Evolution of the AGN Population in the Universe

Neven Caplar

Simon Lilly, Benny Trakhtenbrot

PRINCETON
UNIVERSITY


0.20<=z<0.45
0.45<=z<0.70
0.70<=z<0.85
0.85<=z<1.10
LIRGs
Noeske+ 07
log (SFR [M$_{sun}$yr^{-1}])
log (M$_*$[M$_{sun}$])


Ilbert+ 13
0.2–0.5
0.5–0.8
0.8–1.1
1.1–1.5
1.5–2.0
2.0–2.5
2.5–3.0
3.0–4.0
log φ (Mpc^{-3} dex^{-1})
log(M$_*$) [M$_\odot$]

Reverse engineering approach

Basic idea: Identify key features of the observed galaxy and AGN populations and then explore *analytically* what they tell us, via the most basic continuity equations or via other simple relationships

Peng+ 2010

$$\phi_{\rm red}(t) = \phi_{\rm blue}(t_0)\frac{1}{-(1+\alpha_s+\beta)}\frac{m}{M^*}$$
$$\times \int_{t_0}^{t} -(1+\alpha_s+\beta){\rm sSFR}(t')e^{\int_{t_0}^{t} -(1+\alpha_s+\beta){\rm sSFR}(t')\,dt'}\,dt'$$
$$= \phi_{\rm blue}(t_0)\frac{1}{-(1+\alpha_s+\beta)}\frac{m}{M^*}e^{\int_{t_0}^{t} -(1+\alpha_s+\beta){\rm sSFR}(t')\,dt'}$$
$$= \phi_{\rm blue}(t)\frac{1}{-(1+\alpha_s+\beta)}\frac{m}{M^*}. \qquad \text{(B4)}$$

Putting Equations (B2) and (B4) together gives

$$\frac{1}{\phi_{\rm red}(t)}\frac{d\phi_{\rm red}(t)}{dt} = -{\rm sSFR}(t)(1+\alpha_s+\beta)$$
$$= \frac{1}{\phi_{\rm blue}(t)}\frac{d\phi_{\rm blue}(t)}{dt}$$
$$\frac{d\ln\phi_{\rm red}(t)}{dt} = \frac{d\ln\phi_{\rm blue}(t)}{dt}. \qquad \text{(B5)}$$

Peng+ 2012

Simplest observables in the Universe





- Galaxy mass function – number of galaxies per unit of mass
- Quasar luminosity function – number of quasars (AGN) per unit of luminosity

Quasar luminosity function is convolution of galaxy mass function and Eddington ratio function

Ansätze - coexistance scenario

- Radiatively efficient AGN are in star forming systems
- Distribution of Eddington ratio does not depend on the mass of the central black hole
- Mass of central black hole proportional to stellar mass

- To make quasar luminosity function use
  - AGN mass function & Eddington ratio function

Quasar luminosity function is convolution of galaxy mass function and Eddington ratio function

Ansätze - coexistance scenario

- Radiatively efficient AGN are in star forming systems
- Distribution of Eddington ratio does not depend on the mass of the central black hole
- Mass of central black hole proportional to stellar mass

- To make quasar luminosity function use
  - AGN mass function & Eddington ratio function



Quasar luminosity function is convolution of galaxy mass function and Eddington ratio function

Ansätze - coexistance scenario

- Radiatively efficient AGN are in star forming systems
- Distribution of Eddington ratio does not depend on the mass of the central black hole

$$L^* \propto M^* m_{bh}/m_* \lambda^*$$

- Mass of central black hole proportional to stellar mass

- To make quasar luminosity function use
  - AGN mass function & Eddington ratio function



Quasar luminosity function is convolution of galaxy mass function and Eddington ratio function

Ansätze - coexistance scenario

- Radiatively efficient AGN are in star forming systems
- Distribution of Eddington ratio does not depend on the mass of the central black hole

$$L^* \propto M^* m_{bh}/m_* \lambda^*$$

- Mass of central black hole proportional to stellar mass

$$\phi^*_{QLF} \propto \phi^*_{SF} \xi^*_\lambda$$

- To make quasar luminosity function use
  - AGN mass function & Eddington ratio function



Two interesting results from quasar luminosity function



Hopkins+ 07, but also Aird+ 14, Ueda+ 15, Aird+ 18

Two interesting results from quasar luminosity function





- Normalization of quasar luminosity function changes as normalization of star forming galaxies
- $L^* = (1+z)^4, z<2$
- $L^* \propto M^* m_{bh}/m_* \lambda^*$

Results from simulating mass-luminosity plane







Results from simulating mass-luminosity plane



Results from simulating mass-luminosity plane



What about local black hole – galaxy relation?



