

1

2

30

Programming Tools

3 CC2E.COM/3084

4

5

6

7

8

9

**Contents**

30.1 Design Tools

30.2 Source-Code Tools

30.3 Executable-Code Tools

30.4 Tool-Oriented Environments

30.5 Building Your Own Programming Tools

30.6 Tool Fantasyland

10

11

12

13

**Related Topics**

Version-control tools: in Section 28.2

Debugging tools: Section 23.5

Test-support tools: Section 22.5

14

15

16

17

18

MODERN PROGRAMMING TOOLS DECREASE THE amount of time required for construction. Use of a leading-edge tool set—and familiarity with the tools used—can increase productivity by 50 percent or more (Jones 2000; Boehm, et al 2000). Programming tools can also reduce the amount of tedious detail work that programming requires.

19

20

21

22

**HARD DATA**

A dog might be man’s best friend, but a few good tools are a programmer’s best friends. As Barry Boehm discovered long ago, 20 percent of the tools tend to account for 80 percent of the tool usage (1987b). If you’re missing one of the more helpful tools, you’re missing something that you could use a lot.

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

This chapter is focused in two ways. First, it covers only construction tools. Requirements-specification, management, and end-to-end-development tools are outside the scope of the book. Refer to the “Additional Resources” section at the end of the chapter for more information on tools for those aspects of software development. Second, this chapter covers kinds of tools rather than specific brands. A few tools are so common that they’re discussed by name, but specific versions, products, and companies change so quickly that information about most of them would be out of date before the ink on these pages was dry.

A programmer can work for many years without discovering some of the most valuable tools available. The mission of this chapter is to survey available tools and help you determine whether you've overlooked any tools that might be useful. If you're a tool expert, you won't find much new information in this chapter. You might skim the earlier parts of the chapter, read Section 30.6 on Tool Fantasyland, and then move on to the next chapter.

## 30.1 Design Tools

**CROSS-REFERENCE** For details on design, see Chapters 5 through 9.

Current design tools consist mainly of graphical tools that create design diagrams. Design tools are sometimes embedded in a CASE tool with broader functions; some vendors advertise standalone design tools as CASE tools.

Graphical design tools generally allow you to express a design in common graphical notations—UML, architecture block diagrams, hierarchy charts, entity relationship diagrams, or class diagrams. Some graphical design tools support only one notation. Others support a variety.

In one sense, these design tools are just fancy drawing packages. Using a simple graphics package or pencil and paper, you can draw everything that the tool can draw. But the tools offer valuable capabilities that a simple graphics package can't. If you've drawn a bubble chart and you delete a bubble, a graphical design tool will automatically rearrange the other bubbles, including connecting arrows and lower-level bubbles connected to the bubble. The tool takes care of the housekeeping when you add a bubble too. A design tool can enable you to move between higher and lower levels of abstraction. A design tool will check the consistency of your design, and some tools can create code directly from your design.

## 30.2 Source-Code Tools

The tools available for working with source code are richer and more mature than the tools available for working with designs.

### Editing

This group of tools relates to editing source code.

### Integrated Development Environments (IDEs)

**HARD DATA**

Some programmers estimate that they spend as much as 40 percent of their time editing source code (Ratliff 1987, Parikh 1986). If that's the case, spending a few extra dollars for the best possible IDE is a good investment.

In addition to basic word-processing functions, good IDEs offer these features:

- Compilation and error detection from within the editor
- Compressed or outline views of programs (class names only or logical structures without the contents)
- Jump to definitions of classes, routines, and variables
- Jump to all places where a class, routine, or variable is used
- Language-specific formatting
- Interactive help for the language being edited
- Brace (*begin-end*) matching
- Templates for common language constructs (the editor completing the structure of a *for* loop after the programmer types *for*, for example)
- Smart indenting (including easily changing the indentation of a block of statements when logic changes)
- Macros programmable in a familiar programming language
- Memory of search strings so that commonly used strings don't need to be retyped
- Regular expressions in search-and-replace
- Search-and-replace across a group of files
- Editing multiple files simultaneously
- Multi-level undo

Considering some of the primitive editors still in use, you might be surprised to learn that several editors include all of these capabilities.

