

CS 352

Network: Routing

Lecture 23


<http://www.cs.rutgers.edu/~sn624/352-F22>

Srinivas Narayana




The network layer is **all about reachability**.

Routing Protocol = Msg Exchange + Algorithm 




Distance vector: $\mathbf{D}_x = [D_x(y) : y \in N]$
 $d_x(y) = \min_v \{c(x,v) + d_v(y)\}$


DV: Good news travels fast




- Suppose the link cost reduces or a new better path becomes available in a network.
- The immediate neighbors of the change detect the better path immediately
- Since their DV changed, these nodes notify their neighbors immediately.
 - And those neighbors notify still more neighbors
 - ... until the entire network knows to use the better path
- **Good news travels fast** through the network
- This is **despite** messages **only being exchanged among neighbors**




DV: Bad news travels slowly




- If router goes down, could be a while before network realizes it.



DV: Bad news travels slowly



- Reacting appropriately to bad news requires information that only other routers have. **DV does not exchange sufficient info.**



- B needs to know that C has no other path to A other than via B.
- **DV does not exchange paths; just distances!**
- **Poisoned reverse:** if X gets its route to Y via Z, then X will announce $d_X(Y) = \infty$ in its message to Z
 - Effect: Z won't use X to route to Y
 - However, this won't solve the problem in general (think why.)

Summary: Comparison of LS and DV

Link State Algorithms

- Nodes have full visibility into the network's graph
- Copious message exchange: each LSA is flooded over the whole network
- Robust to network changes and failures

OSPF


Open Shortest Path First
(v2 RFC 2328)

Distance Vector Algorithms

- Only distances and neighbors are visible
- Sparse message exchange: DVs are exchanged among neighbors only
- Brittle to router failures. Incorrect info may propagate all over net

EIGRP

Enhanced Interior Gateway Routing Protocol
(RFC 7868)



Every router is aware of the existence of every other router.


Messages reveal information on the full network (graph) structure.

Message exchange and forwarding tables scale with network size.

These assumptions do not hold on the Internet.

Internet Routing


The Internet is a large federated network



The Internet is a large **federated** network

Several autonomously run organizations: No one “boss”

Organizations cooperate, but also **compete**




e.g., AT&T has little commercial interest in revealing its internal network structure to Verizon.

The Internet is a large **federated** network

Several autonomously run organizations: No one “boss”


Organizations cooperate, but also **compete**



The Internet is a **large** federated network

Internet today: > 70,000 unique autonomous networks

Internet routers: > 800,000 forwarding table entries




Keep messages & tables as small as possible. **Don't flood**


Algorithm must be **incremental**:
don't recompute the whole table
on every message exchanged.

Inter-domain Routing


- Routing approaches so far (LS + DV) are applicable within one **autonomous system (AS)**, e.g., Rutgers
 - Called **intra-domain** routing protocols
- The Internet uses **Border Gateway Protocol (BGP)**
- **All AS'es speak BGP**. It is the glue that holds the Internet together
- BGP is a **path vector protocol**



Messages?



Algorithm?




Q1. BGP Messages




Loop detection is easy
(no “count to infinity”)

Exchange paths: **path vector**


- Routing **Announcements** or **Advertisements** No link metrics, distances!
 - “I am here” or “I can reach here”
 - Occur over a TCP connection (**BGP session**) between routers
- Route announcement = destination + attributes
 - Destination: IP prefix
- Route Attributes:
 - **AS-level path**
 - Next hop
 - Several others: origin, MED, community, etc.
- An AS promises to use advertised path to reach destination
- Only route changes are advertised after BGP session established




Q1. Next Hop




- **Next hop** conceptually denotes the first router interface that begins the AS-level path
 - The meaning of this attribute is context-dependent
- In an announcement arriving from a different AS (**eBGP**), next hop is the router **in the next AS** which sent the announcement
 - Example: Next Hop of the eBGP announcement reaching 1c is **2a**




Q1. