

2026 EDITION

# The **Agentic CRM** Playbook for SMEs

A practical end-to-end system to discover prospects,  
warm them across channels, capture every  
touchpoint, and convert reliably — with full  
traceability.

**10+**

TOUCHPOINTS TRACKED

**24/7**

LEAD CAPTURE

**14–90**

DAY ROADMAP

Web scan prospecting

LinkedIn warm-up

Email sequences

WhatsApp + webchat

Website CTA attribution

Single lead timeline

# What's Inside

---

1	<b>The Modern SME CRM Problem</b>	03
	Why leads leak (and why "more leads" doesn't fix it)	
2	<b>The Lead-to-Revenue Operating Model</b>	04
	One pipeline, one timeline, one next action	
3	<b>Acquisition Engines</b>	05
	Web scan + LinkedIn sourcing into a clean intake	
4	<b>Warming System</b>	06
	LinkedIn engagement as measurable trust signals	
5	<b>Conversion System</b>	07
	Email sequences + CTAs + response SLAs	
6	<b>Touchpoint Tracking</b>	08
	What to capture: events, timestamps, ownership	
7	<b>Scoring + Routing</b>	09
	When to nurture vs. escalate to human	
8	<b>Dashboards + Attribution</b>	10
	Know what actually creates booked calls	
9	<b>Implementation Roadmap</b>	11
	14/30/60/90 day rollout for SMEs	
10	<b>Readiness Checklist</b>	12
	Process, data, tools, and governance	

## How to use this playbook

Start with Chapters 2 and 6 if you want clarity fast: define the operating model, then lock touchpoint tracking. Everything else becomes straightforward once your timeline is reliable.

# The modern SME CRM problem

Most SMEs don't fail because they lack leads. They fail because **leads leak** across disconnected touchpoints: LinkedIn comments, DMs, email opens, WhatsApp messages, webchat enquiries, and website forms.

When those signals don't land in one place, teams default to guesswork: "Who should we follow up?", "Which message worked?", and "Why did this deal go quiet?"

## SYMPTOMS

- ✗ Follow-up depends on memory
- ✗ Sales conversations happen in chat apps
- ✗ No consistent next action
- ✗ No attribution across channels
- ✗ Pipeline looks healthy until it's not

## WHAT "GOOD" LOOKS LIKE

- ✓ Every lead has a timeline
- ✓ Every touchpoint is timestamped
- ✓ Every stage has an owner
- ✓ Every channel has an SLA
- ✓ Booked calls become predictable

**< 5 min**

Target response for webchat /  
inbound enquiries

**< 2 hrs**

Target response for LinkedIn DMs /  
WhatsApp

**1**

Timeline per lead (single source of  
truth)

## The core rule

**If it's not in the timeline, it didn't happen.** The timeline is where execution becomes reliable: follow-ups, routing, attribution, and forecasting all depend on it.

## Where leakage happens (common)

- **LinkedIn engagement** happens, but nobody records what the prospect reacted to.
- **Playbook downloads** capture emails, but the CRM never links the email back to a LinkedIn profile.
- **Email opens/clicks** are visible in email tools, but not in the lead's timeline where sales works.
- **WhatsApp/webchat** conversations stay in chat apps and never become pipeline objects.
- **Website CTAs** are clicked, but you can't trace which post/sequence triggered it.

## Outcome to aim for

For every booked call, you should be able to answer in 30 seconds: **source** → **trust signals** → **nurture** → **conversion** (with timestamps).

# The lead-to-revenue operating model

This playbook is a **client-facing blueprint** based on what we implement in Nexius systems: a lead-to-revenue operating model that is simple enough for SMEs, but strict enough to scale.

## Design principle

**One pipeline, one owner per stage, one next action.** No orphaned leads, no “we’ll follow up later”.

## Recommended pipeline stages

Prospect

Lead

MQL

SQL

Call booked

Proposal

Closed won

Closed lost

## Stage definitions (simple)

- **Prospect:** identified target; no two-way interaction yet.
- **Lead:** known identity (email and/or LinkedIn URL) captured.
- **MQL:** shows engagement (download/click/reply/DM) that matches your ICP.
- **SQL:** confirmed need + time window, or explicitly asked for help.
- **Call booked:** meeting scheduled; prep notes captured in timeline.

## One next action rule

Every lead should have exactly one “next action” with an owner and a due date (even if it’s “continue nurture”). This prevents invisible stagnation.

## MAP → SIMPLIFY → AUTOMATE

### 1 MAP touchpoints + handoffs

List every inbound and outbound touchpoint (LinkedIn, email, WhatsApp, webchat, website CTA). Define what counts as a “signal”.

