How to Not Fail SE 465

Winter 2017

Contents			17 Index of tools	5
1	Faults, errors, and failures (L02) 1.1 RIP fault model (L02)	2 2	18 XPath examples	6
	1.2 Dealing with faults (L02)	2		
2	Static/dynamic testing (L04)	2		
	2.1 Static testing	2		
	2.2 Dynamic testing	2		
	2.3 Controlling/observing	2		
3	Test cases (L04)	2		
4	Exploratory testing (L05)	2		
5	Control flow graph (L06-L08)	2		
6	Finite state machine (L09)	3		
7	Syntax-based testing (L10)	3		
•	7.1 Fuzzing (L11)	3		
8	Test coverage in reality (L13)	3		
Ū	8.1 Reasons for not testing	3		
	of the second for new testing			
9	Mutation testing (L14)	3		
	9.1 Strong and weak (L15)	3		
	9.2 Mutation testing algorithm	4		
	9.3 Integration mutation testing	4		
	9.4 Coverage vs. mutation testing	4		
10 Test suite engineering (L18)		4		
11 Selenium (L19)		4		
12 Bug finding (L21)		4		
13 Self-checking tests (L25)		4		
	13.1 Types of test objects (L26)	4		
14	1 Code review (L28)	4		
	14.1 Things to do	$\overline{4}$		
	14.2 Things to check	4		
15	5 Bug reports (L29)	5		
	15.1 Things to do	5		
16	3 Testing tools	5		
	16.1 FindBugs (L32)	5		
	16.2 Facebook Infer (L33)	5		
	16.3 Dynamic analysis tools (L35)	5		

1 Faults, errors, and failures (L02) 3 Test cases (L04)

- Validation is ensuring that the code does the right thing.
- **Verification** is ensuring that the code conforms to the specs.
- Faults are static defects present in the software.
- An **error** is bad internal state caused by some fault.
- Failures are bad external behavior.

1.1 RIP fault model (L02)

- Reachability: The fault must be reachable.
- **Infection**: The program state must be wrong after reaching the fault.
- **Propagation**: The infected state must propagate to output.

1.2 Dealing with faults (L02)

- Avoidance: Use Rust, don't allow buffer overflows, better system design.
- **Detection**: Testing.
- Tolerance: Let system keep working even when there are faults.

2 Static/dynamic testing (L04)

2.1 Static testing

Find fault directly by analyzing the program. Examples: Type checking, dead code analysis, null check, bounds check, code review, formal verification.

2.2 Dynamic testing

Find failures by running the program with inputs and 5 comparing them to expected outputs.

- Black-box: Don't look at code.
- White-box: Look at code.

2.3 Controlling/observing

- Observability: How easy it is to observer the system's behavior.
- Controllability: How easy it is to provide the system with inputs.

- A test case value is an input.
- An **expected result** is the expected output.
- Prefix values are inputs to prepare software for the test cases.
- Postfix values are inputs to tidy up software after test cases.
 - Verification values: Show results of test cases.
 - Exit commands: Terminate or return to initial state.
- A **test case** is (test case values, expected results, prefix values, postfix values).
- A **test set** is a set of test cases.
- A **test requirement** is a property of the program that a test case can satisfy.
 - Examples:
 - * This branch is followed
 - * This method is called
 - Can be infeasible if there is dead code.
- A criterion generates test requirements. e.g.
 - All branches are followed
 - All methods are called
- The **coverage level** of a test set is the fraction of test requirements satisfied by it.

4 Exploratory testing (L05)

- It's good for realism, prioritization of important bugs, and evaluating risks.
- Process:
 - Start with a **charter**. e.g. "Explore the product elements"
 - Decide which area of the software to test.
 - Design a test.
 - Execute a test and log bugs.
 - Repeat.
- Outputs: Set of bug reports, test notes, artifacts (input/output pairs)

5 Control flow graph (L06-L08)

- Control flow graph: Graph of program execution.
 - Nodes are sequential statements
 - Edges mean "the program can follow this path during execution"
- Basic blocks can be combined into a single node.
- Coverage criterion: Function from CFG to set of test requirements.
 - e.g. "statement coverage" is "given G, generate all nodes in G"
- **Test path**: The path in the CFG that the program follows given a particular **test input**.

- Test set T satisfies coverage criterion C on graph G iff there exists some test path in path(T) that satisfies each test requirement generated by C.
- Nondeterministic programs or inputs could result in multiple test paths for a single input.
- Statement coverage: TR contains a requirement to visit n for each node $n \in reach_G(N_0)$.
- Branch coverage: TR contains each reachable path of length at most 1 in G.
- Complete path coverage: TR contains all paths in G. Impossible for graphs with loops.
- For real programs, 80% coverage is usually good enough.

