Lecture 9: Transformer refinements & Question answering

MADE, Moscow
27.05.2020

Radoslav Neychev


THE
TRANSFORMER


ULM-FiT


BERT


OpenAI
Transformer


ELMo

ULMFiT: Universal Language Model Fine-tuning for Text Classification

ULMFiT: architecture

- Encoder: AWD-LSTM (ASGD Weight-Dropped LSTM)

```
SequentialRNN(
  (0): MultiBatchEncoder(
    (module): AWD_LSTM(
      (encoder): Embedding(60003, 300, padding_idx=1)
      (encoder_dp): EmbeddingDropout(
        (emb): Embedding(60003, 300, padding_idx=1)
      )
      (rnns): ModuleList(
        (0): WeightDropout(
          (module): LSTM(300, 1150, batch_first=True)
        )
        (1): WeightDropout(
          (module): LSTM(1150, 1150, batch_first=True)
        )
        (2): WeightDropout(
          (module): LSTM(1150, 300, batch_first=True)
        )
      )
      (input_dp): RNNDropout()
      (hidden_dps): ModuleList(
        (0): RNNDropout()
        (1): RNNDropout()
        (2): RNNDropout()
      )
    )
  )
  (1): PoolingLinearClassifier(
    (layers): Sequential(
      (0): BatchNorm1d(900, eps=1e-05, momentum=0.1, affine=True,
track_running_stats=True)
      (1): Dropout(p=0.4)
      (2): Linear(in_features=900, out_features=50, bias=True)
      (3): ReLU(inplace)
      (4): BatchNorm1d(50, eps=1e-05, momentum=0.1, affine=True,
track_running_stats=True)
      (5): Dropout(p=0.1)
      (6): Linear(in_features=50, out_features=2, bias=True)
    )
  )
)
```

source: [Understanding building blocks of ULMFIT blog post]

What’s the main difference from all the other Sesame street models?

ULMFiT: architecture

- Encoder: AWD-LSTM (ASGD Weight-Dropped LSTM)

- AWD-LSTM literally has dropout at all the possible layers as long as it makes sense.

```
SequentialRNN(
  (0): MultiBatchEncoder(
    (module): AWD_LSTM(
      (encoder): Embedding(60003, 300, padding_idx=1)
      (encoder_dp): EmbeddingDropout(
        (emb): Embedding(60003, 300, padding_idx=1)
      )
      (rnns): ModuleList(
        (0): WeightDropout(
          (module): LSTM(300, 1150, batch_first=True)
        )
        (1): WeightDropout(
          (module): LSTM(1150, 1150, batch_first=True)
        )
        (2): WeightDropout(
          (module): LSTM(1150, 300, batch_first=True)
        )
      )
      (input_dp): RNNDropout()
      (hidden_dps): ModuleList(
        (0): RNNDropout()
        (1): RNNDropout()
        (2): RNNDropout()
      )
    )
  )
  (1): PoolingLinearClassifier(
    (layers): Sequential(
      (0): BatchNorm1d(900, eps=1e-05, momentum=0.1, affine=True,
track_running_stats=True)
      (1): Dropout(p=0.4)
      (2): Linear(in_features=900, out_features=50, bias=True)
      (3): ReLU(inplace)
      (4): BatchNorm1d(50, eps=1e-05, momentum=0.1, affine=True,
track_running_stats=True)
      (5): Dropout(p=0.1)
      (6): Linear(in_features=50, out_features=2, bias=True)
    )
  )
)
```

source: [Understanding building blocks of ULMFIT blog post]

ULMFiT: encoder dropout

1. **Encoder Dropout** (*EmbeddingDropout()*)**:** Zeroing embedding vectors randomly.

