1 Finite series

Definition 1.1 (Finite series). Let m, n be integers, and let $(a_i)_{i=m}^n$ be a finite sequence of real numbers, assigning a real number a_i to each integer i between m and n inclusive (i.e., $m \le i \le n$). Then we define the finite sum (or finite series) $\sum_{i=m}^{n} a_i$ by the recursive formula

$$\sum_{i=m}^{n} a_i := 0 \text{ whenever } n < m;$$

$$\sum_{i=m}^{n+1} a_i := \left(\sum_{i=m}^{n} a_i\right) + a_{n+1} \text{ whenever } n \ge m-1.$$

we sometimes express $\sum_{i=m}^{n} a_i$ less formally as

$$\sum_{i=m}^{n} a_i = a_m + a_{m+1} + \dots + a_n.$$

Remark 1.2. The difference between "sum" and "series" is a subtle linguistic one. Strictly speaking, a series is an expression of the form $\sum_{i=m}^{n} a_i$; this series is mathematically (but not semantically) equal to a real number, which is then the sum of that series. For instance, 1+2+3+4+5 is a series, whose sum is 15; if one were to be very picky about semantics, one would not consider 15 a series and one would not consider 1+2+3+4+5 a sum, despite the two expressions having the same value. However, we will not be very careful about this distinction as it is purely linguistic and has no bearing on the mathematics; the expressions 1+2+3+4+5 and 15 are the same number, and thus mathematically interchangeable, in the sense of the axiom of substitution, even if they are not semantically interchangeable.

Remark 1.3. Note that the variable i (sometimes called the index of summation) is a bound variable (sometimes called a dummy variable); the expression $\sum_{i=m}^{n} a_i$ does not actually depend on any quantity named i. In particular, one can replace the index of summation i with any other symbol, and obtain the same sum:

$$\sum_{i=m}^{n} a_i = \sum_{j=m}^{n} a_j.$$

Lemma 1.4. 1. Let $m \le n < p$ be integers, and let a_i be a real number assigned to each integer $m \le i \le p$. Then we have

$$\sum_{i=m}^{n} a_i + \sum_{i=n+1}^{p} a_i = \sum_{i=m}^{p} a_i.$$

2. Let $m \leq n$ be integers, k be another integer, and let a_i be a real number assigned to each integer $m \leq i \leq n$. Then we have

$$\sum_{i=m}^{n} a_i = \sum_{j=m+k}^{n+k} a_{j-k}.$$

3. Let $m \le n$ be integers, and let a_i, b_i be real numbers assigned to each integer $m \le i \le n$. Then we have

$$\sum_{i=m}^{n} (a_i + b_i) = \left(\sum_{i=m}^{n} a_i\right) + \left(\sum_{i=m}^{n} b_i\right).$$

4. Let $m \le n$ be integers, and let a_i be a real number assigned to each integer $m \le i \le n$, and let c be another real number. Then we have

$$\sum_{i=m}^{n} (ca_i) = c \left(\sum_{i=m}^{n} a_i \right).$$

5. (Triangle inequality for finite series) Let $m \le n$ be integers, and let a_i be a real number assigned to each integer $m \le i \le n$. Then we have

$$\left| \sum_{i=m}^{n} a_i \right| \le \sum_{i=m}^{n} |a_i|.$$

6. (Comparison test for finite series) Let $m \le n$ be integers, and let a_i , b_i be real numbers assigned to each integer $m \le i \le n$. Suppose that $a_i \le b_i$ for all $m \le i \le n$. Then we have

$$\sum_{i=m}^{n} a_i \le \sum_{i=m}^{n} b_i$$

Proof. (a) Let k = p - m. By hypothesis we know that k > 0. Now we induct on k to show that definition 1.4(a) is true and we start with k = 1. For k = 1, we have p = m + 1 and by definition 1.1 we have

$$\sum_{i=m}^{n} a_i + \sum_{i=n+1}^{p} a_i = \sum_{i=m}^{m} a_i + \sum_{i=m+1}^{p} a_i = a_m + a_{m+1} = \sum_{i=m}^{p} a_i.$$

Thus, the base case holds. Suppose inductively that for some $k \ge 1$ definition 1.4(a) is true. Then for k+1=p-m, we have p-1=k+m and

$$\sum_{i=m}^{n} a_i + \sum_{i=n+1}^{p} a_i = \left(\sum_{i=m}^{n} a_i\right) + \left(\sum_{i=n+1}^{p-1} a_i\right) + a_p$$

$$= \left(\sum_{i=m}^{p-1} a_i\right) + a_p$$

$$= \sum_{i=m}^{p} a_i.$$
 $i: 7.1.1$

This closes the induction.

Proof. (b) Let p = n - m. By hypothesis we know that $p \ge 0$. Now we induct on p to show that definition 1.4(b) is true. For p = 0, we have n = m and

$$\sum_{j=m+k}^{m+k} a_{j-k} = \left(\sum_{j=m+k}^{m+k-1} a_{j-k}\right) + a_{m+k-k}$$
 $i:7.1.1$

$$= 0 + a_{m+k-k}$$
 $i:7.1.1$

$$= 0 + a_{m}$$

$$= \left(\sum_{i=m}^{m-1} a_{i}\right) + a_{m}$$
 $i:7.1.1$

$$= \sum_{i=m}^{m} a_{i}.$$
 $i:7.1.1$

So the base case holds. Suppose inductively that for some $p \geq 0$ definition 1.4(b) is true. Then for

p+1=n-m, we have p=n-m-1 and

$$\sum_{j=m+k}^{n+k} a_{j-k} = \left(\sum_{j=m+k}^{n+k-1} a_{j-k}\right) + a_{n+k-k}$$

$$= \left(\sum_{j=m+k}^{n+k-1} a_{j-k}\right) + a_n$$

$$= \left(\sum_{i=m}^{n-1} a_i\right) + a_n$$

$$= \sum_{i=m}^{n} a_i.$$
 $i: 7.1.1$

This closes the induction.

Proof. (c) Let p = n - m. By hypothesis we know that $p \ge 0$. Now we induct on p to show that definition 1.4(c) is true. For p = 0, we have n = m and

$$\sum_{i=m}^{m} (a_i + b_i) = \left(\sum_{i=m}^{m-1} (a_i + b_i)\right) + a_m + b_m$$
 $i: 7.1.1$

$$= 0 + a_m + b_m$$
 $i: 7.1.1$

$$= \left(\sum_{i=m}^{m-1} a_i\right) + \left(\sum_{i=m}^{m-1} b_i\right) + a_m + b_m$$
 $i: 7.1.1$

$$= \left(\sum_{i=m}^{m} a_i\right) + \left(\sum_{i=m}^{m} b_i\right).$$
 $i: 7.1.1$

So the base case holds. Suppose inductively that for some $p \ge 0$ definition 1.4(c) is true. Then for p+1=n-m, we have p=n-m-1 and

$$\sum_{i=m}^{n} (a_i + b_i) = \left(\sum_{i=m}^{n-1} (a_i + b_i)\right) + a_n + b_n$$

$$= \left(\sum_{i=m}^{n-1} a_i\right) + \left(\sum_{i=m}^{n-1} b_i\right) + a_n + b_n$$

$$= \left(\sum_{i=m}^{n} a_i\right) + \left(\sum_{i=m}^{n} b_i\right).$$
 $i: 7.1.1$

This closes the induction.

