## Universal Algebra Week 1

James Newman Winter 2019

**Theorem.** If L is a distributive lattice then the set of ideals of L is a distributive lattice.

*Proof.* Assume L is a distributive lattice. Recall that an ideal of the lattice L is a non-empty, lower set of L, closed under  $\vee$ . We know from a previous exercise that the set ideals of L form a lattice with  $X \wedge Y = X \cap Y$  and  $X \vee Y = \{a \in L \mid a \leq x \vee y \text{ for some } x \in X \text{ and } y \in Y\}, \text{ and that } X \leq Y$ means  $X \subseteq Y$  for all ideals X and Y of L. Take any ideals X, Y, and Z of L. To prove the lattice of ideals of L is distributive, it is sufficient to prove the inequality  $X \wedge (Y \vee X) \leq (X \wedge Y) \vee (X \wedge Z)$ . Assume  $x \in X \wedge (Y \vee Z)$ . Then  $x \in X$  and  $x \in Y \vee Z$ . So by definition of  $Y \vee Z$ ,  $x \leq y \vee z$  for some  $y \in Y$  and  $z \in Z$ . Then  $x = x \wedge (y \vee z)$  by using the lattice definition of  $\leq$ . Then  $x = (x \wedge y) \vee (x \wedge z)$  because L is a distributive lattice. So clearly  $x \leq (x \wedge y) \vee (x \wedge z)$ . Since  $x \wedge y \in L$ ,  $x \in X$ ,  $x \wedge y \leq x$ , and X is a lower set, it must be that  $x \land y \in X$ . Similarly  $x \land y \in Y$ , and thus  $x \land y \in X \land Y$ . The same argument shows that  $x \wedge z \in X \wedge Z$ . Then we have that  $x \leq (x \wedge y) \vee (x \wedge z)$ where  $x \wedge y \in X \wedge Y$  and  $x \wedge z \in X \wedge Z$ . So by definition,  $x \in (X \wedge Y) \vee (X \wedge Z)$ . Therefore  $X \wedge (Y \vee Z) \subseteq (X \wedge Y) \vee (X \wedge Z)$ , that is the inequality is true and the lattice of ideals of the distributive lattice L is itself distributive.