Homework 3

Carl Eastlund

Due **Wed., Feb. 27** at **9:00pm**.

Collaboration Policy: Your work on this assignment must be your own. You *may not* copy files from other students in this class, from people outside of the class, from the internet, or from any other source. You *may not* share files with other students in this class.

You *may* discuss the problems, concepts, and general techniques used in this assignment with other students, so long as you do not share actual solutions.

If you are in doubt about what you *may* and *may not* do, ask the course instructor before proceeding. If you violate the collaboration policy, you will receive a zero as your grade for this entire assignment and you will be reported to OSCCR (northeastern.edu/osccr).

You will implement three new datatypes in this assignment. Three are concrete datatypes; I will tell you what sort of representation to use, and you will implement that in Racket. Two are abstract datatypes; I will only tell you what operations they need to support, and you must both design the representation and implement your design in Racket.

For each datatype, you will be assigned a set of operations that the datatype must support, and an upper-bound on the running time of the operations. In each case, you will be asked to describe your design and analyze its efficiency in LATEX (in solution.tex and solution.pdf) and implement it in Racket (in solution.rkt).

For implementing the datatypes, the *only* compound data structures you may use in Racket are lists, arrays, boxes, and struct definitions. The *only* built-in operations you may use on these data structures are those that run in $\Theta(1)$ time, plus make-vector, which runs in $\Theta(n)$ time. You must implement all other operations yourself. For reference, all the functions defined by struct are in $\Theta(1)$, as are empty?, cons?, cons, first, rest, vector-length, vector-ref, vector-set!, box?, box, and unbox.

In each case, any sequence of n operations must run in $O(n \log n)$ time in the average case. You can accomplish this using worst-case bounds as with our "D" heaps, or with amortized bounds as in our "growable sequences", or with average-case bounds as in hash tables.

In each case, a data structure containing n values must use at most $\Theta(n)$ space. Every cons cell, box, or struct takes up $\Theta(1)$ space plus the space for its contents; every vector of length n takes up n space plus the space for its contents. Numbers, strings, symbols, booleans, empty and (void) take up $\Theta(1)$ space each, for our purposes. (Technically, numbers and strings can be arbitrarily large, but we can consider only "small" numbers and strings for our purposes.)

1. Implement associative maps. In most representations, the unassign operation will be the most subtle, so bear it in mind during the design phase.

(fresh-assoc) : AssocMap

Creates a fresh associative map containing no associations.

 $(assign\ Number\ String\ AssocMap): AssocMap$

Adds an association that maps the given *Number* to the given *String*, overwriting any existing mapping for *Number*, returning the resulting *AssocMap*. Your implementation may construct a new *AssocMap* for the result, or mutate and return the original *AssocMap*.

(unassign Number AssocMap) : AssocMap

Removes any existing association for *Number*, returning the resulting *AssocMap*. Your implementation may construct a new *AssocMap* for the result, or mutate and return the original *AssocMap*.

(lookup Number AssocMap) : (String or #false)

Looks for an association for *Number*. If one exists, returns the corresponding *String*. Otherwise, returns #false.

- (a) What is your data definition for *AssocMap*? Include all invariants necessary to achieve your asymptotic running time and space usage.
- (b) Analyze the space used by your representation:
 - i. Argue that any AssocMap matching your data definition that contains n associations uses at most O(n) space.
 - ii. Argue that fresh-assoc produces a valid AssocMap.
 - iii. Argue that assign produces a valid *AssocMap*.
 - iv. Argue that unassign produces a valid AssocMap.
- (c) Analyze the running time for each operation:
 - i. State whether your analysis is worst case, average case, or amortized.
 - ii. Argue that fresh-assoc takes O(1) time.
 - iii. Argue that assign takes $O(\log n)$ time for an input that contains n associations.
 - iv. Argue that unassign takes $O(\log n)$ time for an input that contains n associations.
 - v. Argue that lookup takes $O(\log n)$ time for an input that contains n associations.
- 2. Implement sets. In most representations, the without operation will be the most subtle, so bear it in mind during the design phase.

(empty-set) : Set

Creates a set containing no elements.

(in? Number Set) : Boolean

Produces #true if the given Set contains the given Number; produces #false otherwise.

