

Matrix Explorer: A Web Application for Data Exploration

Ivan A. Kuznetsov and Joshua T. Vogelstein*

Department of Biomedical Engineering, The Johns Hopkins
University, Baltimore, Maryland 21218, USA

May 17, 2016

Abstract

Exploration and visualization of structured data is crucial to driving discovery across a multitude of different basic science fields. Unfortunately, oftentimes basic researchers lack the statistical knowledge to analyze their data. In this paper we describe Matrix Explorer, a novel data exploration and visualization web application. The tool allows users to upload and explore small to medium sized structured datasets. The current tool is optimized for files of size less than 1 GB. Within Matrix Explorer we have implemented some very basic techniques which we believe are relevant to any sort of data analyses: (i) data reordering, sorting, and searching; (ii) data visualization via heatmap, scatter/box/violin plots, marginal distributions, and 2D embedding; (iii) data exploration via k-means clustering, dendrogram, correlation and covariance matrix computation, and outlier detection. We validated Matrix Explorer by showing that it produces reasonable results for the iris flower dataset. We then used Matrix Explorer to analyze a novel SPECT image dataset.

Keywords: 3 to 6 keywords, that do not appear in the title

*The authors gratefully acknowledge *please remember to list all relevant funding sources in the unblinded version*

1 Introduction

The past decade has seen an explosion in scientists’ ability to collect huge quantities of data and a simultaneous growth in the necessary computational power and statistical framework to process said data. Unfortunately, despite a multitude of attempts [CITE], exploratory analysis of novel datasets remains more of an art than an exact science, requiring a thorough understanding of statistical science to perform properly. The result of this phenomenon is that the a large quantity of collected data, especially in the basic sciences, is rather poorly analyzed, undoubtedly decreasing the pace of new discoveries.

What is then required is a framework within which users with minimal statistical knowledge can explore their data. To the best to of our knowledge, such a tool does not yet exist. The R, MATLAB, and Python languages and associated toolboxes and packages still require users to have a non-negligible understanding of underlying methods in order to conduct proper data analysis. Other attempts at decreasing the barrier to entry for quality exploratory data analysis such as GGobi and ViSta, as described in Swayne et al. (2003) and Valero-Mora and Ledesma (2011) respectively, have not resolved the issue, requiring a significant learning curve to utilize.

In this paper we describe Matrix Explorer (MX), a novel data exploration web application built via the R Shiny package. Within MX we have included the techniques which we deem nest practice whenever starting a new exploratory analysis task. MX provides users with minimal knowledge of statistics the tools necessary to conduct a basic characterization of their data.

2 Methods & Validation

MX is implemented via the R Shiny package, which allows for the construction of interactive web applications which use R as their backend. The Shiny server is hosted on a Docker instance. The functionality of MX is divided into several different submodules, organized as tabs in the web application, which we describe in depth below. Furthermore, we provide visualizations and validation of the ability of MX to allow for basic data analysis by provided by exploring the iris flower dataset.




Figure 1: Heatmap of iris dataset for various visualization options. Sample labels are not shown. (a) Heatmap of raw data. Note that there is no evidence for the existence of clusters. (b) Heatmap of data converted to rank with dendrogram and associated row and column sorting. The existence of at least two clusters is clear.

The iris dataset is composed of 50 samples from each of three types of iris flower (*setosa*, *virginica*, and *versicolor*). For each sample four features are measured, specifically the length and width of the sepal and petals. For the purposes of the validation, we added a fifth feature column which gives the class label of each sample. We now seek to show that MX can detect that the samples should cluster into three distinct groups.

2.1 Data Upload & Selection

Upon opening the application, the user is first prompted to upload a dataset. Note that MX assumes that rows in the dataset represent samples and columns represent features. Once uploading is complete, the user may then select the rows and columns that he or she wishes to analyze and also flag a specific column as a class label column. The current implementation accepts comma separated variable (CSV) files and is optimized for sizes below 1 gigabyte. Hence, we converted our iris dataset to CSV format and uploaded it to MX using the interface.

2.2 Heatmap & Dendrogram

A heatmap provides the most direct way of visualizing the data, besides simply looking at it as a data table. However, oftentimes clear trends in the data are obscured on a




Figure 2: Marginal of the petal width feature of the iris dataset. (a) Histogram and kernel density estimate of the samples without conditioning on class. Note that it is unclear whether the distribution is multi-modal. (b) Histogram and kernel density estimate of the samples after conditioning on class. It is now clear that the marginal distribution is tri-modal.

heatmap because of varying ranges amongst features. Normalization resolves this issue. The usefulness of heatmaps is further increased by the ability to be displayed alongside dendrograms, which allows for easy cluster identification within the data.

MX allows users to construct a heatmap of their data and gives them the option to normalize it via conversion to z-scores, quantiles, or ranks. Furthermore, for datasets with less than 100 rows and columns, MX uses hierarchical clustering to compute a dendrogram of the dataset and display it, reordering the columns and rows as necessary.

