Machine Learning

CS60050

Bayesian Learning

(Probability Overview)

Probability Overview

- Events
  - discrete random variables, continuous random variables, compound events
- Axioms of probability
  - What defines a reasonable theory of uncertainty
- Independent events
- Conditional probabilities
- Bayes rule and beliefs
- Joint probability distribution
- Expectations
- Independence, Conditional independence

Random Variables

- Informally, A is a <u>random variable</u> if
  – A denotes something about which we are uncertain
  – perhaps the outcome of a randomized experiment

- Examples

  A = True if a randomly drawn person from our class is female

  A = The hometown of a randomly drawn person from our class

  A = True if two randomly drawn persons from our class have same birthday

- Define P(A) as "the fraction of possible worlds in which A is true" or "the fraction of times A holds, in repeated runs of the random experiment"
  – the set of possible worlds is called the sample space, S
  – A random variable A is a function defined over S

$$A: S \rightarrow \{0,1\}$$

A little formalism

More formally, we have

- a sample space S (e.g., set of students in our class)
  - aka the set of possible worlds

- a random variable is a function defined over the sample space
  - Gender: S → { m, f }
  - Height: S → Reals
- an event is a subset of S
  - e.g., the subset of S for which Gender=f
  - e.g., the subset of S for which (Gender=m) AND (eyeColor=blue)
- we're often interested in probabilities of specific events
- and of specific events conditioned on other specific events

Visualizing A

Sample space of all possible worlds

Its area is 1



P(A) = Area of reddish oval

The Axioms of Probability

- $0 <= P(A) <= 1$
- $P(True) = 1$
- $P(False) = 0$
- $P(A \text{ or } B) = P(A) + P(B) - P(A \text{ and } B)$

[di Finetti 1931]:

when gambling based on “uncertainty formalism A” you can be exploited by an opponent

iff

your uncertainty formalism A violates these axioms

Elementary Probability in Pictures

- $P(\sim A) + P(A) = 1$



A useful theorem

- 0 <= P(A) <= 1, P(True) = 1, P(False) = 0,
  P(A or B) = P(A) + P(B) - P(A and B)

$\Rightarrow$ P(A) = P(A ^ B) + P(A ^ ~B)

A = [A and (B or ~B)] = [(A and B) or (A and ~B)]

P(A) = P(A and B) + P(A and ~B) – P((A and B) and (A and ~B))

P(A) = P(A and B) + P(A and ~B) ~~– P(A and B and A and ~B)~~

Elementary Probability in Pictures

- $P(A) = P(A \wedge B) + P(A \wedge \sim B)$



Definition of Conditional Probability

$$P(A|B) = \frac{P(A \wedge B)}{P(B)}$$



Definition of Conditional Probability

$$P(A|B) = \frac{P(A \wedge B)}{P(B)}$$

Corollary: The Chain Rule

$$P(A \wedge B) = P(A|B)\, P(B)$$

Bayes Rule

- let's write 2 expressions for P(A ^ B)



$$P(A|B) = \frac{P(B|A) * P(A)}{P(B)}$$ Bayes' rule

we call P(A) the "prior"

and P(A|B) the "posterior"

Bayes, Thomas (1763) An essay towards solving a problem in the doctrine of chances. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society of London,* **53:370-418**

…by no means merely a curious speculation in the doctrine of chances, but necessary to be solved in order to a sure foundation for all our reasonings concerning past facts, and what is likely to be hereafter…. necessary to be considered by any that would give a clear account of the strength of *analogical* or *inductive reasoning*…

Other Forms of Bayes Rule

$$P(A|B) = \frac{P(B|A)P(A)}{P(B|A)P(A) + P(B|\sim A)P(\sim A)}$$

$$P(A|B \wedge X) = \frac{P(B|A \wedge X)P(A \wedge X)}{P(B \wedge X)}$$

Applying Bayes Rule

$$P(A|B) = \frac{P(B|A)P(A)}{P(B|A)P(A) + P(B|\sim A)P(\sim A)}$$

A = you have the flu,   B = you just coughed

Assume:
P(A) = 0.05
P(B|A) = 0.80
P(B| ~A) = 0.2

what is P(flu | cough) = P(A|B)?

what does all this have to do with function approximation?

The Joint Distribution

Example: Boolean variables A, B, C

Recipe for making a joint distribution of M variables:

The Joint Distribution

Example: Boolean variables A, B, C

Recipe for making a joint distribution of M variables:

1. Make a truth table listing all combinations of values of your variables (if there are M Boolean variables then the table will have 2^M rows).

