Week4 monday

Recap so far: In DFA, the only memory available is in the states. Automata can only "remember" finitely far in the past and finitely much information, because they can have only finitely many states. If a computation path of a DFA visits the same state more than once, the machine can't tell the difference between the first time and future times it visits this state. Thus, if a DFA accepts one long string, then it must accept (infinitely) many similar strings.

Definition A positive integer p is a **pumping length** of a language L over Σ means that, for each string $s \in \Sigma^*$, if $|s| \geq p$ and $s \in L$, then there are strings x, y, z such that

$$s = xyz$$

and

$$|y| > 0$$
, for each $i \ge 0$, $xy^i z \in L$, and $|xy| \le p$.

Negation: A positive integer p is **not a pumping length** of a language L over Σ iff

$$\exists s \ (\ |s| \ge p \land s \in L \land \forall x \forall y \forall z \ (\ (s = xyz \land |y| > 0 \land |xy| \le p \) \rightarrow \exists i (i \ge 0 \land xy^iz \notin L)) \)$$

Informally:

Restating **Pumping Lemma**: If L is a regular language, then it has a pumping length.

Contrapositive: If L has no pumping length, then it is nonregular.

The Pumping Lemma cannot be used to prove that a language is regular.

The Pumping Lemma can be used to prove that a language is not regular.

Extra practice: Exercise 1.49 in the book.

Proof strategy: To prove that a language L is **not** regular,

- Consider an arbitrary positive integer p
- Prove that p is not a pumping length for L
- Conclude that L does not have any pumping length, and therefore it is not regular.

Example: $\Sigma = \{0, 1\}, L = \{0^n 1^n \mid n \ge 0\}.$

Fix p an arbitrary positive integer. List strings that are in L and have length greater than or equal to p:

 ${\rm Pick}\ s =$

Suppose s = xyz with $|xy| \le p$ and |y| > 0.

Then when i =

 $, xy^{i}z =$

Example: $\Sigma = \{0, 1\}, L = \{ww^{\mathcal{R}} \mid w \in \{0, 1\}^*\}.$

Fix p an arbitrary positive integer. List strings that are in L and have length greater than or equal to p:

Pick s =

Suppose s = xyz with $|xy| \le p$ and |y| > 0.

Then when i =

$$, xy^iz =$$

Example: $\Sigma = \{0, 1\}, L = \{0^j 1^k \mid j \ge k \ge 0\}.$

Fix p an arbitrary positive integer. List strings that are in L and have length greater than or equal to p:

Pick s =

Suppose s = xyz with $|xy| \le p$ and |y| > 0.

Then when i =

$$, xy^iz =$$

Example: $\Sigma = \{0, 1\}, L = \{0^n 1^m 0^n \mid m, n \ge 0\}.$

Fix p an arbitrary positive integer. List strings that are in L and have length greater than or equal to p:

Pick s =

Suppose s = xyz with $|xy| \le p$ and |y| > 0.

Then when i =

 $, xy^iz =$

$Extra\ practice:$

Language	$s \in L$	$s \notin L$	Is the language regular or nonregular?
$\{a^nb^n\mid 0\leq n\leq 5\}$			
$\{b^na^n\mid n\geq 2\}$			
$\{a^mb^n\mid 0\leq m\leq n\}$			
$\{a^mb^n\mid m\geq n+3, n\geq 0\}$			
$\{b^ma^n\mid m\geq 1, n\geq 3\}$			
$\{w \in \{a, b\}^* \mid w = w^{\mathcal{R}}\}$			
$\{ww^{\mathcal{R}} \mid w \in \{a, b\}^*\}$			


Week4 wednesday

Regular sets are not the end of the story

- Many nice / simple / important sets are not regular
- Limitation of the finite-state automaton model: Can't "count", Can only remember finitely far into the past, Can't backtrack, Must make decisions in "real-time"
- We know actual computers are more powerful than this model...

The **next** model of computation. Idea: allow some memory of unbounded size. How?

- To generalize regular expressions: **context-free grammars**
- To generalize NFA: **Pushdown automata**, which is like an NFA with access to a stack: Number of states is fixed, number of entries in stack is unbounded. At each step (1) Transition to new state based on current state, letter read, and top letter of stack, then (2) (Possibly) push or pop a letter to (or from) top of stack. Accept a string iff there is some sequence of states and some sequence of stack contents which helps the PDA processes the entire input string and ends in an accepting state.



