#20: Program Sketching and CEGIS - II

Sankha Narayan Guria

EECS 700: Introduction to Program Synthesis



- 1. Semantics of a simple imperative language
- 2. How to use it for symbolic execution?
- 3. Adding while loops
- 4. Adding holes

Semantics of a simple language

```
e := n \mid x \mid e_1 + e_2

c := x := e \mid assert e

\mid c_1 ; c_2 \mid if e then c_1 else c_2 \mid while e do c
```

- What does an expression mean?
 - An expression reads the state and produces a value
 - The state is modeled as a map σ from variables to values
 - $\mathcal{A}[\![\cdot]\!]:e\to\Sigma\to\mathbb{Z}$
- Ex:
 - $\mathcal{A}[x] = \lambda \sigma . \sigma[x]$
 - $\mathcal{A}[n] = \lambda \sigma . n$
 - $\mathcal{A}\llbracket e_1 + e_2 \rrbracket = \lambda \sigma$. $\mathcal{A}\llbracket e_1 \rrbracket \sigma$ + $\mathcal{A}\llbracket e_2 \rrbracket \sigma$

Semantics of a simple language

```
e := n \mid x \mid e_1 + e_2

c := x := e \mid assert e

\mid c_1 ; c_2 \mid if e then c_1 else c_2 \mid while e do c
```

- What does a command mean?
 - A command modifies the state
 - $\mathcal{C}[\![\cdot]\!]:c\to\Sigma\to\Sigma$
- Ex:
 - $\mathcal{C}[x \coloneqq e] = \lambda \sigma. \sigma[x \mapsto \mathcal{A}[e]\sigma]$
 - $\mathcal{C}\llbracket c_1; c_2 \rrbracket = \lambda \sigma. \mathcal{C}\llbracket c_2 \rrbracket (\mathcal{C}\llbracket c_1 \rrbracket \sigma)$
 - $\mathcal{C}[\![if\ e\ then\ c_1\ else\ c_2]\!] = \lambda\sigma.\mathcal{A}[\![e]\!]\sigma \neq 0$? $\mathcal{C}[\![c_1\]\!]\sigma:$ $\mathcal{C}[\![c_2\]\!]\sigma$

Semantics of assertions

```
e := n \mid x \mid e_1 + e_2

c := x := e \mid assert e

\mid c_1 ; c_2 \mid if e then c_1 else c_2 \mid while e do c
```

- What does a command mean?
 - Commands also generate constraints on valid executions
 - $\mathcal{C}[\![\cdot]\!]:c\to\langle\Sigma,\Psi\rangle\to\langle\Sigma,\Psi\rangle$

Constraints on values in initial σ

- Ex:
 - $\mathcal{C}[[assert\ e]] = \lambda \langle \sigma, \psi \rangle. \langle \sigma, \psi \wedge \mathcal{A}[[e]] \sigma \neq 0 \rangle$

- 1. Semantics of a simple imperative language
- 2. How to use it for symbolic execution?
- 3. Adding while loops
- 4. Adding holes

Concrete execution: example 1

Let's run this with x = 2

```
void main(int x){
  int y = 2 * x;
  assert y > x;
}
```

$$\sigma = \{x \to 2\}, \qquad \psi = T$$

$$\sigma = \{x \to 2, y \to 4\}, \psi = T$$

$$\sigma = \{x \to 2, y \to 4\}, \psi = \{4 > 2\}$$

Test passed

```
void main(int x){
                                          \sigma = \{x \to X\}, \psi = T
   int y = 2 * x;
                                          \sigma = \{x \to X, y \to 2X\}
   assert y > x;
                                          \psi = \{ 2X > X \}
           \mathcal{C}[[p]]\langle\{\},\top\rangle = \langle\{x \to X, y \to 2X\}, 2X > X\rangle
                                                             Verification constraint
                                      SMT solver
            \{X\mapsto 0\}
                             \forall X. 2X > X
```

```
void main(int x, int u){
  int y = 0;
  if (u > 0) {
     y = 2 * x;
  } else {
     y = x + x;
     }
  assert y = 2 * x;
}
\sigma = \{x \to X, u \to U\}
\sigma = \{x \to X, u \to U, y \to 0\}
\sigma = \{x \to X, u \to U, y \to 2X\}
\sigma = \{x \to X, u \to U, y \to X + X\}
\sigma = \{x \to X, u \to U, y \to X + X\}
```

$$\psi = \{(U > 0 ? 2X : X + X) = 2X\} \bigcirc$$

- 1. Semantics of a simple imperative language
- 2. How to use it for symbolic execution?
- 3. Adding while loops
- 4. Adding holes

What about loops?

