Trio follicle groups in Merino sheep and fibre diameter

Neville Jackson , Paul Swan, and Jim Watts $3~{\rm Dec}~2018$

Contents

1	Introduction	2
2	Sheep population studied	2
3	Traits measured	2
4	Statistical techniques	4
5	Results	4
	5.1 All covariates included	5
	5.2 Components of follicle density (Fn)	9
	5.2.1 Fn alone	9
	5.2.2 Groups per unit area and follicles per group	10
	5.2.3 Intra group density and trio group area ratio	10
	5.3 Things other than density	10
6	Discussion	11

1 Introduction

We are interested in knowing how important is the local environment within a trio group (which we call *locale*) in control of fibre diameter.

2 Sheep population studied

Data were collected from 12 Merino flocks sampled at various times over the years 2001 to 2016. The sheep sampled ranged in age from 13 to 24 months, and ther were some rams and some ewes. Numbers of sheep per flock varied from 11 to 82. There were 82 ewes and 257 rams, a total of 339 sheep. The flocks were mostly bred towards SRS Merion type, but there were two which were normal Merinos.

3 Traits measured

Biopsy samples were serial sectioned down to sebaceous gland level. Sections were stained with Nile Blue sulphate. Under the microscope follicle trio groups were identified and the area of the groups and its follicle count obtained. Several trio groups were measured per sheep and the measurements averaged.

In addition follicles were chosen at random within a groups and their distance from their nearest neighbour measured.

Observations were also available, on the same 339 sheep, of follicle number per unit area (Fn), S/P ratio (Fr), and primary follicle number per unit area (Fp). Average diameter of primary fibre (Dp) and of secondary fibres (Ds), and of all fibres (Dskin). Diameters were measured on skin sections.

All the measured traits are summarised below

Dp mean diameter of primary fibres (μm^2) .

SDDp standard deviation of diameter of primary fibres (μm^2) .

Ds mean diameter of secondary fibres (μm^2) .

SDDs standard deviation of diameter of secondary fibres (μm^2) .

SovP ratio of number of secondary follicles to number of primary follicles. No units

Fn follicle number per mm^2 . Determined by counting follicles in skin sections viewed under a projection microscope at 50x magnification.

IGNorth inter-group distance in the north direction (μm) . Distance between adjacent follicle groups measured from the outer edge of the sebaceous glands of the primary central follicle to the lateral margin of the follicle group above it. The 'North' direction on a skin section is defined as the 'top' of the image when the rows of follicle groups are from side to side and the primary fibres are on the 'top' margin of the groups.

IGSouth inter-group distance in the South direction (μm). Measured from the lateral margin of the same follicle group as used for IGNorth to the outer edge of the sebacious glands of the central primary follicle of the follicle group below it.

IGEast inter-group distance in the East direction (μm) .

IGWest inter-group distance in the West direction (μm) .

FollGpArea mean area of a follicle group (mm^2) .

AreaPerFoll mean area per follicle calculated as FollGpArea/FollperGp (mm^2)

IntGpDens density of follicles within a follicle group (nopermm²)

FollperGp mean number of follicles per group

IFDist mean inter-follicle distance (μm) . Measured as the distance between a follicle and its nearest neighbour.

IGNorth inter-group distance in the north direction (μm) . Distance between adjacent follicle groups measured from the outer edge of the sebaceous glands of the primary central follicle to the lateral margin of the follicle group above it. The 'North' direction on a skin section is defined as the 'top' of the image when the rows of follicle groups are from side to side and the primary fibres are on the 'top' margin of the groups.

IGSouth inter-group distance in the South direction (μm). Measured from the lateral margin of the same follicle group as used for IGNorth to the outer edge of the sebacious glands of the central primary follicle of the follicle group below it.

IGEast inter-group distance in the East direction (μm) .

IGWest inter-group distance in the West direction (μm) .

FollCurv follicle curvature score. 1 = straight, 7 = curved.

FollDep follicle depth vertically from skin surface to bulb (mm).

In addition we calculate the following from the above basic traits

Fnp number of primary follicles per mm^2 . Calculated as Fnp = Fn/(Sovp+1).

Fns number of secondary follicles per mm^2 . Calculated as Fns = Fnp * Sovp.

Dskin mean fibre diameter (μm) . Obtained from fibre measurements on skin sections.

SDDskin standard deviation of fibre diameter (μm) . Obtained from fibre measurements on skin sections.

In addition the following fibre length measurements were made

FibLen mean fibre length per unit time (mm/day). Obtained by withdrawing 50 fibres from n staples and measuring the stretched length.

