

*Most of the works are borrowed from Minh Tang's thesis "Graph metrics and dimension reduction"*

## 1 Network Distance

The distance correlation (dCor)  $\mathcal{R}^2$  between random vectors  $X(\in \mathbf{R}^p)$  and  $Y(\in \mathbf{R}^q)$  is defined based on Euclidean distance between two. In the contexts of network, if node attributes vector  $Y$  is all continuous, we can think its distance matrix, but we cannot intuitively think of Euclidean distance for their underlying networks. It is known that a dissimilarity matrix  $\Delta$  is a Type-2 Euclidean distance matrix if and only if  $\tau(\Delta)$  is positive definite, where  $\tau(A) = -\frac{1}{2}(I - 11^T/n)A(I - 11^T/n)$ . Based on this, we suggest *diffusion distance* as a distance matrix corresponding to Euclidean distance matrix for network. *Diffusion distance* at time  $t$  between two nodes can be measured as the dissimilarity of two in the network space with connectivity between them at  $t$  step. Let me introduce some notations.

- Similarity measure  $\omega$

$$\omega[i, j] = (\text{the number of synapses starting from } i \text{ to } j)$$

- Transition probability (Assume time homogeneous Markov chain; left stochastic matrix)

$$P[i, j] = Pr(X_n = j | X_{n-1} = i)$$

We define the transition matrix  $\mathbf{P} = (p_{ij})$  of a Markov chain as:

$$p_{ij} = \begin{cases} \frac{w(\{i, j\})}{deg(i)} & \text{if } u \sim v \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

- Stationary distribution : A probability vector  $\pi$  is a stationary distribution for Markov chain  $P$  if  $\pi P = \pi$ . Over the long run, no matter what the starting state was, the proportion of time

the chain spends in node  $j$  is approximately  $\pi(j)$  ( $j = 1, \dots, n$ ). Use `statdistr` in R.

Let  $G = (V, E, \omega)$  be an undirected graph with  $\omega$  being a similarity measure between vertices of  $V$ . Denote by  $\mathbf{P}$  the probability transition matrix of  $G$ . The diffusion distance at time  $t$ ,  $\rho_t(u, v)$ , between two nodes  $u, v \in V$  is defined as:

$$\rho_t^2 = \sum_{w \in V} (\mathbf{P}^t(u, w) - \mathbf{P}^t(v, w))^2 \frac{1}{\pi(w)} = \kappa(\mathbf{P}^{2t} \Pi^{-1})$$

Diffusion distances for Directed Graph at time  $t$  is defined as :

$$\Delta_{\rho_t^2} = \kappa(\mathbf{P}^t \Pi^{-1} (\mathbf{P}^t)^T)$$

where  $\kappa(\mathbf{A}) = \mathbf{A}_{dg} \mathbf{11}^T - \mathbf{A} - \mathbf{A}^T + \mathbf{11}^T \mathbf{A}_{dg}$

## 2 Network Generating Model

Consider a latent variable dependent model, where the node attributes and their network structures are correlated each other. Generate an undirected connected graph on  $n = 500$  nodes.


## 3 Simulation results for univariate case

First of all, I have observed p-values at each time point. We could see the patterns in p-values – the more neighbor you include in your network space, the lower their p-values are. Significance looks more independent on the choice of network neighborhood than the choice of attribute neighborhood.

$$(X_1, Y_1), (X_2, Y_2), \dots, (X_N, Y_N) \stackrel{i.i.d}{\sim} N \left( \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \rho \\ \rho & 1 \end{bmatrix} \right) \quad (1)$$

$$\log \left( \frac{P(T_{ij})}{1 - P(T_{ij})} | X_i, X_j \right) = f(|X_i - X_j|) \quad (2)$$


$$f(|X_i - X_j|) = \begin{cases} 2/|X_i - X_j| & \max(0.01, |X_i - X_j|) < 0.10 \\ |X_i - X_j| & 0.10 \leq |X_i - X_j| < 0.50 \\ -|X_i - X_j| & 0.50 \leq |X_i - X_j| < 1.00 \\ -2 \cdot |X_i - X_j| & 1.00 \leq |X_i - X_j| < 1.50 \\ -10 \cdot |X_i - X_j| & |X_i - X_j| \geq 1.50 \end{cases} \quad (3)$$




**Figure 1:** log-scale of P-values when  $\rho = 0.2$

On the other hand, p-values might *happen to be low* because they are also random variables. Moreover we never know the optimal scale nor power. The following results are from re-sampling procedures. Generate  $M = 500$  random graphs and their nodes' (1) univariate / (2) multivariate attributes from the same joint distribution. The power of independence is calculated in the following ways:


$$\text{Power} = \hat{P}(\text{p-values} \leq 0.05)$$



**Figure 2:** Estimated power when  $\rho = 0.0$



**Figure 3:** Estimated power when  $\rho = 0.1$



**Figure 4:** Estimated power when  $\rho = 0.2$

## 4 Simulation results for univariate case

$$(X_1, Y_{11}, Y_{12}, Y_{13}), \dots, (X_N, Y_{1N}, Y_{2N}, Y_{3N}) \stackrel{i.i.d}{\sim} N \left( \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \rho_1 & \rho_2 & \rho_3 \\ \rho_1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ \rho_2 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ \rho_3 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \right) \quad (4)$$