ENCODER DROPOUT

	before dropout				after dropout			
	token	d1	d2	...	**token**	d1	d2	...
	I	0.399	0.75379	0.62616	I	0	0	0
	love	0.88533	0.29449	0.15856	love	0.88533	0.29449	0.15856
	cats	0.48927	0.04071	0.21427	cats	0.48927	0.04071	0.21427
	dogs	0.72918	0.86882	0.77136	dogs	0.72918	0.86882	0.77136

source: [Understanding building blocks of ULMFIT blog post]

ULMFiT: input dropout

2. Input Dropout (*RNNDropout()*)**:** Zeroing embedding lookup outputs randomly.

INPUT DROPOUT

batch: [I love cats, I love dogs]

before dropout

I	0	0	0
love	0.88533	0.29449	0.15856
cats	0.48927	0.04071	0.21427

after dropout

I	0	0	0
love	0	0.29449	0.15856
cats	0	0.04071	0.21427

before dropout

I	0	0	0
love	0.88533	0.29449	0.15856
dogs	0.72918	0.86882	0.77136

after dropout

I	0	0	0
love	0.88533	0.29449	0
dogs	0.72918	0.86882	0

source: [Understanding building blocks of ULMFIT blog post]

ULMFiT: dropout

3. Weight Dropout(*WeightDropout()*) : Apply dropout to LSTM weights.

Random dropout at hidden-to-hidden weights for each LSTM layer

```
rnn = nn.LSTM(5,10,1, bidirectional=False, batch_first=True)

WeightDropout(rnn, weight_p=0.5, layer_names=['weight_hh_l0'])
```

source: Understanding building blocks of ULMFIT blog post

ULMFiT: weight dropout

WEIGHT DROPOUT
n_hid=5, n_layers=2



I	I
0	0
0	0
0	0

love	love
0	0.88533
0.29449	0.29449
0.15856	0

cats	dogs
0	0.72918
0.04071	0.86882
0.21427	0

before dropout

Whh (Layer1)				
0.30546	0.94294	0.66715	0.5655	0.31191
0.06246	0.36674	0.35672	0.53357	0.04652
0.84278	0.17049	0.92283	0.97827	0.67913
0.94699	0.02277	0.79537	0.09479	0.16284
0.34098	0.09256	0.69661	0.22605	0.57153
0.09455	0.78172	0.48226	0.82222	0.25151
0.92516	0.50419	0.82879	0.67049	0.84304
0.11741	0.09362	0.69367	0.5633	0.34755
0.98025	0.73131	0.21491	0.86319	0.31456
0.0289	0.90899	0.30697	0.75105	0.62107
0.96808	0.4712	0.77992	0.83567	0.09754
0.15539	0.94866	0.51977	0.51167	0.08723
0.10944	0.88881	0.74007	0.96823	0.03516
0.62014	0.4363	0.02097	0.61126	0.30466
0.10465	0.02462	0.2334	0.25372	0.54579
0.14384	0.962	0.70157	0.39285	0.41064
0.42081	0.64236	0.06941	0.41805	0.78772
0.45229	0.9871	0.49831	0.17108	0.48868
0.42142	0.66105	0.53273	0.30901	0.45095
0.33421	0.77472	0.33966	0.42693	0.4567

after dropout

Whh (Layer1)				
0.30546	0	0.66715	0.5655	0
0	0.36674	0.35672	0.53357	0.04652
0.84278	0.17049	0.92283	0.97827	0.67913
0.94699	0.02277	0.79537	0.09479	0.16284
0.34098	0.09256	0.69661	0.22605	0.57153
0	0.78172	0.48226	0.82222	0.25151
0	0.50419	0	0.67049	0.84304
0	0.09362	0.69367	0.5633	0
0.98025	0.73131	0	0	0.31456
0	0	0.30697	0.75105	0.62107
0	0	0.77992	0.83567	0.09754
0.15539	0	0.51977	0.51167	0.08723
0.10944	0.88881	0.74007	0.96823	0.03516
0.62014	0.4363	0.02097	0.61126	0.30466
0.10465	0.02462	0.2334	0	0.54579
0	0.962	0.70157	0	0.41064
0	0	0.06941	0.41805	0.78772
0.45229	0.9871	0.49831	0	0.48868
0.42142	0.66105	0.53273	0.30901	0.45095
0	0.77472	0.33966	0.42693	0.4567

same word, different vectors

source: [Understanding building blocks of ULMFIT blog post]

ULMFiT: hidden dropout

4. Hidden Dropout (*RNNDropout()*): Zeroing outputs of LSTM layers. This dropout is applied except for the last LSTM layer output.



