Question 5.1 In [29]: import numpy as np import math from functools import reduce from matplotlib import pyplot as plt def multivariate normal pdf(x, mean, sigma): 1 = x.shape[0]det S = np.linalg.det(sigma) norm const = 1.0/((2.0\*np.pi)\*\*(1/2.0)\*np.sqrt(det S))inv S = np.linalg.inv(sigma) a1 = np.dot(np.dot((x-mean), inv\_S), (x-mean)) return norm\_const\*np.exp(-(1.0/2.0)\*a1) def multivariate normal pdf v2(x, mean, sigma): l = x.shape[1]det S = np.linalg.det(sigma)  $norm\_const = 1.0/((2.0*np.pi)**(1/2.0)*np.sqrt(det_S))$ inv S = np.linalg.inv(sigma) a1 = np.sum(np.dot(x-mean, inv S)\*(x-mean), axis = 1)return norm const\*np.exp(-0.5\*a1) #Generation and plotting of trainning and testing sets N = 1500 # Number of data points per classm1 = np.array([0, 2]) #mean for first class m2 = np.array([0, 0]) #mean for second classS = np.array([[4, 1.8], [1.8, 1]]) #covariance matrixp = 2\*NX class1 = np.random.multivariate normal(m1,S,N) X class2 = np.random.multivariate normal(m2, S,N) X 1 = np.concatenate((X class1, X class2), axis = 0) #data set $Y_1 = np.concatenate((np.zeros((N, 1)), 1*np.ones((N, 1))), axis = 0)$ plt.figure(1)  $plt.scatter(X_1[np.nonzero(Y_1 == 0), 0], X_1[np.nonzero(Y_1 == 0), 1], color = "b", label = "Class 1")$  $plt.scatter(X_1[np.nonzero(Y_1 == 1), 0], X_1[np.nonzero(Y_1 == 1), 1], color = "r", label = "Class 2")$ plt.title("Trainning set", fontsize=15) plt.legend(loc = 0)plt.xlabel("X 1"); plt.ylabel("X\_2"); np.random.seed(4)  $X_2 = np.concatenate((X_class1, X_class2), axis = 0) #data_set$  $Y_2 = np.concatenate((np.zeros((N, 1)), 1*np.ones((N, 1))), axis = 0)$ plt.figure(2)  $plt.scatter(X_2[np.nonzero(Y_2 == 0), 0], X_2[np.nonzero(Y_2 == 0), 1], color = "b", label = "Class 1")$  $plt.scatter(X_2[np.nonzero(Y_2 == 1), 0], X_2[np.nonzero(Y_2 == 1), 1], color = "r", label = "Class 2")$ plt.title("Test set", fontsize=15) plt.legend(loc = 0)plt.xlabel("X 1"); plt.ylabel("X 2"); Trainning set Class 1 Class 2 2 -2 X\_1 Test set Class 1 Class 2 2 0 -2 X\_1 ii # Estimation of priori probabilities # Classification using bayesian rule P2 = P1 = 0.5p1 = np.zeros(p)p2 = np.zeros(p)p1 = multivariate\_normal\_pdf\_v2(X\_2,m1 ,S); p2 = multivariate\_normal\_pdf\_v2(X\_2,m2 ,S); classes = np.zeros(p) for i in range(0, p): if P1 \* p1[i] > P2 \* p2[i]: classes[i] = 0else: classes[i] = 1Pe = 0for i in range(0, p): if classes[i] != Y\_2[i][0]: Pe **+=** 1 Pe /= p print('Pe: %f' % Pe) plt.figure(1) plt.plot(X 2[np.nonzero(classes == 1),0], X 2[np.nonzero(classes == 1),1], '.r') plt.xlabel("X 1"); plt.ylabel("X 2"); Pe: 0.010000 4 2 0 X\_1 iii def model(X\_train, Y\_train, X\_test, Y\_test, num\_iterations , learning\_rate , print\_cost): # perform parameter initialization dim = X\_train.shape[0] w = np.zeros((dim, 1))b = 0m = X train.shape[1] costs = [] # training for i in range(num\_iterations): # calculate cost and gradients - forward propagation  $A = 1/(1+np.exp(-(np.dot(w.T,X_train)+b)))$ cost = (-1/m)\*(Y train\*np.log(A)+(1-Y train)\*np.log(1-A)).sum()# calculate gradients - backward propagation dw = np.dot(X\_train, (A-Y\_train).T)/m db = (A-Y train).sum()/m# perform the update w = w - learning rate\*dw b = b - learning\_rate\*db  $A_{\text{test}} = 1/(1+np.exp(-(np.dot(w.T,X_{\text{test}})+b)))$ Y\_predict\_test = np.around(A\_test) # Print test Errors print("test Error: {} ".format( np.mean(np.abs(Y\_predict\_test - Y\_test)))) return Y\_predict\_test #Logistic regression ypredict=model(X 1.T, Y 1.T, X 2.T, Y 2.T, num iterations=20000 , learning rate=0.001 , print cost=True) plt.figure(1) plt.plot(X 2[np.nonzero(ypredict == 0),0], X 2[np.nonzero(ypredict == 0),1], '.b')  $plt.plot(X_2[np.nonzero(ypredict == 1), 0], X_2[np.nonzero(ypredict == 1), 1], '.r')$ plt.xlabel("X 1"); plt.ylabel("X 2"); test Error: 0.021 4 2 X\_1 iv By using Bayesian classification with logistic regression, the probability error is 0.021333333333333333333 this is bigger for logistic regression while comparing with Bayesian classification. V In [34]: #Different covariance S1 = np.array([[4, 1.8], [1.8, 1]])S2 = np.array([[4, -1.8], [-1.8, 1]])X\_class1 = np.random.multivariate\_normal(m1,S1,N) X\_class2 = np.random.multivariate\_normal(m2,S2,N) X\_1 = np.concatenate((X\_class1, X\_class2), axis = 0)  $Y_1 = np.concatenate((0*np.ones((N, 1)), 1*np.ones((N, 1))), axis = 0)$ plt.figure(1)  $plt.plot(X_1[np.nonzero(Y_1 == 0), 0], X_1[np.nonzero(Y_1 == 0), 1], '.b')$  $plt.plot(X_1[np.nonzero(Y_1 == 1), 0], X_1[np.nonzero(Y_1 == 1), 1], '.r')$ plt.xlabel("X\_1"); plt.ylabel("X\_2"); np.random.seed(5) X\_2 = np.concatenate((X\_class1, X\_class2), axis = 0)  $Y_2 = np.concatenate((0*np.ones((N, 1)), 1*np.ones((N, 1))), axis = 0)$ plt.figure(2)  $plt.plot(X_2[np.nonzero(Y_2 == 0), 0], X_2[np.nonzero(Y_2 == 0), 1], '.b')$  $plt.plot(X_2[np.nonzero(Y_2 == 1), 0], X_2[np.nonzero(Y_2 == 1), 1], '.r')$ plt.xlabel("X\_1"); plt.ylabel("X\_2"); 0 -2 X\_1 4 -2