### 2 SIMPLIFY the rules

Define next-action rules per stage: who owns it, how fast to respond, and what “done” means.

### 3 AUTOMATE capture + routing

Automate event logging (opens/clicks/replies, inbound chats), lead scoring, and task assignment — but keep approvals for high-risk steps.

# Acquisition engines: web scan + LinkedIn

We treat acquisition as two engines feeding the same intake. The rule is simple: **no intake, no follow-up**. If a prospect can't be uniquely identified, the system will duplicate work and lose context.

## ENGINE A

### Web scan prospecting

Discover companies using directories, job posts, keyword scans, and intent signals. Output a shortlist with enrichment fields and clear ICP tags.

## ENGINE B

### LinkedIn prospecting

Build a daily shortlist from filters. Connect, engage, and start conversations only after you've created measurable trust signals.

## Minimum intake schema (must-have fields)

**Person:** name, role, LinkedIn URL. **Company:** name, domain, size/industry. **Source:** web scan or LinkedIn. **Tags:** ICP segment + intent notes. **Owner:** who is responsible for the next action.

## Deduping keys (recommended)

- **Primary:** email (lowercased) when available.
- **Secondary:** LinkedIn profile URL (canonicalized) if email is unknown.
- **Company match:** domain as the stable identifier (avoid company name variants).

## Why this matters

Without deduping, the same prospect appears as multiple "leads", each with partial context — and follow-up becomes random.

## ICP fit checklist (quick)

- **Complex operations:** multiple handoffs (sales → ops → finance) and recurring exceptions.
- **System sprawl:** spreadsheets + CRM + accounting + WhatsApp + email without a single timeline.
- **High value per lead:** one booked call can justify disciplined follow-up.
- **Signals exist:** they engage on LinkedIn or respond to email (not purely cold lists).

## Daily acquisition operating loop

### 1 Source

Generate a shortlist (web scan + LinkedIn) with consistent tags and owner.

### 2 Enrich

Capture domain, role, and the "why now" note (job post, expansion, tool change, pain indicator).

### 3 Queue

Assign next action (connect, comment, asset share) with a due date — no orphaned prospects.

## Warming system: engagement → trust signals

LinkedIn is not just “top of funnel”. Done properly, it becomes a measurable warming system. The goal is to convert anonymous awareness into known identity and intent — without sounding like outreach.

### Trust signals to capture (examples)

Profile view

Connection accepted

Comment replied

DM started

Post engaged

Playbook clicked

#### COLD OUTREACH

- ✗ No context
- ✗ Low reply rates
- ✗ Feels salesy
- ✗ Hard to attribute

#### SIGNAL-LED OUTREACH

- ✓ Engagement history
- ✓ Higher intent
- ✓ Natural conversations
- ✓ Traceable path to booked call

#### Operational rule

**No DM pitch until signals exist** (or explicit inbound intent). The timeline should show why you reached out: what they engaged with, and when.

### Suggested warm-up sequence (example)

- **Day 0:** connect + 1 relevant comment (log the post URL).
- **Day 1–3:** react/comment on 2 posts + one short DM only if they reply.
- **Day 4–7:** share an asset (playbook/case study) only if there's engagement.
- **Day 7+:** move to a call when they show intent (reply/click/inbound question).

#### What to log (minimum)

Engagement type, timestamp, and the content context (which post or message). This makes follow-up coherent and prevents “random” outreach.

### Engagement logging template (fast)

- **Context:** post/topic (URL or short title)
- **Action:** like/comment/DM + what was said (1 line summary)
- **Signal:** did they reply/click/ask a question?
- **Next:** one next action + due date

#### Guardrails (to avoid spam)

Limit outreach frequency. Don't send multiple DMs without a response. If there's no signal after 2–3 interactions, move to nurture (content + periodic check-ins).

## Conversion system: email + CTA paths

Conversion is multi-path. A lead might download your playbook, reply to an email, DM you, then ask a question on WhatsApp. Your system must join those touchpoints into one narrative.

### PATH 1

#### LinkedIn → Playbook (gated) → Email sequence

Capture email, tag the source, start nurture automatically, and log opens/clicks/replies back into the CRM timeline.

### PATH 2

#### Website CTA → Form/webchat/WhatsApp

Inbound enquiries create a lead immediately, assign an owner, and enforce response SLAs with reminders.

### Sequence readiness gates (recommended)

Don't send sequences blindly. Use simple gates: valid business email, ICP match, no recent outreach, and a minimum score threshold. Log why each sequence started.

## Touchpoints to capture from email

- **Open:** good for awareness, but weak intent alone.
- **Click:** stronger intent — log which link they clicked (playbook, booking, case study).
- **Reply:** highest intent — auto-escalate to human follow-up.
- **Unsubscribe:** update lead preference and stop sequences.