before dropout

	I	0.11587	0.89354	0.18537	0.13159	0.04328	0.36997	0.68241	0.21505	0.07696	0.1405
	love	0.51854	0.94156	0.80541	0.49411	0.44335	0.31453	0.20678	0.95815	0.70224	0.2766
	cats	0.41255	0.70882	0.76667	0.93813	0.89034	0.1676	0.92944	0.32216	0.11675	0.99425

after dropout

hidden_inp 1	I	0.11587	0	0.18537	0.13159	0	0.36997	0.68241	0	0	0.1405
hidden_inp 2	love	0.51854	0	0.80541	0.49411	0	0.31453	0.20678	0	0	0.2766
hidden_inp 3	cats	0.41255	0	0.76667	0.93813	0	0.1676	0.92944	0	0	0.99425

before dropout

	I	0.90644	0.01673	0.38366	0.86887	0.26845	0.332	0.93804	0.34769	0.83711	0.66387
	love	0.82539	0.09143	0.32444	0.35099	0.64491	0.79199	0.24797	0.56466	0.46948	0.33358
	dogs	0.38805	0.56548	0.1424	0.31113	0.11645	0.36759	0.73068	0.05727	0.7315	0.01498

after dropout

hidden_inp 1	I	0	0	0.38366	0.86887	0	0.332	0	0.34769	0.83711	0.66387
hidden_inp 2	love	0	0	0.32444	0.35099	0	0.79199	0	0.56466	0.46948	0.33358
hidden_inp 3	dogs	0	0	0.1424	0.31113	0	0.36759	0	0.05727	0.7315	0.01498

source: [Understanding building blocks of ULMFIT blog post]

ULMFiT: output dropout

5. Output Dropout (*RNNDropout()*): Zeroing final sequence outputs from encoder before feeding it to decoder.



source: [Understanding building blocks of ULMFIT blog post]

Variable Length BPTT

Fixed window to back-propagate will always have the same words contributing for the update with same weight of gradients flowing from last word to the first

Let’s randomize windows selected at each step!

More about BPTT: https://machinelearningmastery.com/gentle-introduction-backpropagation-time/

Transformer-XL

Transformer-XL

- Vanila Transformer works with a fixed-length context at training time. That’s why:
  - the algorithm is not able to model dependencies that are longer than a fixed length.
  - the segments usually do not respect the sentence boundaries, resulting in context fragmentation which leads to inefficient optimization.

Segment-level Recurrence

- During training, the representations computed for the previous segment are fixed and cached to be reused as an extended context when the model processes the next new segment.

- Contextual information is now able to flow across segment boundaries.

- Recurrence mechanism also resolves the context fragmentation issue, providing necessary context for tokens in the front of a new segment.

Segment-level Recurrence



source: [Transformer-XL: Attentive Language Models Beyond a Fixed-Length Context]

Segment-level Recurrence



source: [Transformer-XL: Attentive Language Models Beyond a Fixed-Length Context]

Segment-level Recurrence



source: [Transformer-XL: Attentive Language Models Beyond a Fixed-Length Context]

Relative Positional Encodings

- Fixed embeddings with learnable transformations instead of learnable embeddings

  As a result:
- more generalizable to longer sequences at test time
- longer effective context

Vanila Transformer vs. Transformer-XL



Vanilla Transformer with a fixed-length context at evaluation time

source: [Transformer-XL: Attentive Language Models Beyond a Fixed-Length Context]

Vanila Transformer vs. Transformer-XL



Vanilla Transformer with a fixed-length context at evaluation time

source: [Transformer-XL: Attentive Language Models Beyond a Fixed-Length Context]

Vanila Transformer vs. Transformer-XL



Vanilla Transformer with a fixed-length context at evaluation time

source: [Transformer-XL: Attentive Language Models Beyond a Fixed-Length Context]

Vanila Transformer vs. Transformer-XL



Vanilla Transformer with a fixed-length context at evaluation time

source: [Transformer-XL: Attentive Language Models Beyond a Fixed-Length Context]