(extend Number Set) : Set

Produces a new set containing the given *Number* plus everything in the given *Set*. Your implementation may construct a new *Set*, or mutate and return the given *Set*.

(without Number Number Set) : Set

Produces a new set containing everything in the given *Set* except for elements between the two given *Numbers*, inclusive.

- (a) What is your data definition for *Set*? Include all invariants necessary to achieve your asymptotic running time and space usage.
- (b) Analyze the space used by your representation:
 - i. Argue that any Set matching your data definition that contains n elements uses at most O(n) space.
 - ii. Argue that empty-set produces a valid Set.
 - iii. Argue that extend produces a valid Set.
 - iv. Argue that without produces a valid Set.
- (c) Analyze the running time for each operation:
 - i. State whether your analysis is worst case, average case, or amortized.
 - ii. Argue that empty-set takes O(1) time.
 - iii. Argue that in? takes $O(\log n)$ time for an input that contains n elements.
 - iv. Argue that extend takes $O(\log n)$ time for an input that contains n elements.
 - v. Argue that without takes $O(\log n)$ time for an input that contains n elements.
- 3. Implement double-ended queues. In most representations, the concatenate operation will be the most subtle, so bear it in mind during the design phase.

(new-queue) : Queue

Produces a new queue containing no values.

(full? Queue) : Boolean

Returns #true if the given Queue contains at least one value. Returns #false otherwise.

(add-leftmost String Queue) : Queue

Adds the given *String* to the left end of the *Queue*, returning the resulting *Queue*. Your implementation may construct a new *Queue*, or mutate and return the given *Queue*.

(get-leftmost Queue) : String

Produces the leftmost value in the given Queue, which must be non-empty.

(drop-leftmost Queue): Queue

Removes the leftmost value in the given *Queue*, which must be non-empty. Your implementation may construct a new *Queue*, or mutate and return the given *Queue*.

(add-rightmost String Queue): Queue

Adds the given *String* to the right end of the *Queue*, returning the resulting *Queue*. Your implementation may construct a new *Queue*, or mutate and return the given *Queue*.

(get-rightmost Queue) : String

Produces the rightmost value in the given *Queue*, which must be non-empty.

(drop-rightmost Queue) : Queue

Removes the rightmost value in the given *Queue*, which must be non-empty. Your implementation may construct a new *Queue*, or mutate and return the given *Queue*.

(concatenate Queue Queue): Queue

Produces a *Queue* containing the values contained by both given *Queues*. The values from the first argument must go on the left, and the values from the second argument must go on the right. Your implementation may construct a new *Queue*, or it may mutate one or both of the given *Queues* and then return either of them.

- (a) What is your data definition for *Queue*? Include all invariants necessary to achieve your asymptotic running time and space usage.
- (b) Analyze the space used by your representation:
 - i. Argue that any *Queue* matching your data definition that contains n values uses at most O(n) space.
 - ii. Argue that new-queue produces a valid Queue.
 - iii. Argue that ${\tt add-leftmost}$ produces a valid ${\it Queue}$.
 - iv. Argue that drop-leftmost produces a valid Queue.
 - v. Argue that add-rightmost produces a valid Queue.
 - vi. Argue that drop-rightmost produces a valid Queue.
 - vii. Argue that concatenate produces a valid Queue.
- (c) Analyze the running time for each operation:
 - i. State whether your analysis is worst case, average case, or amortized.
 - ii. Argue that new-queue takes O(1) time.
 - iii. Argue that add-leftmost takes $O(\log n)$ time for an input that contains n values.
 - iv. Argue that $\mathtt{get-leftmost}$ takes $O(\log n)$ time for an input that contains n values.
 - v. Argue that drop-leftmost takes $O(\log n)$ time for an input that contains n values.
 - vi. Argue that add-rightmost takes $O(\log n)$ time for an input that contains n values.
 - vii. Argue that get-rightmost takes $O(\log n)$ time for an input that contains n values.
 - viii. Argue that drop-rightmost takes $O(\log n)$ time for an input that contains n values.
 - ix. Argue that concatenate takes $O(\log n + \log m)$ time for inputs that contain n values and m values, respectively.