SDFiblen standard deviation of fibre length per unit time (mm/day).

CVFiblen coefficient of variation of fibre length, as a percentage.

4 Statistical techniques

We use the R Statistical Language [21] to analyse thes data. The techniques used involve fitting a number of linear models, with fixed effects for Flock, Age, and Sex taken out, and with fibre diameter predicted from regressions on various skin measurements. This allowed us to assess, at the between sheep level, how much the trio group specific parameters contributed to diameter, compared with the overall parameters such as follicle density (Fn).

We analyse mean diameter of primary and secondary fibres separately. These should not differ - the *locale* effect should affect primary and secondary follicles equally. This is not to say the primary and secondary follicles can not differ in mean diameter. They clearly can, but that is mediated via other factors, not the *locale* environment, which should be equal for all follicles at a given time, although it can vary with time, as seen in the birthcoat.

We also analyse standard deviation of diameter of primary and secondary fibres. THe *locale* might influence variability between follicles simply by determining whether the follicles are under stress or free to vary.

5 Results

We start with a linear model which will remove fixed efects of Flock, Sex, and Age, so that what we are studying is variation between sheep within a flock. The model is of the form

$$D = \mu + Flock + Sex + b_{Age}Age + \sum_{i=1}^{i=n} b_i Xi$$
 (1)

where

D is fibre diameter

Flock is a fixed effect for one of 11 flocks

Sex is a fixed effect for one of two sexes

 b_{Age} is a regression on age in months

 $\sum_{i=1}^{i=n} b_i X_i$ are regressions on a number of covariates X_i

Fitting this model leads to an analysis of variance of diameter and to estimates of the various regression coefficients and fixed effects.

5.1 All covariates included

We start with a model including all possible covariates. This is just to set a baseline. It is not a good way to achieve an in intelligent interpretation. It just singles out all the covariates that might be part of locale and sums up how much sheep to sheep variation in diameter they might explain.

We start with analyses of variance from fitting this model to Dp and Ds. These are shown in Table 1 For primary fibres, the effects that are both large and significant and IntGpDens, Fn, FollCurv, and FollDep. The \mathbb{R}^2 value is 0.385 ($\mathbb{R}=0.621$).

For secondary fibres the effects that are both large and significant are IntGp-Dens, Fn, and FollCurv. There are also smaller and more marginally significant effects of IGNorth, IGSouth, Np, and AreaPerFoll. FollDep is close to significant (13 percent). The R^2 value is 0.439 (R=0.662)

There is clearly a lot of sheep to sheep variation in Dp and Ds which is not explained by the *locale* effects. That is to be expected. Variation in papilla cell number per follicle also affects diameter, and is not part of the lem locale effect.

The actual partail regression coefficients for the fit of Table 1 are given in Table 2.

We have omitted the Flock and Sex effects. The magnitudes of these partial regressions are difficult to interpret alone as they are relative to the mean of each covariate. That is why the covariate means are included in Table 2. If we just lookat the signs there are some interesting things - the Fn coefficients are negative so high density iplies low diameter. But the coefficients for IntGpDens are positive? That opens up a whole lot of questions which tend to undermine the usefullness of this full model fit. These are partial regressions - so the coefficient for IntGpDens is adjusted so that all other covariates are equal - in particular it is the effect of IntGpDens when Fn is help constant. We need to think about what that means. Not here. The following sections resolve this issue.

The other large and significant effects (FollCurv and FollDep) seem to have coefficients with an appropriate sign.

We endth is section with a note about multiple regression. If covariates are correlated, their effects can be difficult to separate. That is the case here. Table 3 presents the correlations between all of the covariates.

Table 1: Analyses of variance from fitting the model specified in equation 1 with