X\_1 P2 = P1 = 0.5p1 = np.zeros(p)p2 = np.zeros(p)p1=multivariate\_normal\_pdf\_v2(X\_2,m1,S1); p2=multivariate\_normal\_pdf\_v2(X\_2,m2,S2); classes = np.zeros(p)

for i in range(0, p):

for i in range(0, p):

print('Pe: %f' % Pe)

plt.xlabel("X\_1"); plt.ylabel("X\_2");

Pe **+=** 1

else:

Pe = 0

Pe /= p

plt.figure(1)

Pe: 0.088000

4

2

-2

-6

plt.figure(1)

4

plt.xlabel("X 1"); plt.ylabel("X 2");

-6

Bayesian classification.

test Error: 0.1626666666666665

-2

0 X\_1

X\_1

if P1\*p1[i] > P2\*p2[i]: classes[i] = 0

classes[i] = 1

if classes[i] != Y\_2[i][0]:

plt.plot(X\_2[np.nonzero(classes == 0),0], X\_2[np.nonzero(classes == 0),1], '.b') plt.plot(X\_2[np.nonzero(classes == 1),0], X\_2[np.nonzero(classes == 1),1], '.r')

ypredict=model(X\_1.T, Y\_1.T, X\_2.T, Y\_2.T, num\_iterations=20000 , learning\_rate=0.001 , print\_cost=True)

Following the repetition of previous steps, it is possible to observe that the error in logistic regression is higher while comparing it with

plt.plot(X 2[np.nonzero(ypredict == 0),0], X 2[np.nonzero(ypredict == 0),1], '.b') plt.plot(X\_2[np.nonzero(ypredict == 1),0], X\_2[np.nonzero(ypredict == 1),1], '.r')