Vanila Transformer vs. Transformer-XL



Vanilla Transformer with a fixed-length context at evaluation time

source: [Transformer-XL: Attentive Language Models Beyond a Fixed-Length Context]

Vanila Transformer vs. Transformer-XL



Transformer-XL with segment-level recurrence at evaluation time

source: [Transformer-XL: Attentive Language Models Beyond a Fixed-Length Context]

Vanila Transformer vs. Transformer-XL



Transformer-XL with segment-level recurrence at evaluation time

source: [Transformer-XL: Attentive Language Models Beyond a Fixed-Length Context]

Vanila Transformer vs. Transformer-XL



Transformer-XL with segment-level recurrence at evaluation time

source: [Transformer-XL: Attentive Language Models Beyond a Fixed-Length Context]

Vanila Transformer vs. Transformer-XL

- Transformer-XL learns dependency that is about 80% longer than RNNs and 450% longer than vanilla Transformers

- Transformer-XL is up to 1,800+ times faster than a vanilla Transformer during evaluation on language modeling tasks, because no re-computation is needed

Question answering

A Brief History of Open-domain Question Answering

- Simmons et al. (1964) did first exploration of answering questions from an expository text based on matching dependency parses of a question and answer
- Murax (Kupiec 1993) aimed to answer questions over an online encyclopedia using IR and shallow linguistic processing
- The NIST TREC QA track begun in 1999 first rigorously investigated answering fact questions over a large collection of documents
- IBM's Jeopardy! System (DeepQA, 2011) brought attention to a version of the problem; it used an ensemble of many methods
- DrQA (Chen et al. 2016) uses IR followed by neural reading comprehension to bring deep learning to Open-domain QA

source: CS224n Lecture 10

MCTest Reading Comprehension

Passage *(P)* + Question *(Q)* ⟹ Answer *(A)*

P

Alyssa got to the beach after a long trip. She's from Charlotte. She traveled from Atlanta. She's now in Miami. She went to Miami to visit some friends. But she wanted some time to herself at the beach, so she went there first. After going swimming and laying out, she went to her friend Ellen's house. Ellen greeted Alyssa and they both had some lemonade to drink. Alyssa called her friends Kristin and Rachel to meet at Ellen's house……

Q Why did Alyssa go to Miami?

A To visit some friends

source: CS224n Lecture 10

Stanford Question Answering Dataset (SQuAD)

In meteorology, precipitation is any product of the condensation of atmospheric water vapor that falls under gravity. The main forms of precipitation include drizzle, rain, sleet, snow, graupel and hail... Precipitation forms as smaller droplets coalesce via collision with other rain drops or ice crystals within a cloud. Short, intense periods of rain in scattered locations are called “showers”.

- What causes precipitation to fall?
  - gravity
- What is another main form of precipitation besides drizzle, rain, snow, sleet and hail?
  - graupel
- Where do water droplets collide with ice crystals to form precipitation?
  - within a cloud

source: SQuAD: 100,000+ Questions for Machine Comprehension of Text

SQuAD evaluation, v1.1

- Authors collected 3 gold answers
- Systems are scored on two metrics:
  - Exact match: 1/0 accuracy on whether you match one of the 3 answers
  - F1: Take system and each gold answer as bag of words, evaluate Precision, Recall and harmonic mean F1.

    Score is (macro-)average of per-question F1 scores
- F1 measure is seen as more reliable and taken as primary
  - It's less based on choosing exactly the same span that humans chose, which is susceptible to various effects, including line breaks
- Both metrics ignore punctuation and articles (**a, an, the** only)

source: CS224n Lecture 10

SQuAD v1.1 leaderboard, end of 2016

		EM	F1
11	Fine-Grained Gating Carnegie Mellon University (Yang et al. '16)	62.5	73.3
12	Dynamic Chunk Reader IBM (Yu & Zhang et al. '16)	62.5	71.0
13	Match-LSTM with Ans-Ptr (Boundary) Singapore Management University (Wang & Jiang '16)	60.5	70.7
14	Match-LSTM with Ans-Ptr (Sequence) Singapore Management University (Wang & Jiang '16)	54.5	67.7
15	Logistic Regression Baseline Stanford University (Rajpurkar et al. '16)	40.4	51.0

Will your model outperform humans on the QA task?