ll possible covariates					
Mean diameter of	of primary fibres (Dp)				
	Df	$\operatorname{Sum}\operatorname{Sq}$	Mean Sq	F value	Pr(>F
Flock	10	439.31	43.93	9.16	0.000
Sex	1	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.986
Agenum	1	0.28	0.28	0.06	0.808
IGNorth	1	0.06	0.06	0.01	0.910
IGSouth	1	1.71	1.71	0.36	0.551
IGEast	1	1.39	1.39	0.29	0.591
IGWest	1	4.90	4.90	1.02	0.313
IntGpDens	1	54.35	54.35	11.33	0.000
Fn	1	22.06	22.06	4.60	0.033
Np	1	3.77	3.77	0.79	0.376
FollCurv	1	20.26	20.26	4.22	0.041
FollDep	1	32.84	32.84	6.84	0.009
IFDistMean	1	2.15	2.15	0.45	0.504
FollGpArea	1	2.74	2.74	0.57	0.450
FollperGp	1	0.45	0.45	0.09	0.760
AreaPerFoll	1	1.46	1.46	0.30	0.581
Residuals	195	935.66	4.80		
Mean diameter of	secondary fibres (Ds)				
	Df	Sum Sq	Mean Sq	F value	Pr(>F
Flock	10	142.24	14.22	6.89	0.000
Sex	1	9.47	9.47	4.59	0.033
Agenum	1	17.13	17.13	8.30	0.004
$\operatorname{IGNorth}$	1	7.22	7.22	3.50	0.063
IGSouth	1	8.41	8.41	4.07	0.044
IGEast	1	1.75	1.75	0.85	0.357
IGWest	1	0.31	0.31	0.15	0.700
IntGpDens	1	58.46	58.46	28.32	0.000
Fn	1	35.39	35.39	17.14	0.000
Np	1	9.45	9.45	4.58	0.033
FollCurv	1	11.23	11.23	5.44	0.020
E-11D	1	1 66	1 00	0.06	0.19

4.66

0.90

0.32

1.42

6.27

402.60

1

1

1

1

1

195

4.66

0.90

0.32

1.42

6.27

2.06

2.26

0.43

0.16

0.69

3.04

0.1346

0.5109

0.6930

0.4079

0.0830

FollDep

IFD ist Mean

 ${\bf FollGpArea}$

 ${\bf AreaPerFoll}$

 ${\bf FollperGp}$

Residuals

Table 2: Fitted partial regression coefficients for the model of equation 1

Effect	Dp coefficient	Ds coefficient	Covariate Mean
Intercept	11.4	14.4	-
Age	-0.0307	0.0353	-
$\operatorname{IGNorth}$	0.00238	0.0000407	139.6
IGSouth	0.00142	-0.00131	128.6
IGEast	0.00118	0.00220	79.1
IGWest	0.00175	-0.00138	74.1
IntGpDens	0.00891	0.0145	86.6
Fn	-0.000453	-0.0000594	72.0
Np	0.655	0.802	2.64
FollCurv	0.257	0.236	2.66
FollDep	1.88	0.785	1.90
IFDistMean	0.0118	-0.0123	22.63
FollGpArea	0.868	0.245	0.964
FollperGp	-0.00186	-0.00156	80.1
AreaPerFoll	0.0000501	0.0001039	12064.8
Mean Diameter	16.63	18.73	-

		Table	Lable 3: Correla	tions am	$^{ m cong}$ the $^{ m c}$	ovariates used	in the full	model of eq	uation 1			
	Fn	IGNorth	IGSouth	IGEast	IGWest	IFDistMean	FollGpArea	FollperGp	IntGpDens	AreaPerFoll	FollCurv	FollDep
Fn	1.00	0.13	0.13	0.01	-0.09	-0.18	-0.43	0.39	0.76	-0.65	-0.15	-0.07
IGNorth	0.13	1.00	0.58	0.04	-0.04	-0.09	0.01	0.14	0.15	-0.09	-0.15	-0.11
IGSouth		0.58	1.00	0.00	-0.08	-0.15	0.08	0.29	0.18	-0.17	-0.17	-0.14
IGEast		0.04	0.00	1.00	0.29	0.07	0.03	0.00	0.05	-0.06	-0.00	-0.08
IGWest			-0.08	0.29	1.00	0.21	-0.02	-0.01	-0.00	0.03	0.14	-0.14
IFDistMean			-0.15	0.07	0.21	1.00	0.13	90.0-	-0.16	0.20	0.33	-0.04
FollGpArea	-0.43	0.01	0.08	0.03	-0.02	0.13	1.00	0.37	-0.57	0.50	0.14	0.03
FollperGp			0.29	0.09	-0.01	-0.06	0.37	1.00	0.48	-0.43	-0.00	-0.03
IntGpDens			0.18	0.02	-0.00	-0.16	-0.57	0.48	1.00	-0.81	-0.15	-0.06
AreaPerFoll			-0.17	-0.06	0.03	0.20	0.50	-0.43	-0.81	1.00	0.15	0.07
FollCurv			-0.17	-0.00	0.14	0.33	0.14	-0.00	-0.15	0.15	1.00	-0.06
FollDen	-0.07		-0.14	-0.08	-0.14	-0.04	0.03	-0.03	90.0-	0.07	-0.06	1.00

We see that Fn is highly correlated with IntGpDens and AreaPerFoll and that these latter 2 are also highly correlated with each other. The remaining correlations are manageable. We attack this issue with a different approach on the following sections.