Question 5.2 import numpy as np import math import soundfile as sf import warnings warnings.filterwarnings("ignore", category=DeprecationWarning) import matplotlib.pyplot as plt import sys import os def py\_awgn(input\_signal, snr\_dB, rate=1.0): """ Addditive White Gaussian Noise (AWGN) Channel. Parameters input signal : 1D ndarray of floats Input signal to the channel. snr dB : float Output SNR required in dB. rate : float Rate of the a FEC code used if any, otherwise 1. Returns output\_signal : 1D ndarray of floats Output signal from the channel with the specified SNR. avg energy = np.sum(np.dot(input signal.conj().T, input signal)) / input signal.shape[0] snr\_linear = 10 \*\* (snr\_dB / 10.0) noise\_variance = avg\_energy / (2 \* rate \* snr\_linear) if input\_signal.dtype is np.complex: noise = np.array([np.sqrt(noise\_variance) \* np.random.randn(input\_signal.shape[0]) \* (1 + 1j)], ndmin=2 noise = np.array([np.sqrt(2 \* noise\_variance) \* np.random.randn(input\_signal.shape[0])], ndmin=2) output\_signal = input\_signal + noise.conj().T return output\_signal def Kernel\_Ridge(Xtrain, Ytrain, Xtest, sigma, lambda\_): ' use of a kernel based solution to calculate the output Y\_out' from scipy.spatial.distance import pdist, cdist, squareform # Design matrix K pairwise\_sq\_dists = squareform(pdist(Xtrain, 'sqeuclidean')) K = np.exp(-pairwise\_sq\_dists / sigma\*\*2) A = K + lambda\_ \* np.identity(len(K)) kx = np.exp(-cdist(Xtrain, Xtest, 'sqeuclidean')/sigma\*\*2) A = np.linalg.inv(K + lambda\_ \* np.identity(len(K))) B = np.matmul(kx.T,A)Y\_out = np.matmul(B,Ytrain) return Y\_out # Reading wav file. x corresponds to time instances (is., x i in [0,1]) # fs is the sampling frequency  $\mbox{\#}$  Replace the name "BladeRunner.wav" with the name of the file # you intend to use. np.random.seed(6) N = 2000samples = 20000 ind = range(0, samples,int(samples/N)) strt = 150000 [data, fs] = sf.read('BladeRunner.wav') sound = np.array(data[strt:(strt+samples+1), :], dtype=np.float32) y = np.reshape(sound[ind, 0], newshape=(len(ind), 1)) Ts = 1/fs #sampling period x = np.array(range(0, samples)).conj().transpose()\*Ts x = x[ind]x = np.reshape(x, newshape=(x.shape[0], 1))# Add white Gaussian noise snr = 10 # dB $y = py_awgn(y, snr)$ # add outliers 0 = 0.8\*np.max(np.abs(y))percent = 0.1 M = int(math.floor(percent\*N)) out\_ind = np.random.choice(N, M, replace=False) outs = np.sign(np.random.randn(M, 1))\*O y[out\_ind] = y[out\_ind] + outs  $lambda_{-} = 0.0001$ sigma = 0.004Y\_tst = Kernel\_Ridge(x,y, x, sigma, lambda\_) #Predicted Y values using Kernel Ridge fig = plt.figure(figsize = (12, 8))  $axes = fig.add_axes([0.1, 0.1, 0.9, 0.9])$ axes.scatter(x, y, color ='blue' , alpha = 0.5, label = "Training Set") axes.plot(x, Y\_tst, "r-", linewidth = 2, label = 'Reconstructed