## Multiple-File String Searching and Replacing

If your editor doesn't support search and replace across multiple files, you can still find supplementary tools to do that job. These tools are useful for search for all occurrences of a class name or routine name. When you find an error in your code, you can use such tools to check for similar errors in other files.

You can search for exact strings, similar strings (ignoring differences in capitalization), or regular expressions. Regular expressions are particularly powerful because they let you search for complex string patterns. If you wanted to find all the array references containing magic numbers (digits "0" through "9"), you could search for "[", followed by zero or more spaces, followed by one or more digits, followed by zero or more spaces, followed by "]". One widely

available search tool is called “grep.” A grep query for magic numbers would look like this:

```
grep "\[ *[0-9]* *\]" *.c
```

You can make the search criteria more sophisticated to fine-tune the search.

It’s often helpful to be able to change strings across multiple files. For example, if you want to give a routine, constant, or global variable a better name, you might have to change the name in several files. Utilities that allow string changes across multiple files make that easy to do, which is good because you should have as few obstructions as possible to creating excellent class names, routine names, and constant names. Common tools for handling multiple-file string changes include Perl, AWK, and sed.

## Diff Tools

Programmers often need to compare two files. If you make several attempts to correct an error and need to remove the unsuccessful attempts, a file comparator will make a comparison of the original and modified files and list the lines you’ve changed. If you’re working on a program with other people and want to see the changes they have made since the last time you worked on the code, a comparator tool such as Diff will make a comparison of the current version with the last version of the code you worked on and show the differences. If you discover a new defect that you don’t remember encountering in an older version of a program, rather than seeing a neurologist about amnesia, you can use a comparator to compare current and old versions of the source code, determine exactly what changed, and find the source of the problem. This functionality is often built into revision control tools.

## Merge Tools

One style of revision control locks source files so that only one person can modify a file at a time. Another style allows multiple people to work on files simultaneously and handles merging changes at check-in time. In this working mode, tools that merge changes are critical. These tools typically perform simple merges automatically and query the user for merges that conflict with other merges or that are more involved.

## Source-Code Beautifiers

Source-code beautifiers spruce up your source code so that it looks consistent. They highlight class and routine names, standardize your indentation style, format comments consistently, and perform other similar functions. Some beautifiers can put each routine onto a separate web page or printed page or perform even more dramatic formatting. Many beautifiers let you customize the way in which the code is beautified.

**CROSS-REFERENCE** For details on program layout, see Chapter 31, “Layout and Style.”

135 There are at least two classes of source code beautifiers. One class takes the  
136 source code as input and produces much better looking output without changing  
137 the original source code.

138 Another kind of tool changes the source code itself—standardizing indentation,  
139 parameter list formatting, and so on. This capability is useful when working with  
140 large quantities of legacy code. The tool can do much of the tedious formatting  
141 work needed to make the legacy code conform to your coding style conventions.

## 142 Interface Documentation Tools

143 Some tools extract detailed programmer-interface documentation from source  
144 code files. The code inside the source file uses clues such as *@tag* fields to  
145 identify text that should be extracted. The interface documentation tool then  
146 extracts that tagged text and presents it with nice formatting. JavaDoc is the most  
147 prominent example of this kind of tool.

## 148 Templates

149 Templates help you exploit the simple idea of streamlining keyboarding tasks  
150 that you do often and want to do consistently. Suppose you want a standard  
151 comment prolog at the beginning of your routines. You could build a skeleton  
152 prolog with the correct syntax and places for all the items you want in the  
153 standard prolog. This skeleton would be a “template” you’d store in a file or a  
154 keyboard macro. When you created a new routine, you could easily insert the  
155 template into your source file. You can use the template technique for setting up  
156 larger entities, such as classes and files, or smaller entities, such as loops.

157 If you’re working on a group project, templates are an easy way to encourage  
158 consistent coding and documentation styles. Make templates available to the  
159 whole team at the beginning of the project, and the team will use them because  
160 they make its job easier—you get the consistency as a side benefit.

## 161 Cross-Reference Tools

162 A cross-reference tool lists variables and routines and all the places in which  
163 they’re used—typically on web pages.