Trace the computation of this PDA on the input string 01.


Trace the computation of this PDA on the input string 011.

Definition A **pushdown automaton** (PDA) is specified by a 6-tuple $(Q, \Sigma, \Gamma, \delta, q_0, F)$ where Q is the finite set of states, Σ is the input alphabet, Γ is the stack alphabet,

$$\delta: Q \times \Sigma_{\varepsilon} \times \Gamma_{\varepsilon} \to \mathcal{P}(Q \times \Gamma_{\varepsilon})$$

is the transition function, $q_0 \in Q$ is the start state, $F \subseteq Q$ is the set of accept states.

Formal definition



Draw the state diagram of a PDA with $\Sigma = \Gamma$.

Draw the state diagram of a PDA with $\Sigma \cap \Gamma = \emptyset$.


Week4 friday

Definition A **pushdown automaton** (PDA) is specified by a 6-tuple $(Q, \Sigma, \Gamma, \delta, q_0, F)$ where Q is the finite set of states, Σ is the input alphabet, Γ is the stack alphabet,

$$\delta: Q \times \Sigma_{\varepsilon} \times \Gamma_{\varepsilon} \to \mathcal{P}(Q \times \Gamma_{\varepsilon})$$

is the transition function, $q_0 \in Q$ is the start state, $F \subseteq Q$ is the set of accept states.

Formal definition



Draw the state diagram of a PDA with $\Sigma = \Gamma$.

Draw the state diagram of a PDA with $\Sigma \cap \Gamma = \emptyset$.

Read symbols from the input. As each 0 is read, push it onto the stack. As soon as 1s are seen, pop a 0 off the stack for each 1 read. If the stack becomes empty and there is exactly one 1 left to read, read that 1 and accept the input. If the stack becomes empty and there are either zero or more than one 1s left to read, or if the 1s are finished while the stack still contains 0s, or if any 0s appear in the input following 1s, reject the input.

State diagram for this PDA:

Extra practice: Consider the state diagram of a PDA with input alphabet Σ and stack alphabet Γ .


T 1 1	
Label	means
$a, b; c \text{ when } a \in \Sigma, b \in \Gamma, c \in \Gamma$	
_ , , , _	
$a, \varepsilon; c \text{ when } a \in \Sigma, c \in \Gamma$	
$a, b; \varepsilon$ when $a \in \Sigma, b \in \Gamma$	
a, b, ε when $a \in \mathbb{Z}, b \in \Gamma$	
$a, \varepsilon; \varepsilon \text{ when } a \in \Sigma$	
, ,	


How does the meaning change if a is replaced by ε ?

Note: alternate notation is to replace ; with \rightarrow

Mathematical description of language

State diagram of PDA recognizing language





$$\{0^i 1^j 0^k \mid i, j, k \ge 0\}$$

Week3 friday

Theorem: For an alphabet Σ , For each language L over Σ ,

L is recognized by some DFA iff L is recognized by some NFA iff L is described by some regular expression

If (any, hence all) these conditions apply, L is called **regular**.

Prove or Disprove: There is some alphabet Σ for which there is some language recognized by an NFA but not by any DFA.

Prove or Disprove: There is some alphabet Σ for which there is some finite language not described by any regular expression over Σ .

Prove or Disprove: If a language is recognized by an NFA then the complement of this language is not recognized by any DFA.

Set	Cardinality
$\{0,1\}$	
$\{0,1\}^*$	
$\mathcal{P}(\{0,1\})$	
The set of all languages over $\{0,1\}$	
The set of all regular expressions over $\{0,1\}$	
The set of all regular languages over $\{0,1\}$	

Pumping Lemma (Sipser Theorem 1.70): If A is a regular language, then there is a number p (a pumping length) where, if s is any string in A of length at least p, then s may be divided into three pieces, s = xyz such that

- |y| > 0
- for each $i \ge 0$, $xy^iz \in A$
- $|xy| \leq p$.

True or False: A pumping length for $A = \{0, 1\}^*$ is p = 5.

True or False: A pumping length for $A = \{1, 01, 001, 0001, 00001\}$ is p = 4.

True or False: A pumping length for $A = \{0^j 1 \mid j \ge 0\}$ is p = 3.

True or False: For any language A, if p is a pumping length for A and p' > p, then p' is also a pumping length for A.