5.2 Components of follicle density (Fn)

We attack the relationship of Fn with diameter by breaking Fn into components and seeing which components affect diameter. Before we do that we need an analysis regressing diameter on Fn alone.

5.2.1 Fn alone

We study Fn alone by omitting every covariate except Fn from equation 1. The fixed effects remain, but apart from that, we just fit a simple (rather than partial) regression of mean diameter on Fn. As before we do this for Dp and Ds separately.

We make one further change. We take logs of both sides of the fitted model so that we have

$$ln(D) = \mu + Flock + Sex + b_{Age}Age + b_{Fn}ln(Fn)$$
 (2)

This is done because we need to use logs in the following sections and we want logs here for comparison.

The analyses of variance for fitting $\log(\mathrm{Dp})$ and $\log(\mathrm{Ds})$ to $\log(\mathrm{Fn})$ as in model 2 as shown in Table 4 The simple regression on $\log(\mathrm{Fn})$ is highly sig-

Table 4: Analyses of variance from fitting the model specified in equation 2 with only Fn as a covariate. Note this is an analysis of log transformed data.

omy rn as a	Mean log diameter of primary fibres (Dp) Df Sum Sq Mean Sq F value Pr(>F) Flock 11 2.769 0.2518 13.845 0.0000 Sex 1 0.008 0.0081 0.444 0.506 Agenum 1 0.017 0.0174 0.958 0.328						
	Mean log diameter of primary fibres (Dp)						
	Df	Sum Sq	Mean Sq	F value	Pr(>F)		
Flock	11	2.769	0.2518	13.845	0.0000		
Sex	1	0.008	0.0081	0.444	0.506		
Agenum	1	0.017	0.0174	0.958	0.328		
$\log(Fn)$	1	0.716	0.7162	39.387	0.0000		
Residuals	324	5.892	0.0182				
	Mean log diameter of secondary fibres (Ds)						
	Df	Sum Sq	Mean Sq	F value	Pr(>F)		
Flock	11	0.6699	0.0609	9.926	0.0000		
Sex	1	0.0966	0.0966	15.746	0.000008		
Agenum	1	0.0000	0.0000	0.003	0.955		
$\log(\mathrm{Fn})$	1	0.5711	0.5711	93.088	0.0000		
Residuals	324	1.9878	0.0061				

nificant for both Dp and Ds, as in the full model. There are more degrees of

freedom in this fit, because some of the covariates used in the full model did not have complete observations. The residual degrees of freedom have increased from 195 to 324.

The R^2 value is 0.373 for Dp (R=0.611). The R^2 value is 0.402 for Dp (R=0.634). These are only slightly less than the values for the full model. Fn is practically everything when it comes to control of diameter.

The simple regression of log(Dp) on log(Fn) was -0.2183, and for log(Ds) on log(Fn) was -0.1949. So we have in effect two simple regression equations

$$log(Dp) = Mean - 0.2183 * log(Fn)$$
 (3)

$$log(Ds) = Mean - 0.1949 * log(Fn)$$
 (4)

These are probably not fsignificantly different. Density affects all follicles, regardless of origin.

- 5.2.2 Groups per unit area and follicles per group
- 5.2.3 Intra group density and trio group area ratio
- 5.3 Things other than density

6 Discussion

We have shown that mean fibre diameter is affected by follicle number per unit area (Fn) and mean secondary follicle bundle size.

So, if we are willing to assume that density variation causes diameter variation, says that 70 percent of diameter variation is globally determined, and anothe 20 percent is locally determined, and the final 10 percent is unexplained.

