



Lecture 10: Model free learning

Radoslav Neychev

Harbour.Space University
19.07.2019, Barcelona, Spain

References

These slides are almost the exact copy of Practical RL course week 3 slides.
Special thanks to YSDA team for making them publicly available.

Original slides link: [week03_model_free](#)


- Value iteration recap
- Learning from trajectories
 - MC approach
 - Temporal difference
- Q-learning
- Exploration-exploitation tradeoff
- SARSA
- Experience replay
- Practice

Previously...


- $V(s)$ and $V^*(s,a)$
- know V^* and $P(s'|s,a) \rightarrow$ know optimal policy
- We can learn V^* with dynamic programming

$$V_{i+1}(s) := \max_a [r(s, a) + \gamma \cdot E_{s' \sim P(s'|s,a)} V_i(s')]$$

Decision process in the wild



Decision process in the wild



Model-free setting:

We don't know actual

$$P(s',r|s,a)$$

Whachagonnado?

Model-free setting:

We don't know actual

$$P(s',r|s,a)$$

Learn it?

Get rid of it?

More new letters

- $V_{\pi}(\mathbf{s})$ – expected G from state \mathbf{s} if you follow π
- $V^*(\mathbf{s})$ – expected G from state \mathbf{s} if you follow π^*

More new letters

- $V_{\pi}(\mathbf{s})$ – expected G from state \mathbf{s} if you follow π
- $V^*(\mathbf{s})$ – expected G from state \mathbf{s} if you follow π^*
- $Q_{\pi}(\mathbf{s}, \mathbf{a})$ – expected G from state \mathbf{s}
 - if you start by taking action \mathbf{a}
 - and follow π from next state on
- $Q^*(\mathbf{s}, \mathbf{a})$ – guess what it is :)


More new letters

- $V_{\pi}(\mathbf{s})$ – expected G from state \mathbf{s} if you follow π
- $V^*(\mathbf{s})$ – expected G from state \mathbf{s} if you follow π^*
- $Q_{\pi}(\mathbf{s}, \mathbf{a})$ – expected G from state \mathbf{s}
 - if you start by taking action \mathbf{a}
 - and follow π from next state on
- $Q^*(\mathbf{s}, \mathbf{a})$ – same as $Q_{\pi}(\mathbf{s}, \mathbf{a})$ where $\pi = \pi^*$

Trivia

- Assuming you know $Q^*(s,a)$,
 - how do you compute π^*
 - how do you compute $V^*(s)$?
- Assuming you know $V(s)$
 - how do you compute $Q(s,a)$?


To sum up



Action value $Q_{\pi}(s, a)$ is the expected total reward **G** agent gets from state **s** by taking action **a** and following policy **π** from next state.

$$\pi(s) : \operatorname{argmax}_a Q(s, a)$$

Learning from trajectories




Model-based: you know $P(s'|s,a)$

- can apply dynamic programming
- can plan ahead

Model-free: you can sample trajectories


- can try stuff out
- insurance not included

MDP trajectory



- Trajectory is a sequence of
 - states (s)
 - actions (a)
 - rewards (r)
- We can only sample trajectories

MDP trajectory




- Trajectory is a sequence of

- states (s)
- actions (a)
- rewards (r)

Q: What to learn?
 $V(s)$ or $Q(s,a)$

- We can only sample trajectories

MDP trajectory



- Trajectory is a sequence of

- states (s)
- actions (a)
- rewards (r)


Q: What to learn?
 $V(s)$ or $Q(s,a)$

$V(s)$ is useless
without $P(s'|s,a)$

- We can only sample trajectories

Idea 1: monte-carlo

- Get all trajectories containing particular (s,a)
- Estimate $G(s,a)$ for each trajectory
- Average them to get expectation



Idea 1: monte-carlo

- Get all trajectories containing particular (s,a)
- Estimate $G(s,a)$ for each trajectory
- Average them to get expectation

takes a lot of sessions



Image: super meat boy

Idea 2: temporal difference

- Remember we can improve $Q(s,a)$ iteratively!

$$Q(s_t, a_t) \leftarrow E_{r_t, s_{t+1}} r_t + \gamma \cdot \max_{a'} Q(s_{t+1}, a')$$