- Semantics of a while loop
 - Let $W: \Sigma \to \Sigma = \mathcal{C}[[while\ e\ do\ c]]$
 - W satisfies the following equation:

$$W \sigma = \mathcal{A}[\![e]\!] \sigma \neq 0 ? W(\mathcal{C}[\![c]\!] \sigma) : \sigma$$

- One strategy: find a fixpoint (see later in class)
- We'll settle for a simpler strategy: unroll k times and then give up

```
void main(int x){
                                             if (i < 2) {
  int y = 0;
                                               y = y + x;
  int i = 0;
                                               i = i + 1;
  while (i < 2) {
                                               if (i < 2) {
                          Step 1: unroll
    y = y + x;
                                                 y = y + x;
                          with depth = 2
    i = i + 1;
                                                 i = i + 1;
                                                 assert !(i < 2);
  assert y == i * x;
```

```
void main(int x){
                                                             \sigma = \{x \to X\}
      int y = 0;
      int i = 0;
                                                             \sigma = \{x \rightarrow X, y \rightarrow 0, i \rightarrow 0\}
      if (i < 2) {
         y = y + x;

    i = i + 1;
    if (i < 2) {</pre>
                                                                    \sigma = \{x \to X, y \to X, i \to 1\}
                                                                                Simplified from 0 < 2? (1 < 2? X + X: X): 0
            y = y + x;
            i = i + 1;
                                                                  \sigma = \{x \to \overline{X, y} \to \psi = \{\neg(2 > 2)\}\
        assert ! (i < 2)
      assert y == i*x;
                                                                    \sigma = \{x \to X, y \to X + X, i \to 2\}
                                                                  \psi = \{ \neg (2 > 2) \land X + X = 2X \}
```

- 1. Semantics of a simple imperative language
- 2. How to use it for symbolic execution?
- 3. Adding while loops
- 4. Adding holes

Semantics of sketches

```
e := n \mid x \mid e_1 + e_2 \mid ??_i

c := x := e \mid assert e

\mid c_1 ; c_2 \mid if e then c_1 else c_2 \mid while e do c
```

- What does an expression mean?
 - Like before, but with a "hole environment" ϕ
 - $\mathcal{A}[\![\cdot]\!]:e\to\Phi\to\Sigma\to\mathbb{Z}$
- Ex:
 - $\mathcal{A}[x] = \lambda \phi . \lambda \sigma . \sigma[x]$
 - $\mathcal{A}[??_i] = \lambda \phi. \lambda \sigma. \phi[i]$
 - $\mathcal{A}\llbracket e_1 + e_2 \rrbracket = \lambda \phi. \lambda \sigma. \mathcal{A}\llbracket e_1 \rrbracket \phi \sigma + \mathcal{A}\llbracket e_2 \rrbracket \phi \sigma$

Symbolic Evaluation of Commands

- Commands have two roles
 - Modify the symbolic state
 - Generate constraints

$$\mathcal{C}[\![\cdot]\!]:c\to\Phi\to\langle\Sigma,\Psi\rangle\to(\Sigma,\Psi)$$

Symbolic Evaluation of Commands

• Example: assignment and assertion

$$\mathcal{C}[x \coloneqq e] \phi \langle \sigma, \psi \rangle = \langle \sigma[x \mapsto \mathcal{A}[e] \phi \sigma], \psi \rangle$$

$$\mathcal{C}[[assert e]] \phi \langle \sigma, \psi \rangle = \langle \sigma, \psi \wedge \mathcal{A}[[e]] \phi \sigma \neq 0 \rangle$$

Symbolic execution of sketches: example

```
> void main(int x){
int z = ??₁ * x;
                                                             \sigma = \{x \to X\} \psi = T
      int y = 0;
     int i = 0;
                                                             \sigma = \{x \rightarrow X, z \rightarrow \phi_1 * X, y \rightarrow 0, i \rightarrow 0\}
      if (i < 2) {
      y = y + x;
\sigma = \{x \to X, z \to \phi_1 * X, y \to X, i \to 1\}
       if (i < 2) {
            y = y + x;
           i = i + 1;
                                                                    \sigma = \{x \rightarrow X, z \rightarrow \phi_1 * X, y \rightarrow X + X, i \rightarrow 2\}
                                                               \psi = \{ \neg (2 > 2) \}
                                                   \psi = \{ \neg (2 > 2) \land X + X = \phi_1 * X \}
      assert y == z;
                         \{\phi_1\mapsto 2\} \longleftarrow \exists \phi_1. \forall X. X+X=\phi_1*X
```

Controls for generators

```
harness void main(int x, int y){

z = mono(x) + mono(y);
assert z = x + x + 3;

\sigma = \{z \rightarrow (\phi_1? \phi_2: X*\phi_2) + (\phi_1? \phi_2: Y*\phi_2)\}

No solution!

generator int mono(int x) {

if (??<sub>1</sub>) {return ??<sub>2</sub>;}

else {return x * mono(x);}

depth = 1

else {return x * ??<sub>2</sub>;}

}
```

 We need to map different calls to mono to different controls!

Controls for generators: context

```
harness void main(int x, int y){

z = mono^{1}(x,1) + mono^{2}(y,2);
assert z == x + x + 3;
}

generator int mono(int x, context \tau) {

if (??^{\tau_{1}}) {return ??^{\tau_{2}};}
else {return x * mono^{3}(x, \tau.3);}
}
```

$$\{\phi_1^1 \mapsto 0, \phi_2^{1.3} \mapsto 2, \phi_1^2 \mapsto 1, \phi_2^{1.3} \mapsto 3\}$$