

# Neuresthetics

Applied behavioral statistics of brain network theory.  
A simple application.

## Statistical Model

What is Genius: take a look at the brightest minds through history. Who comes to mind? How many would you count to take a sample for a study? Who qualifies to be counted? Is it about how much they rocked the world or by how famous they got? Are those two things more or less indicative of each other or totally subjective to the age? This is the problem with weighting how important behavior sets are in terms of producing genius, on a scientific quantifiable basis. Let's say genius can be thought of generally as exceptional talent, high intelligence, intense focus and passion, novel proposals as a matter of thinking differently, and the influence/impact had on society as a matter of effort.

## Extrapolation

*Let's say my sample of genii includes the following 24 individuals:*

- Leonardo Da Vinci
- Albert Einstein
- Nikola Tesla
- Benjamin Franklin
- Baruch Spinoza
- Bill Gates
- Johann Wolfgang von Goethe
- Henry Ford
- Issac Newton
- Marie Curie
- Charles Darwin
- Wolfgang Amadeus Mozart
- Stephen Hawking
- Immanuel Kant
- Richard Feynman
- Elon Musk
- Galileo Galilei
- William Shakespeare
- Ludwig van Beethoven
- Srinivasa Ramanujan
- Sigmund Freud
- Archimedes
- Michael Faraday
- James Clerk Maxwell

*Then, sorted into these lists by handedness:*

*Left handed:*

- Leonardo Da Vinci
- Albert Einstein
- Nikola Tesla
- Benjamin Franklin
- Baruch Spinoza
- Bill Gates

*Ambidextrous:*

- Johann Wolfgang von Goethe
- Henry Ford

*Right handed:*

- Issac Newton
- Marie Curie
- Charles Darwin
- Wolfgang Amadeus Mozart
- Stephen Hawking
- Immanuel Kant
- Richard Feynman
- Elon Musk

*Unknown:*

- Galileo Galilei
- William Shakespeare
- Ludwig van Beethoven
- Srinivasa Ramanujan
- Sigmund Freud
- Archimedes
- Michael Faraday
- James Clerk Maxwell

In terms of writing handedness what we're looking at is the impact on the CC size, where left handed and ambidextrous are known behavioral indicators of increased size. We'll call this the handedness advantage. Ones on the other lists list who used both hands for their trade in a sophisticated way in conjunction with symbolic logic, like piano players Beethoven and Mozart, will be included in the handedness advantage list. To play the Devil's advocate, we will omit any other unknown cases from the advantage list to prevent speculative bias.

*Handed Advantage:*

- Leonardo Da Vinci
- Albert Einstein
- Nikola Tesla
- Benjamin Franklin
- Johann Wolfgang von Goethe
- Henry Ford
- Ludwig van Beethoven
- Wolfgang Amadeus Mozart
- Baruch Spinoza
- Bill Gates

*Speculatively regular CC, or unidentified/omitted factor producing robust CC:*

- Issac Newton
- Marie Curie
- Charles Darwin
- Stephen Hawking
- Immanuel Kant
- Richard Feynman
- Elon Musk
- Galileo Galilei
- William Shakespeare
- Srinivasa Ramanujan
- Sigmund Freud
- Archimedes
- Michael Faraday
- James Clerk Maxwell

From this set, let's break it down into categories: 10/24, or  $\approx 42\%$  have handedness advantage. Given that only about 11% of the population are left handed or ambidextrous, this behavior is almost 4 times represented on the genii list compared to the occurrence we would expect with no correlation to intelligence. Now let's look at the original list in terms of how many were pantheist, pantheistic, or had scientifically literate takes on theology. In terms of these things, what we're looking at is the impact on the most central and metabolically active part of the brain, the Posterior Cingulate Cortex. Not just who deeply look into the nature of God, as even atheist genii have done, but in a rational way, through science, where they do indeed find them.

*Conservatively omitting those who we're unsure about, the strongest culturally and historically agreed upon could include:*

- Albert Einstein
- Johann Wolfgang von Goethe
- Nikola Tesla
- Charles Darwin
- Henry Ford
- Leonardo Da Vinci
- Benjamin Franklin
- Baruch Spinoza

Estimating the percentage of pantheists or pantheistic scientifically literate theologians in the world is difficult because of the lack of census data among other things. These days, there is the World Pantheist Movement, which has tens of thousands of supporters and several hundred paid ones. Through history though, this becomes much harder to quantify. They are a minority which would be lucky to amount to 1% of the population. We'll call this the theological advantage, and play the Devil's advocate by estimating 5%. 8/24, or  $\approx 33\%$  of the original list has the theological advantage. Given that maybe 5% of the population have this advantage, this behavior is almost 7 times represented on the geni list compared to the occurrence we would expect with no correlation to intelligence.