### SLA suggestion

If a lead **replies** or sends inbound chat, treat it as time-sensitive: reply within 2 hours during business hours.

## Asset map (what each CTA is for)

- **Playbook:** capture email + start nurture; best for "interested but not ready".
- **Case study:** prove credibility; best for "evaluating vendors".
- **Booking link:** convert intent into a meeting; best for "ready to talk".

## Common failure modes (and fixes)

- **Sequence runs too early:** add readiness gates + score threshold.
- **Clicks don't route:** click should create a task when it crosses intent threshold.
- **Chat enquiries get lost:** inbound chat must create a lead + owner automatically.

# Touchpoint tracking: events + timeline

Tracking is not “analytics”. It’s operational memory. A timeline answers four questions for every lead: **What happened? When? Who owns next? Why?**

## Event types (minimum viable)

### LINKEDIN

`connect_sent`, `connect_accepted`, `comment_left`,  
`dm_sent`, `dm_reply`, `post_engaged`

### EMAIL

`sent`, `delivered`, `open`, `click`, `reply`, `unsubscribe`

### WEBSITE

`form_submit`, `playbook_download`, `booking_click`,  
`key_page_view`

### CHAT

`whatsapp_inbound`, `webchat_inbound`, `resolved`,  
`escalated`

### Non-negotiable

Every event must have: lead id, timestamp, channel, and (when human) owner. This is what makes automation safe — and what makes sales follow-up reliable.

## What a good timeline looks like (example)

- 2026-02-18 10:12 — LinkedIn: connection accepted
- 2026-02-18 10:20 — LinkedIn: commented on “Agentic ERP” post (URL logged)
- 2026-02-18 10:45 — Website: playbook download (UTM: linkedin / featured)
- 2026-02-18 10:46 — Email: sequence started (reason: download + ICP match)
- 2026-02-18 12:05 — Email: clicked booking link → score threshold crossed
- 2026-02-18 12:07 — Task created: human follow-up due in 2 hours

## Event payload fields (minimum)

- **who:** lead id, owner (if human)
- **what:** event type + short summary
- **where:** channel + URL (if applicable)
- **when:** timestamp (store UTC + local display)
- **why:** reason code (e.g., “download”, “reply”, “manual follow-up”)

### Data hygiene tip

Always normalize emails and LinkedIn URLs before writing events. Small inconsistencies create duplicates and break attribution later.



## Scoring + routing: nurture vs escalate

Once your events are reliable, scoring becomes simple. You're not building a complex ML model — you're creating a consistent escalation rule so hot leads don't wait.

### Example scoring signals



#### High intent

Inbound WhatsApp/webchat, booking click, email reply, repeated page views.



#### Medium intent

Playbook download, email click, LinkedIn DM started, multiple post engagements.



#### Low intent

Single open only, one-off engagement without follow-through.

### Routing rules (simple)

**Hot:** create a task for human follow-up within 2 hours. **Warm:** continue nurture and set next action date.  
**Cold:** drip content + re-check monthly.

### Escalation triggers (recommended)

- Email reply (any)
- Booking link click (with repeat visits)
- Inbound WhatsApp/webchat enquiry
- Multiple high-signal events inside 48 hours (download + click + DM)

### Simple scoring bands (example)

#### WARM (NURTURE)

- ✗ Playbook download
- ✗ Email open + one click
- ✗ LinkedIn engagement without questions

#### HOT (ESCALATE)

- ✓ Email reply with problem context
- ✓ Inbound WhatsApp/webchat
- ✓ Booking click + repeated visits

### Operational goal

Hot leads should never wait. The system exists to **move human attention** to the right place at the right time.

# Dashboards + attribution

Attribution is only possible when touchpoints are connected. Your dashboard should answer one question:

**What reliably produces booked calls and closed-won?**

## CHANNEL PERFORMANCE

Leads by source, MQL rate, SQL rate, cost per booked call.

## SPEED

Median response time by channel, SLA breaches, follow-up lag.

## SEQUENCE OUTCOMES

Open rate, click rate, reply rate, and time-to-reply by segment.

## PIPELINE HEALTH

Stage conversion, aging, and next-action compliance.

## Practical KPI set

Track outcomes first (booked calls, proposals, closed-won). Then track the controllables (response time, follow-up lag, and high-intent events captured).

## Attribution fields to standardize

- **utm\_source**, **utm\_medium**, **utm\_campaign** (minimum)
- **content id** (post id / email id / asset id)
- **first touch** vs **last touch** (don't mix them)

## Why this matters

When a lead books a call, you can identify the assets and touchpoints that created the conversion — and scale what works.

