Carrying Out Error Analysis

Clook at dev examples to evaluate Tleas?

90% accuracy 10% error Should you try to make your cat classifier do better on dogs? I focus on the dog twoller ?

Error analysis: > 5~10 min

5% 5/100

is much higher.

F. Get NOO MISAbleled der Set examples.

10%, 2 evor

[not effictive)

· Count up how many are dogs.

9.5% evor

v) effective

"ceiling" whatis in the best case how well could working on the dog problem help you

"(error analysis": effictive to decide what is the most important thing ! what to focus.

CEvaluate multiple ideas in parallel?

Ideas for cat betection:

- Fix picture or dogs being recognized as cats =
- Fix great costs (1700s, panthers, etc..) being misrecognized

| U | \sim | | | • | - | _ | • |
|---|-----------|-----------|-------------|----------------------------|------------|-----------------|------------------|
| -Improve perform | ance on | blurry in | nages. | | | بل | |
| Snaw the table | 0 | Image | Dog | Cats | Blumy | Insta - gram | Comments |
| | 1 | 1 | > | | | ~ | Pithull |
| \06 |) | 2 | | | √ | ✓ | , , |
| | | 3 | | ٧ | V | | Rainy day at 200 |
| CICHA CA AC (ADIA) | V | : | : | \(\frac{1}{2}\) | ÷ | | i willing and a |
| estimate of how | ./. | of total | &1. | (43.1.) | (61.4) | (2·[. | |
| worthwhile it might be to work on each of | • | | | M. | 4 | | |
| these different categ | jories of | emors. | | ceiti | ng of data | X. | |
| | | | | | | | |

Cleaning up Incorrectly labeled data

Incorrectly labeled data > fix it!

(Incorrectly labeled examples) incorrect label. dog Should be 0 but get wrong

Training set

DL Algorithms are quite robust to random errors in the training set.

Systematic errors: less robust. ~

| LError Analysis7 | | | 7 | |
|--|-------------|--------|------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| • | ireat Cat | Bluny | Incorrectly labeled | Comments |
| a 8 | ı | | \bigcirc | Labeler missed Cal in background |
| 100 | 7 | | | brawing of a cod; Not a real cat. |
| 1. of total 8% | 43.1. | 61.1 | ر <u>6 ا</u> . | |
| overall dev set error Errors dul incorrect labels | 10% 0-6% | imall. | | 21. (0.6/1.) 201/ Wigner |
| Errors due to other cause: | 9.4.1.4 | | | (.4.1, fraction |
| | socus on | | | fix ok |

Fixing

fixing it is not the most incorrect right how (0.6%- very small)

> Chool of der set is to help you select between two classifiers A&B.

if it makes a significant difference to your ability to evaluate agorithms on your dev set, then go ahead and spend the time to fix incorrect labels. Loesn't make a significant difference a not be the best use of your time

(Correcting theores dev/test set examples)

- · Apply same process to your dev and test sets to make sure they continue to come from the same distribution.
- Consider examining examples your algorithm got right as well?

 as ones it got wrong. 1:1.

 4 Loesn't always done. Just something to consider
- · Train and dev/test dater may now come from slightly different distributions.

Build First System Quickly, Then Iterate

- Set up dev I test set and metric @ Ret up a target
- · build initial system quickly
- · Use bias /variance analysis & Error analysis to prioritize next steps.