**(0)**  $\rho = (\rho_1, \rho_2, \rho_3) = (0.0, 0.0, 0.0)$

**(1)**  $\rho = (\rho_1, \rho_2, \rho_3) = (0.1, 0.1, 0.1)$

**(2)**  $\rho = (\rho_1, \rho_2, \rho_3) = (0.2, 0.2, 0.2)$

**(3)**  $\rho = (\rho_1, \rho_2, \rho_3) = (0.2, 0.0, 0.0)$


**(4)**  $\rho = (\rho_1, \rho_2, \rho_3) = (0.2, 0.2, 0.0)$

**(5)**  $\rho = (\rho_1, \rho_2, \rho_3) = (0.2, -0.2, 0.0)$

All of the above simulation schemes are planned to be based on  $M = 500$  independent iterations and  $n = 500$  nodes(subjects) for each network. It requires a lot of time to complete, so I prepared *small* simulation, which iterates  $M = 100$  times and contains  $n = 300$  nodes(subjects) per network.




$$(1) \rho = (\rho_1, \rho_2, \rho_3) = (0.1, 0.1, 0.1) \quad (M = 100; n = 300)$$



**Figure 5:** Estimated power when  $\rho = (0.1, 0.1, 0.1)$

The maximum estimated power is  $0.50(t = 1)$ ,  $0.59(t = 2)$ ,  $0.66(t = 5)$ , and  $0.65(t = 10)$ .

$$(4) \rho = (\rho_1, \rho_2, \rho_3) = (0.2, 0.2, 0.0) \quad (M = 100; n = 300)$$



**Figure 6:** Estimated power when  $\rho = (0.2, 0.2, 0.0)$

The maximum estimated power is  $0.95(t=1)$ ,  $0.97(t=2)$ ,  $0.98(t=5)$ , and  $0.99(t=10)$ .

## 5 Comparison between distance measures

**Dissimilarity matrix vs. Geodesic distance vs. Diffusion Distance**

### 5.1 Univariate node attributes

### 5.2 Multivariate node attributes

## 6 Test independence between two graphs(networks)

### 6.1 Dependent Latent Variable Model

Assume a network  $H$  and  $G$  have same node index - maybe they are all considering same individuals but different networks. Someone might be interested in independence between on-line friendship and offline friendship; independence between physical connections and functional relationship, etc.

$$H_0 : f_{GH} = f_G f_H$$


For all nodes  $i \neq j$  in a graph  $G$ , and all nodes  $u \neq v$  in a graph  $H$ , suppose the following latent variable model:

$$(X_1, W_1), (X_2, W_2), \dots, (X_N, W_N) \stackrel{i.i.d}{\sim} N \left( \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \rho \\ \rho & 1 \end{bmatrix} \right) \quad (5)$$

$$\log \left( \frac{P(T_{ij})}{1 - P(T_{ij})} | X_i, X_j \right) = f(|X_i - X_j|) = \log \left( \frac{P(T_{uv})}{1 - P(T_{uv})} | W_i, W_j \right) \quad (6)$$


## Simple Example

(1)  $\rho = 0.0$  ( $M = 100$  iterations;  $n = 300$ )



**Figure 7:** Estimated power when  $\rho = 0.0$

(2)  $\rho = 0.2$  (100 iterations;  $n = 300$ )



**Figure 8:** Estimated power when  $\rho = 0.2$

## 7 Application to Real Data

- Similarity measure  $\omega$


$$\omega[i, j] = (\text{the number of synapses starting from } i \text{ to } j)$$

- Transition probability (Assume time homogeneous Markov chain; left stochastic matrix)

$$P[i, j] = \Pr(X_n = j | X_{n-1} = i)$$


We define the transition matrix  $\mathbf{P} = (p_{ij})$  of a Markov chain as:

$$p_{ij} = \begin{cases} \frac{w(\{i, j\})}{\deg(i)} & \text{if } u \sim v \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$



**Figure 9:** Transition matrix  $\mathbf{P}$

- Stationary distribution : A probability vector  $\pi$  is a stationary distribution for Markov chain  $P$  if  $\pi P = \pi$ . Over the long run, no matter what the starting state was, the proportion of time the chain spends in node  $j$  is approximately  $\pi(j)$  ( $j = 1, \dots, n$ ). Use `statdistr` in `r`.



**Figure 10:** Stationary probability based on  $\mathbf{P}$

Let  $G = (V, E, \omega)$  be an undirected graph with  $\omega$  being a similarity measure between vertices of  $V$ . Denote by  $\mathbf{P}$  the probability transition matrix of  $G$ . The diffusion distance at time  $t$ ,  $\rho_t(u, v)$ , between two nodes  $u, v \in V$  is defined as:


$$\rho_t^2 = \sum_{w \in V} (\mathbf{P}^t(u, w) - \mathbf{P}^t(v, w))^2 \frac{1}{\pi(w)} = \kappa(\mathbf{P}^{2t} \Pi^{-1})$$

Diffusion distances for Directed Graph at time  $t$  is defined as :

$$\Delta_{\rho_t^2} = \kappa(\mathbf{P}^t \Pi^{-1} (\mathbf{P}^t)^T)$$

where  $\kappa(\mathbf{A}) = \mathbf{A}_{dg} \mathbf{11}^T - \mathbf{A} - \mathbf{A}^T + \mathbf{11}^T \mathbf{A}_{dg}$





**Figure 11:** Heatmap of distance measures

- Difficulties of applying diffusion distance to categorical variable graph :  $G$  must be connected. Otherwise, transition probability between different categories will be zero.