Student Names and IDs:

- Newton Kwan, nk150
- Joyce Choi, jc515
- Ashka Stephen, aas74

Homework 8

Part 1: Classifying Digit Images

```
In [84]: from sklearn import datasets
         from sklearn.model selection import train test split
         digits = datasets.load_digits()
         NData = len(digits.images)
         data = {'x': digits.images.reshape((NData, -1)), 'y': digits.target}
         testFraction = 0.6
         T, S = \{\}, \{\}
         T['x'], S['x'], T['y'], S['y'] = train_test_split(data['x'], data['y'],
          test size=testFraction, random state=0)
         NT, NS = len(T['y']), len(S['y'])
         def evaluate(h, T, S, name):
             def errorRate(h, S):
                 x, y = S['x'], S['y']
                 return (1 - h.score(x, y)) * 100
             f = '{:s}: training error rate is {:.2f}, test error rate is {:.2f}'
             err = (errorRate(h, T), errorRate(h, S), name)
             print(f.format(name, err[0], err[1]))
             return err
```

Problem 1.1

Assuming that all digits appear with equal frequency in the data, what is the expected error rate (in percent) of a classifier that ignores the input and guesses the output uniformly and at random? Justify your answer with a very brief sentence.

Expected error rate of a classifier that ignores input and guesses uniformly at random is:

error =
$$1 - (1/K)$$

where K is the number of classes. Each number is guessed from a uniform probability distribution or 1/K number of times for sufficiently large number of guesses. In this specific example, the error rate is 90%.

Problem 1.2

Train a sklearn.tree.DecisionTreeClassifier. Show your code and report training and test error rates.

Answer

```
In [86]: d_trees = information(DecisionTreeClassifier, T, S, random_state = 0)
The training error rate is 0.00 %
The test error rate is 20.11 %
```

Problem 1.3

Use GridSearchCV to find a good number of trees for a sklearn.ensemble.RandomForestClassifier by 10-fold cross-validation. Show your code and report (i) the number of trees in the forest you end up choosing, (ii) the training and test error rates, and (iii) the out-of-bag error rate estimate.

```
In [108]: from sklearn.model selection import GridSearchCV
          from sklearn.ensemble import RandomForestClassifier
          K = 10  # K-fold cross-validation
          # create dictionary of hyper parameters
          param grid = {}
          param grid['random state'] = [0]
          param_grid['n_estimators'] = range(100, 500, 100)
          #print(param grid) # print out parameters as sanity check
In [109]: # Perform cross validation for K = 10
          rforest clf = GridSearchCV(RandomForestClassifier(), param grid, scoring
          = 'accuracy', cv = K)
          rforest_clf.fit(T['x'], T['y'])
          hyp_params = rforest_clf.cv_results_['params']
                                                                  # list of dicti
          onaries of hyper parameters
          test_scores = rforest_clf.cv_results_['mean_test_score'] # list of test
           scores (whose index corresponds to hyp params)
          best params = rforest clf.best params
                                                                   # gives the bes
          t paramters found by GridSearchCV
In [110]: # Retrain on T with best hyper parameters
          best n estimators = best params['n estimators'] # best hyper paramter n
          estimators from clf
          new rforest clf = RandomForestClassifier(n estimators = best n estimator
          s, random state=0, oob_score = True).fit(T['x'], T['y'])
          new rforest train risk = new_rforest_clf.score(T['x'], T['y']) # trainin
          q accuracy
          new rforest test risk = new rforest clf.score(S['x'], S['y']) # test acc
          print("The number of trees in the forest:", best_n_estimators)
          print("The training error rate is is {:.2f}".format(100 - new rforest tr
          ain risk*100), "%")
          print("The test error rate is {:.2f}".format(100 - new rforest test risk
          *100), "%")
          print("The out-of-bag error rate is {:.2f}".format(100 - new_rforest_clf
          .oob score *100), "%")
          The number of trees in the forest: 100
          The training error rate is is 0.00 %
          The test error rate is 3.61 %
```

Problem 1.4

Train a sklearn.linear_model.LogisticRegression classifier. Show your code and report training and test error rates.

The out-of-bag error rate is 5.29 %

Problem 1.5

Use GridSearchCV to find a good number of neighbors for a sklearn.neighbors.KNeighborsClassifier by 10-fold cross-validation. Show your code and report (i) the number of neighbors you end up choosing, and (ii) the training and test error rates.

