Template

Joshua T. Vogelstein*[1,2]

[1]Department of Biomedical Engineering, Institute for Computational Medicine, Kavli Neuroscience Discovery Institute, Johns Hopkins University
[2]University of Something Else

Contents

This is the abstract.
The bibliography file has a relatively recent copy of all neurodata pubs.

1 This is a section

The quick brown fox jumps over the lazy dog Eq. (1).
The quick brown fox jumps over the lazy dog [1–8].
The quick brown fox jumps over the lazy dog Figure 1.
The quick brown fox jumps over the lazy dog. *Amit and Geman [1]*
THE QUICK BROWN FOX JUMPS OVER THE LAZY DOG. Add cats
The quick brown fox jumps over the `lazy` dog.
The ~~quick~~ [~~brown~~ | chartreuse] fox jumps over the lazy [ass] dog
Aligned equation:

$$e^{i\pi} - 1 = 0, \tag{1}$$
$$\chi = V - E + F \tag{2}$$

Enumerate:

1. The quick brown fox jumps over the lazy dog
2. The quick brown fox jumps over the lazy dog. The quick brown fox jumps over the lazy dog. The quick brown fox jumps over the lazy dog.

Itemize:

- The quick brown fox jumps over the lazy dog. The quick brown fox jumps over the lazy dog. The quick brown fox jumps over the lazy dog.
- The quick brown fox jumps over the lazy dog. The quick brown fox jumps over the lazy dog. The quick brown fox jumps over the lazy dog.

Description:

The The quick brown fox jumps over the lazy dog. The quick brown fox jumps over the lazy dog. The quick brown fox jumps over the lazy dog. The quick brown fox jumps over the lazy dog. The quick brown fox jumps over the lazy dog. The quick brown fox jumps over the lazy dog.
Quick brown fox jumps over the lazy dog. The quick brown fox jumps over the lazy dog.

*jovo@jhu.edu

Table 1: Table.

Metrics	Sub	**Phase 1**	**Phase 2**
		1	
The	quick	brown	fox

Table 2: The median sample size for each method to achieve power 85% at type 1 error level 0.05, grouped into monotone (type 1-5) and non-monotone simulations (type 6-19) for both one- and ten-dimensional settings, normalized by the number of samples required by Mgc. In other words, a 2.0 indicates that the method requires double the sample size to achieve 85% power relative to Mgc. Pearson, RV, and Cca all achieve the same performance, as do Spearman and Kendall. Mgc requires the fewest number of samples in all settings, and on average for high-dimensional settings, all other methods require about two to three times more samples than Mgc.

Dimensionality	One-Dimensional			Ten-Dimensional		
Dependency Type	Monotone	Non-Mono	Average	Monotone	Non-Mono	Average
Mgc	**1**	**1**	**1**	**1**	**1**	**1**
Dcorr	**1**	2.6	2.2	**1**	3.2	2.6
Mcorr	**1**	2.8	2.4	**1**	3.1	2.6
Hhg	1.4	**1**	1.1	1.7	1.9	1.8
Hsic	1.4	1.1	1.2	1.7	2.4	2.2
Mantel	1.4	1.8	1.7	3	1.6	1.9
Pearson / RV / Cca	**1**	>10	>10	**0.8**	>10	>10
Spearman / Kendall	**1**	>10	>10	n/a	n/a	n/a
Mic	2.4	2	2.1	n/a	n/a	n/a

2 Tasks section

Task 1: Research

Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetuer adipiscing elit. Ut purus elit, vestibulum ut, placerat ac, adipiscing vitae, felis. Curabitur dictum gravida mauris. Nam arcu libero, nonummy eget, consectetuer id, vulputate a, magna. Donec vehicula augue eu neque. Pellentesque habitant morbi tristique senectus et netus et malesuada fames ac turpis egestas. Mauris ut leo. Cras viverra metus rhoncus sem. Nulla et lectus vestibulum urna fringilla ultrices. Phasellus eu tellus sit amet tortor gravida placerat. Integer sapien est, iaculis in, pretium quis, viverra ac, nunc. Praesent eget sem vel leo ultrices bibendum. Aenean faucibus. Morbi dolor nulla, malesuada eu, pulvinar at, mollis ac, nulla. Curabitur auctor semper nulla. Donec varius orci eget risus. Duis nibh mi, congue eu, accumsan eleifend, sagittis quis, diam. Duis eget orci sit amet orci dignissim rutrum.

