

# PEP457: Syntax for Positional-Only Parameters

by Zachary Doyle, Niklas Fejes, Samuel Carnes

December 8th, 2014

## Broad definition of parameter types

-Established data types of arguments that can be taken by the function  
-Can depend on the position, the keyword involved, or any combination of the two

# Explanation of Keyword-or-Positional args Python3 (current)

-Parameters taking both keyword and positional arguments -Keyword argument passed with an identifier or value in a dictionary preceded by \*\* -Positional argument passed with either the start of an argument list or as an element preceded by \*, being a direct value

## Example

```
def func1(foo, bar = None, **kwargs)
def func2(thisOne = 3, thatOne = 5)
def func3(3, 5)
```

-Examples of methods that can handle both

# Explanation of Keyword-only arguments

- Parameters set to only take keyword based arguments
- Can be defined by either a single var-positional parameter or a single `*` in the parameter listing

## Example

```
def func(arg, *, kw_only1, kw_only2)
```

-Both kw\_only1 & kw\_only2 will only take keyword based arguments

## Counter-example of /optional/ arguments

```
def func(a, b = 13, c = 42):  
    return "a => {}, b => {}, c => {}".format(a, b, c)"  
print func(6)  
    a => 6, b => 13, c => 42
```

-Keyword arguments considered optional by default -Standard syntax is that optional values follow positional parameters

# Explanation of positional-only parameters

- ▶ Can not be keyworded
- ▶ Can have optional groups of parameters



# Explanation of the new syntax

- ▶ Current

```
def name(positional_or_keyword, *, keyword_only):
```

- ▶ New

```
def name(positional_only, /, positional_or_keyword, *,  
         keyword_only):
```

# Explanation of the new optional parameter syntax

- ▶ Optional groups

```
def foo([a, b,] c, [d,] /):
```

# Motivation

- ▶ In many cases the current documentation is unclear or ambiguous.
- ▶ It is possible but non-trivial to implement positional-only parameters in current Python.

*currently in the documentation there's no way to tell whether a function takes positional-only parameters.*

# Motivation

Example: - How would you implement the following function?

```
range(stop)  
range(start, stop[, step])
```

vs

```
range([start,] stop, [step,] /)
```

# Motivation

- ▶ This PEP proposes an unambiguous way of expressing function parameters.
- ▶ No need for multiple documentations of the same function.
- ▶ Revises parameter passing in a backwards compatible way.

## Semantics example: single positional-only parameter

- ▶ Given this python function:

```
def single_po_single_pk(positional,/,k_or_p):  
    print(str(positional)+","+str(k_or_p))
```

- ▶ The following are valid:

```
single_po_single_pk("abc","efg")
```

```
abc, efg
```

```
single_po_single_pk("abc",keywordorpositional="efg")
```

```
abc, efg
```

- ▶ Positional arguments always precede other argument types.

## Semantics example: multiple positional only parameters

- ▶ Given this python function:

```
def multi_po_single_pk(pos1,pos2/,k_or_p):  
    print(str(pos1)+","+str(pos2)+","+str(k_or_p))
```

- ▶ The following are valid:

```
single_po_single_pk("abc","efg","hij")  
abc, efg, hij  
single_po_single_pk("abc","efg",keywordorpositional="hij")  
abc, efg, hij
```

- ▶ Not a big step forward, semantically.

## Semantics example: mixed positional-only and keyword-only parameters

- ▶ Given this python function:

```
def multi_po_multi_pk(pos1,pos2,/,*,key1,key2):  
    print(str(pos1)+","+str(pos2))  
    print(str(key1)+","+str(key2))
```

- ▶ The following are valid:

```
single_po_single_pk("a","b",key1="c",key2="d")
```

a, b

c, d

```
single_po_single_pk("a","b",key2="c",key1="d")
```

a, b

d, c



## Semantics example: new optional argument semantics

- ▶ Given this python function:

```
def optionalargs([op1, op2,] pos, /):  
    print(str(op1)+","+str(op2)+","+str(pos))
```

- ▶ The following are valid:

```
optionalargs("a")  
, , a  
optionalargs("a","b","c")  
a, b, c
```

- ▶ Note that passing two arguments to optionalargs would raise an exception. Why?

## Optional argument semantics, cont'd

```
def optionalargs([op1, op2,] pos, [op3,] [op4,] /):  
    print("[ "+str(op1)+"", [ "+str(op2)+"", [ "+str(pos)  
        +"]", [ "+str(op3)+"", [ "+str(op4)+""]")
```

- The following are valid:

```
optionalargs("a")
```

```
[], [], [a], [], []
```

```
optionalargs("a", "b")
```

```
[], [], [a], [b], []
```

```
optionalargs("a", "b", "c")
```

```
[a], [b], [c], [], []
```

```
optionalargs("a", "b", "c", "d")
```

```
[a], [b], [c], [d], []
```

```
optionalargs("a", "b", "c", "d", "e")
```

```
[a], [b], [c], [d], [e]
```

# Questions

- ▶ Got any?

# Credits

