

# FUNCTIONAL PROGRAMMING MT2020

## Sheet 1

- 1.1 Recall that function application binds more tightly than any other operators. Put in all the parentheses implicit in the expressions

1. a plus f x + x times y \* z
2. 3 4 + 5 + 6
3. 2<sup>2</sup>2<sup>2</sup>2

It may help to know that 2<sup>2</sup>2<sup>2</sup>2 evaluates to 65536, as you can check with GHCi.

- 1.2 Prove that function composition is associative. (Remember that functions are equal precisely when they return the same result whenever applied to the same argument.)

- 1.3 Suppose that the ++ operator is defined by

as ++ bs = concat [as, bs]

(This is not the standard definition, but it defines the same function.)

Is this operator associative? Is it commutative? Does it have a unit (identity element)? Does it have a zero?

( $e$  is a unit of  $\oplus$  if  $e \oplus x = x = x \oplus e$ .  $z$  is a zero of  $\oplus$  if  $z \oplus x = z = x \oplus z$ .)

You might be able to tell these things without yet being able to prove that you are right.

- 1.4 Suppose that

double :: Integer -> Integer  
double x = 2 \* x

is the function that doubles an integer. What are the values of

map double [3,7,4,2]  
map (double.double) [3,7,4,2]  
map double []

You might check your answers on an interpreter.

Suppose that

sum :: [ Integer ] -> Integer

is a function that adds up all of the elements of its argument. (There is such a standard function.) Which of the following are true, and why?

```
sum.mapdouble = double.sum
sum.mapsum    = sum.concat
sum.sort      = sum
```

- 2.1 Use the standard function  $product :: [Int] \rightarrow Int$  to define *factorial*, a function that calculates the factorial of its argument.

Hence define a function *choose* that makes  $n$  ‘choose’  $r$  be the number of ways of choosing  $r$  things from  $n$ .

Write a function *check* that when applied to  $n$  returns a *Bool* indicating whether  $\sum_{r=0}^n \binom{n}{r} = 2^n$ .

- 2.2 The standard function `not :: Bool -> Bool` maps each Boolean value to the other one. Without using the *not* function itself, write four definitions of functions equal to *not* using essentially different syntactic forms.

- 2.3 There are two things (the values `True` and `False`) of type `Bool`. How many different things are there with type

1. `Bool -> Bool`
2. `Bool -> Bool -> Bool`
3. `Bool -> Bool -> Bool -> Bool`
4. `(Bool, Bool)`
5. `(Bool, Bool) -> Bool`
6. `(Bool, Bool, Bool)`
7. `(Bool, Bool, Bool) -> Bool`
8. `(Bool -> Bool) -> Bool`
9. `(Bool -> Bool -> Bool) -> Bool`
10. `((Bool -> Bool) -> Bool) -> Bool`

*Geraint Jones, 2020*