Proof. (d) Let p = n - m. By hypothesis we know that $p \ge 0$. Now we induct on p to show that defini-

tion 1.4(d) is true. For p = 0, we have n = m and

$$\sum_{i=m}^{m} ca_{i} = \left(\sum_{i=m}^{m-1} ca_{i}\right) + ca_{m}$$

$$= 0 + ca_{m}$$

$$= c \times 0 + ca_{m}$$

$$= c\left(\sum_{i=m}^{m-1} a_{i}\right) + ca_{m}$$

$$= c\left(\left(\sum_{i=m}^{m-1} a_{i}\right) + a_{m}\right)$$

$$= c\left(\sum_{i=m}^{m} a_{i}\right).$$

$$i: 7.1.1$$

So the base case holds. Suppose inductively that for some $p \ge 0$ definition 1.4(d) is true. Then for p+1=n-m, we have p=n-m-1 and

$$\sum_{i=m}^{n} ca_i = \left(\sum_{i=m}^{n-1} ca_i\right) + ca_n$$

$$= c\left(\sum_{i=m}^{n-1} a_i\right) + ca_n$$

$$= c\left(\left(\sum_{i=m}^{n-1} a_i\right) + a_n\right)$$

$$= c\left(\sum_{i=m}^{n} a_i\right).$$

$$i: 7.1.1$$

This closes the induction.

Proof. (e) Let p = n - m. By hypothesis we know that $p \ge 0$. Now we induct on p to show that definition 1.4(e) is true. For p = 0, we have n = m and

$$\begin{vmatrix} \sum_{i=m}^{m} a_i \\ = \\ \begin{vmatrix} \sum_{i=m}^{m-1} a_i \\ = \\ 0 + a_m \end{vmatrix} & i:7.1.1 \end{vmatrix}$$

$$= 0 + |a_m|$$

$$= \left(\sum_{i=m}^{m-1} |a_i| \right) + |a_m|$$

$$= \sum_{i=m}^{m} |a_i|.$$

$$i:7.1.1$$

So the base case holds. Suppose inductively that for some $p \ge 0$ definition 1.4(e) is true. Then for p + 1 =

n-m, we have p=n-m-1 and

$$\left| \sum_{i=m}^{n} a_i \right| = \left| \left(\sum_{i=m}^{n-1} a_i \right) + a_n \right|$$

$$\leq \left| \sum_{i=m}^{n-1} a_i \right| + |a_n|$$

$$\leq \sum_{i=m}^{n-1} |a_i| + |a_n|$$

$$= \sum_{i=m}^{n} |a_i|.$$
 $i: 7.1.1$

This closes the induction.

Proof. (f) Let p = n - m. By hypothesis we know that $p \ge 0$. Now we induct on p to show that definition 1.4(f) is true. For p = 0, we have n = m and

$$\sum_{i=m}^{m} a_i = \left(\sum_{i=m}^{m-1} a_i\right) + a_m \qquad i:7.1.1$$

$$= 0 + a_m \qquad i:7.1.1$$

$$\leq 0 + b_m \qquad \text{(by hypothesis)}$$

$$= \left(\sum_{i=m}^{m-1} b_i\right) + b_m \qquad i:7.1.1$$

$$= \sum_{i=m}^{m} b_i. \qquad i:7.1.1$$

So the base case holds. Suppose inductively that for some $p \ge 0$ definition 1.4(f) is true. Then for p+1 = n-m, we have p=n-m-1 and

$$\sum_{i=m}^{n} a_i = \left(\sum_{i=m}^{n-1} a_i\right) + a_n \qquad i:7.1.1$$

$$\leq \left(\sum_{i=m}^{n-1} b_i\right) + a_n$$

$$\leq \left(\sum_{i=m}^{n-1} b_i\right) + b_n \qquad \text{(by hypothesis)}$$

$$= \sum_{i=m}^{n} b_i. \qquad i:7.1.1$$

This closes the induction.

Remark 1.5. In the future we may omit some of the parentheses in series expressions, for instance we may write $\sum_{i=m}^{n} (a_i + b_i)$ simply as $\sum_{i=m}^{n} a_i + b_i$. This is reasonably safe from being mis-interpreted, because the alternative interpretation $(\sum_{i=m}^{n} a_i) + b_i$ does not make any sense (the index i in b_i is meaningless outside of the summation, since i is only a dummy variable).

Definition 1.6 (Summations over finite sets). Let X be a finite set with n elements (where $n \in \mathbb{N}$), and let $f: X \to \mathbb{R}$ be a function from X to the real numbers (i.e., f assigns a real number f(x) to each element x of X). Then we can define the finite sum $\sum_{x \in X} f(x)$ as follows. We first select any bijection g from $\{i \in \mathbb{N}: 1 \le i \le n\}$ to X; such a bijection exists since X is assumed to have n elements. We then define

$$\sum_{x \in X} f(x) := \sum_{i=1}^{n} f(g(i)).$$

In some cases we would like to define the sum $\sum_{x \in X} f(x)$ when $f: Y \to \mathbb{R}$ is defined on a larger set Y than X. In such cases we use exactly the same definition as is given above.

Proposition 1.7 (Finite summations are well-defined). Let X be a finite set with n elements (where $n \in \mathbb{N}$), let $f: X \to \mathbb{R}$ be a function, and let $g: \{i \in \mathbb{N}: 1 \le i \le n\} \to X$ and $h: \{i \in \mathbb{N}: 1 \le i \le n\} \to X$ be bijections. Then we have

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} f(g(i)) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} f(h(i)).$$

Proof. We induct on n; more precisely, we let P(n) be the assertion that "For any set X of n elements, any function $f: X \to \mathbb{R}$, and any two bijections g, h from $\{i \in \mathbb{N} : 1 \le i \le n\}$ to X, we have $\sum_{i=1}^{n} f(g(i)) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} f(h(i))$." (More informally, P(n) is the assertion that definition 1.7 is true for that value of n.) We want to prove that P(n) is true for all natural numbers n.

want to prove that P(n) is true for all natural numbers n. We first check the base case P(0). In this case $\sum_{i=1}^{0} f(g(i))$ and $\sum_{i=1}^{0} f(h(i))$ both equal to 0, by definition of finite series (definition 1.1), so we are done.

Now suppose inductively that P(n) is true; we now prove that P(n+1) is true. Thus, let X be a set with n+1 elements, let $f: X \to \mathbb{R}$ be a function, and let g and h be bijections from $\{i \in N : 1 \le i \le n+1\}$ to X. We have to prove that

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n+1} f(g(i)) = \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} f(h(i)).$$
 (i:7.1)

Let x := g(n+1); thus x is an element of X. By definition of finite series (definition 1.1), we can expand the left-hand side of eq. (i:7.1) as

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n+1} f(g(i)) = \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} f(g(i))\right) + f(x).$$

Now let us look at the right-hand side of eq. (i:7.1). Ideally we would like to have h(n+1) also equal to x - this would allow us to use the inductive hypothesis P(n) much more easily - but we cannot assume this. However, since h is a bijection, we do know that there is *some* index j, with $1 \le j \le n+1$, for which h(j) = x. We now use definition 1.4 and the definition of finite series (definition 1.1) to write

$$\begin{split} \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} f(h(i)) &= \left(\sum_{i=1}^{j} f(h(i))\right) + \left(\sum_{i=j+1}^{n+1} f(h(i))\right) \\ &= \left(\sum_{i=1}^{j-1} f(h(i))\right) + f(h(j)) + \left(\sum_{i=j+1}^{n+1} f(h(i))\right) \\ &= \left(\sum_{i=1}^{j-1} f(h(i))\right) + f(x) + \left(\sum_{i=j}^{n} f(h(i+1))\right). \end{split}$$