Signal') axes.set\_xlabel("T(s)"); axes.set\_ylabel("Amplitude"); axes.set\_title("Kernel Ridge Regression") axes.legend(loc=0); Kernel Ridge Regression Reconstructed Signal Training Set 0.075 0.050 0.025 0.000 -0.025-0.050-0.075-0.1000.1 0.3 0.4 T(s) ii In [14]: sigma = 0.004lambda\_ = np.array([10\*\*-6, 10\*\*-5, 0.0005, 0.001, 0.05]) for i in range (len(lambda\_)): Y\_tst = Kernel\_Ridge(x,y, x, sigma, lambda\_[i]) #Predicted Y values using Kernel Ridge fig = plt.figure(figsize = (12, 8))  $axes = fig.add_axes([0.1, 0.1, 0.9, 0.9])$ axes.scatter(x, y, color ='blue' , alpha = 0.5, label = "Training Set") axes.plot(x, Y\_tst, "r-", linewidth = 2, label = 'Reconstructed Signal') axes.set\_xlabel("T(s)"); axes.set\_ylabel("Amplitude"); axes.set\_title("Kernel Ridge Regression") axes.legend(loc=0); Kernel Ridge Regression Reconstructed Signal Training Set 0.075 0.050 0.025 0.000 Amplitude -0.025-0.050-0.075-0.1000.0 0.1 0.2 0.3 0.4 T(s) Kernel Ridge Regression Reconstructed Signal Training Set 0.075 0.050 0.025 0.000 Amplitude -0.025-0.050-0.075-0.100 0.0 0.1 0.2 0.3 0.4 T(s) Kernel Ridge Regression Reconstructed Signal Training Set 0.075 0.050 0.025 0.000 Amplitude -0.025-0.050-0.075-0.1000.2 0.3 0.0 0.1 0.4 T(s) Kernel Ridge Regression Reconstructed Signal Training Set 0.075 0.050 0.025 0.000 Amplitude -0.025 -0.050-0.075-0.1000.1 0.0 0.2 0.3 0.4 T(s) Kernel Ridge Regression Reconstructed Signal Training Set 0.075 0.050 0.025 0.000 Amplitude -0.025-0.050-0.075-0.1000.1 0.2 0.3 0.0 0.4 T(s) Kernel Ridge Regression Reconstructed Signal Training Set 0.075 0.050 0.025 0.000 -0.025-0.050-0.075-0.1000.0 0.2 0.3 0.4 0.1 T(s) iii  $lambda_{-} = 0.0001$ sigma = np.array([0.001, 0.003, 0.008, 0.05])for i in range (len(sigma)):  $Y_{tst} = Kernel_Ridge(x,y, x, sigma[i], lambda_) #Predicted Y values using Kernel Ridge$ fig = plt.figure(figsize = (12, 8))
axes = fig.add\_axes([0.1, 0.1, 0.9, 0.9]) axes.scatter(x, y, color ='blue' , alpha = 0.5, label = "Training Set") axes.plot(x, Y\_tst, "r-", linewidth = 2, label = 'Reconstructed Signal') axes.set\_xlabel("T(s)"); axes.set\_ylabel("Amplitude"); axes.set\_title("Kernel Ridge Regression") axes.legend(loc=0); Kernel Ridge Regression Reconstructed Signal Training Set 0.075 0.050 0.025 0.000 Amplitude -0.025-0.050-0.075-0.1000.1 0.4 T(s) Kernel Ridge Regression Reconstructed Signal Training Set 0.075 0.050 0.025 0.000 Amplitude -0.025-0.050-0.075-0.1000.1 0.2 0.3 0.4 0.0 T(s) Kernel Ridge Regression Reconstructed Signal Training Set 0.075 0.050 0.025 0.000 Amplitude -0.025-0.050-0.075-0.1000.0 0.1 0.2 0.3 0.4 T(s) Kernel Ridge Regression Reconstructed Signal 0.075 0.050 0.025 0.000 Amplitude -0.025-0.050-0.075 -0.1000.2 0.3 0.0 0.1 0.4 T(s) iv By observing the graphs it cand be said that when increasing the value of lambda, the quality is reduced by sigma due to loss of the