Programming Note

Neighbors are in the single digits. No point in trying dozens of neighbors.

The test error rate is 4.45 %

```
In [111]: from sklearn.neighbors import KNeighborsClassifier
   K = 10  # K-fold cross-validation
   # create dictionary of hyper parameters
   param_grid = {}
   param_grid['n_neighbors'] = range(1, 10, 1)

#print(param_grid) # print out parameters as sanity check
```

```
In [112]: # Perform cross validation for K = 10
knn_clf = GridSearchCV(KNeighborsClassifier(), param_grid, cv = K)
knn_clf.fit(T['x'], T['y'])
hyp_params = knn_clf.cv_results_['params']  # list of dictionar
ies of hyper parameters
test_scores = knn_clf.cv_results_['mean_test_score'] # list of test scor
es (whose index corresponds to hyp_params)
best_params = knn_clf.best_params_  # gives the best pa
ramters found by GridSearchCV
```

```
The number of neighbors: 1
The training error rate is is 0.00 %
The test error rate is 1.58 %
```

k-NN overfit rate: 1.58 %

Problem 1.6

Let us define the *overfit rate* of a classifier as the difference between testing error and training error, both expressed as percentages. Write code that prints out the overfit rates for the tree, forest, linear classifier, and k-NN classifier, in this order, one per line. Your printout should say which is which.

Write code that prints out the overfit rates for the tree, forest, linear classifier, and k-NN classifier,

Problem 1.7

Discuss briefly the results you obtained, by answering the following questions:

- · Which classifier works best on this data set?
- Why doesn't everyone always use the best-performing classifier for a problem like this?
- Do random forests reduce overfitting when compared with decision trees?
- In what ways is a linear classifier more convenient than algorithms that may perform better, when optimal performance is not crucial?
- For all but one of the classifiers used, a zero training error rate is no surprise. Which is the odd-manout, and why?

Answer

- The k-NN classifier works the best with test error rate of 1.58%.
- We don't always use the best-performing classifier because it depends on the dataset. Sometimes the
 best performing classifier might not generalize well enough. It could also be because we want to tradeoff accuracy for time to have a classifier that's faster and "good enough".
- Yes, random forest reduce overfitting when compared with decision trees. We see a reduction in the overfit rate from 20.11% to 3.61%
- Linear classifiers generalize well to other problems, which might be preferable to having a very specific, complex model. It also could have faster calculations than a more complex classifier.
- We expect 0% for decision trees, k-nearest, and random forests. Therefore we are surprised that logistic regression is able to achieve 0% error because that means the decision region is convex (aka the data is linearly separable). This does not happen too often (dataset dependent), especially as we get into higher dimensions.

Part 2: Closed Convex Polyhedral Cones

Problem 2.1

Write a function with header def parametric(a): that takes a list a with the vectors of an implicit representation of a CCP cone on the plane and returns a list with the generators of the parametric representation.

