

Genius Manufacturing

// Applied behavioral statistics of brain network theory for intelligence

Intro

This primary content is a model designed to exploit brain network trends, identified and applied through behavior, to increase the appearance of genius level intellect within a standardizing population by 1,000-10,000%.

The driving principle is that, for as much as brain structure impacts thinking and behavior, thinking and behavior can be used to intentionally impact brain structure. Folding living brain scan data analysis back into the brain via behavioral and thought modification can produce targeted results.

The science of this is called “neuresthetics”.

Neuresthetic (adj.) Pronounced neur-es-THEH-ticks. To be aware of nervous system structure and function. Like “*kinesesthetic*”, but for nerves.

Neuresthetic, a term derived from “neuro” (relating to the nervous system) and “aesthetic” (perception by the senses), introduces a pioneering lens through which to explore intelligence and creativity. By integrating an understanding of brain structure and function, this concept seeks to illuminate how certain behaviors and belief systems shape cognitive potential. The advent of advanced neuroimaging enables real-time observation of brain networks, creating an unprecedented opportunity to correlate specific patterns of thought and action with enhanced intellectual capacity.

At its core, neuresthetic theory posits that intelligence emerges from the architecture and efficiency of central brain networks, notably impacted by the Corpus Callosum (CC) and Posterior Cingulate Cortex (PCC). These structures in conjunction* act as central bottlenecks in the brain’s global network, influencing both the volume and quality of information processing, or the brain access to itself across itself. The hypothesis suggests that behaviors promoting a handedness advantage and rational theological contemplation—particularly pantheistic views—may expand and optimize these networks, unlocking higher levels of cognitive function in support of the rest of the brain. The backing for this theory is rooted in two ways; the behavioral statistical correlation of the behaviors to performance, and the statistical correlation between the behaviors and performance deduced and induced against brain network architecture principles.

The Base Class Hypothesis extends these principles into an experimental framework, proposing that intentional practices, such as reflective journaling with non-dominant hands and the integration of comparative theology, can cultivate genius-level thinking by providing changes in macro brain architecture. By leveraging statistical insights and neuroscientific principles, this exploration aspires to transform individual and cultural potential, offering a pathway to intellectual and creative renaissance.

The primary goal is this: since the behavior set provided only appears in less than 1% of the population, yet is over 50x represented in the pool of commonly recognized genii, that taking this chance appearance and standardizing it to 100% of the population can increase the appearance of genii by approximately 50x. Should a participant be in the top 50% of the intelligence bell curve, it may lift them into the top 10%. Should they be in the top 10%, they may land in the top 1%. Should they already be in the top 1%, it may lift them above and beyond the rest, simply by increasing the physical capacity to perform.

Individuals, families, schools, institutions, and nations which practice this have an extreme theoretical advantage over other groups, both in their lifetime and trans generationally if adopted as a lifestyle to be passed down.

Statistical Model

Doubt Tempered Approach:

The primary issue with this model is that the behavioral patterns of genius people are elusive. Between biography sources that differ, the breadth of information collected depending on the age, language divides, and other issues, it can be nearly impossible to sniff out behavioral brain network theory trends across genii. On top of that, true genius is very rare and unique, making it all that much more difficult to study. Genius is not even defined consistently. We don't even have the physical brains of these people we're trying to abstract a pattern from, except for Einstein. We only have the products of their lives and the ways they lived them, bridged by this hypothesis.