outliers. The effect of sigma is greater than lam Effect of lambda is not as much as sigma, since sigma essential adds more noise variance

In [1]:	Question 5.3  import numpy as np import scipy import matplotlib.pyplot as plt
	<pre>def nn_model(X, Y, n_h, num_iterations , learning_rate , print_cost ):     np.random.seed(3)  ## NN definition     n_x = X.shape[0] # size of input layer     n_h = n_h     n y = Y.shape[0] # size of output layer</pre>
	<pre># parameter initialization W1 = np.random.randn(n_h, n_x)*0.1 b1 = np.zeros((n_h, 1)) W2 = np.random.randn(n_y, n_h)*0.1 b2 = np.zeros((n_y, 1))</pre>
	<pre># gradient descent loop for i in range(0, num_iterations):  # Forward propagation A1 = np.dot(W1, X) + b1 Z1 = np.tanh(A1) A2 = np.dot(W2, Z1) + b2 Z2 = A2</pre>
	<pre># compute the cost m = Y.shape[1] cost = np.sum((Y-Z2)**2)/m  # perform back-propagation dA2 = Z2 - Y dW2 = np.matmul(dA2, Z1.T)/m</pre>
	<pre>db2 = np.sum(dA2, axis = 1, keepdims = True)/m dA1 = np.multiply(np.matmul(W2.T, dA2), (1-np.power(Z1, 2))) dW1 = np.matmul(dA1, X.T)/m db1 = np.sum(dA1, axis = 1, keepdims = True)/m  # Parameter update W1 = W1-learning_rate*dW1</pre>
	<pre>b1 = b1-learning_rate*db1 W2 = W2-learning_rate*dW2 b2 = b2-learning_rate*db2  # Print the cost every 1000 iterations if print_cost and i % 1000 == 0:     print ("Cost after iteration %i: %f" %(i, cost))</pre>
	<pre>parameters = {"W1": W1, "b1": b1, "W2": W2, "b2": b2}  return parameters  def nn_predict(parameters, X):  """ Arguments:</pre>
	parameters python dictionary containing trained parameters  X input data of size (n_x, m)  Returns:  predictions vector of predictions of our model (red: 0 / blue: 1)  """  # unpack the parameters
	<pre>W1 = parameters["W1"] b1 = parameters["b1"] W2 = parameters["W2"] b2 = parameters["b2"]  # Forward propagation A1 = np.dot(W1,X) + b1</pre>
	<pre>Z1 = np.tanh(A1) A2 = np.dot(W2,Z1) + b2 Z2 = A2  # output latyer's sigmoid transfer function  predictions = np.around(Z2)  return predictions</pre>
	<pre>def plot_decision_boundary(model, X, y):      # set min and max values and give it some padding     x_min, x_max = X[0, :].min() - 1, X[0, :].max() + 1     y_min, y_max = X[1, :].min() - 1, X[1, :].max() + 1     h = 0.01  # generate a grid of points with distance h between them</pre>
	<pre>xx, yy = np.meshgrid(np.arange(x_min, x_max, h), np.arange(y_min, y_max, h))  # predict the function value of the whole grid Z = model(np.c_[xx.ravel(), yy.ravel()]) Z = Z.reshape(xx.shape)  # plot the contour and training examples plt.contourf(xx, yy, Z, cmap = plt.cm.Spectral)</pre>
	<pre>plt.ylabel("x2") plt.xlabel("x1") plt.scatter(X[0, :], X[1, :], c = y, cmap = plt.cm.Spectral)  def mixt_model(m, S, N, sed):     """     m : matrix for means of the subclasses : (1,c) , where c is number of classes, l dimensions of input space     S : matrix for covariances of the subclasses : (1, l, c)</pre>
	<pre>N : array with the number of elements per class : (1, c) """  np.random.seed(sed) l = m.shape[0]  # dimension of the space c = m.shape[1]  # number of gaussian sub-classes Ntotal = np.sum(N) X = []</pre>
	<pre>for i in range(0,c):     Xc = np.random.multivariate_normal(np.array(m[:, i]).T, np.array(S[:, :, i]), N[i]).T     X.append(Xc) X = np.hstack(X)  return X[:, np.random.permutation(Ntotal)]</pre>
In [2]:	<pre>seed = 10 D = 2 # Dimension m1 = np.array([[-5, 5], [5, -5]]).T #mean for class one</pre>