	Human Performance Stanford University (Rajpurkar et al. '16)	82.3	91.2

source: CS224n Lecture 10

SQuAD v1.1 leaderboard, (May 2020)

Rank	Model	EM	F1
	Human Performance *Stanford University* (Rajpurkar et al. '16)	82.304	91.221
1 Apr 10, 2020	LUKE (single model) *Studio Ousia & NAIST & RIKEN AIP*	**90.202**	**95.379**
2 May 21, 2019	XLNet (single model) *Google Brain & CMU*	89.898	95.080
3 Dec 11, 2019	XLNET-123++ (single model) *MST/EOI* http://tia.today	89.856	94.903
3 Aug 11, 2019	XLNET-123 (single model) *MST/EOI*	89.646	94.930
4 Sep 25, 2019	BERTSP (single model) *NEUKG* http://www.techkg.cn/	88.912	94.584
4 Jul 21, 2019	SpanBERT (single model) *FAIR & UW*	88.839	94.635
5 Jul 03, 2019	BERT+WWM+MT (single model) *Xiaoi Research*	88.650	94.393

source: SQuAD website

SQuAD 2.0

- A defect of SQuAD 1.0 is that all questions have an answer in the paragraph
- Systems (implicitly) rank candidates and choose the best one
- You don't have to judge whether a span answers the question
- In SQuAD 2.0, 1/3 of the training questions have no answer, and about 1/2 of the dev/test questions have no answer
  - For *NoAnswer* examples, *NoAnswer* receives a score of 1, and any other response gets 0, for both exact match and F1
- Simplest system approach to SQuAD 2.0:
  - Have a threshold score for whether a span answers a question
- Or you could have a second component that confirms answering
  - Like Natural Language Inference (NLI) or "Answer validation"

source: CS224n Lecture 10

SQuAD 2.0 example

Genghis Khan united the Mongol and Turkic tribes of the steppes and became Great Khan in 1206. He and his successors expanded the Mongol empire across Asia. Under the reign of Genghis' third son, Ögedei Khan, the Mongols destroyed the weakened Jin dynasty in 1234, conquering most of northern China. Ögedei offered his nephew Kublai a position in Xingzhou, Hebei. Kublai was unable to read Chinese but had several Han Chinese teachers attached to him since his early years by his mother Sorghaghtani. He sought the counsel of Chinese Buddhist and Confucian advisers. Möngke Khan succeeded Ögedei's son, Güyük, as Great Khan in 1251. He

When did Genghis Khan kill Great Khan?

Gold Answers: <No Answer>

Prediction: 1234 [from Microsoft nlnet]

source: CS224n Lecture 10

SQuAD 2.0 leaderboard (May 2020)

Rank	Model	EM	F1
	Human Performance *Stanford University* (Rajpurkar & Jia et al. '18)	86.831	89.452
1 Apr 06, 2020	SA-Net on Albert (ensemble) *QIANXIN*	**90.724**	**93.011**
2 May 05, 2020	SA-Net-V2 (ensemble) *QIANXIN*	90.679	92.948
2 Apr 05, 2020	Retro-Reader (ensemble) *Shanghai Jiao Tong University* http://arxiv.org/abs/2001.09694v2	90.578	92.978
3 May 04, 2020	ELECTRA+ALBERT+EntitySpanFocus (ensemble) *SRCB_DML*	90.442	92.839
4 Mar 12, 2020	ALBERT + DAAF + Verifier (ensemble) *PINGAN Omni-Sinitic*	90.386	92.777
5 Jan 10, 2020	Retro-Reader on ALBERT (ensemble) *Shanghai Jiao Tong University* http://arxiv.org/abs/2001.09694v2	90.115	92.580
6 Nov 06, 2019	ALBERT + DAAF + Verifier (ensemble) *PINGAN Omni-Sinitic*	90.002	92.425
7 Sep 18, 2019	ALBERT (ensemble model) *Google Research & TTIC* https://arxiv.org/abs/1909.11942	89.731	92.215

source: SQuAD website

Now in Russian: SberQuAD

Термин Computer science (Компьютерная наука) появился в 1959 году в научном журнале Communications of the ACM, в котором Луи Фейн (Louis Fein) ратовал за создание Graduate School in Computer Sciences (Высшей школы в области информатики) . . . Усилия Луи Фейна, численного аналитика Джорджа Форсайта и других увенчались успехом: университеты пошли на создание программ, связанных с информатикой, начиная с Университета Пердью в 1962.