6 Finite state machine (L09)

- Higher level graph to describe your program state.
 - Nodes are software states
 - Edges are transitions between them
 - Edges may be guarded by preconditions and postconditions
- Test requirements and coverage are analogous to those for CFGs
- Simple round trip coverage: TR has at least one round-trip path for every reachable node in G in a round-trip path.
- Complete round-trip coverage TR has all round-trip paths for every reachable node in G.
- To obtain an FSM:
 - CFGs can be considered really bad FSMs
 - Look at the software structure or specs.
 - Model state using state variables and prune using domain knowledge.
 - iComment, Daikon

7 Syntax-based testing (L10)

- Grammars can be input-space (for test inputs) or grammar-space (for mutations).
- Grammars can be regexes or context free grammars.
- Obtaining invalid strings
 - Mutate the grammar by adding, removing, permuting terminals and nonterminals
 - Or just misderive rules the same way

7.1 Fuzzing (L11)

- Everything is bad and please write to your MPs.
- Mutation-based: Change existing test cases. Either randomly flip bits, or parse and mutate.
- Generation-based: Increasingly sophisticated generation. Example for a C compiler:
 - 1. Random bitstring
 - 2. Random ASCII chars

- 3. Sequence of words, separators, and whitespace
- 4. Syntactically correct programs
- 5. Type-correct programs
- 6. Statically conforming programs
- 7. Dynamically conforming programs
- 8. Model-conforming programs

8 Test coverage in reality (L13)

- Open source: 20-95% statement coverage
- Industry: 80% statement coverage
- JUnit: 85% statement coverage. 13000 lines of system code, 15000 lines of test code
 - -65% coverage on deprecated code
 - -93% coverage on non-deprecated code

8.1 Reasons for not testing

- Code is too simple (getters, setters, empty methods)
- Dead by design (code that should never actually be run)
- Hard to execute code (like OOM handlers)

9 Mutation testing (L14)

- Change program so it's wrong and see if tests fail.
- **Ground string**: A program. ("a string belonging to a programming language's grammar")
- Mutation operator: A way to change a program. ("specifies syntactic variations of a string")
- Mutant: A changed program. ("the result of applying a mutation operator to a ground string")
- A test case **kills** a mutant if the test case distinguishes between the mutant and the original.
- Mutation score: % of mutants killed given a fixed set of mutants
- Mutation testing: Keep adding tests until the mutation scores reaches some target
- Uninteresting mutants include those which are:
 - Stillborn: Can't compile or immediate crash
 - Trivial: Killed by almost any test case
 - Equivalent: Same as original program

9.1 Strong and weak (L15)

- Strong mutation: The fault must propagate to output (RIP)
- Weak mutation: The fault need only infect state (RI)
- Strong killing: The mutation is killed by a mismatch in output
- Weak killing: The mutation is killed by any internal error state

- Strong mutation coverage (SMC): All mutants are strongly killed by some test in TR.
- Weak mutation coverage (WMC): All mutants are weakly killed by some test in TR.

9.2 Mutation testing algorithm

- Create mutants
- Eliminate known-equivalent mutants
- While not enough mutants killed:
 - Generate test cases
 - Run test cases on program
 - Run test cases on mutants
 - Filter out bogus test cases (ones which kill no mutants)
- Is program output on test cases correct?
 - Yes: Wünderbar!
 - No: Fix program, start from beginning

9.3 Integration mutation testing

- Change param values in caller
- Change choice of callee
- Change callee inputs and outputs
- In Object Oriented:
 - Modify object of field accesses / method calls

9.4 Coverage vs. mutation testing

- Out of 300 real bugs:
 - 73\% were found by mutation testing
 - 50% were found by branch coverage
 - 40% were found by statement coverage

10 Test suite engineering (L18)

- Many small tests are better than one big test.
- Name tests well.
- Make it easy to add new tests.
- Focus on unit tests, but eventually have integration tests.
- Write tests as you code, or even before.
- Don't make flaky tests.
- Avoid having tests look into internal state.

11 Selenium (L19)

- It automates browser tests
- IDE allows for record/replay
- WebDriver is an API for browsers
- Page objects are layers of abstraction between the test and the WebDriver.

12 Bug finding (L21)

- Look for contradictions and deviances.
- MUST-beliefs: Things you know for sure
- MAY-beliefs: Things that are merely likely
- Confidence = Support of both / Support of one (L22)

13 Self-checking tests (L25)

- Verifying:
 - State: Field accesses and value comparison.
 - Behaviour: Calls made.