References

- [1] Carter, H.B. (1943) Studies in the biology of the skin and fleece of sheep. CSIRO (Aust) Bull. No. 164
- [2] Carter, H.B. (1968) Comparative Fleece Analysis Data for Domestic Sheep. The Principal Fleece Staple Values of Some Recognised Breeds. Agricultural Research Council, 1968
- [3] Chapman, R.E. (1965) The ovine arrector pili musculature and crimp formation in wool. In "Biology of the Skin and Hair Growth" Angus and Robertson, Sydney, Ed. A.G. Lyne and B.F. Short. pp 201-232
- [4] Hardy, M.H. and Lyne, A.G. (1956) The prenatal development of wool follicles in Merino sheep. Aust. J. biol. Sci. 9:423-441
- [5] Horio, M. and Kondo, T. (1953) Text. Res. J. 23:373
- [6] Jackson, N.(2017) Genetic relationship between skin and wool traits in Merino sheep. Part I Responses to selection and estimates of additive genetic parameters. URL https://github.com/nevillejackson/Fleecegenetics/tree/master/skinandfleeceparameters/ab3220/skinwool1.pdf
- [7] Jackson, N. and Watts, J.E. (2017)What is known about the genetics of wrinkle score inMerino sheep? URL https://github.com/nevillejackson/Fleecegenetics/tree/master/wrinkle/wrinkle.pdf
- [8] Jackson, N., Nay T. and Turner, Helen Newton (1975) Response to selection in Australian Merino sheep. VII Phenotypic and genetic parameters for some wool follicle characteristics and their correlation with wool and body traits. Aust. J. Agric. Res. 26:937-57
- [9] Jackson, N. and Watts, J.E. (2016) Staple crimp formation in the fleece of Merino sheep. Report available from the authors as a pdf document.
- [10] Jackson, N. and Watts J.E. (2016) Can we predict intrinsic fibre curvature from follicle curvature score? Report available from the author as a pdf document.
- [11] Jackson, N. (2015) An Overview of the R package dmm. From http://cran.r-project.org/package=dmm Or https://github.com/cran/dmm
- [12] Jackson, N. Lax, J. and Wilson, R.L.(1986) Sex and selection for fleece weight in Merino sheep. Zeitschrift fur Tierzuchtung und Zuchtungsbiologie. Bd. 103:97-115

- [13] Jackson, N. (2017) What are the defining characteristics of a primitive sheep relative to a modern Merino sheep? https://github.com/nevillejackson/atavistic-sheep/tree/master/mevrewrite/supplementary/primitive/primitive.pdf
- [14] Jackson, N. and Watts, J. E. (2018) Does follicle development affect the spatial layout of sheep skin? URL https://github.com/nevillejackson/Fleece-biology/tree/master/skinspace/skinspace.pdf
- [15] Jackson, N. and Moore, G.P.M (2018) Dynamics of pre-papilla cell numbers in sheep foetus and effect on follicle development. URL https://github.com/nevillejackson/Fleece-biology/tree/master/pre-papilla-cells/ppcell.pdf
- [16] Lynch, M. and Walsh, B. (1997) Genetics and Analysis of Quantitative Traits. Sinauer, Massachusetts, USA, 1997
- [17] Moore, G.P.M, Jackson, N. and Lax, J. (1989) Evidence of a unique developmental mechanism specifying both wool follicle density and fibre size in sheep selected for single skin and fleece characters. Genet. Res. Camb. 53:57-62
- [18] Moore, G.P.M., Jackson, N., Isaacs, K., and Brown, G (1998) J. Theoretical Biology 191:87-94
- [19] Onions, W.J. (1962) Wool: an introduction to its properties, varieties, uses and production. Ernest Benn limited, London, 1962
- [20] Rendel, J.M. and Nay, T. (1978) Selection for high and low ratio and high and low primary density in Merino sheep. Aust. J. Agric. Res. 29:1077-86
- [21] R Core Team (2013). R: A language and environment for statistical computing. R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria. ISBN 3-900051-07-0, URL http://www.R-project.org/.
- [22] Swan, P.G. (1993) Objective measurement of fibre crimp curvature and the bulk compressional properties of Australian wools. PhD Thesis, University of NSW, March 1993
- [23] Watson, N., Jackson, N. and Whiteley, K.J. (1977) Inheritance of the resistance to compression property of Austrailian Merino wool and its genetic correlation with follicle curvature and various wool and body characters. Aust. J. Agric. Res. 28:1083-94
- [24] Wolak, M.E. (2014) nadiv: an R package to create relatedness matrices for estimating non-additive genetic variances in animal models. Methods in Ecology and Evolution 3:792-796.

- [25] Turner, H.N., Dolling, C.H.S, and Kennedy, J.F. (1968) Response to selection in Australian Merino sheep. I Selection for high clean wool weight with acciling on fibre diameter and degree of skin wrinkle. Aust.J.agric.Res. 19:79-112
- [26] Turner, H.N., Hayman, R.H., Riches, J.H., Roberts, N.F., and Wilson, L.T. (1953) Physical definition of sheep and their fleece for breeding and husbandry studies, with particular reference to Merino sheep. CSIRO Aust. Div. Anim. Hlth. Prod. Divl. Rep. No 4 (Series S.W. -2)