We now define the function $\tilde{h}: \{i \in \mathbb{N}: 1 \leq i \leq n\} \to X - \{x\}$ by setting $\tilde{h}(i) := h(i)$ when i < j and $\tilde{h}(i) := h(i+1)$ when $i \geq j$. We can thus write the right-hand side of eq. (i:7.1) as

$$= \left(\sum_{i=1}^{j-1} f(\tilde{h}(i))\right) + f(x) + \left(\sum_{i=j}^{n} f(\tilde{h}(i))\right) = \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} f(\tilde{h}(i))\right) + f(x)$$

where we have used definition 1.4 once again. Thus, to finish the proof of eq. (i:7.1) we have to show that

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} f(g(i)) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} f(\tilde{h}(i)).$$
 (i:7.2)

But the function g (when restricted to $\{i \in \mathbb{N} : 1 \le i \le n\}$) is a bijection from $\{i \in \mathbb{N} : 1 \le i \le n\} \to X - \{x\}$. The function \tilde{h} is also a bijection from $\{i \in \mathbb{N} : 1 \le i \le n\} \to X - \{x\}$ (cf. ??). Since $X - \{x\}$ has n elements (by ??), the claim eq. (i:7.2) then follows directly from the induction hypothesis P(n).

Remark 1.8. The issue is somewhat more complicated when summing over infinite sets; See ??.

Remark 1.9. Suppose that X is a set, that P(x) is a property pertaining to an element x of X, and $f: \{y \in X : P(y) \text{ is true}\} \to \mathbb{R}$ is a function. Then we will often abbreviate

$$\sum_{x \in \{y \in X : P(y) \text{ is true}\}} f(x)$$

as $\sum_{x \in X: P(x) \text{ is true }} f(x)$ or even as $\sum_{P(x) \text{ is true }} f(x)$ when there is no change of confusion.

Proposition 1.10 (Basic properties of summation over finite sets). 1. If X is empty, and $f: X \to \mathbb{R}$ is a function (i.e., f is the empty function), we have

$$\sum_{x \in X} f(x) = 0.$$

2. If X consists of a single element, $X = \{x_0\}$, and $f: X \to \mathbb{R}$ is a function, we have

$$\sum_{x \in X} f(x) = f(x_0).$$

3. (Substitution, part I) If X is a finite set, $f: X \to \mathbb{R}$ is a function, and $g: Y \to X$ is a bijection, then

$$\sum_{x \in X} f(x) = \sum_{y \in Y} f(g(y)).$$

4. (Substitution, part II) Let $n \leq m$ be integers, and let X be the set $X := \{i \in \mathbb{Z} : n \leq i \leq m\}$. If a_i is a real number assigned to each integer $i \in X$, then we have

$$\sum_{i=n}^{m} a_i = \sum_{i \in X} a_i.$$

5. Let X, Y be disjoint finite sets (so $X \cap Y = \emptyset$), and $f: X \cup Y \to \mathbb{R}$ is a function. Then we have

$$\sum_{z \in X \cup Y} f(z) = \Bigg(\sum_{x \in X} f(x)\Bigg) + \Bigg(\sum_{y \in Y} f(y)\Bigg).$$

6. (Linearity, part I) Let X be a finite set, and let $f: X \to \mathbb{R}$ and $g: X \to \mathbb{R}$ be functions. Then

$$\sum_{x \in X} (f(x) + g(x)) = \sum_{x \in X} f(x) + \sum_{x \in X} g(x).$$

7. (Linearity, part II) Let X be a finite set, let $f: X \to \mathbb{R}$ be a function, and let c be a real number. Then

$$\sum_{x \in X} cf(x) = c \sum_{x \in X} f(x).$$

8. (Monotonicity) Let X be a finite set, and let $f: X \to \mathbb{R}$ and $g: X \to \mathbb{R}$ be functions such that $f(x) \leq g(x)$ for all $x \in \mathbf{X}$. Then we have

$$\sum_{x \in X} f(x) \le \sum_{x \in X} g(x).$$

9. (Triangle inequality) Let X be a finite set, and let $f: X \to \mathbb{R}$ be a function, then

$$\left| \sum_{x \in X} f(x) \right| \le \sum_{x \in X} |f(x)|.$$

Proof. (a) Let $g: \{i \in \mathbb{N}: 1 \leq i \leq 0\} \to \emptyset$ be a function. Then g is a bijection and

$$\sum_{x \in X} f(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{0} f(g(i))$$

$$= 0.$$

$$i: 7.1.6$$

$$i: 7.1.1$$

Proof. (b) Let $g:\{1\} \to \{x_0\}$ be a function. Then g is a bijection and

$$\begin{split} \sum_{x \in X} f(x) &= \sum_{i=1}^{1} f(g(i)) \\ &= \left(\sum_{i=1}^{0} f(g(i))\right) + f(g(1)) \\ &= 0 + f(g(1)) \\ &= f(x_{0}). \end{split} \qquad i: 7.1.1 \end{split}$$

Proof. (c) Let $h: \{i \in \mathbb{N}: 1 \le i \le \#(Y)\} \to Y$ be a bijection. Since X is finite and g is a bijection between X and Y, we know that Y is finite and thus such h is well-defined. Then we know that $g \circ h: \{i \in \mathbb{N}: 1 \le i \le \#(Y)\} \to X$ is also a bijection and

$$\sum_{x \in X} f(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{\#(Y)} f((g \circ h)(i))$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{\#(Y)} f(g(h(i)))$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{\#(Y)} (f \circ g)(h(i))$$

$$= \sum_{y \in Y} (f \circ g)(y)$$

$$= \sum_{y \in Y} f(g(y)).$$
 $i : 7.1.6$

Proof. (d) Let $f: X \to \{a_i \in \mathbb{R} : n \le i \le m\}$ be a function where $f = i \mapsto a_i$. Let $g: \{i \in \mathbb{N} : 1 \le i \le m - n + 1\} \to X$ be a function where $g = i \mapsto i + n - 1$. Then g is a bijection and

$$\sum_{i \in X} a_i = \sum_{i \in X} f(i)$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{m-n+1} f(g(i)) \qquad i : 7.1.6$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{m-n+1} f(i+n-1)$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{m-n+1} a_{i+n-1}$$

$$= \sum_{i=1+n-1}^{m-n+1+n-1} a_{i+n-1-n+1} \qquad i : 7.1.4[b]$$

$$= \sum_{i=n}^{m} a_i.$$

Proof. (e) Let $g: \{i \in \mathbb{N}: 1 \leq i \leq \#(X)\} \to X$ and $h: \{i \in \mathbb{N}: 1 \leq i \leq \#(Y)\} \to Y$ be bijections. Since X, Y are finite, we know that g, h are well-defined and $X \cup Y$ is finite. Let $k: \{i \in \mathbb{N}: 1 \leq i \leq \#(X \cup Y)\} \to X \cup Y$ be a bijection where

$$k(i) = \begin{cases} g(i) & \text{if } 1 \le i \le \#(X) \\ h(i - \#(X)) & \text{if } \#(X) + 1 \le i \le \#(X) + \#(Y). \end{cases}$$

Since $X \cup Y$ is finite, we know that k is well-defined and $\#(X \cup Y) = \#(X) + \#(Y)$. Then we have

$$\begin{split} \sum_{z \in X \cup Y} f(z) &= \sum_{i=1}^{\#(X \cup Y)} f(k(i)) & i : 7.1.6 \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{\#(X)} f(k(i)) + \sum_{i=\#(X)+1}^{\#(X \cup Y)} f(k(i)) & i : 7.1.4[a] \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{\#(X)} f(g(i)) + \sum_{i=\#(X)+1}^{\#(X \cup Y)} f(h(i-\#(X))) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{\#(X)} f(g(i)) + \sum_{i=1}^{\#(Y)} f(h(i)) & i : 7.1.4[b] \\ &= \sum_{x \in X} f(x) + \sum_{y \in Y} f(y). & i : 7.1.6 \end{split}$$