13.1 Types of test objects (L26)

- Dummies: Don't do anything except exist
- Fakes: Real behaviour. Example: In-memory database.
- Stubs: Canned answers.
- Mocks: Canned answers, and checking for appropriate calls.
- Spies: Wraps the real object. Just records interactions.

14 Code review (L28)

14.1 Things to do

- Positive tone
- Explain why
- Don't be Dr. No
- Specific and actionable advice
 - Linked to places in the code
- Acknowledge effort, say things that are good
- Don't ask for unnecessary changes
- Provide shorter chunks for review
- $\bullet\,$ Think beyond the superficial
- Make sure the code works
 - And has tests
 - That have been run
- Ask for clarifications on areas of confusion

14.2 Things to check

- Formatting and naming
- Don't repeat yourself
- Code should fail fast
- Avoid magic numbers
- One purpose per variable

15 Bug reports (L29)

15.1 Things to do

- Explain bug's consequences
- Explain steps to reproduce
 - Specific steps
 - Minimal test case
- Respond to follow-up
- Correct tone

16 Testing tools

- You can verify your code using
 - Manual testing
 - Automated testing suite, manually generated
 - Automatically-generated suites
 - Static analysis tools

16.1 FindBugs (L32)

- Static analysis of JVM bytecode
- Finds patterns, such as
 - off-by-one errors
 - null pointer derefs
 - ignored read() return values
 - some ignored return values
 - uninitialized reads in constructor

16.2 Facebook Infer (L33)

- Static analysis tool
- Also enforces generic program properties
- Open source and runs on industrial codebaces
- Works on C, Objective C, C++, Java
- Written in OCaml
- Infer Eradicate
 - References treated as non-null by default
 - @Nullable allows null
- Does inter-procedural analysis
- Leak detection
 - Memory leaks
 - Resource leaks (like files)
- Taint analysis
 - Data from untrusted source should not go to trusted sink
 - unless it passes through a sanitizer

16.3 Dynamic analysis tools (L35)

- Memory errors
 - Memory errors with Memcheck
 - Race conditions with Helgrind

- Memcheck
 - Illegal reads and writes
 - Reads of uninitialized memory
 - Bad frees: free(n); free(n); // no
 - Memory moves: memcpy must not overlap
 - Bad arg values: malloc(-3)
 - Memory leaks (threw away all pointers to allocated memory)
 - Performance penalty: 10x-50x
- Address Sanitizer
 - Alternative to memcheck
 - 2x slowdown
 - Use-after-free
 - Use-after-return/after-scope
 - Double-free, invalid free
 - Memory leaks
- Design decisions
 - Valgrind may return false positives
 - Address Sanitizer does NOT return false positives
 - * terminates upon any error
- Implementation techniques
 - Valgrind: Virtual CPU and check relevant instructions
 - Address Sanitizer: Rewrite every memory access to check it, keep metadata about valid memory

17 Index of tools

- Cobertura: Measures coverage
- iComment, Daikon: Automatically generates FSMs (L09)
- Fuzzinator, american fuzzy loop: Fuzzers (L11)
- Chaos Monkey: Saboteur. Randomly takes servers down (L11)
- PIT: Java mutation testing.
- Coverity: Versatile bug finder.
- PMD: Static property checking using XPath. (L30-L31)
- **FindBugs**: Static analysis of Java bytecode. Harder rules. (L32)
- **Korat**: Generates all possible Java objects in a category, for use in testing.
- **FB Infer**: Facebook open-source Coverity, kinda. (L33)
 - Eradicate: Detect null pointers
 - Analyzer: Resource and memory leaks
- ESC/Java2: Reads JML specs and verifies them statically. (L34)
- Valgrind: Dynamic analysis. (L35)
 - Memcheck: Default tool. Memory errors.
 - Helgrind: Detects race conditions.
- AddressSanitizer: Like Valgrind Memcheck but faster.
- Randoop: Automatically generate unit tests

18 XPath examples

- book[@style]: All <book> elements with a style attribute, in the current context
- book/@style: All style attributes of <book> elements, in the current context
- book[/bookstore/@specialty=@style]: All <book> elements whose style is equal to the specialty of the root bookstore, in the current context.
- author[1]: The first <author> in the current context
- author[degree][award]: All <author> elements with a <degree> and <award>
- author[degree and award]: Same
- degree[@from != "Harvard"]: Degrees not from Harvard
- book[last()-1]: Second last book
- book[price > 35]/title: Titles of <book> elements with a <price> element greater than 35