W6-2 Machine Learning System Design

понедельник, сентября 5, 2016 9:

Right: 1, 2, 3, 4, 5

You are working on a spam classification system using regularized logistic regression. "Spam" is a positive class (y = 1) and "not spam" is the negative class (y = 0). You have trained your classifier and there are m = 1000 examples in the cross-validation set. The chart of predicted class vs. actual class is:

| | Actual Class: 1 | Actual Class: 0 |
|--------------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| Predicted Class: 1 | 85 | 890 |
| Predicted Class: 0 | 15 | 10 |

For reference:

- Accuracy = (true positives + true negatives) / (total examples)
- Precision = (true positives) / (true positives + false positives)
- Recall = (true positives) / (true positives + false negatives)
- F₁ score = (2 * precision * recall) / (precision + recall)

What is the classifier's F_1 score (as a value from 0 to 1)?

Enter your answer in the box below. If necessary, provide at least two values after the decimal point.

| 0.15 |
|------|
|------|

2. Suppose a massive dataset is available for training a learning algorithm. Training on a lot of data is likely to give good performance when two of the following conditions hold true. Which are the two? Our learning algorithm is able to represent fairly complex functions (for example, if we train a neural network or other model with a large number of parameters). The classes are not too skewed. A human expert on the application domain can confidently predict y when given only the features x(or more generally, if we have some way to be confident that $oldsymbol{x}$ contains sufficient information to predict $oldsymbol{y}$ accurately). When we are willing to include high order polynomial features of x (such as x_1^2, x_2^2 , x_1x_2 , etc.).

| 3. | Suppose you have trained a logistic regression classifier which is outputing $h_{	heta}(x)$. | | | |
|----|---|---|--|--|
| | Currently, you predict 1 if $h_{\theta}(x) \geq \text{threshold}$, and predict 0 if $h_{\theta}(x)lt\text{threshold}$, where currently the threshold is set to 0.5. | | | |
| | Suppos that ap | ose you decrease the threshold to 0.1. Which of the following are true? Check all apply. | | |
| | | The classifier is likely to now have higher precision. | | |
| | The classifier is likely to now have higher recall. | | | |
| | The classifier is likely to have unchanged precision and recall, but | | | |
| | higher accuracy. | | | |
| | | The classifier is likely to have unchanged precision and recall, but | | |
| | | lower accuracy. | | |

| 1. | Suppose you are working on a spam classifier, where spam | | | |
|----|--|--|--|--|
| | emails are positive examples ($y=1$) and non-spam emails are | | | |
| | negative examples ($y=0$). You have a training set of emails | | | |
| | in which 99% of the emails are non-spam and the other 1% is | | | |
| | spam. Which of the following statements are true? Check all | | | |
| | that apply. | | | |
| | | If you always predict spam (output $y=1$), | | |
| | | your classifier will have a recall of 100% and precision | | |
| | | of 1%. | | |
| | | If you always predict non-spam (output | | |
| | | y=0), your classifier will have an accuracy of | | |
| | | 99%. | | |
| | | If you always predict non-spam (output | | |
| | | y=0), your classifier will have a recall of | | |
| | | 0%. | | |
| | | If you always predict spam (output $y=1$), | | |
| | | your classifier will have a recall of 0% and precision | | |
| | | of 99%. | | |

| 5. | Which of the following statements are true? Check all that apply. | | |
|----|---|---|--|
| | | After training a logistic regression | |
| | | classifier, you must use 0.5 as your threshold | |
| | | for predicting whether an example is positive or | |
| | | negative. | |
| | | It is a good idea to spend a lot of time | |
| | | collecting a large amount of data before building | |
| | | your first version of a learning algorithm. | |
| | | The "error analysis" process of manually | |
| | | examining the examples which your algorithm got wrong | |
| | | can help suggest what are good steps to take (e.g., | |
| | | developing new features) to improve your algorithm's | |
| | | performance. | |
| | | If your model is underfitting the | |
| | | training set, then obtaining more data is likely to | |
| | | help. | |
| | | Using a very large training set | |
| | | makes it unlikely for model to overfit the training | |
| | | data. | |