- Q11870 Когда впервые был применен термин Computer science (Компьютерная наука)?
- Q28900 Кто впервые использовал этот термин?
- Q30330 Начиная с ***каого**** учебного заведения стали применяться учебные программы, связанные с информатикой?

*Misspelling is intended

source: SberQuAD – Russian Reading Comprehension Dataset: Description and Analysis, link to SDSJ 2017 problem B

SberQuAD evaluation

Model	SberQuAD		SQuAD	
	EM	F1	EM	F1
simple baseline	0.3	25.0	–	–
ML baseline	3.7	31.5	–	–
BiDAF	51.7	72.2	68.0	77.3
DrQA	54.9	75.0	70.0	79.0
R-Net	58.6	77.8	71.3	79.7
DocQA	59.6	79.5	72.1	81.1
BERT	66.6	84.8	85.1	91.8

Table 7: Model performance on SQuAD and SberQuAD; SQuAD part shows single-model scores on test set taken from respective papers.



Figure 6: Model performance depending on answer length (# of words).

% test	R-Net	BiDAF	DocQA	DrQA	BERT
w/ typos 5.7	74.1	66.7	77.5	67.5	81.1
correct 94.3	77.1	72.5	79.6	75.4	85.0
Test set	77.8	72.2	79.5	75.0	84.8

Table 8: Answer quality for misspelled questions.

source: [SberQuAD – Russian Reading Comprehension Dataset: Description and Analysis]

But errors are still present

The Yuan dynasty is considered both a successor to the Mongol Empire and an imperial Chinese dynasty. It was the khanate ruled by the successors of Möngke Khan after the division of the Mongol Empire. In official Chinese histories, the Yuan dynasty bore the Mandate of Heaven, following the Song dynasty and preceding the Ming dynasty. The dynasty was established by Kublai Khan, yet he placed his grandfather Genghis Khan on the imperial records as the official founder of the

What dynasty came before the Yuan?

Gold Answers: ① Song dynasty ② Mongol Empire
③ the Song dynasty

Prediction: Ming dynasty [BERT (single model) (Google AI)]

source: CS224n Lecture 10

S(ber)QuAD limitations

- Only span-based answers (no yes/no, counting, implicit why)
  - Questions were constructed looking at the passages
  - Not genuine information needs
  - Generally greater lexical and syntactic matching between questions and answer span than you get IRL
  - Barely any multi-fact/sentence inference beyond coreference

- But these datasets are still of a great use

partial source: CS224n Lecture 10

Potential solutions

Passage

$$\alpha_i = \text{softmax}_i \, \mathbf{q}^\intercal \mathbf{W}_s \tilde{\mathbf{p}}_i$$

$$\mathbf{o} = \sum_i \alpha_i \tilde{\mathbf{p}}_i$$

Two attention heads are used to find the *start* and *end* of the answer.

Attention is computed between encoded question and RNN state corresponding to every position.



source: A Thorough Examination of the CNN/Daily Mail Reading Comprehension Task

How to make it better

- Use extra information about the text
  - Char embeddings
  - Linguistic features: PoS and NER tags
  -
  - ...
- Better use of attention

What's that?

PoS tagging

Pred. Tag	Actual Tag	Correct?	Token
PUNCT	PUNCT	✔	[
DET	DET	✔	this
NOUN	NOUN	✔	killing
ADP	ADP	✔	of
DET	DET	✔	a
ADJ	ADJ	✔	respected
NOUN	NOUN	✔	cleric
AUX	AUX	✔	will
AUX	AUX	✔	be
VERB	VERB	✔	causing
PRON	PRON	✔	us
NOUN	NOUN	✔	trouble
ADP	ADP	✔	for
NOUN	NOUN	✔	years
PART	PART	✔	to
VERB	VERB	✔	come
PUNCT	PUNCT	✔	.
PUNCT	PUNCT	✔	]

- PoS tagging can be performed using
  - Rule-based taggers
  - Dynamic programming
  - Models based on CRF (Conditional Random Field)
  - Neural Networks
  - etc.

