

Most of the works are borrowed from Minh Tang's thesis "Graph metrics and dimension reduction"

1 Network Distance

The distance correlation(dCor) \mathcal{R}^2 between random vectors $X(\in \mathbf{R}^p)$ and $Y(\in \mathbf{R}^q)$ is defined based on Euclidean distance between two. In the contexts of network, if node attributes vector Y is all continuous, we can think its distance matrix, but we cannot intuitively think of Euclidean distance for their underlying networks. It is known that a dissimilarity matrix Δ is a Type-2 Euclidean distance matrix if and only $\tau(\Delta)$ is positive definite, where $\tau(A) = -\frac{1}{2}(I - 11^T/n)A(I - 11^T/n)$. Based on this, we suggest *diffusion distance* as a distance matrix corresponding to Euclidean distance matrix for network. *Diffusion distance* at time t between two nodes can be measured as the dissimilarity of two in the network space with connectivity between them at t step. Let me introduce some notations.

- Similarity measure ω

$$\omega[i, j] = (\text{the number of synapses starting from } i \text{ to } j)$$

- Transition probability (Assume time homogeneous Markov chain; left stochastic matrix)

$$P[i, j] = Pr(X_n = j | X_{n-1} = i)$$

We define the transition matrix $\mathbf{P} = (p_{ij})$ of a Markov chain as:

$$p_{ij} = \begin{cases} \frac{\omega(\{i, j\})}{deg(i)} & \text{if } u \sim v \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

- Stationary distribution : A probability vector π is a stationary distribution for Markov chain P if $\pi P = \pi$. Over the long run, no matter what the starting state was, the proportion of time

the chain spends in node j is approximately $\pi(j)$ ($j = 1, \dots, n$). Use `statdistr` in R.

Let $G = (V, E, \omega)$ be an undirected graph with ω being a similarity measure between vertices of V . Denote by \mathbf{P} the probability transition matrix of G . The diffusion distance at time t , $\rho_t(u, v)$, between two nodes $u, v \in V$ is defined as:

$$\rho_t^2 = \sum_{w \in V} (\mathbf{P}^t(u, w) - \mathbf{P}^t(v, w))^2 \frac{1}{\pi(w)} = \kappa(\mathbf{P}^{2t} \Pi^{-1})$$

Diffusion distances for Directed Graph at time t is defined as :

$$\Delta_{\rho_t^2} = \kappa(\mathbf{P}^t \Pi^{-1} (\mathbf{P}^t)^T)$$

where $\kappa(\mathbf{A}) = \mathbf{A}_{dg} \mathbf{11}^T - \mathbf{A} - \mathbf{A}^T + \mathbf{11}^T \mathbf{A}_{dg}$

2 Network Generating Model

Consider a latent variable dependent model, where the node attributes and their network structures are correlated each other. Generate an undirected connected graph on $n = 500$ nodes.

3 Simulation results for univariate case

First of all, I have observed p-values at each time point. We could see the patterns in p-values – the more neighbor you include in your network space, the lower their p-values are. Significance looks more independent on the choice of network neighborhood than the choice of attribute neighborhood.

$$(X_1, Y_1), (X_2, Y_2), \dots, (X_N, Y_N) \stackrel{i.i.d}{\sim} N \left(\begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \rho \\ \rho & 1 \end{bmatrix} \right) \quad (1)$$

$$\log \left(\frac{P(T_{ij})}{1 - P(T_{ij})} | X_i, X_j \right) = f(|X_i - X_j|) \quad (2)$$

$$f(|X_i - X_j|) = \begin{cases} 2/|X_i - X_j| & \max(0.01, |X_i - X_j|) < 0.10 \\ |X_i - X_j| & 0.10 \leq |X_i - X_j| < 0.50 \\ -|X_i - X_j| & 0.50 \leq |X_i - X_j| < 1.00 \\ -2 \cdot |X_i - X_j| & 1.00 \leq |X_i - X_j| < 1.50 \\ -10 \cdot |X_i - X_j| & |X_i - X_j| \geq 1.50 \end{cases} \quad (3)$$




Figure 1: log-scale of P-values when $\rho = 0.2$

On the other hand, p-values might *happen to be low* because they are also random variables. Moreover we never know the optimal scale nor power. The following results are from re-sampling procedures. Generate $M = 500$ random graphs and their nodes' (1) univariate / (2) multivariate attributes from the same joint distribution. The power of independence is calculated in the following ways:

$$\text{Power} = \hat{P}(\text{p-values} \leq 0.05)$$




Figure 2: Estimated power when $\rho = 0.0$




Figure 3: Estimated power when $\rho = 0.1$




Figure 4: Estimated power when $\rho = 0.2$

4 Simulation results for univariate case

$$(X_1, Y_{11}, Y_{12}, Y_{13}), \dots, (X_N, Y_{1N}, Y_{2N}, Y_{3N}) \stackrel{i.i.d}{\sim} N \left(\begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \rho_1 & \rho_2 & \rho_3 \\ \rho_1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ \rho_2 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ \rho_3 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \right) \quad (4)$$

