

# Modelling the Git core system with Alloy

Cláudio Lourenço, Renato Neves  
Universidade do Minho

April 7, 2012

DRAFT

# 1 Abstract

## 2 Modelling Git Object Model

In this section we will show the specification in Alloy of the git object model. This will be mostly based on the textual specification present in [1].

Thus, we will divide this section in conditions that are specified in [1] and conditions that we didn't found explicitly in the book but that are needed for the proper functioning of git.

### 2.1 Explicit specifications

"All the information needed to represent the history of a project is stored in files referenced by a 40-digit **"object name"...**" (page 7)

```
sig Sha{}
```

"...and there are four different types of objects: "blob", "tree", "commit", and "tag". " (page 7)

```
abstract sig Object {  
    namedBy : one Sha  
}  
sig Blob extends Object{  
}  
sig Tree extends Object{  
}  
sig Commit extends Object{  
}  
sig Tag extends Object{  
}
```

"A "tree" is basically like a directory - **it references a bunch of other trees and/or blobs...**" (page 8)

```
sig Tree extends Object {  
    references : set (Tree+Blob)  
}
```

"A "commit" **points to a single tree....**" (page 8)

```
sig Commit extends Object{  
    points : one Tree  
}
```

"A "tag" is a way to **mark a specific commit...**" (page 8)

```
sig Tag extends Object{  
    marks : one Commit  
}
```

As the book [1] says, a "tree" acts like a directory, so we know that it or it's descendents cannot point to itself.

```
no ^references & iden
```

"...two "trees" have the **same SHA1 name** if and only if their **contents (including, recursively, the contents of all subdirectories) are identical.** " (page 11)

```
all t,t' : Tree |
  t.namedBy = t'.namedBy <=> t.references = t'.references
```

"What that means to us is that is virtually **impossible to find to different objects with the same name**". (page 7)

We know that there is an exception. That is, there are cases (defined above) that allow different trees to have same name.

```
namedBy.~namedBy - (Tree->Tree) in iden
```

### 2.1.1 Commit structure

Again from [1].

"As you can see,a commit is defined by : ...

parent(s) : **The SHA1 name of some number of commits wich represent the immediately previous step(s) in the history of the project.** The example above has one parent; merge commits may have more than one. A commit with no parents is called a "root" commit, and represents the initial revision of a project. **Each project must have at least one root. A project can also have multiple roots, though that isn't common (or necessarily a good idea).** (page 12)

```
sig Commit extends Object{
  points : one Tree,
  parent : set Commit
}
fact {
  no ^parent & iden
}
```

## 2.2 What we assume for the proper functioning of git

A commit must point only root trees, unless we want losses of information.

```
no Commit.points & Tree.references
```

We can't have orphan Blobs, or else, there is the possibility for garbage data.

```
Blob in Tree.references
```

The same for Trees.

```
Tree in Tree.references + Commit.points
```

This is only appears because we don't need names that don't belong to someone, in the git system.

```
Sha in Object.namedBy
```

We can't have the same tree shared by commits. Git doesn't work that way ("it stores a snapshot of what all the files in your project looks like..." [1] (page 7)).

```
points.~points in iden
```

A tree has at most one parent. If this is not true there is inconsistency in the "filesystem".

```
references.(iden & (Tree->Tree)).~references in iden
```

### 3 Conclusions

### References

- [1] *Git Community Book*.

DRAFT