Task 2: Development

Nam dui ligula, fringilla a, euismod sodales, sollicitudin vel, wisi. Morbi auctor lorem non justo. Nam lacus libero, pretium at, lobortis vitae, ultricies et, tellus. Donec aliquet, tortor sed accumsan bibendum, erat ligula aliquet magna, vitae ornare odio metus a mi. Morbi ac orci et nisl hendrerit mollis. Suspendisse ut massa. Cras nec ante. Pellentesque a nulla. Cum sociis natoque penatibus et magnis dis parturient montes, nascetur ridiculus mus. Aliquam tincidunt urna. Nulla ullamcorper vestibulum turpis. Pellentesque cursus luctus mauris.

Task 3: Integration

Nulla malesuada porttitor diam. Donec felis erat, congue non, volutpat at, tincidunt tristique, libero. Vivamus viverra fermentum felis. Donec nonummy pellentesque ante. Phasellus adipiscing semper elit. Proin fermentum massa ac quam. Sed diam turpis, molestie vitae, placerat a, molestie nec, leo. Maecenas lacinia. Nam ipsum ligula, eleifend at, accumsan nec, suscipit a, ipsum. Morbi blandit ligula feugiat magna. Nunc eleifend consequat lorem. Sed lacinia nulla vitae enim. Pellentesque tincidunt purus vel magna. Integer non enim. Praesent euismod nunc eu purus. Donec bibendum quam in tellus. Nullam cursus pulvinar lectus. Donec et mi. Nam vulputate metus eu enim. Vestibulum pellentesque felis eu massa.

Task 4: Dissemination

Quisque ullamcorper placerat ipsum. Cras nibh. Morbi vel justo vitae lacus tincidunt ultrices. Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetuer adipiscing elit. In hac habitasse platea dictumst. Integer tempus convallis augue. Etiam facilisis. Nunc elementum fermentum wisi. Aenean placerat. Ut imperdiet, enim sed gravida sollicitudin, felis odio placerat quam, ac pulvinar elit purus eget enim. Nunc vitae tortor. Proin tempus nibh sit amet nisl. Vivamus quis tortor vitae risus porta vehicula.

2.1 This is a subsection

The quick brown fox jumps over the lazy dog.

2.1.1 This is a subsubsection

The quick brown fox jumps over the lazy dog.

This is a paragraph The quick brown fox jumps over the lazy dog.

This is a subparagraph The quick brown fox jumps over the lazy dog.

Figure 1: Lion is awesome.

Algorithm 1 Mgc test statistic. This algorithm computes all local correlations, take the smoothed maximum, and reports the (k, l) pair that achieves it. For the smoothing step, it: (i) finds the largest connected region in the correlation map, such that each correlation is significant, i.e., larger than a certain threshold to avoid correlation inflation by sample noise, (ii) take the largest correlation in the region, (iii) if the region area is too small, or the smoothed maximum is no larger than the global correlation, the global correlation is used instead. The running time is $\mathcal{O}(n^2)$.

Input: A pair of distance matrices $(A, B) \in \mathbb{R}^{n\times n} \times \mathbb{R}^{n\times n}$.
Output: The Mgc statistic $c^* \in \mathbb{R}$, all local statistics $\mathcal{C} \in \mathbb{R}^{n\times n}$, and the corresponding local scale $(k, l) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$.

```
function MGCSampleStat(A, B)
    C = MGCAllLocal(A, B)                          ▷ All local correlations
    τ = Thresholding(C)                            ▷ find a threshold to determine large local correlations
    for i, j := 1, ..., n do r_ij ← I(c^ij > τ) end for    ▷ identify all scales with large correlation
    R ← {r_ij : i, j = 1, ..., n}                  ▷ binary map encoding scales with large correlation
    R = Connected(R)                               ▷ largest connected component of the binary matrix
    c* ← C(n, n)                                   ▷ use the global correlation by default
    k ← n, l ← n
    if (Σ_{i,j} r_ij) ≥ 2n then                    ▷ proceed when the significant region is sufficiently large
        [c*, k, l] ← max(C ∘ R)                    ▷ find the smoothed maximum and the respective scale
    end if
end function
```

