

Week2 monday

Review: Formal definition of DFA: $M = (Q, \Sigma, \delta, q_0, F)$

- Finite set of states Q
- Alphabet Σ
- Transition function δ
- Start state q_0
- Accept (final) states F

In the state diagram of M , how many outgoing arrows are there from each state?

$M = (\{q, r, s\}, \{a, b\}, \delta, q, \{s\})$ where δ is (rows labelled by states and columns labelled by symbols):

| δ | a | b |
|----------|-----|-----|
| q | r | q |
| r | r | s |
| s | s | s |

The state diagram for M is

Give two examples of strings that are accepted by M and two examples of strings that are rejected by M :

Add “labels” for states in the state diagram, e.g. “have not seen any of desired pattern yet” or “sink state”.

We can use the analysis of the roles of the states in the state diagram to describe the language recognized by the DFA.

$L(M) =$

A regular expression describing $L(M)$ is

Let the alphabet be $\Sigma_1 = \{0, 1\}$.

A state diagram for a DFA that recognizes $\{w \mid w \text{ contains at most two 1's}\}$ is

A state diagram for a DFA that recognizes $\{w \mid w \text{ contains more than two 1's}\}$ is

Extra example: A state diagram for DFA recognizing

$$\{w \mid w \text{ is a string over } \{0,1\} \text{ whose length is not a multiple of } 3\}$$

Let n be an arbitrary positive integer. What is a formal definition for a DFA recognizing

$$\{w \mid w \text{ is a string over } \{0,1\} \text{ whose length is not a multiple of } n\}?$$

Week2 wednesday

Suppose A is a language over an alphabet Σ . By definition, this means A is a subset of Σ^* . **Claim:** if there is a DFA M such that $L(M) = A$ then there is another DFA, let's call it M' , such that $L(M') = \overline{A}$, the complement of A , defined as $\{w \in \Sigma^* \mid w \notin A\}$.

Proof idea:

Proof:

A useful (optional) bit of terminology: the **iterated transition function** of a DFA $M = (Q, \Sigma, \delta, q_0, F)$ is defined recursively by

$$\delta^*(q, w) = \begin{cases} q & \text{if } q \in Q, w = \varepsilon \\ \delta(q, a) & \text{if } q \in Q, w = a \in \Sigma \\ \delta(\delta^*(q, u), a) & \text{if } q \in Q, w = ua \text{ where } u \in \Sigma^* \text{ and } a \in \Sigma \end{cases}$$

Using this terminology, M accepts a string w over Σ if and only if $\delta^*(q_0, w) \in F$.

Fix $\Sigma = \{a, b\}$. A state diagram for a DFA that recognizes $\{w \mid w \text{ has } ab \text{ as a substring and is of even length}\}$:

Suppose A_1, A_2 are languages over an alphabet Σ . **Claim:** if there is a DFA M_1 such that $L(M_1) = A_1$ and DFA M_2 such that $L(M_2) = A_2$, then there is another DFA, let's call it M , such that $L(M) = A_1 \cap A_2$.

Proof idea:

Formal construction:

Application: When $A_1 = \{w \mid w \text{ has } ab \text{ as a substring}\}$ and $A_2 = \{w \mid w \text{ is of even length}\}$.

Suppose A_1, A_2 are languages over an alphabet Σ . **Claim:** if there is a DFA M_1 such that $L(M_1) = A_1$ and DFA M_2 such that $L(M_2) = A_2$, then there is another DFA, let's call it M , such that $L(M) = A_1 \cup A_2$.
Sipser Theorem 1.25, page 45

Proof idea:

Formal construction:

Application: A state diagram for a DFA that recognizes $\{w \mid w \text{ has } ab \text{ as a substring or is of even length}\}$:

Week1 wednesday

Our motivation in studying sets of strings is that they can be used to encode problems. To calibrate how difficult a problem is to solve, we describe how complicated the set of strings that encodes it is. How do we define sets of strings?

How would you describe the language that has no elements at all?

How would you describe the language that has all strings over $\{0, 1\}$ as its elements?

Definition 1.52: A **regular expression** over alphabet Σ is a syntactic expression that can describe a language over Σ . The collection of all regular expressions is defined recursively:

Basis steps of recursive definition

a is a regular expression, for $a \in \Sigma$

ε is a regular expression

\emptyset is a regular expression

Recursive steps of recursive definition

$(R_1 \cup R_2)$ is a regular expression when R_1, R_2 are regular expressions

$(R_1 \circ R_2)$ is a regular expression when R_1, R_2 are regular expressions

(R_1^*) is a regular expression when R_1 is a regular expression

The *semantics* (or meaning) of the syntactic regular expression is the **language described by the regular expression**. The function that assigns a language to a regular expression over Σ is defined recursively, using familiar set operations:

Basis steps of recursive definition

The language described by a , for $a \in \Sigma$, is $\{a\}$ and we write $L(a) = \{a\}$

The language described by ε is $\{\varepsilon\}$ and we write $L(\varepsilon) = \{\varepsilon\}$

The language described by \emptyset is $\{\}$ and we write $L(\emptyset) = \emptyset$.