	<pre>m2 = np.array([[-5, -5], [0, 0], [5, 5]]).T #mean for class two c1 = m1.shape[1] # number of gaussians per class 1 c2 = m2.shape[1] # number of gaussians per class 2  #Training Set Generation N1 = np.array([50,50]) S1 = np.zeros(shape=(D,D, cl)) s_1=1 #Variance for i in range(0, cl):</pre>
	<pre>S1[:, :, i] = np.array(s_1*np.eye(2))  wltrainning = mixt_model(m1, S1, N1, seed) #training set for first class N2 = np.array([50,50,50]) S2 = np.zeros(shape=(D, D, c2)) for i in range(0, c2):     S2[:, :, i] = s_1 * np.eye(D) w2trainning= mixt model(m2, S2, N2, seed) #training set for second class</pre>
	<pre>Xtrain = np.concatenate((wltrainning, w2trainning), axis=1); Ytrain = np.concatenate((np.zeros(shape=(1, np.sum(N1))), np.ones(shape=(1, np.sum(N2)))), axis=1)  #Test Set Generation seed = 8 w1test = mixt_model(m1, S1, N1, seed) #test set for first class w2test= mixt_model(m2, S2, N2, seed) #test set for second class Xtest= np.concatenate((wltest, w2test), axis=1);</pre>
	<pre>Ytest=np.concatenate((witest, wztest), axis=1), Ytest=np.concatenate((mp.zeros(shape=(1, np.sum(N1))), np.ones(shape=(1, np.sum(N2)))), axis=1)  plt.figure(1) plt.scatter(Xtrain[0,np.nonzero(Ytrain == 0)], Xtrain[1,np.nonzero(Ytrain ==0)]</pre>
	<pre>plt.xlabel("X1"); plt.ylabel("X2"); plt.title("Training Set"); plt.figure(2) plt.scatter(Xtest[0,np.nonzero(Ytrain == 0)], Xtest[1,np.nonzero(Ytrain ==0)]</pre>
	<pre>, marker = "x", color = "b", label = "Class_2"); plt.legend(loc=0); plt.xlabel("X1"); plt.ylabel("X2"); plt.title("Testing Set");</pre> Training Set
	6 -
	-4 -6 -8 -6 -4 -2 0 2 4 6 8
	Testing Set  8 6 4 2 Class 1 Class 2
	x Class_2  -4  -6  -8  -8  -6  -4  -7  -8  -8  -8  -8  -8  -8  -8  -8  -8
In [3]:	parameters_train = nn_model(Xtrain,Ytrain,n_h = 2,num_iterations = 9000,learning_rate = 0.01,print_cost = True)
	<pre>#Compute Training and Test Errors Y_predict_train = nn_predict(parameters_train, Xtrain) print("Training Error: {} %".format(np.mean(np.abs(Y_predict_train-Ytrain))*100))  parameters_test = nn_model(Xtest,Ytest,n_h = 2,num_iterations = 9000,learning_rate = 0.01,print_cost = True) Y_predict_test = nn_predict(parameters_test, Xtest) print("Test Error: {} %".format(np.mean(np.abs(Y_predict_test-Ytest))*100))</pre> ##Plotting Decision Boundries generated from the network
	<pre>#Plotting Decision Boundries generated from the network plot_decision_boundary(lambda x:nn_predict(parameters_test,x.T),Xtest,Ytest.ravel()); plt.title("Decision boundries (testing set)", fontsize=15);  Cost after iteration 0: 0.601382 Cost after iteration 1000: 0.239997 Cost after iteration 2000: 0.239996 Cost after iteration 3000: 0.239995</pre>
	Cost after iteration 4000: 0.239995 Cost after iteration 5000: 0.239994 Cost after iteration 6000: 0.239993 Cost after iteration 7000: 0.239991 Cost after iteration 8000: 0.239990 Training Error: 40.0 % Cost after iteration 0: 0.600108 Cost after iteration 1000: 0.239995 Cost after iteration 2000: 0.239993
	Cost after iteration 3000: 0.239992 Cost after iteration 4000: 0.239990 Cost after iteration 5000: 0.239987 Cost after iteration 6000: 0.239983 Cost after iteration 7000: 0.239977 Cost after iteration 8000: 0.239966 Test Error: 40.0 %  Decision boundries (testing set)
	8- 6- 4- 2- N 0-
	$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
In [4]:	parameters_train = nn_model(Xtrain,Ytrain,n_h = 2,num_iterations = 9000,learning_rate = 0.0001,print_cost = Tru#Compute Training and Test Errors