Idea 2: temporal difference

- Remember we can improve $Q(s,a)$ iteratively!

$$Q(s_t, a_t) \leftarrow E_{r_t, s_{t+1}} r_t + \gamma \cdot \max_{a'} Q(s_{t+1}, a')$$

↑
That's $Q^*(s,a)$

↑
That's value for π^*
aka optimal policy

Idea 2: temporal difference

- Remember we can improve $Q(s,a)$ iteratively!

$$Q(s_t, a_t) \leftarrow E_{r_t, s_{t+1}} r_t + \gamma \cdot \max_{a'} Q(s_{t+1}, a')$$

↑
That's $Q^*(s,a)$

↑
That's value for π^*
aka optimal policy

↑
That's something
we don't have

What do we do?

Idea 2: temporal difference



Idea 2: temporal difference

- Replace expectation with sampling

$$E_{r_t, s_{t+1}} r_t + \gamma \cdot \max_{a'} Q(s_{t+1}, a') \approx \frac{1}{N} \sum_i r_i + \gamma \cdot \max_{a'} Q(s_i^{\text{next}}, a')$$

Idea 2: temporal difference


- Replace expectation with sampling

$$E_{r_t, s_{t+1}} r_t + \gamma \cdot \max_{a'} Q(s_{t+1}, a') \approx \frac{1}{N} \sum_i r_i + \gamma \cdot \max_{a'} Q(s_i^{\text{next}}, a')$$

- Use moving average with just one sample!


$$Q(s_t, a_t) \leftarrow \alpha \cdot (r_t + \gamma \cdot \max_{a'} Q(s_{t+1}, a')) + (1 - \alpha) Q(s_t, a_t)$$

Q-learning



- Works on a sequence of
 - states (s)
 - actions (a)
 - rewards (r)


Q-learning



Initialize $Q(s,a)$ with zeros

- Loop:
 - Sample $\langle s, a, r, s' \rangle$ from env


Q-learning



Initialize $Q(s,a)$ with zeros

- Loop:
 - Sample $\langle s, a, r, s' \rangle$ from env
 - Compute
$$\hat{Q}(s, a) = r(s, a) + \gamma \max_{a_i} Q(s', a_i)$$

Q-learning




Initialize $Q(s,a)$ with zeros

- Loop:
 - Sample $\langle \mathbf{s}, \mathbf{a}, \mathbf{r}, \mathbf{s}' \rangle$ from env
 - Compute $\hat{Q}(s, a) = r(s, a) + \gamma \max_{a_i} Q(s', a_i)$
 - Update $Q(s, a) \leftarrow \alpha \cdot \hat{Q}(s, a) + (1 - \alpha) Q(s, a)$

Recap


Monte-carlo

- Averages Q over sampled paths



Temporal Difference

- Uses recurrent formula for Q



Nuts and bolts: MC vs TD

Monte-carlo

- Averages Q over sampled paths
- Needs full trajectory to learn
- Less reliant on markov property


Temporal Difference

- Uses recurrent formula for Q
- Learns from partial trajectory
Works with infinite MDP
- Needs less experience to learn



What could possibly go wrong?

Our mobile robot learns to walk.




Initial $Q(s,a)$ are zeros
robot uses $\operatorname{argmax} Q(s,a)$

He has just learned to crawl with positive reward! ³⁰

What could possibly go wrong?

Our mobile robot learns to walk.



Initial $Q(s,a)$ are zeros
robot uses $\operatorname{argmax} Q(s,a)$

Too bad, now he will never learn to walk upright = ℓ^1

What could possibly go wrong?

New problem:


If our agent always takes “best” actions
from his current point of view,

How will he ever learn that other actions
may be better than his current best one?

Ideas?

Exploration Vs Exploitation

Balance between using what you learned and trying to find something even better



Exploration Vs Exploitation

Strategies:

- ϵ -greedy
 - With probability ϵ take random action; otherwise take optimal action.