Proof. (f) Let $h: \{i \in \mathbb{N}: 1 \leq i \leq \#(X)\} \to X$ be a bijection. Since X is finite, we know that h is

well-defined and

$$\begin{split} \sum_{x \in X} (f(x) + g(x)) &= \sum_{x \in X} (f + g)(x) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{\#(X)} (f + g)(h(i)) & i : 7.1.6 \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{\#(X)} (f(h(i)) + g(h(i))) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{\#(X)} f(h(i)) + \sum_{i=1}^{\#(X)} g(h(i)) & i : 7.1.4[c] \\ &= \sum_{x \in X} f(x) + \sum_{x \in X} g(x). & i : 7.1.6 \end{split}$$

Proof. (g) Let $g:\{i\in\mathbb{N}:1\leq i\leq\#(X)\}\to X$ be a bijection. Since X is finite, we know that g is well-defined and

$$\begin{split} \sum_{x \in X} cf(x) &= \sum_{x \in X} (cf)(x) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{\#(X)} (cf)(g(i)) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{\#(X)} cf(g(i)) \\ &= c \sum_{i=1}^{\#(X)} f(g(i)) \\ &= c \sum_{x \in X} f(x). \end{split} \qquad i : 7.1.4[d]$$

Proof. (h) Let $h:\{i\in\mathbb{N}:1\leq i\leq\#(X)\}\to X$ be a bijection. Since X is finite, we know that h is well-defined and

$$\sum_{x \in X} f(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{\#(X)} f(h(i))$$

$$\leq \sum_{i=1}^{\#(X)} g(h(i))$$

$$= \sum_{x \in X} g(x).$$
 $i: 7.1.4[f]$

$$i: 7.1.6$$

Proof. (i) Let $g:\{i\in\mathbb{N}:1\leq i\leq\#(X)\}\to X$ be a bijection. Since X is finite, we know that g is

well-defined and

$$\left| \sum_{x \in X} f(x) \right| = \left| \sum_{i=1}^{\#(X)} f(g(i)) \right|$$

$$\leq \sum_{i=1}^{\#(X)} |f(g(i))|$$

$$= \sum_{x \in X} |f(x)|.$$
 $i: 7.1.4[e]$

$$i: 7.1.6$$

Remark 1.11. The substitution rule in definition 1.10(c) can be thought of as making the substitution x := g(y) (hence the name). Note that the assumption that g is a bijection is essential. From definition 1.10(c) and (d) we see that

$$\sum_{i=n}^{m} a_i = \sum_{i=n}^{m} a_{f(i)}$$

for any bijection f from the set $\{i \in \mathbb{Z} : n \leq i \leq m\}$ to itself. Informally, this means that we can rearrange the elements of a finite sequence at will and still obtain the same value.

Lemma 1.12. Let X, Y be finite sets, and let $f: X \times Y \to \mathbb{R}$ be a function. Then

$$\sum_{x \in X} \left(\sum_{y \in Y} f(x, y) \right) = \sum_{(x, y) \in X \times Y} f(x, y).$$

Proof. Let n be the number of elements in X. We will use induction on n (cf. definition 1.7); i.e., we let P(n) be the assertion that definition 1.12 is true for any set X with n elements, and any finite set Y and any function $f: X \times Y \to \mathbb{R}$. We wish to prove P(n) for all natural numbers n.

The base case P(0) is easy, following from definition 1.10(a). Now suppose that P(n) is true; we now show that P(n+1) is true. Let X be a set with n+1 elements. In particular, by ??, we can write $X = X' \cup \{x_0\}$, where x_0 is an element of X and $X' := X - \{x_0\}$ has n elements. Then by definition 1.10(e) we have

$$\sum_{x \in X} \bigg(\sum_{y \in Y} f(x,y) \bigg) = \sum_{x \in X'} \bigg(\sum_{y \in Y} f(x,y) \bigg) + \bigg(\sum_{y \in Y} f(x_0,y) \bigg);$$

by the induction hypothesis this is equal to

$$\sum_{(x,y)\in X'\times Y} f(x,y) + \bigg(\sum_{y\in Y} f(x_0,y)\bigg).$$

By definition 1.10(c) this is equal to

$$\sum_{(x,y)\in X'\times Y} f(x,y) + \bigg(\sum_{(x,y)\in \{x_0\}\times Y} f(x,y)\bigg).$$

By definition 1.10(e) this is equal to

$$\sum_{(x,y)\in X\times Y} f(x,y)$$

as desired. \Box

Corollary 1.13 (Fubini's theorem for finite series). Let X, Y be finite sets, and let $f: X \times Y \to \mathbb{R}$ be a function. Then

$$\sum_{x \in X} \left(\sum_{y \in Y} f(x, y) \right) = \sum_{(x, y) \in X \times Y} f(x, y)$$
$$= \sum_{(y, x) \in Y \times X} f(x, y)$$
$$= \sum_{y \in Y} \left(\sum_{x \in X} f(x, y) \right).$$

Proof. In light of definition 1.12, it suffices to show that

$$\sum_{(x,y)\in X\times Y} f(x,y) = \sum_{(y,x)\in Y\times X} f(x,y).$$

But this follows from definition 1.10(c) by applying the bijection $h: Y \times X \to X \times Y$ defined by h(y, x) := (x, y).

Remark 1.14. We anticipate something interesting to happen when we move from finite sums to infinite sums. However, see ??.

Axiomatic Claim 1.15 (Products over finite sets). Let m, n be integers, and let $(a_i)_{i=m}^n$ be a finite sequence of real numbers, assigning a real number a_i to each integer i between m and n inclusive (i.e., $m \le i \le n$). Then we define the finite product $\prod_{i=m}^n a_i$ by the recursive formula

$$\prod_{i=m}^{n} a_i := 1 \text{ whenever } n < m;$$

$$\prod_{i=m}^{n+1} a_i := \left(\prod_{i=m}^{n} a_i\right) \times a_{n+1} \text{ whenever } n \ge m-1.$$

Axiomatic Claim 1.16. 1. Let $m \le n < p$ be integers, and let a_i be a real number assigned to each integer $m \le i \le p$. Then we have

$$\prod_{i=m}^{n} a_i \times \prod_{i=n+1}^{p} a_i = \prod_{i=m}^{p} a_i.$$

2. Let $m \leq n$ be integers, k be another integer, and let a_i be a real number assigned to each integer $m \leq i \leq n$. Then we have

$$\prod_{i=m}^{n} a_i = \prod_{j=m+k}^{n+k} a_{j-k}.$$

3. Let $m \le n$ be integers, and let a_i, b_i be real numbers assigned to each integer $m \le i \le n$. Then we have

$$\prod_{i=m}^{n} (a_i \times b_i) = \left(\prod_{i=m}^{n} a_i\right) \times \left(\prod_{i=m}^{n} b_i\right).$$