	Y_predict_train = nn_predict(parameters_train, Xtrain) print("Training Error: {} %".format(np.mean(np.abs(Y_predict_train-Ytrain))*100))  parameters_test = nn_model(Xtest,Ytest,n_h = 2,num_iterations = 9000,learning_rate = 0.0001,print_cost = True) Y_predict_test = nn_predict(parameters_test, Xtest) print("Test Error: {} %".format(np.mean(np.abs(Y_predict_test-Ytest))*100))  #Plotting Decision Boundries generated from the network
	plot_decision_boundary(lambda x:nn_predict(parameters_test,x.T), Xtest, Ytest.ravel()); plt.title("Decision boundries (testing set)", fontsize=12);  Cost after iteration 0: 0.601382 Cost after iteration 1000: 0.535850 Cost after iteration 2000: 0.482213 Cost after iteration 3000: 0.438309 Cost after iteration 4000: 0.402371
	Cost after iteration 5000: 0.372953 Cost after iteration 6000: 0.348870 Cost after iteration 7000: 0.329155 Cost after iteration 8000: 0.313014 Training Error: 60.0 % Cost after iteration 0: 0.600108 Cost after iteration 1000: 0.534883 Cost after iteration 2000: 0.481486
	Cost after iteration 3000: 0.437771 Cost after iteration 4000: 0.401982 Cost after iteration 5000: 0.372681 Cost after iteration 6000: 0.348690 Cost after iteration 7000: 0.329048 Cost after iteration 8000: 0.312964 Test Error: 60.0 %  Decision boundries (testing set)
	8 - 6 - 4 - 2 - 2 - 2 - 2 - 2 - 2 - 2 - 2 - 2
	$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
	As evident grom the graph above, with the parameters used thi time, the model is not classifying, all of the data points are place with the same background color meaning that it did not classify.   IV  n h = np.array([1, 4, 20])
	<pre>for i in range (len(n_h)):     parameters_train = nn_model(Xtrain,Ytrain,n_h[i],num_iterations = 9000,learning_rate = 0.01,print_cost = Fa  #Compute Training and Test Errors Y_predict_train = nn_predict(parameters_train, Xtrain) print("Training Error: {} %".format(np.mean(np.abs(Y_predict_train-Ytrain))*100))  parameters_test = nn_model(Xtest,Ytest,n_h[i],num_iterations = 9000,learning_rate = 0.01,print_cost = False</pre>
	<pre>Y_predict_test = nn_predict(parameters_test, Xtest) print("Test Error: {} %".format(np.mean(np.abs(Y_predict_test-Ytest))*100)) plt.figure(i) #Plotting Decision Boundries generated from the network plot_decision_boundary(lambda x:nn_predict(parameters_test,x.T),Xtest,Ytest.ravel()); plt.title("Decision boundries for testing set when K = %f" %n_h[i], fontsize=12);  Training Error: 40.0 % Test Error: 40.0 %</pre>
	Training Error: 0.0 % Test Error: 0.0 % Training Error: 0.0 %  Test Error: 0.0 %  Decision boundries for testing set when K = 1.000000  8 6
	4 - 2 - 2 - 2 2 4 2 4 2 4 2 4 2 2 4 2 2 4 2 2 2 2 - 2
	Decision boundries for testing set when $K = 4.000000$
	6 - 4 - 2 - 2 - 2 - 2 - 2 - 2 - 2 - 2 - 2
	Decision boundries for testing set when $K = 20.000000$
	8 - 4 - 2 - 2 - 2 - 2 - 2 - 2 - 2 - 2 - 2
	$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
	It can be seen from the graphs that when the learning rate is low, the model can not classify the data pointsbecause it is harder to reach a conclusion of what class the points belong to.
In [6]:	<pre>seed =10 D = 2  # Dimension m1 = np.array([[-5, 5], [5, -5]]).T  #mean for class one m2 = np.array([[-5, -5], [0, 0], [5, 5]]).T  #mean for class two c1 = m1.shape[1]  # number of gaussians per class 1 c2 = m2.shape[1]  # number of gaussians per class 2</pre> #Training Set Generation
	<pre>N1 = np.array([50,50]) S1 = np.zeros(shape=(D,D, c1)) s_1 = 6 #Variance for i in range(0, c1):     S1[:, :, i] = np.array(s_1*np.eye(2))  wltrainning = mixt_model(m1, S1, N1, seed) #training set for first class N2 = np.array([50,50,50])</pre>
