_2 y_2 K(\mathbf{x}_2, \mathbf{x}_2) & \dots & y_2 y_N K(\mathbf{x}_2, \mathbf{x}_N) \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ y_N y_1 K(\mathbf{x}_N, \mathbf{x}_1) & y_N y_2 K(\mathbf{x}_N, \mathbf{x}_2) & \dots & y_N y_N K(\mathbf{x}_N, \mathbf{x}_N) \end{bmatrix}}_{\text{quadratic coefficients}}$$

Everything else is the same.

The final hypothesis

Express $g(\mathbf{x}) = \text{sign}(\mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{z} + b)$ in terms of $K(-, -)$

$$\mathbf{w} = \sum_{\mathbf{z}_n \text{ is SV}} \alpha_n y_n \mathbf{z}_n \implies g(\mathbf{x}) = \text{sign} \left(\sum_{\alpha_n > 0} \alpha_n y_n K(\mathbf{x}_n, \mathbf{x}) + b \right)$$

$$\text{where } b = y_m - \sum_{\alpha_n > 0} \alpha_n y_n K(\mathbf{x}_n, \mathbf{x}_m)$$

for any support vector ($\alpha_m > 0$)

How do we know that \mathcal{Z} exists ...

... for a given $K(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}')$? valid kernel

Three approaches:

1. By construction
2. Math properties (*Mercer's condition*)
3. Who cares? 😊

Design your own kernel

$K(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}')$ is a valid kernel iff

1. It is symmetric and 2. The matrix:
- $$\begin{bmatrix} K(\mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}_1) & K(\mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}_2) & \dots & K(\mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}_N) \\ K(\mathbf{x}_2, \mathbf{x}_1) & K(\mathbf{x}_2, \mathbf{x}_2) & \dots & K(\mathbf{x}_2, \mathbf{x}_N) \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ K(\mathbf{x}_N, \mathbf{x}_1) & K(\mathbf{x}_N, \mathbf{x}_2) & \dots & K(\mathbf{x}_N, \mathbf{x}_N) \end{bmatrix}$$

is **positive semi-definite**

for any $\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_N$ (Mercer's condition)

Thank You!