## 164 Class Hierarchy Generators

165 A class-hierarchy generator produces information about inheritance trees. This is  
166 sometimes useful in debugging but is more often used for analyzing a program’s  
167 structure or packaging a program into packages or subsystems. This functionality  
168 is also available in some IDEs.

## 169 Analyzing Code Quality

170 Tools in this category examine the static source code to assess its quality.

## Picky Syntax and Semantics Checkers

Syntax and semantics checkers supplement your compiler by checking code more thoroughly than the compiler normally does. Your compiler might check for only rudimentary syntax errors. A picky syntax checker might use nuances of the language to check for more subtle errors—things that aren't wrong from a compiler's point of view but that you probably didn't intend to write. For example, in C++, the statement

```
while ( i = 0 ) ...
```

is a perfectly legal statement, but it's usually meant to be

```
while ( i == 0 ) ...
```

The first line is syntactically correct, but switching = and == is a common mistake and the line is probably wrong. Lint is a picky syntax and semantics checker you can find in many C/C++ environments. Lint warns you about uninitialized variables, completely unused variables, variables that are assigned values and never used, parameters of a routine that are passed out of the routine without being assigned a value, suspicious pointer operations, suspicious logical comparisons (like the one in the example above), inaccessible code, and many other common problems. Other languages offer similar tools.

## Metrics Reporters

Some tools analyze your code and report on its quality. For example, you can obtain tools that report on the complexity of each routine so that you can target the most complicated routines for extra review, testing, or redesign. Some tools count lines of code, data declarations, comments, and blank lines in either entire programs or individual routines. They track defects and associate them with the programmers who made them, the changes that correct them, and the programmers who make the corrections. They count modifications to the software and note the routines that are modified the most often. Complexity analysis tools have been found to have about a 20% positive impact on maintenance productivity (Jones 2000).

## Refactoring Source Code

A few tools aid in converting source code from one format to another.

## Refactorers

A refactoring program supports common code refactorings either on a standalone basis or integrated into an IDE. Refactoring browsers allow you to change the name of a class across an entire code base easily. They allow you to extract a routine simply by highlighting the code you'd like to turn into a new routine, entering the new routine's name, and order parameters in a parameter list. Refactorers make code changes quicker and less error prone. They're available

**CROSS-REFERENCE** For more information on metrics, see Section 28.4, "Measurement."

**CROSS-REFERENCE** For more on refactoring, see Chapter 24, "Refactoring."

for Java and Smalltalk and are becoming available for other languages. For more about refactoring tools, see Chapter 14, “Refactoring Tools” in *Refactoring* (Fowler 1999).

## Restructurers

A restructurer will convert a plate of spaghetti code with *gotos* to a more nutritious entrée of better structured code without *gotos*. Capers Jones reports that in maintenance environments code restructuring tools can have a 25-30 percent positive impact on maintenance productivity (Jones 2000). A restructurer has to make a lot of assumptions when it converts code, and if the logic is terrible in the original, it will still be terrible in the converted version. If you’re doing a conversion manually, however, you can use a restructurer for the general case and hand-tune the hard cases. Alternatively, you can run the code through the restructurer and use it for inspiration for the hand conversion.

## Code Translators

Some tools translate code from one language to another. A translator is useful when you have a large code base that you’re moving to another environment. The hazard in using a language translator is that if you start with bad code the translator simply translates the bad code into an unfamiliar language.

## Version Control

**CROSS-REFERENCE** These tools and their benefits are described in “Software Code Changes” in Section 28.2.

You can deal with proliferating software versions by using version-control tools for

- Source-code control
- Make-style dependency control
- Project documentation versioning

Version control tools have been found to have as much as 20% positive impact on

## Data Dictionaries

A data dictionary is a database that describes all the significant data in a project. In many cases, the data dictionary focuses primarily on database schemas. On large projects, a data dictionary is also useful for keeping track of the hundreds or thousands of class definitions. On large team projects, it’s useful for avoiding naming clashes. A clash might be a direct, syntactic clash, in which the same name is used twice, or it might be a more subtle clash (or gap) in which different names are used to mean the same thing or the same name is used to mean subtly different things. For each data item (database table or class), the data dictionary

contains the item's name and description. The dictionary might also contain notes about how the item is used.