	<pre>S2 = np.zeros(shape=(D, D, c2)) for i in range(0, c2):     S2[:, :, i] = s_1 * np.eye(D) w2trainning= mixt_model(m2, S2, N2, seed) #training set for second class Xtrain = np.concatenate((w1trainning, w2trainning), axis=1); Ytrain = np.concatenate((np.zeros(shape=(1, np.sum(N1))), np.ones(shape=(1, np.sum(N2)))), axis=1) #Test Set Generation</pre>
	<pre>seed = 5 wltest = mixt_model(m1, S1, N1, seed) #test set for first class w2test= mixt_model(m2, S2, N2, seed) #test set for second class Xtest= np.concatenate((wltest, w2test), axis=1); Ytest=np.concatenate((np.zeros(shape=(1, np.sum(N1))), np.ones(shape=(1, np.sum(N2)))), axis=1) plt.figure(1) plt.scatter(Xtrain[0,np.nonzero(Ytrain == 0)], Xtrain[1,np.nonzero(Ytrain == 0)]</pre>
	<pre>, marker = "x", color = "r", label = "Class_1"); plt.scatter(Xtrain[0,np.nonzero(Ytrain == 1)], Xtrain[1,np.nonzero(Ytrain ==1)]</pre>
	<pre>plt.scatter(Xtest[0,np.nonzero(Ytrain == 0)], Xtest[1,np.nonzero(Ytrain ==0)]</pre>
	Training Set  10 - ** ** ** ** ** ** ** ** ** ** ** ** *
	Q 0 X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X
	-10 -5 0 5 10  X1  Testing Set  10 -
	5
In [7]:	-10 -5 0 5 10  n_h = np.array([2, 20, 50])  for i in range (len(n h)):
	parameters_train = nn_model(Xtrain,Ytrain,n_h[i],num_iterations = 9000,learning_rate = 0.01,print_cost = Fa  #Compute Training and Test Errors Y_predict_train = nn_predict(parameters_train, Xtrain) print("Training Error: {} %".format(np.mean(np.abs(Y_predict_train-Ytrain))*100))  parameters_test = nn_model(Xtest,Ytest,n_h[i],num_iterations = 9000,learning_rate = 0.01,print_cost = False Y predict_test = nn_predict(parameters_test, Xtest)
	<pre>print("Test Error: {} %".format(np.mean(np.abs(Y_predict_test-Ytest))*100)) plt.figure(i)  #Plotting Decision Boundries generated from the network plot_decision_boundary(lambda x:nn_predict(parameters_test,x.T), Xtest, Ytest.ravel()); plt.title("Decision boundries for testing set when K = %f" %n_h[i], fontsize=12);</pre>
	Training Error: 40.0 % Test Error: 6.8000000000000000000000000000000000000
	5 - Q = 0 -
	-10 $-10$ $-5$ $0$ $10$ Decision boundries for testing set when K = 20.000000
	Decision boundries for testing set when K = 20.000000
	-510 -5 0 5 10
	Decision boundries for testing set when K = 50.000000
	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
In [8]:	n_h = np.array([2, 20, 50])  for i in range (len(n_h)):     parameters_train = nn_model(Xtrain,Ytrain,n_h[i],num_iterations = 9000,learning_rate = 0.001,print_cost = I  #Compute_Training_and_Test_Errors
	<pre>#Compute Training and Test Errors Y_predict_train = nn_predict(parameters_train, Xtrain) print("Training Error: {} %".format(np.mean(np.abs(Y_predict_train-Ytrain))*100))  parameters_test = nn_model(Xtest,Ytest,n_h[i],num_iterations = 9000,learning_rate = 0.01,print_cost = False Y_predict_test = nn_predict(parameters_test, Xtest) print("Test Error: {} %".format(np.mean(np.abs(Y_predict_test-Ytest))*100)) plt.figure(i)</pre>
	<pre>#Plotting Decision Boundries generated from the network    plot_decision_boundary(lambda x:nn_predict(parameters_test,x.T), Xtest, Ytest.ravel());    plt.title("Decision boundries for testing set when K = %f" %n_h[i], fontsize=12);  Training Error: 40.0 % Test Error: 40.0 % Training Error: 31.6 %</pre>
	Test Error: 5.6000000000000000000000000000000000000
	Q 0- -5-
	-10 -5 0 5 10  Decision boundries for testing set when K = 20.000000  10 -
	5- № 0- -5-
	-10 $-10$ $-5$ $0$ $5$ $10$ Decision boundries for testing set when K = 50.000000
	10 - 5 - 2 - 2 - 2 - 2 - 2 - 2 - 2 - 2 - 2
	-5 $-10$ $-5$ $0$ $0$ $0$ $0$ $0$ $0$ $0$ $0$ $0$ $0$
In [ ]:	