(1) $\rho = (\rho_1, \rho_2, \rho_3) = (0.1, 0.1, 0.1)$

(2) $\rho = (\rho_1, \rho_2, \rho_3) = (0.2, 0.2, 0.2)$

(3) $\rho = (\rho_1, \rho_2, \rho_3) = (0.2, 0.0, 0.0)$

(4) $\rho = (\rho_1, \rho_2, \rho_3) = (0.2, 0.2, 0.0)$

(5) $\rho = (\rho_1, \rho_2, \rho_3) = (0.2, -0.2, 0.0)$

All of the above simulation schemes are planned to be based on $M = 500$ independent iterations and $n = 500$ nodes(subjects) for each network. It requires a lot of time to complete, so I prepared *small* simulation, which iterates $M = 100$ times and contains $n = 300$ nodes(subjects) per network.

(1) $\rho = (\rho_1, \rho_2, \rho_3) = (0.1, 0.1, 0.1)$ ($M = 100; n = 300$)




Figure 5: Estimated power when $\rho = (0.1, 0.1, 0.1)$

The maximum estimated power is $0.50(t = 1)$, $0.59(t = 2)$, $0.66(t = 5)$, and $0.65(t = 10)$.

(4) $\rho = (\rho_1, \rho_2, \rho_3) = (0.2, 0.2, 0.0)$ ($M = 100; n = 300$)




Figure 6: Estimated power when $\rho = (0.2, 0.2, 0.0)$

The maximum estimated power is $0.95(t=1)$, $0.97(t=2)$, $0.98(t=5)$, and $0.99(t=10)$.

5 Comparison between distance measures

Dissimilarity matrix vs. Geodesic distance vs. Diffusion Distance

5.1 Univariate node attributes

5.2 Multivariate node attributes

6 Test independence between two graphs(networks)

6.1 Dependent Latent Variable Model

Assume a network H and G have same node index - maybe they are all considering same individuals but different networks. Someone might be interested in independence between on-line friendship and offline friendship; independence between physical connections and functional relationship, etc.

$$H_0 : f_{GH} = f_G f_H$$

For all nodes $i \neq j$ in a graph G , and all nodes $u \neq v$ in a graph H , suppose the following latent variable model:

$$(X_1, W_1), (X_2, W_2), \dots, (X_N, W_N) \stackrel{i.i.d}{\sim} N \left(\begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \rho \\ \rho & 1 \end{bmatrix} \right) \quad (5)$$

$$\log \left(\frac{P(T_{ij})}{1 - P(T_{ij})} | X_i, X_j \right) = f(|X_i - X_j|) = \log \left(\frac{P(T_{uv})}{1 - P(T_{uv})} | W_i, W_j \right) \quad (6)$$

Simple Example (1) $\rho = 0.0$ (10 iterations; $n = 300$)




Figure 7: Estimated power when $\rho = 0.0$

7 Application to Real Data

8 Application to Real Data

- Similarity measure ω

$$\omega[i, j] = (\text{the number of synapses starting from } i \text{ to } j)$$

- Transition probability (Assume time homogeneous Markov chain; left stochastic matrix)

$$P[i, j] = Pr(X_n = j | X_{n-1} = i)$$

We define the transition matrix $\mathbf{P} = (p_{ij})$ of a Markov chain as:

$$p_{ij} = \begin{cases} \frac{w(\{i, j\})}{\deg(i)} & \text{if } u \sim v \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$




Figure 8: Transition matrix \mathbf{P}

- Stationary distribution : A probability vector π is a stationary distribution for Markov chain P if $\pi P = \pi$. Over the long run, no matter what the starting state was, the proportion of time

the chain spends in node j is approximately $\pi(j)$ ($j = 1, \dots, n$). Use `statdistr` in `r`.




Figure 9: Stationary probability based on \mathbf{P}

Let $G = (V, E, \omega)$ be an undirected graph with ω being a similarity measure between vertices of V . Denote by \mathbf{P} the probability transition matrix of G . The diffusion distance at time t , $\rho_t(u, v)$, between two nodes $u, v \in V$ is defined as:

$$\rho_t^2 = \sum_{w \in V} (\mathbf{P}^t(u, w) - \mathbf{P}^t(v, w))^2 \frac{1}{\pi(w)} = \kappa(\mathbf{P}^{2t} \Pi^{-1})$$

Diffusion distances for Directed Graph at time t is defined as :

$$\Delta_{\rho_t^2} = \kappa(\mathbf{P}^t \Pi^{-1} (\mathbf{P}^t)^T)$$

where $\kappa(\mathbf{A}) = \mathbf{A}_{dg} \mathbf{1} \mathbf{1}^T - \mathbf{A} - \mathbf{A}^T + \mathbf{1} \mathbf{1}^T \mathbf{A}_{dg}$




Figure 10: Heatmap of distance measures

- Difficulties of applying diffusion distance to categorical variable graph : G must be connected. Otherwise, transition probability between different categories will be zero.