Show your code in a new code cell under the *Answer* header below. The tests after that will draw your results if your code has no bugs. Of course, you need to make sure that the drawings make sense.

```
In [99]: import numpy as np
         import math
         def parametric(1):
             # edge 1: check if superimposed or only one vector
             if (len(l)==1) or (checkNormal(l)):
                 for eachPoint in 1:
                      generated = generatePoints(eachPoint[0],eachPoint[1])
                 generated.append(1[0])
                 # print(" - final result: ", removeDuplicates(generated))
                 return (removeDuplicates(generated))
             #edge 2: check if pointing opposite
             opposite = list([-1[0][0], -1[0][1]])
             if (checkNormal([opposite,l[1]])):
                 for eachPoint in 1:
                      generated = generatePoints(eachPoint[0],eachPoint[1])
                 # print(" - final result: ", removeDuplicates(generated))
                 return (removeDuplicates(generated))
             saved = []
             for eachPoint in 1:
                 generated = generatePoints(eachPoint[0],eachPoint[1])
                 for eachR in generated:
                      if (checkDotProd(eachR,1)):
                          saved.append(eachR)
             # print(" - final result: ", removeDuplicates(saved))
             return saved
         def removeDuplicates(1):
             final list = []
             for point in 1:
                 if point not in final list:
                     final_list.append(point)
             return final list
          , , ,
         check if two vectors have the same normal
         def checkNormal(1):
             list0 = list(l[0])
             list1 = list(l[1])
             len0 = math.sqrt(list0[0]**2 + list0[1]**2)
             len1 = math.sqrt(list1[0]**2 + list1[1]**2)
             newList0 = [x / len0 for x in list0]
             newList1 = [x / len1 for x in list1]
             return (newList0 == newList1)
          , , ,
           given an x and y - output list of orthogonal
         def generatePoints(x,y):
```

```
return [(-y,x),(y,-x)]

output the list of points that satisfy all constraints w dot product che
ck
given an r vector (testR) and a list of all a's to compare (1)

'''

def checkDotProd(testR, 1):
    for each in 1:
        if np.dot(testR, each) < 0:
            # should not happen
            return False
return True</pre>
```

```
In [100]: ### Tests; Your code should be in a separate code cell above this one
          %matplotlib inline
          tests = [[(0, 1), (1, 0)],
                   [(1, 2), (2, 1)],
                   [(1, 2), (-1, 0)],
                   [(1, 1), (-1, -1)],
                   [(0, 1)]
          def vectorString(a):
              return '{' + ', '.join(['(' + ', '.join([str(b[i]) \
                   for i in range(len(b))]) + ')' for b in a]) + '}'
          import matplotlib.pyplot as plt
          def draw(a, p, k):
              plt.subplot(2, 3, k)
              for b in a:
                  plt.quiver(0, 0, b[0], b[1], color='r', units='width', scale=5)
              for q in p:
                  plt.quiver(0, 0, q[0], q[1], color='b', units='width', scale=5)
              plt.axis('equal')
              plt.axis('off')
              plt.xlim([-1, 1])
              plt.ylim([-1, 1])
          try:
              plt.figure()
              k = 1
              for a in tests:
                  p = parametric(a)
                  print('implicit:', vectorString(a), '; parametric: ', vectorStri
          ng(p), sep='')
                  draw(a, p, k)
                  k += 1
              plt.show()
          except NameError:
              pass
```

```
implicit:{(0, 1), (1, 0)}; parametric: {(1, 0), (0, 1)}
implicit:{(1, 2), (2, 1)}; parametric: {(2, -1), (-1, 2)}
implicit:{(1, 2), (-1, 0)}; parametric: {(-2, 1), (0, 1)}
implicit:{(1, 1), (-1, -1)}; parametric: {(1, -1), (-1, 1)}
implicit:{(0, 1)}; parametric: {(-1, 0), (1, 0), (0, 1)}
```

Problem 2.2

Write a function with header def implicit(p): that takes a list p with the generators of a parametric representation of a CCP cone on the plane and returns a list with the vectors for the implicit representation.

Show your code in a code cell under the *Answer* header below. The tests after that will draw your results if your code has no bugs. Of course, you need to make sure that the drawings make sense.

```
In [101]: def implicit(1):
              # edge 1: check if superimposed or only one vector
              if (len(1)==1) or (checkNormal(1)):
                  for eachPoint in 1:
                      generated = generatePoints(eachPoint[0],eachPoint[1])
                  generated.append(1[0])
                  # print(" - final result: ", removeDuplicates(generated))
                  return (removeDuplicates(generated))
              #edge 2: check if pointing opposite
              opposite = list([-1[0][0], -1[0][1]])
              if (checkNormal([opposite,l[1]])):
                  for eachPoint in 1:
                      generated = generatePoints(eachPoint[0],eachPoint[1])
                  # print(" - final result: ", removeDuplicates(generated))
                  return (removeDuplicates(generated))
              saved = []
              for eachPoint in 1:
                  generated = generatePoints(eachPoint[0],eachPoint[1])
                  for eachR in generated:
                      if (checkDotProd(eachR,1)):
                          saved.append(eachR)
              # print(" - final result: ", removeDuplicates(saved))
              return saved
```

```
In [102]: ### Tests; Your code should be in a separate code cell above this one

try:
    plt.figure()
    k = 1
    for p in tests:
        a = implicit(p)
        print('parametric: ', vectorString(p), '; implicit:', vectorString(a), sep='')
        draw(a, p, k)
        k += 1
        plt.show()
    except NameError:
    pass
```

```
parametric: \{(0, 1), (1, 0)\}; implicit:\{(1, 0), (0, 1)\} parametric: \{(1, 2), (2, 1)\}; implicit:\{(2, -1), (-1, 2)\} parametric: \{(1, 2), (-1, 0)\}; implicit:\{(-2, 1), (0, 1)\} parametric: \{(1, 1), (-1, -1)\}; implicit:\{(1, -1), (-1, 1)\} parametric: \{(0, 1)\}; implicit:\{(-1, 0), (1, 0), (0, 1)\}
```