In Terms of Records:

For reasons which will be covered, the information pertinent to this idea include handedness and religious disposition, and these aren't always remembered of people. For example, some sources hold that Einstein was right-handed, some left, and some say he was ambidextrous. We may never really know, except for that his Corpus Callosum (CC) was big, which suggests he was left handed or ambidextrous. Some are easy to identify as a matter of record. We know Da Vinci was mirror ambidextrous; his journals made that clear, and he was well known for it. Alan Turing, left-handed. Beethoven, ambidextrous and pantheistic, was raised in a Catholic family and maintained connections to the Catholic Church throughout his life, however his beliefs were influenced by the enlightenment and its emphasis on reason and individualism; he believed in scientific rationalism. Ben Franklin was certainly left-handed, and he even wrote in advocacy of it during a time when there was a stigma attached to being so, another cultural factor which can impact records. He is interesting because, while not labeled or identified as Pantheist, he did subscribe to the idea that the universe is governed by natural laws, which could be understood through science. So that to him, the study of science was the study of God. He was a Deist on record, but also remarkably close to a branch of pantheism called panentheism. So depending on how far into the person you look, the answer changes. It's not a black-and-white distinction whether or not someone is a pantheist, perhaps, but to what degree they are pantheistic, and this is more sophisticated and time-intensive to uncover. There's a lot of work that could be done here to become more exact in analysis, but the trend I see is this: the more a person's theology trends towards pantheism, or perhaps the more scientific it is, the more empowered they seem to be. Pantheism is regarded by many as the most rational theology. There is a whole category of famous geniuses who in some way came up through, or with, Abrahamic religion but later became unorthodox or agnostic. In that they broke away from the weight of political myth for science. The exercise of their entity processing capacity through the Abrahamic religion they came up with, laid beneficial groundwork, so that when that capacity was repurposed for scientific rationalism, the bandwidth was there. A person who pursues truth over social acceptance will eventually make that jump. Pantheism streamlines that path by making theology and science very close to each other if not overlapping in a way that allows the person to avoid having to make the choice between one or the other. Put overlapping, they have twice as much brain space to take up. Whether or not they capitalized on that is a matter of record, and records are incomplete and imperfect. We don't really even have a concrete definition of genius.

What is Genius:

Take a look at the brightest minds through history. Who comes to mind? How many would you count to take a sample for a study? Who qualifies to be counted? Is it about how much they rocked the world or by how famous they got? Are those two things more or less indicative of each other or totally subjective to the age? This is the problem with weighting how important behavior sets are in terms of producing genius, on a scientific quantifiable basis. Let's say genius can be thought of generally as exceptional talent, high intelligence, intense focus and passion, novel proposals as a matter of thinking differently, and the influence/impact had on society as a matter of effort.

Extrapolation

Let's say my sample of genii includes the following 24 individuals:

- Leonardo Da Vinci
- Albert Einstein
- Nikola Tesla
- Benjamin Franklin
- Baruch Spinoza
- Bill Gates
- Johann Wolfgang von Goethe
- Henry Ford
- Issac Newton
- Marie Curie
- Charles Darwin
- Wolfgang Amadeus Mozart
- Stephen Hawking
- Immanuel Kant

- Richard Feynman
- Elon Musk
- Galileo Galilei
- William Shakespeare
- Ludwig van Beethoven
- Srinivasa Ramanujan
- Sigmund Freud
- Archimedes
- Michael Faraday
- James Clerk Maxwell

Then, sorted into these lists by handedness:

Left handed:

- Leonardo Da Vinci
- Albert Einstein
- Nikola Tesla
- Benjamin Franklin
- Baruch Spinoza
- Bill Gates

Ambidextrous:

- Johann Wolfgang von Goethe
- Henry Ford

Right handed:

- Issac Newton
- Marie Curie
- Charles Darwin
- Wolfgang Amadeus Mozart
- Stephen Hawking
- Immanuel Kant
- Richard Feynman
- Elon Musk

Unknown:

- Galileo Galilei
- William Shakespeare
- Ludwig van Beethoven
- Srinivasa Ramanujan
- Sigmund Freud
- Archimedes
- Michael Faraday
- James Clerk Maxwell

In terms of writing handedness what we're looking at is the impact on the CC size, where left handed and ambidextrous are known behavioral indicators of increased size. We'll call this the handedness advantage. Ones on the other lists list who used both hands for their trade in a sophisticated way in conjunction with symbolic logic, like piano players Beethoven and Mozart, will be included in the handedness advantage list. To play the Devil's advocate, we will omit any other unknown cases from the advantage list to prevent speculative bias.