Exploration Vs Exploitation

Strategies:

- ϵ -greedy
 - With probability ϵ take random action; otherwise take optimal action.
- Softmax
 - Pick action proportional to softmax of shifted normalized Q-values.

$$\pi(a|s) = \text{softmax}\left(\frac{Q(s, a)}{\tau}\right)$$

- More cool stuff coming later

Exploration over time

Idea:


If you want to converge to optimal policy, you need to gradually reduce exploration

Example:

Initialize ϵ -greedy $\epsilon = 0.5$, then gradually reduce it


- If $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$, it's **greedy in the limit**
- Be careful with non-stationary environments

Cliff world



Picture from Berkeley CS188x

Cliff world



Conditions

- Q-learning


$$\gamma = 0.99 \quad \epsilon = 0.1$$

- no slipping

Trivia:

What will q-learning learn?

Cliff world



Conditions

- Q-learning

$$\gamma = 0.99 \quad \epsilon = 0.1$$

- no slipping


Trivia:

What will q-learning learn?

follow the short path

Will it maximize reward?

Cliff world



Conditions

- Q-learning

$$\gamma = 0.99 \quad \epsilon = 0.1$$

- no slipping

Trivia:


What will q-learning learn?

follow the short path

Will it maximize reward?

**no, robot will fall due to
epsilon-greedy “exploration”**

Cliff world



Conditions

- Q-learning

$$\gamma = 0.99 \quad \epsilon = 0.1$$

- no slipping

**Decisions must account
for actual policy!**

e.g. ϵ -greedy policy

Generalized update rule

Update rule (from Bellman eq.)

$$Q(s_t, a_t) \leftarrow \alpha \cdot \hat{Q}(s_t, a_t) + (1 - \alpha) Q(s_t, a_t)$$

 “better $Q(s,a)$ ”

Q-learning VS SARSA

Update rule (from Bellman eq.)

$$Q(s_t, a_t) \leftarrow \alpha \cdot \hat{Q}(s_t, a_t) + (1 - \alpha) Q(s_t, a_t)$$

Q-learning

“better $Q(s, a)$ ”



$$\hat{Q}(s, a) = r(s, a) + \gamma \cdot \max_{a'} Q(s', a')$$

Q-learning VS SARSA

Update rule (from Bellman eq.)

$$Q(s_t, a_t) \leftarrow \alpha \cdot \hat{Q}(s_t, a_t) + (1 - \alpha) Q(s_t, a_t)$$

Q-learning

“better $Q(s, a)$ ”




$$\hat{Q}(s, a) = r(s, a) + \gamma \cdot \max_{a'} Q(s', a')$$

SARSA

$$\hat{Q}(s, a) = r(s, a) + \gamma \cdot E_{a' \sim \pi(a'|s')} Q(s', a')$$

Recap: Q-learning



$$\forall s \in S, \forall a \in A, Q(s, a) \leftarrow 0$$


Loop:

- Sample $\langle \mathbf{s}, \mathbf{a}, \mathbf{r}, \mathbf{s}' \rangle$ from env

- Compute $\hat{Q}(s, a) = r(s, a) + \gamma \max_{a_i} Q(s', a_i)$

- Update $Q(s, a) \leftarrow \alpha \cdot \hat{Q}(s, a) + (1 - \alpha) Q(s, a)$

SARSA



$$\forall s \in S, \forall a \in A, Q(s, a) \leftarrow 0$$


Loop:

- Sample $\langle \mathbf{s}, \mathbf{a}, \mathbf{r}, \mathbf{s}', \mathbf{a}' \rangle$ from env

- Compute $\hat{Q}(s, a) = r(s, a) + \gamma Q(s', a')$

- Update $Q(s, a) \leftarrow \alpha \cdot \hat{Q}(s, a) + (1 - \alpha) Q(s, a)$

SARSA



$$\forall s \in S, \forall a \in A, Q(s, a) \leftarrow 0$$

Loop:


– Sample $\langle \mathbf{s}, \mathbf{a}, \mathbf{r}, \mathbf{s}', \mathbf{a}' \rangle$ from env

hence “SARSA”

– Compute $\hat{Q}(s, a) = r(s, a) + \gamma Q(s', a')$ next action
(not max)

– Update $Q(s, a) \leftarrow \alpha \cdot \hat{Q}(s, a) + (1 - \alpha) Q(s, a)$

Expected value SARSA



$$\forall s \in S, \forall a \in A, Q(s, a) \leftarrow 0$$


Loop:

- Sample $\langle \mathbf{s}, \mathbf{a}, \mathbf{r}, \mathbf{s}' \rangle$ from env

