

An End to KASLR Bypasses?

 Yarden Shafir

 November 23, 2022

Edit: this post initially discussed the new changes only in the context of KASLR bypasses. In reality this new event covers other suspicious behaviors as well and the post was edited to reflect that. The title is left as it was for convenience.

In recent years, in addition to mitigating and patching specific malware or exploits, Microsoft is targeting bug classes. With a wide range of mitigations, such as zero-initialized pool allocations, CET, XFG and the most recent CastGuard, exploiting bugs is becoming more and more challenging. On top of that, there is improved visibility into malware and exploit techniques through ETW and specifically the Threat Intelligence ETW channel, available to EDRs.

In 23H2 preview builds, Microsoft is introducing a new ETW event, this time aimed at NT APIs that could point at various suspicious behaviors.

Syscall Usage Visibility

With this new change, Microsoft is focusing on several system calls that normally shouldn't be used by many applications but might be used by exploits either in their pre- or post-exploitation stage for various purposes, such as KASLR bypasses, VM detection or physical memory access. Many of the cases covered by this new event are already restricted to privileged processes — some require privileges reserved to admin or system processes, others restricted to low IL or untrusted callers. But an attempt to call any of those system calls could indicate suspicious activity, so it could be interesting regardless.

Until now, the only way EDRs could detect this type of activity was to place user-mode hooks on all the different NtQuery functions that leak kernel pointers. For many reasons, this is not ideal. Microsoft has been trying to keep EDRs away from user-mode hooks for a while, mostly by adding ETW events that allow EDRs to consume the same information through non-invasive means (though asynchronously and with no blocking capabilities).

Keeping up with this trend, Windows 11 23H2 adds a new ETW event to the Threat Intelligence channel - THREATINT_PROCESS_SYSCALL_USAGE. This ETW event is generated to indicate that a non-admin process has made an API call to an API + information class that could indicate some unusual (and potentially malicious) activity. This event will be generated for information classes in two APIs:

- NtQuerySystemInformation
- NtSystemDebugControl

These APIs have many information classes and many of them are “innocent” and commonly used by many applications. To avoid spamming information that isn't interesting or useful, the following information classes will generate an ETW event:

- SystemModuleInformation
- SystemModuleInformationEx
- SystemLocksInformation
- SystemStackTraceInformation
- SystemHandleInformation
- SystemExtendedHandleInformation
- SystemObjectInformation
- SystemBigPoolInformation
- SystemExtendedProcessInformation
- SystemSessionProcessInformation
- SystemMemoryTopologyInformation
- SystemMemoryChannelInformation
- SystemCoverageInformation
- SystemPlatformBinaryInformation
- SystemFirmwareTableInformation
- SystemBootMetadataInformation
- SystemWhealpmiHardwareInformation
- SystemSuperfetchInformation + SuperfetchPrefetch
- SystemSuperfetchInformation + SuperfetchPfnQuery
- SystemSuperfetchInformation + SuperfetchPrivSourceQuery
- SystemSuperfetchInformation + SuperfetchMemoryListQuery
- SystemSuperfetchInformation + SuperfetchMemoryRangesQuery
- SystemSuperfetchInformation + SuperfetchPfnSetPriority
- SystemSuperfetchInformation + SuperfetchMovePages
- SystemSuperfetchInformation + SuperfetchPfnSetPageHeat
- SysDbgGetTriageDump
- SysDbgGetLiveKernelDump

These information classes are included for different reasons - some are known to [leak kernel addresses](#), [some](#) can be used for [VM detection](#), another used in [hardware persistence](#), and some indicate previous knowledge of physical memory that most applications should not have. Overall, this new event covers various indicators that an application isn't behaving as it should.

Every mitigation must also take into consideration the potential performance impact, and ETW event generation can slow down the system when done in a code path that is called frequently. So, a few restrictions apply to this:

- The events will only be generated for user-mode non-admin callers. Since Admin->Kernel is not considered a boundary on Windows, many mitigations don't apply to admin processes to lower the performance impact on the system.
- An event will only be generated once per information class for each process. This means if NtQuerySystemInformation is called 10 times by a single process, all with the same information class, only one ETW event will be sent.
- The event will only be sent if the call succeeded. Failed calls will be ignored and will not generate any events.