3 Second § so that we have more things in the TOC

Quisque ullamcorper placerat ipsum. Cras nibh. Morbi vel justo vitae lacus tincidunt ultrices. Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetuer adipiscing elit. In hac habitasse platea dictumst. Integer tempus convallis augue. Etiam facilisis. Nunc elementum fermentum wisi. Aenean placerat. Ut imperdiet, enim sed gravida sollicitudin, felis odio placerat quam, ac pulvinar elit purus eget enim. Nunc vitae tortor. Proin tempus nibh sit amet nisl. Vivamus quis tortor vitae risus porta vehicula.

3.1 Second §§ so that we have more things in the TOC

Fusce mauris. Vestibulum luctus nibh at lectus. Sed bibendum, nulla a faucibus semper, leo velit ultricies tellus, ac venenatis arcu wisi vel nisl. Vestibulum diam. Aliquam pellentesque, augue quis sagittis posuere, turpis lacus congue quam, in hendrerit risus eros eget felis. Maecenas eget erat in sapien mattis porttitor. Vestibulum porttitor. Nulla facilisi. Sed a turpis eu lacus commodo facilisis. Morbi fringilla, wisi in dignissim interdum, justo lectus sagittis dui, et vehicula libero dui cursus dui. Mauris tempor ligula sed lacus. Duis cursus enim ut augue. Cras ac magna. Cras nulla. Nulla egestas. Curabitur a leo. Quisque egestas wisi eget nunc. Nam feugiat lacus vel est. Curabitur consectetuer.

References and Notes

[1] Yali Amit and Donald Geman. Shape quantization and recognition with randomized trees. *Neural Computation*, 9(7):1545–1588, 1997. doi: 10.1162/neco.1997.9.7.1545. URL http://dx.doi.org/10.1162/neco.1997.9.7.1545.

[2] Jimmy Ba, Geoffrey E Hinton, Volodymyr Mnih, Joel Z Leibo, and Catalin Ionescu. Using fast weights to attend to the recent past. In D D Lee, M Sugiyama, U V Luxburg, I Guyon, and R Garnett, editors, *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems 29*, pages 4331–4339. Curran Associates, Inc., 2016.

[3] Gökhan Bakir, Thomas Hofmann, and Bernhard Scholkopf. *Predicting structured data*. MIT press, 2007.

[4] Anna Choromanska, Tony Jebara, Hyungtae Kim, Mahesh Mohan, and Claire Monteleoni. *Fast Spectral Clustering via the Nyström Method*, pages 367–381. Springer Berlin Heidelberg, Berlin, Heidelberg, 2013.

[5] E.Aleskerov, B.Frelisleben, and B.Rao. Cardwatch: A neural network based database mining system for credit card fraud detection. In *Proceedings of IEEE Computational Intelligence for Financial Engineering*, pages 220–226, 1997.

[6] Ian Goodfellow, Jean Pouget-Abadie, Mehdi Mirza, Bing Xu, David Warde-Farley, Sherjil Ozair, Aaron Courville, and Yoshua Bengio. Generative adversarial nets. In *Advances in neural information processing systems*, pages 2672–2680, 2014.

[7] Patric Hagmann. *From diffusion MRI to brain connectomics*. PhD thesis, STI, Lausanne, 2005. URL http://vpaa.epfl.ch/page14976.html.

[8] M. Tang, Y. Park, and C. E. Priebe. Out-of-sample extension for latent position graphs. Arxiv preprint at http://arxiv.org/abs/1305.4893, 2013.