## 30.3 Executable-Code Tools

Tools for working with executable code are as rich as the tools for working with source code.

### Code Creation

The tools described in this section help with code creation.

#### Compilers and Linkers

Compilers convert source code to executable code. Most programs are written to be compiled, although some are still interpreted.

A standard linker links one or more object files, which the compiler has generated from your source files, with the standard code needed to make an executable program. Linkers typically can link files from multiple languages, allowing you to choose the language that's most appropriate for each part of your program without your having to handle the integration details yourself.

An overlay linker helps you put 10 pounds in a 5-pound sack by developing programs that execute in less memory than the total amount of space they consume. An overlay linker creates an executable file that loads only part of itself into memory at any one time, leaving the rest on a disk until it's needed.

#### Make

Make is a utility that's associated with UNIX and the C/C++ languages. The purpose of make is to minimize the time needed to create current versions of all your object files. For each object file in your project, you specify the files that the object file depends on and how to make it.

Suppose you have an object file named *userface.obj*. In the make file, you indicate that to make *userface.obj*, you have to compile the file *userface.cpp*. You also indicate that *userface.cpp* depends on *userface.h*, *stdlib.h*, and *project.h*. The concept of "depends on" simply means that if *userface.h*, *stdlib.h*, or *project.h* changes, *userface.cpp* needs to be recompiled.

When you build your program, make checks all the dependencies you've described and determines the files that need to be recompiled. If 5 of your 25 source files depend on data definitions in *userface.h* and it changes, make automatically recompiles the 5 files that depend on it. It doesn't recompile the 20



277 files that don't depend on *userface.h*. Using make beats the alternatives of  
278 recompiling all 25 files or recompiling each file manually, forgetting one, and  
279 getting weird out-of-synch errors. Overall, make substantially improves the time  
280 and reliability of the average compile-link-run cycle.

281 Some groups have found interesting alternatives to make. For example, the  
282 Microsoft Word group found that simply rebuilding all source files was faster  
283 than performing extensive dependency checking with make as long as the source  
284 files themselves were optimized (header file contents and so on). With this  
285 approach, the average developer's machine on the Word project could rebuild  
286 the entire Word executable—several million lines of code—in about 13 minutes.

## 287 **Code Libraries**

288 A good way to write high-quality code in a short amount of time is not to write it  
289 all—but to buy it instead. You can find high-quality libraries in at least these  
290 areas:

- 291 • Container classes
- 292 • Credit card transaction services (e-commerce services)
- 293 • Cross-platform development tools. You might write code that executes in  
294 Microsoft Windows, Apple Macintosh, and the X Window System just by  
295 recompiling for each environment.
- 296 • Data compression tools
- 297 • Data types and algorithms
- 298 • Database operations and data-file manipulation tools
- 299 • Diagramming, graphing, and charting tools
- 300 • Imaging tools
- 301 • License managers
- 302 • Mathematical operations
- 303 • Networking and internet communications tools
- 304 • Report generators and report query builders
- 305 • Security and encryption tools
- 306 • Spreadsheet and grid tools
- 307 • Text and spelling tools
- 308 • Voice, phone, and fax tools

## Code Generation Wizards

If you can't find the code you want, how about getting someone else to write it instead? You don't have to put on your yellow plaid jacket and slip into a car salesman's patter to con someone else into writing your code. You can find tools that write code for you, and such tools are often integrated into IDEs.

Code-generating tools tend to focus on database applications, but that includes a lot of applications. Commonly available code generators write code for databases, user interfaces, and compilers. The code they generate is rarely as good as code generated by a human programmer, but many applications don't require handcrafted code. It's worth more to some users to have 10 working applications than to have one that works exceptionally well.

Code generators are also useful for making prototypes of production code. Using a code generator, you might be able to hack out a prototype in a few hours that demonstrates key aspects of a user interface or you might be able to experiment with various design approaches. It might take you several weeks to hand-code as much functionality. If you're just experimenting, why not do it in the cheapest possible way?

