

# Empirics of my model: overview

Nicolas Fernandez-Arias

April 25, 2018

## 1 Introduction

It would be nice to actually solve my model. And nest it and the standard model in a general model, and then the discrepancies between it and the standard model can be used for identification.

## 2 Stylized facts

## 3 Identification

We can imagine a model with 7 parameters:  $\{\lambda, \nu, \chi, p, \xi, \beta, \rho\}$ . This assumes the same innovation technology for entrants and incumbents. There will still be R&D by incumbents in equilibrium since free entry does not occur immediately.

### 3.1 Level of innovation intensity

### 3.2 Relative innovation intensities

One key prediction of my model is on the time-path of the ratio of innovation effort by incumbents and entrants. This time path is determined by  $p, \lambda, \nu$ .

Incumbents and entrants have R&D technology given by:

$$\begin{aligned}R(z) &= \chi z \phi(z) \\ \hat{R}(z; \bar{z}) &= \chi z \phi(\chi) \\ \phi(z) &= z^{-p}\end{aligned}$$

and given a choice of curvature and level productivity of this function,  $\lambda$  is identified by the extent to which entrants innovate relative to incumbents. In equilibrium, entrant innovation effort is given by

$$\hat{z}(m) = \xi \min(m, M)$$

$$M = \left[ \frac{\tilde{\beta}}{\lambda V(0)} \right]^{-1/p}$$

and incumbent innovation effort is

$$z(m) = \left[ \frac{\tilde{\beta} - \nu W(m) - \nu V'(m)}{(1-p)(\lambda V(0) - V(m))} \right]^{-1/p}$$

This implies that, for all  $m$ , we have

$$\frac{z(m)}{\hat{z}(m)} = \left[ \frac{\tilde{\beta} - \nu W(m) - \nu V'(m)}{\tilde{\beta}} \times \frac{\lambda V(0)}{(1-p)(\lambda V(0) - V(m))} \right]^{-1/p} \quad (1)$$

$$= D(m)(1-p)^{1/p} \quad (2)$$

In addition, the fact that  $V'(m) = 0, W(m) = 0$  if  $m \geq M$  implies that, for  $m > M$ ,  $D(m) = D(M)$  and so

$$\frac{z(m)}{\hat{z}(m)} = D(M)(1-p)^{1/p} \quad (3)$$

**Identification of  $p$**  First, consider equation (1). From here we see that  $p$  shifts the ratio for all  $m$  in a similar way. In particular, taking logs, get

$$\log(z(m)) = \log(\hat{z}(m)) - \frac{1}{p} \log(D(m)) - \frac{\log(1-p)}{p} \quad (4)$$

$$= \log(\hat{z}(m)) - \underbrace{\frac{1}{p}(D(m) - D(M))}_{\text{Slope in } m} - \underbrace{\frac{\log(1-p) + D(M)}{p}}_{\text{Level shift}} \quad (5)$$

Hence, taking other parameters as given,  $p$  determines:<sup>1</sup>

1. First term: higher  $p$  attenuates the rate of change of ratio in  $m$
2. Second term:  $p$  shifts level of ratio. Direction?

---

<sup>1</sup>I am only keeping track of *direct effects* of changing  $p$ . Changing  $p$  also changes the function  $D$  through its effect on equilibrium values  $V, W$ . Still thinking about how to make this all work.

**Identification of  $\lambda, \nu$**  We have defined

$$D(m) = \left( \frac{\tilde{\beta} - \nu W(m) - \nu V'(m)}{\tilde{\beta}} \times \frac{\lambda V(0)}{(1-p)(\lambda V(0) - V(m))} \right)^{-1/p}$$

## 4 Extensions