

Practice Question with Solution

A video-streaming platform deploys a machine learning system to automatically detect copyright-violating videos.

The system classifies uploaded videos as Violating or Non-Violating.

Confusion Matrix:

	Predicted Violating	Predicted Non-Violating
Actual Violating	180 (TP)	30 (FN)
Actual Non-Violating	45 (FP)	245 (TN)

- Calculate overall accuracy.
- Calculate precision.
- Calculate recall.
- Evaluate system effectiveness.

Note : A confusion matrix is a table that summarizes the performance of a classification model by showing the relationship between actual and predicted classes using true positives, false positives, false negatives, and true negatives. Precision measures the proportion of correctly predicted positive instances out of all predicted positives, indicating how reliable positive predictions are. Recall measures the proportion of correctly predicted positive instances out of all actual positives, indicating how well the model identifies all relevant cases.

Solution:

Given:

- True Positives (TP) = 180
- False Negatives (FN) = 30
- False Positives (FP) = 45
- True Negatives (TN) = 245
- Total samples = $180 + 30 + 45 + 245 = 500$

Accuracy = $(TP + TN) / \text{Total} = (180 + 245) / 500 = 85\%$

Precision = $TP / (TP + FP) = 180 / 225 = 80\%$

Recall = $TP / (TP + FN) = 180 / 210 \approx 85.7\%$

So we can conclude that:

The system is more effective at detecting copyright-violating videos. This is supported by the high recall (85.7%), indicating that most actual violating videos are successfully identified. Although precision is slightly lower (80%), the system prioritizes catching violations over minimizing false alarms, which is appropriate for content moderation where missing violations can have serious consequences.

Part B:

Machine learning systems can be described using the Task (T), Performance Measure (P), and Experience (E) framework. Using the scenarios below, identify T, P, and E for each case.

Scenario	Task (T)	Performance Measure (P)	Experience (E)
A food-delivery platform predicts delivery time based on traffic, distance, restaurant load, and past delivery records.			
A music streaming app recommends playlists based on listening history, skips, likes, and user mood selections.			
A bank uses machine learning to flag suspicious transactions in real time.			

Solution:

Scenario	Task (T)	Performance Measure (P)	Experience (E)
Food-delivery time prediction	Predict delivery time	Prediction accuracy	Past delivery records, traffic, distance
Music playlist recommendation	Recommend relevant playlists	Click-through rate, listening duration	User listening history, likes, skips
Fraud detection in banking	Detect fraudulent transactions	Detection accuracy, false-positive rate	Historical transaction data, fraud labels