We used this feature of MX to do our initial exploration of the iris dataset. Note that a simple heatmap of the unnormalized dataset, as shown in Figure 1a, is not particularly useful. There is no clear structure to the data. This is where normalization schemes as well as dendrograms and the associated sorting they impose upon the rows and columns of the heatmap become useful. A heatmap and dendrogram for the ranked data is shown in Figure 1b. Note that the existence of at least 2 clusters is now apparent.

2.3 Sample Summary

One of the initial steps in exploring a new dataset is to look at the marginal distributions of the individual feature columns, as this helps direct future work. MX allows users to visualize these marginal distributions. MX can generate either a histogram, a kernel density estimate, or a overlay of both. The mean and median is indicated on the plot. Additionally, if the user labeled a feature as a class label column, he or she has the option of conditioning




Figure 3: Robust Mahalanobis distances with choice of alpha cut-off value to reject samples as outliers on the iris dataset. Note that 51 of the 150 samples are flagged as outliers. Such a large number of outliers usually indicates the existence of samples which belong to a separate group than the rest of the data. As a matter of fact, 50 of these 51 samples belong to the *Iris setosa* class, which is apparently quite far from the samples which belong to the *Iris virginica* and *Iris versicolor* classes.

the marginal on classes. This latter feature is incredibly useful for feature selection and determining the separability of the data based on class label within the feature space.

We used MX to construct the feature marginals. The distribution for petal width is shown in Figure 2a. Note that it is unclear whether the distribution is multi-modal and if it is, out of how many modes it is composed. It is also not clear how useful this feature is for separating the samples into the different iris classes. This is where conditioning on classes, shown in Figure 2b, becomes useful. It is now clear that the marginal is tri-modal and that the petal width feature does a good job of separating the samples into the three classes.

2.4 Outliers

In order to determine outliers it is necessary to first establish a distance metric to determine how far away a specific sample is from other samples. In this case, robust Mahalanobis distances were used, as described in Hubert et al. (2008). Briefly, a robust estimate of the sample mean and covariance was first approximated via either: 1) the fast minimum covariance determinant algorithm (FAST-MCD) as described in Rousseeuw and Driessen

(1999) or 2) the Orthogonalized Gnanadesikan-Kettenring algorithm (OGK) as described in Maronna and Zamar (2002). Specifically, FAST-MCD was used for low dimensional datasets, while OGK was used for high dimensional datasets to the fact that FAST-MCD scales poorly with increasing dimensionality. Next, using these robust measures, the Mahalanobis distance of each sample was computed. As explained by Hardin and Rocke (2012) [FIND BETTER CITATION?], the squares of the robust Mahalanobis distances are approximately distributed χ_n^2 , where n is the dimensionality of the dataset. It is then possible to define a alpha value above which to reject a sample as an outlier.

MX allows the user to set this alpha value and graphically displays the robust Mahalanobis distances and resulting threshold. The robust Mahalanobis distances for the iris data are shown in Figure 3. MX labels 51 of the 150 samples as outliers, which is a very high fraction. It is quite unlikely that all of these are actually outliers, and it is more likely that the samples which were flagged as outliers actually mostly belong to a single class of iris flower that simply is very far away from the other two classes. If we examine the class labels, this is exactly what we find: 50 of these 51 samples belong to the *Iris setosa* class, which is apparently quite far from the samples which belong to the *Iris virginica* and *Iris versicolor* classes. Interestingly, the outlier analysis does not indicate a difference in distance between the samples from the *Iris virginica* and *Iris versicolor* class.

2.5 Correlation

Knowledge of which features are correlated is highly relevant besides assumption checking and feature selection in building classifiers. Similarly, they may aid in providing an intuitive understanding of the underlying patterns within the data. For example, in gene expression datasets these correlations may suggest the biological importance of various genetic markers [ANOTHER CITATION]. To see an example of when this is useful, we refer the reader to Shi and Horvath (2012) [BETTER CITATION].

MX computes the Pearson’s correlation amongst features and displays it to the user. To better understand the interactions and distributions of features, MX can also compute the euclidean distance between features. To account for scaling effects, users have the option of pre-processing the data via conversion to z-scores, quantiles, or ranks. Furthermore,




Figure 4: Visualization of the samples across all the features in the iris dataset. (a) Jittered scatter plot of the sample values across the features and colored based on class label. Note that the multi-modal nature of the petal width and petal length marginal distributions is clear. It is also apparent that the samples from the *Iris setosa* class are very different from the samples from the other two classes. (b) Line plot showing the behavior of individual samples across the features. Visually, it is clear how differently the samples from the *Iris setosa* class behave compared to samples from the other classes. Note also that we can now visually see the absence of actual outliers.

users can remove the outliers detected in the outlier tab from the data before computing the metrics. Using these feature on the iris data, we found that all 4 of the features are strongly correlated with one another (data not shown).

2.6 Feature Summary

MX allows users to visualize the marginal distributions of all the features on the same plot. This is done via a jittered scatter plot, a line plot (where each line represents the values a sample takes on at the corresponding feature), a vector of sample means with standard error bars, a box plot, and a violin plot. In order to ensure that outliers do not skew the visualizations, users have the options of removing outliers detected in the outlier tab from the dataset before generating the plots.