## Setup and Installation

Numerous vendors provide tools that support creation of setup programs. These tools typically support creation of disks, CDs, DVDs, or installing over the web. They check whether common library files already exist on the target installation machine, perform version checking, and so on.

## Macro Preprocessors

If you've programmed in C++ using C++'s macro preprocessor, you probably find it hard to conceive of programming in a language without a preprocessor. Macros allow you to create simple named constants with no run-time penalty. For example, if you use *MAX\_EMPS* instead of the literal *5000*, the preprocessor will substitute the literal value *5000* before the code is compiled.

A macro preprocessor will also allow you to create more complicated functional replacements for substitution at compile time—and again, without any run-time penalty. This gives you the twin advantages of readability and modifiability. Your code is more readable because you've used a macro that you have presumably given a good name. It's more modifiable because you've put all the code in one place, where you can easily change it.

**CROSS-REFERENCE** For guidelines on using simple macro substitutions, see Section 12.7, "Named Constants." For guidelines on using macro routines, see Section 7.7, "Macro Routines and Inline Routines."

343 **CROSS-REFERENCE** For  
344 details on moving debugging  
345 aids in and out of the code,  
346 see “Plan to Remove  
347 Debugging Aids” in Section  
348 8.6.

351  
352  
353

354 CC2E.COM/3091

355  
356

357

358 **CROSS-REFERENCE** These  
359 tools and their benefits are  
360 described in Section 23.5,  
361 “Debugging Tools—Obvious  
362 and Not-So-Obvious.”

363  
364  
365  
366

367 **CROSS-REFERENCE** These  
368 tools and their benefits are  
369 described in Section 22.5,  
370 “Test-Support Tools.”

371  
372  
373  
374

Preprocessor functions are good for debugging because they’re easy to shift into development code and out of production code. During development, if you want to check memory fragmentation at the beginning of each routine, you can use a macro at the beginning of each routine. You might not want to leave the checks in production code, so for the production code you can redefine the macro so that it doesn’t generate any code at all. For similar reasons, preprocessor macros are good for writing code that’s targeted to be compiled in multiple environments—for example, in both Microsoft Windows and Linux.

If you use a language with primitive control constructs, such as assembler, you can write a control-flow preprocessor to emulate the structured constructs of *if-then-else* and *while* loops in your language.

If you’re not fortunate enough to program in a language that has a preprocessor, you can use a standalone preprocessor as part of your build process. One readily available preprocessor is M4, available from [www.gnu.org/software/m4/](http://www.gnu.org/software/m4/).

## Debugging

These tools help in debugging:

- Compiler warning messages
- Test scaffolding
- File comparators (for comparing different versions of source-code files)
- Execution profilers
- Trace monitors
- Interactive debuggers—both software and hardware.

Testing tools, discussed next, are related to debugging tools.

## Testing

These features and tools can help you do effective testing:

- Automatic test frameworks like JUnit, NUnit, CppUnit and so on
- Automated test generators
- Test-case record and playback utilities
- Coverage monitors (logic analyzers and execution profilers)
- Symbolic debuggers
- System perturbers (memory fillers, memory shakers, selective memory failers, memory-access checkers)

- 375 • Diff tools (for comparing data files, captured output, and screen images)
- 376 • Scaffolding
- 377 • Defect tracking software

## 378 **Code Tuning**

379 These tools can help you fine-tune your code.

## 380 **Execution Profilers**

381 An execution profiler watches your code while it runs and tells you how many  
382 times each statement is executed or how much time the program spends on each  
383 statement. Profiling your code while it's running is like having a doctor press a  
384 stethoscope to your chest and tell you to cough. It gives you insight into how  
385 your program works, where the hot spots are, and where you should focus your  
386 code-tuning efforts.

## 387 **Assembler Listings and Disassemblers**

388 Some day you might want to look at the assembler code generated by your high-  
389 level language. Some high-level-language compilers generate assembler listings.  
390 Others don't, and you have to use a disassembler to recreate the assembler from  
391 the machine code that the compiler generates. Looking at the assembler code  
392 generated by your compiler shows you how efficiently your compiler translates  
393 high-level-language code into machine code. It can tell you why high-level code  
394 that looks fast runs slowly. In Chapter 26 on code-tuning techniques, several of  
395 the benchmark results are counterintuitive. While benchmarking that code, I  
396 frequently referred to the assembler listings to better understand the results that  
397 didn't make sense in the high-level language.