BiDAF



source: [Bidirectional Attention Flow for Machine Comprehension]

BiDAF

- Interactive demo: https://allenai.github.io/bi-att-flow/
- There are variants of and improvements to the BiDAF architecture over the years, but the central idea is the Attention Flow layer
- Idea: attention should flow both ways – from the context to the question and from the question to the context
- Make similarity matrix (with w of dimension 6d):

$$\boldsymbol{S}_{ij} = \boldsymbol{w}_{\text{sim}}^T[\boldsymbol{c}_i; \boldsymbol{q}_j; \boldsymbol{c}_i \circ \boldsymbol{q}_j] \in \mathbb{R}$$

- Context-to-Question (C2Q) attention(which query words are most relevant to each context word)

$$\alpha^i = \text{softmax}(\boldsymbol{S}_{i,:}) \in \mathbb{R}^M \quad \forall i \in \{1, \ldots, N\}$$

$$\boldsymbol{a}_i = \sum_{j=1}^{M} \alpha_j^i \boldsymbol{q}_j \in \mathbb{R}^{2h} \quad \forall i \in \{1, \ldots, N\}$$

source: CS224n Lecture 10

BiDAF

- Attention Flow Idea: attention should flow both ways – from the context to the question and from the question to the context
- Question-to-Context (Q2C) attention:
  - the weighted sum of the most important words in the context with respect to the query – slight asymmetry through max

$$\boldsymbol{m}_i = \max_j \boldsymbol{S}_{ij} \in \mathbb{R} \quad \forall i \in \{1, \ldots, N\}$$

$$\beta = \text{softmax}(\boldsymbol{m}) \in \mathbb{R}^N$$

$$\boldsymbol{c}' = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \beta_i \boldsymbol{c}_i \in \mathbb{R}^{2h}$$

- For each passage position, output of BiDAF layer is:

$$\boldsymbol{b}_i = [\boldsymbol{c}_i; \boldsymbol{a}_i; \boldsymbol{c}_i \circ \boldsymbol{a}_i; \boldsymbol{c}_i \circ \boldsymbol{c}'] \in \mathbb{R}^{8h} \quad \forall i \in \{1, \ldots, N\}$$

source: CS224n Lecture 10

Q & A

- Question answering systems guide us one step closer to human-like NLP systems
- Refer to the original papers on Transformer-XL, BiDAF and SQuAD, there are a lot of interesting ideas in there
- For Russian language, SberQuAD paper provides a great aggregation of available materials, libraries and pretrained models

Bonus: FusionNet

MLP (Additive) form:

$$S_{ij} = s^T \tanh(W_1 c_i + W_2 q_j)$$

Space: O(mnk), W is kxd

Bilinear (Product) form:

$$S_{ij} = c_i^T W q_j$$

$$S_{ij} = c_i^T U^T V q_j$$

Space: O((m+n)k)

$$S_{ij} = c_i^T W^T D W q_j$$

1. Smaller space
2. Non-linearity

$$S_{ij} = Relu(c_i^T W^T) D Relu(W q_j)$$

source: CS224n Lecture 10

Bonus: discrete GANs

Generalized GAN scheme



source: [Practical RL week07]

Bonus: discrete GANs

Generalized GAN scheme



source: [Practical RL week07]

Bonus: discrete GANs

Standard scheme fails if G(z) is discrete

- generating text
- generatng music notes
- generating molecules
- binary image masks



source: [Practical RL week07]

Bonus: discrete GANs

We can train generator with Reinforcement Learning methods!

$$\nabla J = \mathop{E}_{\substack{z \sim p(z) \\ x \sim P(x|G_\theta(z))}} \nabla \log P\left(x|G_\theta(z)\right) D(x)$$

source: Practical RL week07