4. Let $m \le n$ be integers, and let a_i be a real number assigned to each integer $m \le i \le n$, and let c be another real number. Then we have

$$\prod_{i=m}^{n} (ca_i) = c^{n-m+1} \left(\prod_{i=m}^{n} a_i \right).$$

5. Let $m \le n$ be integers, and let a_i be a real number assigned to each integer $m \le i \le n$. Then we have

$$\left| \prod_{i=m}^{n} a_i \right| = \prod_{i=m}^{n} |a_i|.$$

Proof. (a) Let k = p - m. By hypothesis we know that k > 0. Now we induct on k to show that definition 1.16(a) is true and we start with k = 1. For k = 1, we have p = m + 1 and by definition 1.15 we have

$$\prod_{i=m}^{n} a_i \times \prod_{i=n+1}^{p} a_i = \prod_{i=m}^{m} a_i \times \prod_{i=m+1}^{p} a_i = a_m \times a_{m+1} = \prod_{i=m}^{p} a_i.$$

Thus, the base case holds. Suppose inductively that for some $k \ge 1$ definition 1.16(a) is true. Then for k+1=p-m, we have p-1=k+m and

$$\prod_{i=m}^{n} a_i \times \prod_{i=n+1}^{p} a_i$$

$$= \left(\prod_{i=m}^{n} a_i\right) \times \left(\prod_{i=n+1}^{p-1} a_i\right) \times a_p$$

$$= \left(\prod_{i=m}^{p-1} a_i\right) \times a_p$$

$$= \prod_{i=m}^{p} a_i.$$

$$i : ac : 7.1.1$$

This closes the induction.

Proof. (b) Let p = n - m. By hypothesis we know that $p \ge 0$. Now we induct on p to show that definition 1.16(b) is true. For p = 0, we have n = m and

$$\prod_{j=m+k}^{m+k} a_{j-k} = \left(\prod_{j=m+k}^{m+k-1} a_{j-k}\right) \times a_{m+k-k} \qquad i:ac:7.1.1$$

$$= 1 \times a_{m+k-k} \qquad i:ac:7.1.1$$

$$= 1 \times a_m \qquad i:ac:7.1.1$$

$$= \left(\prod_{i=m}^{m-1} a_i\right) \times a_m \qquad i:ac:7.1.1$$

$$= \prod_{i=m}^{m} a_i. \qquad i:ac:7.1.1$$

So the base case holds. Suppose inductively that for some $p \ge 0$ definition 1.16(b) is true. Then for p+1=n-m, we have p=n-m-1 and

$$\prod_{j=m+k}^{n+k} a_{j-k} = \left(\prod_{j=m+k}^{n+k-1} a_{j-k}\right) \times a_{n+k-k} \qquad i:ac:7.1.1$$

$$= \left(\prod_{j=m+k}^{n+k-1} a_{j-k}\right) \times a_{n}$$

$$= \left(\prod_{i=m}^{n-1} a_{i}\right) \times a_{n}$$

$$= \prod_{i=m}^{n} a_{i}. \qquad i:ac:7.1.1$$

This closes the induction.

Proof. (c) Let p = n - m. By hypothesis we know that $p \ge 0$. Now we induct on p to show that definition 1.16(c) is true. For p = 0, we have n = m and

$$\prod_{i=m}^{m} (a_i \times b_i) = \left(\prod_{i=m}^{m-1} (a_i \times b_i)\right) \times a_m \times b_m \qquad i : ac : 7.1.1$$

$$= 1 \times a_m \times b_m \qquad i : ac : 7.1.1$$

$$= \left(\prod_{i=m}^{m-1} a_i\right) \times \left(\prod_{i=m}^{m-1} b_i\right) \times a_m \times b_m \qquad i : ac : 7.1.1$$

$$= \left(\prod_{i=m}^{m} a_i\right) \times \left(\prod_{i=m}^{m} b_i\right). \qquad i : ac : 7.1.1$$

So the base case holds. Suppose inductively that for some $p \ge 0$ definition 1.16(c) is true. Then for p+1=n-m, we have p=n-m-1 and

$$\prod_{i=m}^{n} (a_i \times b_i) = \left(\prod_{i=m}^{n-1} (a_i \times b_i)\right) \times a_n \times b_n \qquad i : ac : 7.1.1$$

$$= \left(\prod_{i=m}^{n-1} a_i\right) \times \left(\prod_{i=m}^{n-1} b_i\right) \times a_n \times b_n$$

$$= \left(\prod_{i=m}^{n} a_i\right) \times \left(\prod_{i=m}^{n} b_i\right). \qquad i : ac : 7.1.1$$

This closes the induction.

Proof. (d) Let p = n - m. By hypothesis we know that $p \ge 0$. Now we induct on p to show that definition 1.16(d) is true. For p = 0, we have n = m and

$$\prod_{i=m}^{m} ca_i = \left(\prod_{i=m}^{m-1} ca_i\right) \times ca_m \qquad i: ac: 7.1.1$$

$$= 1 \times ca_m \qquad i: ac: 7.1.1$$

$$= c \times a_m \qquad i: ac: 7.1.1$$

$$= c\left(\prod_{i=m}^{m} a_i\right) \qquad i: ac: 7.1.1$$

$$= c^{m-m+1} \left(\prod_{i=m}^{m} a_i\right).$$

So the base case holds. Suppose inductively that for some $p \geq 0$ definition 1.16(d) is true. Then for p+1=n-m, we have p=n-m-1 and

$$\begin{split} \prod_{i=m}^{n} ca_i &= \left(\prod_{i=m}^{n-1} ca_i\right) \times ca_n \\ &= c^{n-1-m+1} \left(\prod_{i=m}^{n-1} a_i\right) \times ca_n \\ &= c^{n-m+1} \left(\left(\prod_{i=m}^{n-1} a_i\right) \times a_n\right) \\ &= c^{n-m+1} \left(\prod_{i=m}^{n} a_i\right). \qquad i: ac: 7.1.1 \end{split}$$

This closes the induction.

Proof. (e) Let p=n-m. By hypothesis we know that $p\geq 0$. Now we induct on p to show that definition 1.16(e) is true. For p = 0, we have n = m and

$$\left| \prod_{i=m}^{m} a_i \right| = \left| \left(\prod_{i=m}^{m-1} a_i \right) \times a_m \right| \qquad i : ac : 7.1.1$$

$$= |1a_m| \qquad i : ac : 7.1.1$$

$$= |1| |a_m| \qquad i : ac : 7.1.1$$

$$= \left(\prod_{i=m}^{m-1} |a_i| \right) \times |a_m| \qquad i : ac : 7.1.1$$

$$= \prod_{i=m}^{m} |a_i| \qquad i : ac : 7.1.1$$

So the base case holds. Suppose inductively that for some $p \geq 0$ definition 1.16(e) is true. Then for p+1=n-m, we have p=n-m-1 and

$$\left| \prod_{i=m}^{n} a_{i} \right| = \left| \left(\prod_{i=m}^{n-1} a_{i} \right) \times a_{n} \right|$$

$$= \left| \prod_{i=m}^{n-1} a_{i} \right| \times |a_{n}|$$

$$= \left(\prod_{i=m}^{n-1} |a_{i}| \right) \times |a_{n}|$$

$$= \prod_{i=m}^{n} |a_{i}|.$$

$$i : ac : 7.1.1$$

This closes the induction.