A jittered scatter plot of the iris data, colored by class label, is shown in Figure 4a. The multi-modal nature of the petal length and width features is clear from the plot. Furthermore, the two petal related features seem to be optimal for separating the data into




Figure 5: Two-dimensional embedding of samples using PCA followed by coloring using labels given by k-means clustering with $k = 3$. Samples clearly group into 2-3 clusters.

the three classes. Just like from the outlier analysis, it is apparent here that the samples from the *Iris setosa* class differ strongly from the samples from the *Iris virginica* and *Iris versicolor* classes. A line plot colored by class label of the same data is shown in Figure 4b. Again, we see how similar the samples from the *Iris virginica* and *Iris versicolor* classes are to each other and how different they are from the samples from the *Iris setosa* class. Interestingly, we can now visually see that there are no obvious outliers in the data. These observations give credence to our statement in the previous section that the samples flagged as outliers by MX were not "real" outliers, but actually were just samples from a single class.

2.7 Embedding & Clustering

One of the most intuitive ways of visualizing bivariate data is a scatter plot. Although this is not directly possible for data with more than two dimensions, various dimensionality reduction techniques can be used to embed the data within a two-dimensional coordinate system for simple examination of general structure and clustering. Dimensionality reduction techniques can be further reduced into linear and non-linear methods, both of which have their own unique advantages and disadvantages. For an overview of techniques we refer the reader to Van Der Maaten et al. (2009). Within MX we have implemented one linear method, principle component analysis (PCA), and one non-linear method, t-distributed stochastic neighbor embedding (tSNE). For a thorough description of how tSNE works, see Van der Maaten and Hinton (2008).

Note that PCA is extremely sensitive to (1) the scaling of the data and to the (2) presence of outliers. Hence, to overcome (1), MX allows users to normalize the feature

columns by converting either to z-scores, quantiles, or ranks. Note that conversion to quantiles or ranks also decreases the sensitivity of the embedding to outliers, hence aiding in overcoming (2). MX also allows users to resolve (2) more directly by giving them the option to remove the outliers detected in the outliers tab before generating the visualization. In order to inform the users of how much information was lost by embedding the data set into two dimensions, MX also displays a scree plot, which indicates the percentage of retained variance after PCA.

Two-dimensional embedding via PCA for the raw and z-score normalized iris data after coloring using labels attained via k-means with $k = 3$ is shown in Figure 5a and Figure 5b, respectively. Note that it is visually clear that the raw data clusters better than the z-score normalized data, suggesting that the current standard of normalizing to z-scores is not always optimal. Nonetheless, the samples clearly group into 2-3 clusters. As we have seen above, the cluster that lies far away from the other two is likely composed of samples which belong to the *Iris setosa* class.

3 Results

We next used MX to explore a previously unanalyzed dataset.

4 Conclusion

We have created MX, a web application driven by the R Shiny package, which allows for basic data exploration and visualization of small to medium sized datasets. Within MX, we have included the tools whose usage we believe to be best practice whenever exploring a new dataset. We have validated the functionality of MX by demonstrating its capability to characterize the iris flower dataset and determine the presence of three different classes. We have then used MX to explore a previously uncharacterized dataset, a collection of SPECT images from over 5000 patients.

Although the implemented algorithms may seem simplistic to those with a statistical background, they are highly relevant to MXs target user base: scientists with minimal knowledge of statistical techniques.

5 Acknowledgments

We would like to thank XXX for helpful discussions.

SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL

Probably stuff from above will get moved here.

References

- Hardin, J. and D. M. Rocke (2012). The distribution of robust distances. *Journal of Computational and Graphical Statistics*.
- Hubert, M., P. J. Rousseeuw, and S. Van Aelst (2008). High-breakdown robust multivariate methods. *Statistical Science*, 92–119.
- Maronna, R. A. and R. H. Zamar (2002). Robust estimates of location and dispersion for high-dimensional datasets. *Technometrics*, 307–317.
- Rousseeuw, P. J. and K. V. Driessen (1999). A fast algorithm for the minimum covariance determinant estimator. *Technometrics* 41(3), 212–223.
- Shi, T. and S. Horvath (2012). Unsupervised learning with random forest predictors. *Journal of Computational and Graphical Statistics*.
- Swayne, D. F., D. T. Lang, A. Buja, and D. Cook (2003). Ggobi: evolving from xgobi into an extensible framework for interactive data visualization. *Computational Statistics & Data Analysis* 43(4), 423–444.
- Valero-Mora, P. M. and R. D. Ledesma (2011). Using interactive graphics to teach multivariate data analysis to psychology students. *Journal of Statistics Education* 19(1), 1–19.
- Van der Maaten, L. and G. Hinton (2008). Visualizing data using t-sne. *Journal of Machine Learning Research* 9(2579-2605), 85.

Van Der Maaten, L., E. Postma, and J. Van den Herik (2009). Dimensionality reduction: a comparative. *Journal of Machine Learning Research* 10, 66–71.