398 If you're not comfortable with assembly language and you want an introduction,  
399 you won't find a better one than comparing each high-level-language statement  
400 you write to the assembler instructions generated by the compiler. A first  
401 exposure to assembler is often a loss of innocence. When you see how much  
402 code the compiler creates—how much more than it needs to—you'll never look  
403 at your compiler in quite the same way again.

404 Conversely, in some environments the compiler must generate extremely  
405 complex code. Studying the compiler output can foster an appreciation for just  
406 how much work would be required to program in a lower level language.

## 30.4 Tool-Oriented Environments

Some environments have proven to be better suited to tool-oriented programming than others. This section looks at three examples.

### UNIX

UNIX and the philosophy of programming with small, sharp tools are inseparable. The UNIX environment is famous for its collection of small tools with funny names that work well together: grep, diff, sort, make, crypt, tar, lint, ctags, sed, awk, vi, and others. The C and C++ languages, closely coupled with UNIX, embody the same philosophy; the standard C++ library is composed of small functions that can easily be composed into larger functions because they work so well together.

Some programmers work so productively in UNIX that they take it with them. They use UNIX work-alike tools to support their UNIX habits in Microsoft Windows and other environments. One tribute to the success of the UNIX paradigm is the availability of tools that put a UNIX costume on a Windows machine.

## 30.5 Building Your Own Programming Tools

Suppose you're given five hours to do the job and you have a choice:

1. Do the job comfortably in five hours, or
2. Spend four hours and 45 minutes feverishly building a tool to do the job, and then have the tool do the job in 15 minutes.

Most good programmers would choose the first option one time out of a million and the second option in every other case. Building tools is part of the warp and woof of programming. Nearly all large organizations (organizations with more than 1000 programmers) have internal tool and support groups. Many have proprietary requirements and design tools that are superior to those on the market (Jones 2000).

You can write many of the tools described in this chapter. It might not be cost effective to do it, but there aren't any mountainous technical barriers to doing it.

## Project-Specific Tools

Most medium and large projects need special tools unique to the project. For example, you might need tools to generate special kinds of test data, to verify the quality of data files, or to emulate hardware that isn't yet available. Here are some examples of project-specific tool support:

- An aerospace team was responsible for developing in-flight software to control an infrared sensor and analyze its data. To verify the performance of the software, an in-flight data recorder documented the actions of the in-flight software. Engineers wrote custom data-analysis tools to analyze the performance of the in-flight systems. After each flight, they used the custom tools to check the primary systems.
- Microsoft planned to include a new font technology in a release of its Windows graphical environment. Since both the font data files and the software to display the fonts were new, errors could have arisen from either the data or the software. Microsoft developers wrote several custom tools to check for errors in the data files, which improved their ability to discriminate between font data errors and software errors.
- An insurance company developed an ambitious system to calculate its rate increases. Because the system was complicated and accuracy was essential, hundreds of computed rates needed to be checked carefully, even though hand calculating a single rate took several minutes. The company wrote a separate software tool to compute rates one at a time. With the tool, the company could compute a single rate in a few seconds and check rates from the main program in a small fraction of the time it would have taken to check the main program's rates by hand.

Part of planning for a project should be thinking about the tools that might be needed and allocating time for building them.

## Scripts

A script is a tool that automates a repetitive chore. In some systems, scripts are called batch files or macros. Scripts can be simple or complex, and some of the most useful are the easiest to write. For example, I keep a journal, and to protect my privacy, I encrypt it except when I'm writing in it. To make sure that I always encrypt and decrypt it properly, I have a script that decrypts my journal, executes the word processor, and then encrypts the journal. The script looks like this:

```
crypto c:\word\journal.* %1 /d /Es /s
word c:\word\journal.doc
crypto c:\word\journal.* %1 /Es /s
```

474 The %I is the field for my password which, for obvious reasons, isn't included  
475 in the script. The script saves me the work of typing all the parameters (and  
476 mistyping them) and ensures that I always perform all the operations and  
477 perform them in the right order.