*Here's where it gets spicy. The names which appear on both the handedness advantage and theological advantage lists are:*

- Leonardo Da Vinci
- Albert Einstein
- Nikola Tesla
- Benjamin Franklin
- Johann Wolfgang von Goethe
- Henry Ford
- Baruch Spinoza

If the chances of someone having the handedness advantage are 11%, and the chances of someone having the theological advantage are 5%, the chance that these two traits will occur in the same individual are about, 5% of 11%, which is .55%. That's less than 1%, quite rare, even though the individuals on the list who have both make up 7/24, which is  $\approx 29\%$ . Since .55% goes into 29% about 52 times, the minority who have both traits are 52 times more likely to appear on the list, *because* they have those traits. *Because* of the compounding effect these traits have on brain networking.

For their effect on brain networking, and the results, by choosing to embody them as an individual, we can increase our chances of achieving thinking on their level 52 times over. If we were to standardize them across a culture, we would see a theoretical 5200% increase in the prevalence of genius level thinking. At least for this analysis of this set. But you get the idea.

If pantheistic thinking was currently expressed as 1% instead of the generous 5%, it may be possible to increase the world prevalence of genius even more. Were it to be that any of the names on the list were not counted but should have been, as is probably the case, that number would be even higher.

I encourage you to create your own list, noting whether each individual was pantheistic or comparative theologically, and what their handedness was. The variations in your findings will likely be as unique as the number of people conducting this exercise. However, a pattern should emerge which can be cross examined/supported with behavioral brain network theory. These two traits work together to alleviate the central structural bottleneck in the brain. Among all the other variables, having them makes you physically more capable of producing intelligent thought.

### **Application**

Emulate these behaviors: practice left handedness or ambidextrousness in writing, in addition to adopting Pantheism, or a Pantheistic religion, in addition to everything else you do. Know that it's not that everyone who is a genius has these traits, or having them makes one automatically, but that having them together so happens to be statistically significant in the appearance of it, and the brain regions most related to the behaviors happen to be the central bottleneck of the brain together. The magic is from moving them out of a chance occurrence into standardization, increasing the impact of their presence in the population.

With just a few behavioral adjustments, you could increase your potential quite a lot!

The younger you adopt the model, the higher the lifetime dividends.

This information is free. Apply it on your own, or reach out for a fast track lesson including pictures, diagrams, personalized explanations, and designed exercises.

## About


Hi, I'm Jason Burns. I represent Neuresthetics llc. After leaving the Army Engineer Corps in 2014, I split my G.I.Bill between behavioral and computer science. Although my interests for these topics started long before. In a quest to repair traumatic brain damage, I applied myself to the field of brain network theory, which is neurology in terms of a graph data structure. I don't have brain scanning hardware yet, so I resorted to behavioral statistics. In this field of study I found a pattern that follows sound reasoning. When I say it out loud it sounds absurd. 50x the rate of geni? Absurd, I get it. If my statistical breakdown didn't connect, there is an extended text. It is my dream to see an intellectual boom as a result of spiking the I.Q. of the next generation by applying this idea. If it is true, it requires a longitudinal study of brain mapping to prove, and if it is, by the time it's proven, humanity would prosper greatly because of it. Can we offer mental health service for veterans and addicts along the way? That's the goal. Since applying this theory in 2017, I have; written a functionally recursive holograph based on genetic-cellular "entelechy", in C# (tested and rendered), solved a multi decade medical mystery around the electro-pharmacodynamics of lithium carbonate in the human brain, and started a program to address a subsection of the population affected by the disease known as psychopathy.

This brief introduction to theory is a product of Neuresthetic llc. Neuresthetics is a startup in incubation dedicated to research. It is a section from a larger text with more detail and background. Be advised, the extended text is written as\* a separate legal entity itself, which is a type 508(c)(1)(A) non-profit open source "religion" titled "Base Class". This is for practical legal considerations regarding freedom of speech, given the theologically political and emotional nature of it (because what would science be without a dash of color).

If you're peripherally interested, there are public profiles.  
If you're personally intrigued, please feel free to reach out!

All the Best,  
Jason Burns

Contact and Information:



neuresthetic.net