Problem 2.3

Let

$$P = \{ \mathbf{u} \in \mathbb{R}^2 : \mathbf{a}_1^T \mathbf{u} \ge 0 \text{ and } \mathbf{a}_2^T \mathbf{u} \ge 0 \}$$

where

$$\mathbf{a}_1^T = (0, 1)$$
 and $\mathbf{a}_2^T = (-2, 1)$.

What is the *implicit* (not parametric!) representation of the dual D of P?

Hint: The previous problems may help.

```
In [107]: answer = implicit([(0, 1), (-2, 1)])
    print("The implicit representation of the dual D of P is al =",answer[0], "and a2 =",answer[1])

The implicit representation of the dual D of P is al = (-1, 0) and a2 = (1, 2)
```

Part 3: Convex Programs

Problem 3.1

Use the definition of function convexity to prove that the function

$$f(u, v) = u + v$$

is (weakly) convex in the vector $\mathbf{u} = (u, v)$.

Answer

f is convex is for every $\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{R}^m$ and $t \in [0, 1]$ the following inequality holds

$$f(t\mathbf{u} + (1-t)\mathbf{v}) \le tf(\mathbf{u}) + (1-t)f(\mathbf{v})$$

Let $\mathbf{u} = (u, v)$ and $\mathbf{v} = (u_2, v_2)$. Showing that the left side of the inequality is equivalent to the right side of the inequality will be sufficient proof that f is weakly convex.

Starting with the left side of the inequality,

$$f(t\mathbf{u} + (1-t)\mathbf{v}) = f(t\begin{bmatrix} u \\ v \end{bmatrix} + (1-t)\begin{bmatrix} u_2 \\ v_2 \end{bmatrix}) = f(\begin{bmatrix} tu + u_2 - tu_2 \\ tv + v_2 - tv_2 \end{bmatrix}) = t(u - u_2 + v - v_2) + u_2 + v_2$$

Now expanding the right side of the inequality,

$$tf(\mathbf{u}) + (1-t)f(\mathbf{v}) = t(u+v) + (1-t)(u_2+v_2) = tu - tu_2 + tv - tv_2 + u_2 + v_2 = t(u+-u_2+v-v_2) + t(u+v) + (1-t)(u_2+v_2) = t(u+v) + (1-t)(u_2+v_2) = t(u+v) + t(u+v$$

Both sides of the inequality are equal, which is sufficient to prove that f is weakly convex.

Problem 3.2

Use the KKT conditions (1) and (2) to write expressions for α_1 and α_2 in terms of u_1 , a_1 , u_2 , a_2 .

Answer

$$\alpha_1 = 2(u_1 - a_1)$$

 $\alpha_2 = 2(u_2 - a_2)$

Problem 3.3

For $\mathbf{a}=\mathbf{a}_1$ (given in the preamble), compute the values of the Lagrange multipliers α_1 and α_2 for each of the four test points using the expressions you found in Problem 3.2, and check which of the test points, if any, satisfies the remaining KKT conditions (5), (6), (7). Based on this check, is any of the four test points a solution to the convex program? If so, which?

Your answer should just

- state which of the four points solve(s) the convex program,
- list the corresponding values of α_1 and α_2 , and
- give the active set \mathcal{A} for the solution.

If there is no solution, so state.

Answer

- **v**₄ solves the convex program
- $\alpha_1 = 0; \alpha_2 = 0$
- $A = \{\}$ is the active set for the solution

Problem 3.4

Same as Problem 3.3, but for $\mathbf{a} = \mathbf{a}_2$.

- $\bullet \ \ v_2 \ \text{solves the convex program}$
- $\alpha_1 = 2$; $\alpha_2 = 0$
- $A = \{1\}$ is the active set for the solution

Problem 3.5

Same as Problem 3.3, but for $a=a_3$.

- ullet v_1 solves the convex program
- $\alpha_1 = 2$; $\alpha_2 = 4$
- $A = \{1,2\}$ is the active set for the solution