To support requirement 2 and keep track of which information class were involved by a process, a new field was added to the EPROCESS structure:

```
union
{
    unsigned long SyscallUsage;
    struct
    {
        struct /* bitfield */
        {
            unsigned long SystemModuleInformation : 1; /* bit position: 0 */
            unsigned long SystemModuleInformationEx : 1; /* bit position: 1 */
            unsigned long SystemLocksInformation : 1; /* bit position: 2 */
            unsigned long SystemStackTraceInformation : 1; /* bit position: 3 */
            unsigned long SystemHandleInformation : 1; /* bit position: 4 */
            unsigned long SystemExtendedHandleInformation : 1; /* bit position: 5 */
            unsigned long SystemObjectInformation : 1; /* bit position: 6 */
            unsigned long SystemBigPoolInformation : 1; /* bit position: 7 */
            unsigned long SystemExtendedProcessInformation : 1; /* bit position: 8 */
            unsigned long SystemSessionProcessInformation : 1; /* bit position: 9 */
            unsigned long SystemMemoryTopologyInformation : 1; /* bit position: 10 */
            unsigned long SystemMemoryChannelInformation : 1; /* bit position: 11 */
            unsigned long SystemCoverageInformation : 1; /* bit position: 12 */
            unsigned long SystemPlatformBinaryInformation : 1; /* bit position: 13 */
            unsigned long SystemFirmwareTableInformation : 1; /* bit position: 14 */
            unsigned long SystemBootMetadataInformation : 1; /* bit position: 15 */
            unsigned long SystemWhealpmiHardwareInformation : 1; /* bit position: 16 */
            unsigned long SystemSuperfetchPrefetch : 1; /* bit position: 17 */
            unsigned long SystemSuperfetchPfnQuery : 1; /* bit position: 18 */
            unsigned long SystemSuperfetchPrivSourceQuery : 1; /* bit position: 19 */
            unsigned long SystemSuperfetchMemoryListQuery : 1; /* bit position: 20 */
            unsigned long SystemSuperfetchMemoryRangesQuery : 1; /* bit position: 21 */
            unsigned long SystemSuperfetchPfnSetPriority : 1; /* bit position: 22 */
            unsigned long SystemSuperfetchMovePages : 1; /* bit position: 23 */
            unsigned long SystemSuperfetchPfnSetPageHeat : 1; /* bit position: 24 */
            unsigned long SysDbgGetTriageDump : 1; /* bit position: 25 */
            unsigned long SysDbgGetLiveKernelDump : 1; /* bit position: 26 */
            unsigned long SyscallUsageValuesSparse : 5; /* bit position: 27 */
        }; /* bitfield */
    } SyscallUsageValues;
};
```

The first time a process successfully invokes one of the monitored information classes, the bit corresponding to that information class is set - this happens for admin processes, even if the ETW event isn't sent for those processes. An ETW event is only sent if the bit is not set, guaranteeing that an event is only sent once for every class. And while there is no API to query this EPROCESS field, it does have the nice side effect of leaving a record of which information classes are used by each process - something to look at if you analyze a system! (But only if the Syscall Usage event is enabled in the system, otherwise the bits don't get set).

Examining the Data

Currently nothing is enabling this event, and no one consumes it, but I expect to see Windows Defender start using it soon, and hopefully other EDRs as well. I went and enabled this event manually to see whether those “suspicious” APIs get used on a regular machine, using my I/O ring exploit as a sanity test (since I know it uses NtQuerySystemInformation to leak kernel pointers). Here are some of the results from a few minutes of normal execution:

```
dx -g @Scursession.Processes.Where{p =>
p.KernelObject.SyscallUsage}.Select{p => new {Name = p.Name,
SyscallUsage = p.KernelObject.SyscallUsage}}
```

	Name	SyscallUsage
0x5741	svchost.exe	0x80000
0x9241	svchost.exe	0x80000
0x1260	svchost.exe	0x80000
0x7081	vmcompute.exe	0x800
0x1c01	svchost.exe	0x4000
0x6841	EngHost.exe	0x4000
0x4a14	IoRingReadWritePrimitive.exe	0x11
0x73a1	POWERHUP.EXE	0x4000
0x81d1	EXCEL.EXE	0x4000
0x5618	WinObjEX64.exe	0x21
0x7731	SystemInformer.exe	0x1
0x7a50	devenv.exe	0x4000
0x46dc	PerfMon2.exe	0x4000
0x8781	Microsoft.ServiceHub.Controller.exe	0x4000
0x6091	ServiceHub.IdentityHost.exe	0x4000
0x6800	ServiceHub.VSDetouredHost.exe	0x4000
0x7751	ServiceHub.SettingHost.exe	0x4000
0x5f31	ServiceHub.Host.netfx.x86.exe	0x4000
0x79a0	ServiceHub.ThreadedDialog.exe	0x4000
0x7081	ServiceHub.IndexingService.exe	0x4000
0x7181	ServiceHub.Host.AnyCPU.exe	0x4000
0x2050	ServiceHub.TestWindowStoreHost.exe	0x4000
0x66c1	svchost.exe	0x4000
0x6c30	sppsvc.exe	0x4000
0x87c1	vmwp.exe	0x4000
0x68c1	svchost.exe	0x4000
0x8f50	svchost.exe	0x4000
0x7050	svchost.exe	0x4000
0x7c50	RuntimeBroker.exe	0x4000

Obviously, there are a few information classes that are used pretty frequently on the machine, with the main one (so far) being SystemFirmwareTableInformation. Those common classes might get ignored by EDRs early on, and therefore become more popular with exploits that will be able to abuse them. Other classes are not as common and are more unique to exploits, though valid software may use it as well, resulting in false detections.

Conclusion

Does this mean there are no more API-based KASLR bypasses? Or that all existing exploits will immediately get detected? Probably not. EDRs will take a while to start registering for these events and using them, especially since 23H2 will only be officially released some time next fall and it'll probably be another year or two until most security products realize this event exists. And since this event is sent to the Threat Intelligence channel, which only PPLs can register for, many products can't access this or other exploit-related events at all. Besides, even for the security products that will register for this event, this isn't a world-changing addition. This ETW event simply replaces a few user-mode hooks that some EDRs were already using, without supplying entirely new capabilities. This event will enable EDRs to get information for some additional calls done by malicious processes, but that is only a single step in an exploit and will undoubtedly lead to many false positive if security products rely on it too heavily. And anyway, this event only covers some known indicators, leaving many others as potential bypasses

To summarize, this is a cool addition that I hope security products will use to add another layer of visibility into potential exploits. While it's not a game changer just yet, it's definitely something for both EDRs and exploit developers to consider in the near future.