A	B	C
0	0	0
0	0	1
0	1	0
0	1	1
1	0	0
1	0	1
1	1	0
1	1	1

The Joint Distribution

Example: Boolean variables A, B, C

Recipe for making a joint distribution of M variables:

1. Make a truth table listing all combinations of values of your variables (if there are M Boolean variables then the table will have 2^M rows).
2. For each combination of values, say how probable it is.

A	B	C	Prob
0	0	0	0.30
0	0	1	0.05
0	1	0	0.10
0	1	1	0.05
1	0	0	0.05
1	0	1	0.10
1	1	0	0.25
1	1	1	0.10

The Joint Distribution

Recipe for making a joint distribution of M variables:

1. Make a truth table listing all combinations of values of your variables (if there are M Boolean variables then the table will have 2^M rows).
2. For each combination of values, say how probable it is.
3. If you subscribe to the axioms of probability, those numbers must sum to 1.

A	**B**	**C**	**Prob**
0	0	0	0.30
0	0	1	0.05
0	1	0	0.10
0	1	1	0.05
1	0	0	0.05
1	0	1	0.10
1	1	0	0.25
1	1	1	0.10



Using the Joint Distribution

gender	hours_worked	wealth	
Female	v0:40.5-	poor	0.253122
		rich	0.0245895
	v1:40.5+	poor	0.0421768
		rich	0.0116293
Male	v0:40.5-	poor	0.331313
		rich	0.0971295
	v1:40.5+	poor	0.134106
		rich	0.105933

One you have the JD you can ask for the probability of any logical expression involving your attribute

$$P(E) = \sum_{\text{rows matching } E} P(\text{row})$$

Using the Joint

gender	hours_worked	wealth	
Female	v0:40.5-	poor	0.253122
		rich	0.0245895
	v1:40.5+	poor	0.0421768
		rich	0.0116293
Male	v0:40.5-	poor	0.331313
		rich	0.0971295
	v1:40.5+	poor	0.134106
		rich	0.105933

P(Poor Male) = 0.4654

$$P(E) = \sum_{\text{rows matching } E} P(\text{row})$$

Using the Joint

gender	hours_worked	wealth	
Female	v0:40.5-	poor	0.253122
		rich	0.0245895
	v1:40.5+	poor	0.0421768
		rich	0.0116293
Male	v0:40.5-	poor	0.331313
		rich	0.0971295
	v1:40.5+	poor	0.134106
		rich	0.105933

P(Poor) = 0.7604

$$P(E) = \sum_{\text{rows matching } E} P(\text{row})$$

Inference with the Joint

gender	hours_worked	wealth	
Female	v0:40.5-	poor	0.253122
		rich	0.0245895
	v1:40.5+	poor	0.0421768
		rich	0.0116293
Male	v0:40.5-	poor	0.331313
		rich	0.0971295
	v1:40.5+	poor	0.134106
		rich	0.105933

$$P(E_1 \mid E_2) = \frac{P(E_1 \wedge E_2)}{P(E_2)} = \frac{\sum_{\text{rows matching } E_1 \text{ and } E_2} P(\text{row})}{\sum_{\text{rows matching } E_2} P(\text{row})}$$

Inference with the Joint

gender	hours_worked	wealth	
Female	v0:40.5-	poor	0.253122
		rich	0.0245895
	v1:40.5+	poor	0.0421768
		rich	0.0116293
Male	v0:40.5-	poor	0.331313
		rich	0.0971295
	v1:40.5+	poor	0.134106
		rich	0.105933

$$P(E_1 \mid E_2) = \frac{P(E_1 \wedge E_2)}{P(E_2)} = \frac{\sum_{\text{rows matching } E_1 \text{ and } E_2} P(\text{row})}{\sum_{\text{rows matching } E_2} P(\text{row})}$$

P(Male | Poor) = 0.4654 / 0.7604 = 0.612

You should know

- Events
  - discrete random variables, continuous random variables, compound events
- Axioms of probability
  - What defines a reasonable theory of uncertainty
- Conditional probabilities
- Chain rule
- Bayes rule
- Joint distribution over multiple random variables
  - how to calculate other quantities from the joint distribution

Expected values

Given discrete random variable X, the expected value of X, written E[X] is

$$E[X] = \sum_{x \in \mathcal{X}} x P(X = x)$$

We also can talk about the expected value of functions of X

$$E[f(X)] = \sum_{x \in \mathcal{X}} f(x) P(X = x)$$

Covariance

Given two discrete r.v.'s X and Y, we define the covariance of X and Y as

$$Cov(X,Y) = E[(X - E(X))(Y - E(Y))]$$

e.g., X=gender, Y=playsFootball

or X=gender, Y=leftHanded

Remember: $$E[X] = \sum_{x \in \mathcal{X}} x P(X = x)$$

Thank You!



"I know mathematically that A is more likely, but I gotta say, I feel like B wants it more."