Axiomatic Claim 1.17. Let X be a finite set with n elements (where $n \in \mathbb{N}$), and let $f: X \to \mathbb{R}$ be a function from X to the real numbers (i.e., f assigns a real number f(x) to each element x of X). Then we can define the finite product $\prod_{x \in X} f(x)$ as follows. We first select any bijection g from $\{i \in \mathbb{N} : 1 \le i \le n\}$ to X; such a bijection exists since X is assumed to have n elements. We then define

$$\prod_{x \in X} f(x) := \prod_{i=1}^n f(g(i))$$

Axiomatic Claim 1.18 (Finite products are well-defined). Let X be a finite set with n elements (where $n \in \mathbb{N}$), let $f: X \to \mathbb{R}$ be a function, and let $g: \{i \in \mathbb{N}: 1 \leq i \leq n\} \to X$ and $h: \{i \in \mathbb{N}: 1 \leq i \leq n\} \to X$ be bijections. Then we have

$$\prod_{i=1}^{n} f(g(i)) = \prod_{i=1}^{n} f(h(i)).$$

Proof. Let P(n) be the assertion that "For any set X of n elements, any function $f: X \to \mathbb{R}$, and any two bijections g, h from $\{i \in \mathbb{N} : 1 \le i \le n\}$ to X, we have $\prod_{i=1}^n f(g(i)) = \prod_{i=1}^n f(h(i))$." (More informally, P(n) is the assertion that definition 1.18 is true for that value of n.) We induct on n.

We first check the base case P(0). In this case $\prod_{i=1}^0 f(g(i))$ and $\prod_{i=1}^0 f(h(i))$ both equal to 1, by

definition 1.15, so we are done.

Now suppose inductively that P(n) is true; we now prove that P(n+1) is true. Thus, let X be a set with n+1 elements, let $f:X\to\mathbb{R}$ be a function, and let g and h be bijections from $\{i\in N:1\leq i\leq n+1\}$

to X. We have to prove that

$$\prod_{i=1}^{n+1} f(g(i)) = \prod_{i=1}^{n+1} f(h(i)).$$
 (i:ac:7.1)

Let x := g(n+1); thus x is an element of X. By definition 1.15, we can expand the left-hand side of eq. (i:ac:7.1) as

$$\prod_{i=1}^{n+1} f(g(i)) = \left(\prod_{i=1}^{n} f(g(i))\right) \times f(x).$$

Now let us look at the right-hand side of eq. (i:ac:7.1). Since h is a bijection, we do know that there is *some* index j, with $1 \le j \le n+1$, for which h(j) = x. We now use ?? 1.15?? 1.16 to write

$$\begin{split} \prod_{i=1}^{n+1} f(h(i)) &= \left(\prod_{i=1}^{j} f(h(i))\right) \times \left(\prod_{i=j+1}^{n+1} f(h(i))\right) \\ &= \left(\prod_{i=1}^{j-1} f(h(i))\right) \times f(h(j)) \times \left(\prod_{i=j+1}^{n+1} f(h(i))\right) \\ &= \left(\prod_{i=1}^{j-1} f(h(i))\right) \times f(x) \times \left(\prod_{i=j}^{n} f(h(i+1))\right). \end{split}$$

We now define the function $\tilde{h}: \{i \in \mathbb{N}: 1 \leq i \leq n\} \to X - \{x\}$ by setting $\tilde{h}(i) := h(i)$ when i < j and $\tilde{h}(i) := h(i+1)$ when $i \geq j$. We can thus write the right-hand side of eq. (i:ac:7.1) as

$$= \left(\prod_{i=1}^{j-1} f(\tilde{h}(i))\right) \times f(x) \times \left(\prod_{i=j}^{n} f(\tilde{h}(i))\right) = \left(\prod_{i=1}^{n} f(\tilde{h}(i))\right) \times f(x)$$

where we have used definition 1.16 once again. Thus, to finish the proof of eq. (i:ac:7.1) we have to show that

$$\prod_{i=1}^{n} f(g(i)) = \prod_{i=1}^{n} f(\tilde{h}(i)).$$
 (i:ac:7.2)

But the function g (when restricted to $\{i \in \mathbb{N} : 1 \le i \le n\}$) is a bijection from $\{i \in \mathbb{N} : 1 \le i \le n\} \to X - \{x\}$. The function \tilde{h} is also a bijection from $\{i \in \mathbb{N} : 1 \le i \le n\} \to X - \{x\}$ (cf. ??). Since $X - \{x\}$ has n elements (by ??), the claim eq. (i:ac:7.2) then follows directly from the induction hypothesis P(n).

Axiomatic Claim 1.19 (Basic properties of product over finite sets). 1. If X is empty, and $f: X \to \mathbb{R}$ is a function (i.e., f is the empty function), we have

$$\prod_{x \in X} f(x) = 1.$$

2. If X consists of a single element, $X = \{x_0\}$, and $f: X \to \mathbb{R}$ is a function, we have

$$\prod_{x \in X} f(x) = f(x_0).$$

3. (Substitution, part I) If X is a finite set, $f: X \to \mathbb{R}$ is a function, and $g: Y \to X$ is a bijection, then

$$\prod_{x \in X} f(x) = \prod_{y \in Y} f(g(y)).$$

4. (Substitution, part II) Let $n \leq m$ be integers, and let X be the set $X := \{i \in \mathbb{Z} : n \leq i \leq m\}$. If a_i is a real number assigned to each integer $i \in X$, then we have

$$\prod_{i=n}^{m} a_i = \prod_{i \in X} a_i.$$

5. Let X,Y be disjoint finite sets (so $X \cap Y = \emptyset$), and $f: X \cup Y \to \mathbb{R}$ is a function. Then we have

$$\prod_{z \in X \cup Y} f(z) = \Bigg(\prod_{x \in X} f(x)\Bigg) \times \Bigg(\prod_{y \in Y} f(y)\Bigg).$$

6. Let X be a finite set, and let $f: X \to \mathbb{R}$ and $g: X \to \mathbb{R}$ be functions. Then

$$\prod_{x \in X} (f(x) \times g(x)) = \prod_{x \in X} f(x) \times \prod_{x \in X} g(x).$$

7. Let X be a finite set, let $f: X \to \mathbb{R}$ be a function, and let c be a real number. Then

$$\prod_{x \in X} cf(x) = c^{\#(X)} \prod_{x \in X} f(x).$$

8. Let X be a finite set, and let $f: X \to \mathbb{R}$ be a function, then

$$\left| \prod_{x \in X} f(x) \right| = \prod_{x \in X} |f(x)|.$$

Proof. (a) Let $g:\{i\in\mathbb{N}:1\leq i\leq 0\}\to\emptyset$ be a function. Then g is a bijection and

$$\prod_{x \in X} f(x) = \prod_{i=1}^{0} f(g(i))$$

$$= 1.$$

$$i : ac : 7.1.3$$

$$i : ac : 7.1.1$$

Proof. (b) Let $g:\{1\} \to \{x_0\}$ be a function. Then g is a bijection and

$$\prod_{x \in X} f(x) = \prod_{i=1}^{1} f(g(i))$$

$$= \left(\prod_{i=1}^{0} f(g(i))\right) \times f(g(1))$$

$$= 1 \times f(g(1))$$

$$= f(x_{0}).$$
 $i : ac : 7.1.1$

$$i : ac : 7.1.1$$

Proof. (c) Let $h: \{i \in \mathbb{N}: 1 \le i \le \#(Y)\} \to Y$ be a bijection. Since X is finite and g is a bijection between X and Y, we know that Y is finite and thus such h is well-defined. Then we know that $g \circ h: \{i \in \mathbb{N}: 1 \le i \le \#(Y)\} \to X$ is also a bijection and

$$\prod_{x \in X} f(x) = \prod_{i=1}^{\#(Y)} f((g \circ h)(i)) \qquad i : ac : 7.1.3$$

$$= \prod_{i=1}^{\#(Y)} f(g(h(i)))$$

$$= \prod_{i=1}^{\#(Y)} (f \circ g)(h(i))$$

$$= \prod_{y \in Y} (f \circ g)(y) \qquad i : ac : 7.1.3$$

$$= \prod_{y \in Y} f(g(y)).$$

Proof. (d) Let $f: X \to \{a_i \in \mathbb{R} : n \le i \le m\}$ be a function where $f = i \mapsto a_i$. Let $g: \{i \in \mathbb{N} : 1 \le i \le m - n + 1\} \to X$ be a function where $g = i \mapsto i + n - 1$. Then g is a bijection and