Recursive steps of recursive definition

When R_1, R_2 are regular expressions, the language described by the regular expression $(R_1 \cup R_2)$ is the union of the languages described by R_1 and R_2 , and we write

$$L((R_1 \cup R_2)) = L(R_1) \cup L(R_2) = \{w \mid w \in L(R_1) \vee w \in L(R_2)\}$$

When R_1, R_2 are regular expressions, the language described by the regular expression $(R_1 \circ R_2)$ is the concatenation of the languages described by R_1 and R_2 , and we write

$$L((R_1 \circ R_2)) = L(R_1) \circ L(R_2) = \{uv \mid u \in L(R_1) \wedge v \in L(R_2)\}$$

When R_1 is a regular expression, the language described by the regular expression (R_1^*) is the **Kleene star** of the language described by R_1 and we write

$$L((R_1^*)) = (L(R_1))^* = \{w_1 \cdots w_k \mid k \geq 0 \text{ and each } w_i \in L(R_1)\}$$

For the following examples assume the alphabet is $\Sigma_1 = \{0, 1\}$:

The language described by the regular expression 0 is $L(0) = \{0\}$

The language described by the regular expression 1 is $L(1) = \{1\}$

The language described by the regular expression ε is $L(\varepsilon) = \{\varepsilon\}$

The language described by the regular expression \emptyset is $L(\emptyset) = \emptyset$

The language described by the regular expression $((0 \cup 1) \cup 1)$ is $L((0 \cup 1) \cup 1) =$

The language described by the regular expression 1^+ is $L(1^+) =$

The language described by the regular expression Σ_1^*1 is $L(\Sigma_1^*1) =$

The language described by the regular expression $(\Sigma_1\Sigma_1\Sigma_1\Sigma_1\Sigma_1)^*$ is $L((\Sigma_1\Sigma_1\Sigma_1\Sigma_1\Sigma_1)^*) =$

A regular expression that describes the language $\{00, 01, 10, 11\}$ is

A regular expression that describes the language $\{0^n1 \mid n \text{ is even}\}$ is

Shorthand and conventions

| | |
|---|--|
| Assuming Σ is the alphabet, we use the following conventions | |
| Σ | regular expression describing language consisting of all strings of length 1 over Σ |
| $*$ then \circ then \cup | precedence order, unless parentheses are used to change it |
| R_1R_2 | shorthand for $R_1 \circ R_2$ (concatenation symbol is implicit) |
| R^+ | shorthand for $R^* \circ R$ |
| R^k | shorthand for R concatenated with itself k times, where k is a natural number |
| Pages 63 - 65 | |

Caution: many programming languages that support regular expressions build in functionality that is more powerful than the “pure” definition of regular expressions given here.

Regular expressions are everywhere (once you start looking for them).

Software tools and languages often have built-in support for regular expressions to describe **patterns** that we want to match (e.g. Excel/ Sheets, grep, Perl, python, Java, Ruby).

Under the hood, the first phase of **compilers** is to transform the strings we write in code to tokens (keywords, operators, identifiers, literals). Compilers use regular expressions to describe the sets of strings that can be used for each token type.

Next time: we’ll start to see how to build machines that decide whether strings match the pattern described by a regular expression.

Extra examples for practice:

Which regular expression(s) below describe a language that includes the string a as an element?

a^*b^*

$a(ba)^*b$

$a^* \cup b^*$

$(aaa)^*$

$(\varepsilon \cup a)b$

Week1 friday

Review: Determine whether each statement below about regular expressions over the alphabet $\{a, b, c\}$ is true or false:

True or False: $a \in L((a \cup b) \cup c)$

True or False: $ab \in L((a \cup b)^*)$

True or False: $ba \in L(a^*b^*)$

True or False: $\varepsilon \in L(a \cup b \cup c)$

True or False: $\varepsilon \in L((a \cup b)^*)$

True or False: $\varepsilon \in L(a^*b^*)$

From the pre-class reading, pages 34-36: A deterministic finite automaton (DFA) is specified by $M = (Q, \Sigma, \delta, q_0, F)$. This 5-tuple is called the **formal definition** of the DFA. The DFA can also be represented by its state diagram: with nodes for the state, labelled edges specifying the transition function, and decorations on nodes denoting the start and accept states.

Finite set of states Q can be labelled by any collection of distinct names. Often we use default state labels q_0, q_1, \dots

The alphabet Σ determines the possible inputs to the automaton. Each input to the automaton is a string over Σ , and the automaton “processes” the input one symbol (or character) at a time.

The transition function δ gives the next state of the DFA based on the current state of the machine and on the next input symbol.

The start state q_0 is an element of Q . Each computation of the machine starts at the start state.

The accept (final) states F form a subset of the states of the DFA, $F \subseteq Q$. These states are used to flag if the machine accepts or rejects an input string.

The computation of a machine on an input string is a sequence of states in the machine, starting with the start state, determined by transitions of the machine as it reads successive input symbols.

The DFA M accepts the given input string exactly when the computation of M on the input string ends in an accept state. M rejects the given input string exactly when the computation of M on the input string ends in a nonaccept state, that is, a state that is not in F .

The language of M , $L(M)$, is defined as the set of all strings that are each accepted by the machine M . Each string that is rejected by M is not in $L(M)$. The language of M is also called the language recognized by M .

What is **finite** about all deterministic finite automata? (Select all that apply)

- ☐ The size of the machine (number of states, number of arrows)
- ☐ The number of strings that are accepted by the machine
- ☐ The length of each computation of the machine



The formal definition of this DFA is

Classify each string $a, aa, ab, ba, bb, \varepsilon$ as accepted by the DFA or rejected by the DFA.

Why are these the only two options?

The language recognized by this DFA is



The language recognized by this DFA is



The language recognized by this DFA is