

FLASH Radiotherapy Optimization

TEAM 17

April 26, 2025

1 Introduction

FLASH Radiotherapy (FLASH-RT) is a promising new modality delivering radiation at ultra-high dose rates ($> 40 \text{ Gy/s}$) to minimize normal tissue toxicity while maintaining effective tumor control [2]. This optimization problem involves three key goals: (i) maximize tumor control probability (TCP), (ii) minimize normal tissue complication probability (NTCP), and (iii) ensure that irradiation satisfies FLASH dose-rate thresholds [3, 2].

2 Relevant Parameters from RadBioDB

The key fields used from the RadBioDB [1] for optimization include:

- **CellClass**: Tumor (t) or Normal (n) classification.
- **DoseRate (Gy/min)**: Rate of radiation delivery per minute.
- $\alpha \text{ (Gy}^{-1}\text{)}$: Linear coefficient in the Linear-Quadratic (LQ) model.
- $\beta \text{ (Gy}^{-2}\text{)}$: Quadratic coefficient in the LQ model.
- **LET (keV/ μm)**: Linear Energy Transfer (not primarily needed unless incorporating LET effects).
- **Energy (MeV), Source, IrradiationConditions**: Assumed constant during modeling.

3 Mathematical Formulation

3.1 1. Survival Probability per Voxel

Based on the LQ model [4, 2]:

$$S_i = \exp\{-\alpha_i D_i - \beta_i D_i^2\} \quad (1)$$

where D_i is the dose to voxel i , α_i and β_i are the radiosensitivity parameters from RadBioDB.

3.2 2. Tumor Control Probability (TCP)

Assuming Poisson statistics for tumor clonogenic survival [4]:

$$\text{TCP} = \exp \left(-N_0 \prod_{i \in T} S_i \right) \quad (2)$$

where N_0 is the number of clonogenic cells in the tumor.

Alternatively, approximating:

$$\text{TCP} \sim \frac{1}{1 + (TCD_{50}/EUD_T)^{\gamma_{50}}} \quad (3)$$

where EUD_T is the Equivalent Uniform Dose to the tumor.

3.3 3. Normal Tissue Complication Probability (NTCP)

Using the Lyman-Kutcher-Burman (LKB) model [4]:

$$EUD_o = \left(\frac{1}{|O|} \sum_{i \in O} D_i^{n_o} \right)^{1/n_o} \quad (4)$$

$$\text{NTCP}_o = \frac{1}{2} \left[1 + \text{erf} \left(\frac{EUD_o - TD_{50,o}}{\sqrt{2} m_o TD_{50,o}} \right) \right] \quad (5)$$

where $TD_{50,o}$ is the tolerance dose for 50% complication probability.

3.4 4. FLASH Dose-Rate Satisfaction

To model FLASH satisfaction, define:¹

$$\Omega(D_{rate}) = \frac{1}{|H|} \sum_{i \in H} \Theta(R_i - R_{thr}) \quad (6)$$

where R_i is the DoseRate field (converted to Gy/s).

3.5 5. Final Objective Function

Combining the above:[2, 3, 4]

$$\max_D F = w_T \cdot \text{TCP} - \sum_o w_o \cdot \text{NTCP}_o + w_R \cdot \Omega(D_{rate}) \quad (7)$$

where w_T , w_o , and w_R are scalar weights adjusting priorities.

¹Typical FLASH threshold: $R_{thr} \approx 40$ Gy/s [2]

4 Formulation in Terms of Dataset Parameters

Every voxel i uses:

- $\alpha_i, \beta_i \rightarrow$ From RadBioDB.
- $D_i \rightarrow$ Decision variable.
- $R_i \rightarrow$ From DoseRate column.
- CellClass \rightarrow Tumor (for TCP) or Normal (for NTCP/FLASH).

Thus,

$$S_i = \exp\{(-\alpha_i D_i - \beta_i D_i^2)\} \quad (8)$$

for TCP calculation, and

$$EUD_o = \left(\sum_{i \in O} D_i^{n_o} \right)^{1/n_o} \quad (9)$$

for NTCP calculation, and

$$R_i \geq R_{thr} \quad (10)$$

for FLASH condition.

5 QUBO Formulation

5.1 1. Binary Variables

- $x_i = 1$ if voxel i receives sufficient dose (tumor or organ).
- $y_i = 1$ if voxel i satisfies FLASH dose-rate condition.

5.2 2. TCP Term

$$\text{TCP} \sim \sum_{i \in T} x_i \quad (11)$$

5.3 3. NTCP Term

$$\text{NTCP}_o \sim \sum_{i \in O} x_i \quad (12)$$

5.4 4. FLASH Satisfaction Term

$$\Omega \sim \sum_{i \in H} y_i \quad (13)$$

5.5 5. Full QUBO Objective

$$\min_{\{x_i, y_i\}} Q(x, y) = -w_T \sum_{i \in T} x_i + \sum_o w_o \sum_{i \in O} x_i - w_R \sum_{i \in H} y_i + P(x, y) \quad (14)$$

where $P(x, y)$ includes penalty terms enforcing:

- Dose delivery constraints.
- FLASH constraint: $x_i = 1 \implies y_i = 1$.
- Minimum tumor coverage.

Penalty example for FLASH violation:

$$P_{FLASH} = \lambda \sum_{i \in H} (x_i - y_i)^2 \quad (15)$$

where λ is a large constant.

6 References

References

- [1] G. L. L. Seuntjens *et al.*, "Encyclopedia of Radiation Oncology: Radiobiological Database for FLASH-RT", 2021.
- [2] Favaudon *et al.*, "Ultrahigh dose-rate FLASH irradiation minimizes the side effects of radiotherapy," *Sci Transl Med*, 2014.
- [3] Ramesh *et al.*, "FLASH radiotherapy treatment planning: considerations for clinical implementation," *Med Phys*, 2022.
- [4] J. T. Lyman, "Complication Probability as Assessed from Dose-Volume Histograms," *Radiat Res*, vol. 104, 1985.