478 If you find yourself typing something longer than about five characters more  
479 than a few times a day, it's a good candidate for a script or batch file. Examples  
480 include compile/link sequences, backup commands, and any command with a lot  
481 of parameters.

## 482 30.6 Tool Fantasyland

483 **CROSS-REFERENCE** Tool  
484 availability depends partly on  
485 the maturity of the technical  
486 environment. For more on  
487 this, see Section 4.3, "Your  
488 Location on the Technology  
Wave.".

489 For decades, tool vendors and industry pundits have promised that the tools  
490 needed to eliminate programming are just over the horizon. The first, and  
491 perhaps most ironic, tool to receive this moniker was Fortran. Fortran or  
492 "Formula Translation Language" was conceived so that scientists and engineers  
493 could simply type in formulas, thus supposedly eliminating the need for  
programmers.

489 Fortran did succeed in making it possible for scientists and engineers to write  
490 programs, but from our vantage point today, Fortran appears to be a  
491 comparatively low level programming language. It hardly eliminated the need  
492 for programmers, and what the industry experienced with Fortran is indicative of  
493 progress in the software industry as a whole.

494 The software industry constantly develops new tools that reduce or eliminate  
495 some of the most tedious aspects of programming—details of laying out source  
496 statements; steps needed to edit, compile, link, and run a program; work needed  
497 to find mismatched braces; the number of steps needed to create standard  
498 message boxes; and so on. As each of these new tools begins to demonstrate  
499 incremental gains in productivity, pundits extrapolate those gains out to infinity,  
500 assuming that the gains will eventually "eliminate the need for programming."  
501 But what's happening in reality is that each new programming innovation arrives  
502 with a few blemishes. As time goes by, the blemishes are removed, and that  
503 innovation's full potential is realized. However, once the fundamental tool  
504 concept is realized, further gains are achieved by stripping away the accidental  
505 difficulties that were created as side effects of creating the new tool. Elimination  
506 of these accidental difficulties does not increase productivity per se; it simply  
507 eliminates the "one step back" from the typical "two steps forward, one step  
508 back" equation.

509 Over the past several decades programmers have seen numerous tools that were  
510 supposed to eliminate programming. First it was third generation languages.

511 Then it was fourth generation languages. Then it was automatic programming.  
512 Then it was CASE tools. Then it was visual programming. Each of these  
513 advances spun off valuable, incremental improvements to computer  
514 programming—and collectively they have made programming unrecognizable to  
515 anyone who learned programming before these advances. But none of these  
516 innovations succeeded in eliminating programming.

517 The reason for this dynamic is that, at its essence, programming is fundamentally  
518 *hard*—even with good tool support. (Reasons for this are described in  
519 “Accidental and Essential Difficulties” in Section 5.2.) No matter what tools are  
520 available, programmers will have to wrestle with the messy real world; we will  
521 have to think rigorously about sequences, dependencies, and exceptions; and we  
522 will have to deal with end users who can’t make up their minds. We will always  
523 have to wrestle with ill-defined interfaces to other software and hardware, and  
524 we will have to account for regulations, business rules, and other sources of  
525 complexity that arise from outside the world of computer programming.

526 We will always need people who can bridge the gap between the real world  
527 problem to be solved and the computer that is supposed to be solving the  
528 problem. These people will be called programmers regardless of whether we’re  
529 manipulating machine registers in assembler or dialog boxes in Visual Basic. As  
530 long as we have computers, we’ll need people who tell the computers what to do,  
531 and that activity will be called programming.

532 When you hear a tool vendor claim, “This new tool will eliminate computer  
533 programming”—run! Or at least smile to yourself at the vendor’s naive  
534 optimism.

CC2E.COM/3098

## 535 Additional Resources

536 CC2E.COM/3005 *www.sdmagazine.com/jolts*. *Software Development Magazine’s* annual Jolt  
537 Productivity award website is a good source of information about the best  
538 current tools.