Handed Advantage:

- Leonardo Da Vinci
- Albert Einstein
- Nikola Tesla
- Benjamin Franklin
- Johann Wolfgang von Goethe
- Henry Ford
- Ludwig van Beethoven
- Wolfgang Amadeus Mozart
- Baruch Spinoza
- Bill Gates

Speculatively regular CC, or unidentified/omitted factor producing robust CC:

- Issac Newton
- Marie Curie
- Charles Darwin
- Stephen Hawking
- Immanuel Kant
- Richard Feynman
- Elon Musk
- Galileo Galilei
- William Shakespeare
- Srinivasa Ramanujan
- Sigmund Freud
- Archimedes
- Michael Faraday
- James Clerk Maxwell

From this set, let's break it down into categories: 10/24, or $\approx 42\%$ have handedness advantage. Given that only about 11% of the population are left handed or ambidextrous, this behavior is almost 4 times represented on the geni list compared to the occurrence we would expect with no correlation to intelligence. Now let's look at the original list in terms of how many were pantheist, pantheistic, or had scientifically literate takes on theology. In terms of these things, what we're looking at is the impact on the most central and metabolically active part of the brain, the Posterior Cingulate Cortex. Not just who deeply look into the nature of God, as even atheist geni have done, but in a rational way, through science, where they do indeed find them.

Conservatively omitting those who we're unsure about, the strongest culturally and historically agreed upon could include:

- Albert Einstein
- Johann Wolfgang von Goethe
- Nikola Tesla
- Charles Darwin
- Henry Ford
- Leonardo Da Vinci
- Benjamin Franklin
- Baruch Spinoza

Again, estimating the percentage of pantheists or pantheistic scientifically literate theologians in the world is difficult if not impossible because of the lack of census data and the fact that there is no instantiated religion to represent them. In modern times, there is the World Pantheist Movement, which has tens of thousands of supporters and several hundred paid ones. Through history though, this becomes much harder to quantify. They are a minority which would be lucky to amount to 1% of the population. We'll call this the theological advantage, and play the Devil's advocate by estimating 5%. 8/24, or $\approx 33\%$ of the original list has the theological advantage. Given that maybe 5% of the population have this advantage, this behavior is almost 7 times represented on the geni list compared to the occurrence we would expect with no correlation to intelligence.

Here's where it gets spicy. The names which appear on both the handedness advantage and theological advantage lists are:

- Leonardo Da Vinci
- Albert Einstein
- Nikola Tesla
- Benjamin Franklin
- Johann Wolfgang von Goethe
- Henry Ford
- Baruch Spinoza

If the chances of someone having the handedness advantage are 11%, and the chances of someone having the theological advantage are 5%, the chance that these two traits will occur in the same individual are about, 5% of 11%, which is .55%. That's less than 1%, quite rare, even though the individuals on the list who have both make up 7/24, which is $\approx 29\%$. Since .55% goes into 29% about 52 times, the minority who have both traits are 52 times more likely to appear on the list, *because* they have those traits. *Because* of the compounding effect these traits have on brain networking.

For their effect on brain networking, and the results, by choosing to embody themes an individual, we can increase our chances of achieving thinking on their level 52 times over. If we were to standardize them across a culture, we would see a theoretical 5200% increase in the prevalence of genius level thinking. At least for this analysis of this set. But you get the idea.

If pantheistic thinking was currently expressed as 1% instead of the generous 5%, it may be possible to increase the world prevalence of genius even more. Were it to be that any of the names on the list were not counted but should have been, as is probably the case, that number would be even higher.