Proof. (e) Let $g: \{i \in \mathbb{N}: 1 \leq i \leq \#(X)\} \to X$ and $h: \{i \in \mathbb{N}: 1 \leq i \leq \#(Y)\} \to Y$ be bijections. Since X, Y are finite, we know that g, h are well-defined and $X \cup Y$ is finite. Let $k: \{i \in \mathbb{N}: 1 \leq i \leq \#(X \cup Y)\} \to X \cup Y$ be a bijection where

$$k(i) = \begin{cases} g(i) & \text{if } 1 \le i \le \#(X) \\ h(i - \#(X)) & \text{if } \#(X) + 1 \le i \le \#(X) + \#(Y). \end{cases}$$

Since $X \cup Y$ is finite, we know that k is well-defined and $\#(X \cup Y) = \#(X) + \#(Y)$. Then we have

$$\begin{split} &\prod_{z \in X \cup Y} f(z) \\ &= \prod_{i=1}^{\#(X \cup Y)} f(k(i)) \\ &= \prod_{i=1}^{\#(X)} f(k(i)) \times \prod_{i=\#(X)+1}^{\#(X \cup Y)} f(k(i)) \\ &= \prod_{i=1}^{\#(X)} f(g(i)) \times \prod_{i=\#(X)+1}^{\#(X \cup Y)} f(h(i-\#(X))) \\ &= \prod_{i=1}^{\#(X)} f(g(i)) \times \prod_{i=\#(X)+1}^{\#(Y)} f(h(i)) \\ &= \prod_{i=1}^{\#(X)} f(g(i)) \times \prod_{i=1}^{\#(Y)} f(h(i)) \\ &= \prod_{x \in X} f(x) \times \prod_{y \in Y} f(y). \\ & i : ac : 7.1.2[b] \end{split}$$

Proof. (f) Let $h: \{i \in \mathbb{N}: 1 \leq i \leq \#(X)\} \to X$ be a bijection. Since X is finite, we know that h is

well-defined and

$$\begin{split} &\prod_{x \in X} (f(x) \times g(x)) \\ &= \prod_{x \in X} (f \times g)(x) \\ &= \prod_{i=1}^{\#(X)} (f \times g)(h(i)) & i : ac : 7.1.3 \\ &= \prod_{i=1}^{\#(X)} (f(h(i)) \times g(h(i))) \\ &= \prod_{i=1}^{\#(X)} f(h(i)) \times \prod_{i=1}^{\#(X)} g(h(i)) & i : ac : 7.1.2[c] \\ &= \prod_{x \in X} f(x) \times \prod_{x \in X} g(x). & i : ac : 7.1.3 \end{split}$$

Proof. (g) Let $g:\{i\in\mathbb{N}:1\leq i\leq\#(X)\}\to X$ be a bijection. Since X is finite, we know that g is well-defined and

$$\begin{split} \prod_{x \in X} cf(x) &= \prod_{x \in X} (cf)(x) \\ &= \prod_{i=1}^{\#(X)} (cf)(g(i)) & i:ac:7.1.3 \\ &= \prod_{i=1}^{\#(X)} cf(g(i)) \\ &= c^{\#(X)} \prod_{i=1}^{\#(X)} f(g(i)) & i:ac:7.1.2[d] \\ &= c^{\#(X)} \prod_{x \in X} f(x). & i:ac:7.1.3 \end{split}$$

Proof. (h) Let $g:\{i\in\mathbb{N}:1\leq i\leq\#(X)\}\to X$ be a bijection. Since X is finite, we know that g is well-defined and

$$\left| \prod_{x \in X} f(x) \right| = \left| \prod_{i=1}^{\#(X)} f(g(i)) \right|$$

$$= \prod_{i=1}^{\#(X)} |f(g(i))|$$

$$= \prod_{x \in X} |f(x)|.$$

$$i : ac : 7.1.2[e]$$

$$i : ac : 7.1.3$$

Axiomatic Claim 1.20. Let X, Y be finite sets, and let $f: X \times Y \to \mathbb{R}$ be a function. Then

$$\prod_{x \in X} \left(\prod_{y \in Y} f(x, y) \right) = \prod_{(x, y) \in X \times Y} f(x, y).$$

Proof. Let n be the number of elements in X. We will use induction on n (cf. definition 1.18); i.e., we let P(n) be the assertion that definition 1.20 is true for any set X with n elements, and any finite set Y and any function $f: X \times Y \to \mathbb{R}$. We wish to prove P(n) for all natural numbers n.

The base case P(0) is easy, following from definition 1.19(a). Now suppose that P(n) is true; we now show that P(n+1) is true. Let X be a set with n+1 elements. In particular, by ??, we can write $X = X' \cup \{x_0\}$, where x_0 is an element of X and $X' := X - \{x_0\}$ has n elements. Then by definition 1.5(e) we have

$$\prod_{x \in X} \bigg(\prod_{y \in Y} f(x,y) \bigg) = \prod_{x \in X'} \bigg(\prod_{y \in Y} f(x,y) \bigg) \times \bigg(\prod_{y \in Y} f(x_0,y) \bigg);$$

by the induction hypothesis this is equal to

$$\prod_{(x,y)\in X'\times Y} f(x,y)\times \bigg(\prod_{y\in Y} f(x_0,y)\bigg).$$

By definition 1.10(c) this is equal to

$$\prod_{(x,y)\in X'\times Y} f(x,y)\times \bigg(\prod_{(x,y)\in \{x_0\}\times Y} f(x,y)\bigg).$$

By definition 1.10(e) this is equal to

$$\prod_{(x,y)\in X\times Y} f(x,y)$$

as desired. \Box

Axiomatic Claim 1.21. Let X, Y be finite sets, and let $f: X \times Y \to \mathbb{R}$ be a function. Then

$$\prod_{x \in X} \left(\prod_{y \in Y} f(x, y) \right) = \prod_{(x,y) \in X \times Y} f(x, y)$$

$$= \prod_{(y,x) \in Y \times X} f(x, y)$$

$$= \prod_{y \in Y} \left(\prod_{x \in X} f(x, y) \right).$$

Proof. In light of definition 1.20, it suffices to show that

$$\prod_{(x,y)\in X\times Y} f(x,y) = \prod_{(y,x)\in Y\times X} f(x,y).$$

But this follows from definition 1.19(c) by applying the bijection $h: Y \times X \to X \times Y$ defined by h(y, x) := (x, y).

Prove definition 1.4.

Proof. See definition 1.4. \Box

Prove definition 1.10.

Proof. See definition 1.10. \Box

Form a definition for the finite products $\prod_{i=1}^{n} a_i$ and $\prod_{x \in X} f(x)$. Which of the above result for finite series have analoges for finite products?

Proof. See ?? 1.15-1.21.

Define the factorial function n! for natural numbers n by the recursive definition 0! := 1 and $(n+1)! := n! \times (n+1)$. If x and y are real numbers, prove the binomial formula

$$(x+y)^n = \sum_{j=0}^n \frac{n!}{j!(n-j)!} x^j y^{n-j}$$

for all natural numbers n.

