

Problem 1: Semantics

Exercise 1:

a. For conditional if e_0 then e_1 else e_2 , if e_1 has type int , and if e_1 and e_2 both have the same type t (int), then the conditional has type t . If the then branch is taken, the resulting value has the same type as e_1 . The else branch produces a value of the same type as e_2 . Both branches must return the same type- int .

b. $'a \rightarrow 'a \rightarrow \text{int}$

c. if $\text{env}(e_0) = e_1$
then $\text{env} :: e_0 \rightarrow e_1$
else $\text{env} :: e_0 \rightarrow e_2$

d. let $\text{is_match} (e_0 : \text{int}) : \text{int} =$
 match e_0 with
 0 $\rightarrow e_2$
 | _ $\rightarrow e_1$

Exercise 2:

```
env :: {x=1}
env :: {x=1, f = <<fun y -> (let x = y+1 in fun z -> x+y+z, {x=1}>>)}
env :: {x=1, f = <<fun y -> fun z -> x+y+z, {x=y+1}>> }
env :: {x=1, f=<<fun y -> <<fun z-> x+y+z,{x=y+1}>> >>}
env :: {x=3, f=<<fun y -> <<fun z-> x+y+z, {x=y+1}>> >>}
env :: {x=3, f=<<fun y -> {g=f 4} <<fun z-> x+y+z, {x=y+1}>> >>}
env :: {x=3, y=5, f=<<fun y -> {g=f 4} <<fun z-> x+y+z, {x=y+1}>> >>}
env :: {x=3, y=5, f=<<fun y -> {g=f 4}, {z = g 6} <<fun z-> x+y+z, {x=y+1}>> >>}
{z = f 4 6} {x = y+1} <<fun z -> x+y=z>> fun z -> y+1+y+z
= 2(4) + 1 + 6 = 15
```

(Step by step):

- The first let binds x to 2
- The second let binds f to fun y , where x is still bounded in the closure. The original binding of x persists in the closure.
- The third let binds x to $y+1$, which is bounded in the function environment.
- Function z is binded to $x+y+z$
- The fourth let binds x to 3, which is not enclosed in the function environment
- The original $x=y+1$ is still bounded in the function environment
- The fifth let binds g to function f 4. This is enclosed in the function environment
- The sixth let binds y to 5, which remains outside the function environment

- The seventh let binds z to $g\ 6$, which is contained in the environment enclosure.
- Applying the x evaluation and value for y and z , the entire expression evaluates to 15.

Problem 4:

Overall, this project went well. We didn't encounter any problems, but we could not test the `nats.ml` file with very large values because we ran out of ram space on the virtual machine. All of our functions are tail-recursive, which allows for efficient and constant stack-space. Problem 1 was done by Nikita Gupta, and problems 2 and 3 were done collaboratively by both Suraj and Nikita. Suraj was able to compile and generate the code for most of the functions in the Quadrees and Natural Numbers exercises.