- Compute $\hat{Q}(s, a) = r(s, a) + \gamma \mathop{E}_{a_i \sim \pi(a|s')} Q(s', a_i)$

- Update $Q(s, a) \leftarrow \alpha \cdot \hat{Q}(s, a) + (1 - \alpha) Q(s, a)$

Expected value SARSA



$$\forall s \in S, \forall a \in A, Q(s, a) \leftarrow 0$$

Loop:


- Sample $\langle \mathbf{s}, \mathbf{a}, \mathbf{r}, \mathbf{s}' \rangle$ from env

- Compute $\hat{Q}(s, a) = r(s, a) + \gamma \underset{a_i \sim \pi(a|s')}{E} Q(s', a_i)$

- Update $Q(s, a) \leftarrow \alpha \cdot \hat{Q}(s, a) + (1 - \alpha) Q(s, a)$

Difference

- SARSA gets optimal rewards under current policy
- Q-learning policy **would be** optimal under



→ Q-learning
→ SARSA

On-policy vs Off-policy

Two problem setups

on-policy

Agent **can** pick actions

- Most obvious setup :)
- Agent always follows his **own** policy

off-policy

Agent **can't** pick actions

- Learning with exploration,
playing without exploration
- Learning from expert
(expert is imperfect)
- Learning from sessions
(recorded data)

On-policy vs Off-policy

Two problem setups

on-policy

Agent **can** pick actions

- On-policy algorithms **can't** learn off-policy

off-policy

Agent **can't** pick actions

- Off-policy algorithms **can** learn on-policy

learn optimal policy even if agent takes random actions

Q: which of Q-learning, SARSA and exp. val. SARSA will **only** work on-policy?

On-policy vs Off-policy

Two problem setups

on-policy

Agent **can** pick actions

- On-policy algorithms **can't** learn off-policy
- SARSA
- more later

off-policy

Agent **can't** pick actions

- Off-policy algorithms **can** learn on-policy
- Q-learning
- Expected Value SARSA

On-policy vs Off-policy

Two problem setups

on-policy

Agent **can** pick actions

- On-policy algorithms **can't** learn off-policy
- SARSA
- more coming soon

off-policy

Agent **can't** pick actions

- Off-policy algorithms **can** learn on-policy
- Q-learning
- Expected Value SARSA

On-policy vs Off-policy

Two problem setups

on-policy

Agent **can** pick actions

- On-policy algorithms **can't** learn off-policy
- SARSA
- more coming soon


off-policy

Agent **can't** pick actions

- Off-policy algorithms **can** learn on-policy
- Q-learning
- Expected Value SARSA

Experience replay

Idea: store several past interactions
 $\langle s, a, r, s' \rangle$
Train on random subsamples



Experience replay

Idea: store several past interactions
 $\langle s, a, r, s' \rangle$
Train on random subsamples


Training curriculum:

- play 1 step and record it
- pick N random transitions to train

Profit: you don't need to re-visit same
(s,a) many times to learn it.

**Only works with
off-policy algorithms!**

**Btw, why
only them?**



Experience replay

</chapter>

Idea: store several past interactions

$\langle s, a, r, s' \rangle$


Train on random subsamples

Training curriculum:

- play 1 step and record it
- pick N random transitions to train

Profit: you don't need to re-visit same (s, a) many times to learn it.

**Only works with
off-policy algorithms!**



New stuff we learned


- Anything?

New stuff we learned

- $Q(s,a), Q^*(s,a)$
- Q-learning, SARSA
 - We can learn from trajectories (model-free)
- Exploration vs exploitation (basics)
- Learning On-policy vs Off-policy
 - Using experience replay

Coming next...

- What if state space is large/continuous
 - Deep reinforcement learning



- Remember what $Q(s, a)$ and $V(s)$ functions do
- Remember both about exploration and exploitation
 - At least using greedy policy or softmax smoothing
- Remember the difference between on-policy and off-policy algorithms!
 - On-policy algorithms **can't** learn off-policy (e.g. SARSA)
 - Off-policy algorithms **can** learn on-policy (e.g. Q-learning)
- Experience replay: no need to re-visit same (s,a) many times to learn it.
 - Works only with off-policy algorithms