Proof. We induct on n. For n = 0, we have

$$(x+y)^{0} = 1$$

$$= \frac{0!}{0!(0-0)!}x^{0}y^{0-0}$$
 (by definition)
$$= \sum_{j=0}^{-1} \frac{0!}{j!(0-j)!}x^{j}y^{0-j} + \frac{0!}{0!(0-0)!}x^{0}y^{0-0}$$
 $i:7.1.1$

$$= \sum_{j=0}^{0} \frac{0!}{j!(0-j)!}x^{j}y^{0-j}$$
 $i:7.1.1$

So the base case holds. Suppose inductively that for some $n \geq 0$ the statement holds. Then for n + 1, we have

$$(x+y)^{n+1} = (x+y)^n \times (x+y)$$

$$= \left(\sum_{j=0}^n \frac{n!}{j!(n-j)!} x^j y^{n-j}\right) \times (x+y)$$

$$= \left(\sum_{j=0}^n \frac{n!}{j!(n-j)!} x^{j+1} y^{n-j}\right)$$

$$+ \left(\sum_{j=0}^n \frac{n!}{j!(n-j)!} x^j y^{n+1-j}\right)$$

$$= \left(\sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \frac{n!}{j!(n-j)!} x^{j+1} y^{n-j}\right) \qquad i:7.1.1$$

$$+ \left(\frac{n!}{n!0!} x^{n+1} y^0\right)$$

$$+ \left(\sum_{j=1}^n \frac{n!}{j!(n-j)!} x^j y^{n+1-j}\right)$$

$$+ \left(\frac{n!}{0!n!} x^0 y^{n+1}\right)$$

$$= \left(\sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \frac{n!}{j!(n-j)!} x^{j+1} y^{n-j}\right) + x^{n+1} \qquad \text{(by definition)}$$

$$+ \left(\sum_{j=1}^n \frac{n!}{j!(n-j)!} x^j y^{n+1-j}\right) + y^{n+1}$$

$$= \left(\sum_{j=1}^n \frac{n!}{(j-1)!(n+1-j)!} x^j y^{n+1-j}\right) + x^{n+1} \qquad i:7.1.4[b]$$

$$+ \left(\sum_{j=1}^n \frac{n!}{j!(n-j)!} x^j y^{n+1-j}\right) + y^{n+1}$$

and

$$\left(\sum_{j=1}^{n} \frac{n!}{(j-1)!(n+1-j)!} x^{j} y^{n+1-j}\right) + \left(\sum_{j=1}^{n} \frac{n!}{j!(n-j)!} x^{j} y^{n+1-j}\right)$$

$$= \sum_{j=1}^{n} \left(\frac{n!}{(j-1)!(n+1-j)!} x^{j} y^{n+1-j} + \frac{n!}{j!(n-j)!} x^{j} y^{n+1-j}\right)$$

$$= \sum_{j=1}^{n} \left(\frac{j \times n!}{j!(n+1-j)!} x^{j} y^{n+1-j} + \frac{(n+1-j) \times n!}{j!(n+1-j)!} x^{j} y^{n+1-j}\right)$$

$$= \sum_{j=1}^{n} \left(\frac{j \times n! + (n+1-j) \times n!}{j!(n+1-j)!} x^{j} y^{n+1-j}\right)$$

$$= \sum_{j=1}^{n} \left(\frac{(n+1)!}{j!(n+1-j)!} x^{j} y^{n+1-j}\right).$$

We also have

$$\begin{split} &\sum_{j=0}^{n+1} \frac{(n+1)!}{j!(n+1-j)!} x^j y^{n+1-j} \\ &= \frac{(n+1)!}{(n+1)!0!} x^{n+1} y^0 + \left(\sum_{j=0}^n \frac{(n+1)!}{j!(n+1-j)!} x^j y^{n+1-j}\right) \qquad \qquad i:7.1.1 \\ &= x^{n+1} + \left(\sum_{j=0}^n \frac{(n+1)!}{j!(n+1-j)!} x^j y^{n+1-j}\right) \qquad \qquad (\text{by definition}) \\ &= x^{n+1} + \left(\sum_{j=0}^0 \frac{(n+1)!}{j!(n+1-j)!} x^j y^{n+1-j}\right) \qquad \qquad i:7.1.4[a] \\ &+ \left(\sum_{j=1}^n \frac{(n+1)!}{j!(n+1-j)!} x^j y^{n+1-j}\right) \\ &= x^{n+1} + \frac{(n+1)!}{0!(n+1)!} x^0 y^{n+1} \qquad \qquad i:7.1.1 \\ &+ \left(\sum_{j=1}^n \frac{(n+1)!}{j!(n+1-j)!} x^j y^{n+1-j}\right) \\ &= x^{n+1} + y^{n+1} + \left(\sum_{j=1}^n \frac{(n+1)!}{j!(n+1-j)!} x^j y^{n+1-j}\right). \qquad \text{(by definition)} \end{split}$$

Thus, we have

$$(x+y)^{n+1} = \sum_{j=0}^{n+1} \frac{(n+1)!}{j!(n+1-j)!} x^j y^{n+1-j}.$$

and this closes the induction.

Let X be a finite set, let m be an integer, and for each $x \in X$ let $(a_n(x))_{n=m}^{\infty}$ be a convergent sequence of real numbers. Show that the sequence $(\sum_{x \in X} a_n(x))_{n=m}^{\infty}$ is convergent, and

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \sum_{x \in X} a_n(x) = \sum_{x \in X} \lim_{n \to \infty} a_n(x).$$

Thus, we may always interchange finite sums with convergent limits. Things however get trickier with infinite sums.

Proof. Let k = #(X). We induct on k. For k = 0, we have $X = \emptyset$. So

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \sum_{x \in X} a_n(x) = \lim_{n \to \infty} 0$$

$$= 0$$

$$= \sum_{x \in X} \lim_{n \to \infty} a_n(x).$$

$$i: 7.1.11$$

Thus, the base case holds. Suppose inductively that for some $k \ge 0$ the statement is true. Then for k+1, we have to show that the statement is also true. Let $x_0 \in X$ and $X' = X \setminus \{x_0\}$. So #(X') = #(X) - 1 = n, and we have

$$\begin{split} &\lim_{n\to\infty}\sum_{x\in X}a_n(x)\\ &=\lim_{n\to\infty}\sum_{x\in\{x_0\}\cup X'}a_n(x)\\ &=\lim_{n\to\infty}\left(\sum_{x\in\{x_0\}}a_n(x)+\sum_{x\in X'}a_n(x)\right) \\ &=\left(\lim_{n\to\infty}\sum_{x\in\{x_0\}}a_n(x)\right)+\left(\lim_{n\to\infty}\sum_{x\in X'}a_n(x)\right) \\ &=\left(\lim_{n\to\infty}a_n(x_0)\right)+\left(\lim_{n\to\infty}\sum_{x\in X'}a_n(x)\right) \\ &=\left(\sum_{x\in\{x_0\}}\lim_{n\to\infty}a_n(x)\right)+\left(\lim_{n\to\infty}\sum_{x\in X'}a_n(x)\right) \\ &=\left(\sum_{x\in\{x_0\}}\lim_{n\to\infty}a_n(x)\right)+\left(\sum_{x\in X'}\lim_{n\to\infty}a_n(x)\right) \\ &=\left(\sum_{x\in\{x_0\}\cup X'}\lim_{n\to\infty}a_n(x)\right) \\ &=\sum_{x\in X}\lim_{n\to\infty}a_n(x). \end{split} i:7.1.11[e]$$

This closes the induction.