

Implementation

Saturday, November 19, 2022 12:09 PM

Simulation

- System Architect:
 - Load: Load Profile (load can account for additional EV, EV not registered) + EVs
 - Uncontrollable Assets: PV
 - Controllable Assets: Grid + EVs + (Genset) + (Battery)
- Tariff:
 - Energy
 - Power
 - Self-consumption

Convention:

- If power is drawn (leaving) from the element, then it assumes positive value. Hence,

$$P_{PV} \geq 0$$

$$P_{EV} \leq 0 \text{ (assuming unidirectional)}$$

$$P^G \geq 0 \text{ (assuming no selling back)}$$

- Decision variables are in **bold**

Constraints

- Power Balance

$$P_t^G + \sum_{v=1}^V P_{t,v}^{EV} + P_t^{BESS} + P_t^{PV} + P_t^{Load} = 0$$

- Grid Power Separation

$$P_t^G = P_t^{G+} - P_t^{G-}$$

$$P_t^{G+} \geq 0$$

$$P_t^{G-} \geq 0$$

- Demand Peak (relaxed to the objective function)

$$P_t^G \leq P^{Peak}$$

Or

$$P_t^{SurPeak+} - P_t^{SurPeak-} = P^{Peak} - P_t^G$$

$$P_t^{SurPeak+} \geq 0, P_t^{SurPeak-} \geq 0$$

- No Charging before arrival time

$$y_t^v = 0 \text{ if } t < TA_v, \quad \forall v \in V$$

- Charging Activation:

$$y_t^v = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } v \text{ is charged at time } t \\ 0 & \end{cases}$$

- If variable charging power is the case:

$$M \times y_t^v \geq -P_t^{EV} \text{ (complementary required in the objective function)}$$

$$P_{nom}^v \geq -P_{t,v}^{EV}, \quad \forall v \in V$$

- If fixed charging power:

$$P_{nom}^v \times y_t^v = -P_{t,v}^{EV} \text{ with } P_{nom}^v \text{ is the nominal charging power of the vehicle } v$$

- Maximum EV Charged < = number of sockets

$$\sum_{v=1}^V y_t^v \leq N_s, \text{ with } N_s = \text{number of sockets}$$

- EV's State of Charge: soc_{t+1}^v is the state of charge of vehicle v at the beginning of the interval $[t, t + 1]$

$$soc_{t+1}^v = \eta^v \times \frac{-P_{t,v}^{EV}}{CEV^v} \times \Delta t + soc_t^v, \quad \forall t \in [1, T - 1], \Delta t = \text{Timestep}/3600$$

$$soc_1^v = soc_{init}^v, \quad t = 0$$

$$soc_t^v \geq socMin$$

$$soc_t^v \leq socMax$$

□ Max is 1

- Departure Constraints:

- Hard Constraint: SoC must be at the desired level at departure time (TD^v). This hard constraint will be a problem if we have a fixed charging power level.

$$soc_t^v \geq soc_{desired}^v, \quad \text{if } \forall t \geq TD^v, \quad \forall v \in V$$

Notice that the equality constraint ($soc_t^v \geq soc_{desired}^v$) make the solver take a lot longer to solve (even exceed time limit)

□ $P_{t,v}^{EV} = 0, \quad \forall t \geq TD^v$

Tardiness is no longer required in the objective function

- Soft Constraints

$$tard_v = soc_{desired}^v - soc_t^v, \quad \text{if } t = TD^v$$

- Tardiness (with respect to the desired SoC)
- Socket Engagement: stop charging after tDeparture or desired SoC is satisfied

Objective Function

$$objFunc = Energy + Demand + Soft Constraint$$

$$Energy = \dots$$

$$Demand = \sum_{t=1}^T Pr_t^{DCM} \times \mathbf{P}_t^{SurPeak-}$$

- Cost Energy
- Cost Power
- Tardiness
- Unmet service
- Socket Engagement

Remarks

- The coefficients for the tardiness and cost for demand peak may be a determining factor for the solvability (& solving time), thus choosing the suitable cost for tardiness is genuinely important.
- > One more reason to separate into a peak problem with a sub problem for scheduling

Testing Scenarii

- With or without Demand Constraint
- Surplus PV
- Deficit PV