539 Hunt, Andrew and David Thomas. *The Pragmatic Programmer*, Boston, Mass.:  
540 Addison Wesley, 2000. Section 3 of this book provides an in-depth discussion of  
541 programming tools including editors, code generators, debuggers, source code  
542 control and related tools.

543 CC2E.COM/3012 Vaughn-Nichols, Steven. “Building Better Software with Better Tools,” *IEEE*  
544 *Computer*, September 2003, pp. 12-14. This article surveys tool initiatives led by  
545 IBM, Microsoft Research, and Sun Research.



546 Glass, Robert L. *Software Conflict: Essays on the Art and Science of Software*  
547 *Engineering*. Englewood Cliffs, N.J.: Yourdon Press, 1991. The chapter titled  
548 “Recommended: A Minimum Standard Software Toolset” provides a thoughtful  
549 counterpoint to the more-tools-is-better view. Glass argues for the identification  
550 of a minimum set of tools that should be available to all developers and proposes  
551 a starting kit.

552 Jones, Capers. *Estimating Software Costs*, New York: McGraw-Hill, 1998.

553 Boehm, Barry, et al. *Software Cost Estimation with Cocomo II*, Reading, Mass.:  
554 Addison Wesley, 2000. Both the Jones and the Boehm books devote sections to  
555 the impact of tool use on productivity.

556 Kernighan, Brian W., and P. J. Plauger. *Software Tools*. Reading, Mass.:  
557 Addison-Wesley, 1976.

558 Kernighan, Brian W., and P. J. Plauger. *Software Tools in Pascal*. Reading,  
559 Mass.: Addison-Wesley, 1981. The two Kernighan and Plauger books cover the  
560 same ground—the first in Rational Fortran, the second in Pascal. The books have  
561 two agendas and meet both nicely. The first is to give you the source code for a  
562 useful set of programming tools. The tools include a multiple-file finder, a  
563 multiple-file changer, a macro preprocessor, a diff tool, an editor, and a print  
564 utility. The second agenda is to expose you to good programming practices by  
565 showing you how each of the tools is developed. Both authors are expert  
566 programmers, and the books are full of design-decision rationales and analyses  
567 of trade-offs, adding up to rare and valuable insight into how experienced  
568 designers and programmers approach their work.

CC2E.COM/3019

## 569 Checklist: Programming Tools

- 570 ☐ Do you have an effective IDE?
- 571 ☐ Does your IDE support outline view of your program; jumping to definitions  
572 of classes, routines, and variables; source code formatting; brace matching  
573 or begin-end matching; multiple file string search and replace; convenient  
574 compilation; and integrated debugging?
- 575 ☐ Do you have tools that automate common refactorings?
- 576 ☐ Are you using version control to manage source code, content, requirements,  
577 designs, project plans, and other project artifacts?
- 578 ☐ If you’re working on a very large project, are you using a data dictionary or  
579 some other central repository that contains authoritative descriptions of each  
580 class used in the system?
- 581 ☐ Have you considered code libraries as alternatives to writing custom code,  
582 where available?

- 583           ☐ Are you making use of an interactive debugger?
- 584           ☐ Do you use make or other dependency-control software to build programs
- 585                       efficiently and reliably?
- 586           ☐ Does your test environment include an automated test framework, automated
- 587                       test generators, coverage monitors, system perturbers, diff tools, and defect
- 588                       tracking software?
- 589           ☐ Have you created any custom tools that would help support your specific
- 590                       project's needs, especially tools that automate repetitive tasks?
- 591           ☐ Overall, does your environment benefit from adequate tool support?
- 592
- 

593

## Key Points

- 594           • Programmers sometimes overlook some of the most powerful tools for years
- 595                       before discovering them.
- 596           • Good tools can make your life a lot easier.
- 597           • Tools are readily available for editing, analyzing code quality, refactoring,
- 598                       version control, debugging, testing, and code tuning.
- 599           • You can make many of the special-purpose tools you need.
- 600           • Good tools can reduce the more tedious aspects of software development,
- 601                       but they can't eliminate the need for programming, though they will
- 602                       continue to reshape what we mean by "programming."