Kormendy & Ho, 13

What about local black hole – galaxy relation?



Consequences of size evolution





- Virial relation, Faber-Jackson and size evolution in galaxies implies evolution in either m_{bh}/m_* or m_{bh} – sigma relation

- redshift evolution in m_{bh}/m_* of $(1+z)^2$ leads to constant and tighter m_{bh} – sigma relation

$$r\big|_m \propto (1+z)^{-1} \Leftrightarrow \sigma^2\big|_m \propto (1+z)$$

$$m_{star} \propto \sigma^4 (1+z)^2$$

$$\frac{m_{BH}}{m_{star}} \propto (1+z)^2 \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad \frac{m_{BH}}{\sigma^4} = \text{constant}$$

Consequences of size evolution





“The problem”



- Mass growth of galaxies and AGN does not support such a mass ratio evolution in the co-existence scenario considered until now

Quenching scenario

- Equations?



- To make quasar luminosity function use (again):
  - AGN mass function
  - Eddington ratio function

- Now – AGN activity connected with mass-quenching; black hole accretion happens in a single, almost delta-like burst

  Naturally overcomes “the problem”- mass ratio responds instantly to the changes of the mass accretion rate

Evolution of AGN population in quenching scenario













- Mass ratio evolution is now an output of the model
- Mass ratio = BHARD/SFRD $\propto (1+z)^{1.5}$

Evolution of AGN population in quenching scenario



- Eddington ratio = $\lambda^{*} = \left(SFRD/BHARD\right)\cdot\left(L^{*}/M^{*}\right)$
- Eddington ratio $\propto \left(1+z\right)^{2.5}$
- sSFR $\propto \left(1+z\right)^{2.5}$

$$\frac{\phi^{*}_{QLF}}{\phi^{*}_{SF}} = \frac{\lambda^{*}}{sSFR} = const$$

Evolution of AGN population in quenching scenario



How to differentiate two scenarios

- The main difference = which galaxies host AGN
- Co-existence ẁ All SF galaxies have equal chance to host an AGN
- Quenching ẁ More massive SF galaxies have a great chance to host an AGN

How to differentiate two scenarios

- The main difference = which galaxies host AGN
- Co-existence ẁ All SF galaxies have equal chance to host an AGN
- Quenching ẁ More massive SF galaxies have a great chance to host an AGN



How to differentiate two scenarios

- The main difference = which galaxies host AGN
- Co-existence ẁ All SF galaxies have equal chance to host an AGN
- Quenching ẁ More massive SF galaxies have a great chance to host an AGN





Anglés-Alcázar+ 17

How to differentiate two scenarios

- The main difference = which galaxies host AGN
- Co-existence ẁ All SF galaxies have equal chance to host an AGN
- Quenching ẁ More massive SF galaxies have a great chance to host an AGN





Anglés-Alcázar+ 17

How to differentiate two scenarios

- The main difference = which galaxies host AGN
- Co-existence ẁ All SF galaxies have equal chance to host an AGN
- Quenching ẁ More massive SF galaxies have a great chance to host an AGN





Summary

- Simple global model combining galaxy mass function and quasar luminosity function leads to following conclusions
  - **Evolution in the m_{bh}/m_* relation** in star-forming galaxies
    - Non-evolving m_{bh}/m_* disfavored by mass-luminosity plane

Rewrite

- Scenario in which **AGN growth is connected with quenching of star-formation** self-consistently produces m_{bh}/m_* evolution, Edd. ratio evolution and normalization evolution as seen in observations.