I encourage you to create your own list, noting whether each individual was pantheistic or comparative theologically, and what their handedness was. The variations in your findings will likely be as unique as the number of people conducting this exercise. However, a pattern should emerge which can be cross examined/supported with behavioral brain network theory. These two traits work together to alleviate the central structural bottleneck in the brain. Among all the other variables, having them makes you physically more capable of producing intelligent thought.

Application

It is one thing to understand the principles here, but another to put them in place. Nothing about application cannot be done on your own, however having someone to guide you through understanding the change/process and application efficiently is a service provided. This includes handedness training and coaching, and individualized education of pantheism in terms of what specifically makes the model work.

Null Hypothesis

This model is backed by the correlation between the correlation of the statistical behavioral sets, and the brain networking logic behind the correlated sets. However.

This concept is a calculated leap of faith in that it is theory* due to the difficulty or even impossibility of conducting an objective and fair study. Intelligence is multifaceted. At best, I can offer only a vague representation of general intelligence. Perhaps, with more time and dedication, someone else or a group of people could achieve a more detailed picture of this principle. Biographies have existed for only a few thousand years, and the printing press was invented relatively recently. Now, with the internet, we are overwhelmed with biographies and conflicting information, creating the opposite problem of having few of them. Machine intelligence is already being used to tackle this. Has it already? Perhaps one day it can be done with real banks of brain network scans and data in association with cognitive ability next to behavior sets. Were this to become an institution, that would be one of the more advanced applications.

Given the irregularity of the information, understanding the statistical vision requires some reading on the supporting sciences order to make inferences. In general principle as it stands, it is pretty consistent, being based on true axioms. The principles behind it involve complex topics but simple principles. To stimulate brain growth in a way that alleviates the central structural bottleneck of the brain, one should combine ambidexterity or at least left handed behaviors with pantheism and the study of comparative religion + Pantheism. Pantheism specifically. They should practice this in addition/supplementation to whatever other craft/trade/abilities they pursue.

While not everyone we consider a genius had the traits, the traits do empower one physically with their brain to mentally achieve higher thinking than they otherwise would have. Keep in mind, there was no brain network theory in the time of most people we recognize as geni through history, it's a new science, they simply happened to have the traits which increased their odds of making it. Not everyone who has these traits makes it. Increasing the odds by ability is key. The magic is from moving them out of chance occurrence into standard practice, increasing the impact of their presence. Anyone can choose to embody the traits just as anyone can aim and shoot a gun without knowing how one works. Understanding the significance enough to reproduce it intentionally or improve upon the methods, is for a Neuroesthesiologist. Whom, in the likes of a gun smith, certainly knows how to aim and shoot for themselves as well.

With just a few behavioral adjustments, you could increase your abilities quite a lot!

About

Jason Burns is the President and CEO of Neuresthetics LLC since July of 2017.

After four years in the Army Engineer Corps, discharging honorably and with honors, he went on to split his G.I.Bill between behavioral and computer science. With personal desire to excel by self applying the principles of his education, he came across this pattern and self applied it. Since then, accomplishments include but are not limited to writing a functionally recursive holographic data structure in C#, solving a multi-decade pharmaceutical mystery involving the electro-pharmacodynamics of lithium carbonate, and an experimental method for reversing certain forms psychopathy which is thought to be impossible.

It is his dream to see an intellectual renaissance by taking what was chance in producing great minds before, into standardization. While people of any age can benefit, children receive the highest compounding dividends in intelligence over their lifetime. This is a startup in incubation which means fund raising, acceptance of early subscribers/students, with the goal of establishing a client base big enough to acquire an office. If you are interested in this for the sake of your children, please consider making a donation or negotiating an education session. There is a mailing list if you wish to be kept in the loop with developmental progress and community/business events.

For any questions regarding any of these things; implementation, tutoring availability, cultural and ethical considerations, community, legal, and so forth, please contact:

Jason T Burns
President
Neuresthetics LLC
neuresthetics@gmail.com
405-435-0808