## Weekly operating rhythm (recommended)

- **Mon:** review sources → double down on channels producing booked calls.
- **Wed:** review response SLAs and follow-up lag (fix leakage).
- **Fri:** review pipeline aging + next actions (no stalled deals).

## Funnel metrics worth tracking

### Lead → MQL

Are we attracting the right prospects?

### MQL → SQL

Are we creating intent?

### SQL → Call

Is follow-up fast and clear?

# Implementation roadmap (14 / 30 / 60 / 90 days)

This rollout is designed for SMEs: start with the minimum system that prevents leakage, then deepen automation once the timeline is trustworthy.

## ● Days 0–14

### Define the operating model

**Goal:** eliminate ambiguity so leads don't leak.

**Do:** finalize pipeline stages + owner per stage, response SLAs (LinkedIn/email/chat), and the lead schema (email, LinkedIn URL, domain, tags, source).

**Standardize:** event taxonomy across channels (what counts as an "event", required fields, and naming).

**Output:** a one-page operating spec your team can follow without interpretation.

## ● Days 15–30

### Connect lead sources + capture timelines

**Goal:** every inbound/outbound touchpoint lands in one lead timeline.

**Connect:** web scan intake, LinkedIn prospecting list, website forms/CTA, playbook gated download, webchat, and WhatsApp inbound.

**Enforce:** dedupe keys (email / LinkedIn URL / domain) so a prospect doesn't split into multiple leads.

**Output:** a reliable timeline that shows who did what, when, and what's next.

## ● Days 31–60

### Automate nurture + routing

**Goal:** convert signals into consistent follow-up, without spamming.

**Implement:** email sequences with readiness gates, trigger reasons (download, click, reply), and suppression rules (opt-out, cooling windows).

**Route:** scoring bands (warm/hot) that create tasks automatically, assign owners, and set due dates based on SLAs.

**Output:** the system produces next actions automatically; humans focus on high-intent conversations.

## ● Days 61–90

### Optimize conversion + dashboards

**Goal:** scale what works using evidence, not opinions.

**Measure:** attribution (first/last touch), funnel conversion (lead→MQL→SQL→call), and speed metrics (response time, follow-up lag).

**Iterate:** tune sequences, CTAs, and routing thresholds using weekly reviews and closed-won learnings.

**Output:** a repeatable growth loop — content + nurture + routing + conversion — with traceability.

## Deliverables per phase (example)

- **14 days:** pipeline + SLAs + lead schema + event taxonomy documented.
- **30 days:** all inbound channels create leads; timeline logging is reliable.
- **60 days:** sequences + scoring + routing produce tasks automatically.
- **90 days:** dashboards + attribution guide weekly optimizations.

# CRM automation readiness checklist

If you can check at least 8 items, you're ready to implement quickly. If not, start with process clarity — it pays off immediately.

## Process readiness

- ✓ We have one pipeline with clear stage definitions
- ✓ Each stage has an owner and a "next action" rule
- ✓ We have response SLAs for LinkedIn, email, and chat channels
- ✓ We review pipeline aging weekly

## Data + tooling readiness

- ✓ We can uniquely identify leads (email and/or LinkedIn URL + company domain)
- ✓ We can tag lead sources and campaigns (UTM or equivalent)
- ✓ We can capture email events (open/click/reply) into the timeline
- ✓ We can capture inbound WhatsApp/webchat into the timeline

## Governance readiness

- ✓ We have opt-out handling for email sequences
- ✓ We keep audit logs (who changed what, when)
- ✓ We have a human approval step for high-risk outbound

### Start small

Don't automate everything first. Lock the timeline and next-action rules. Then automate nurture and routing. That's how SMEs win — with execution quality.

## Minimum tool stack (practical)

- **CRM:** pipeline + tasks + custom properties
- **Email:** sequences + tracking (open/click/reply)
- **Chat:** WhatsApp/webchat inbox with export or webhook capability
- **Attribution:** UTM tagging + form capture

## Governance basics (don't skip)

- Respect opt-outs and keep a suppression list.
- Log who sent outbound messages (human vs automation).
- Use approvals for high-risk steps (e.g., proposals, pricing, sensitive claims).

# Want this system implemented for your SME?

Book a free 15-minute assessment. We'll map your lead leakage points, define your touchpoints, and propose a practical rollout plan.

**Book a 15-minute assessment →**

**Melverick Ng**

Founder, Nexius Labs

[www.nexiuslabs.com](http://www.nexiuslabs.com) | [melverick@nexiuslabs.com](mailto:melverick@nexiuslabs.com)

[LinkedIn](#)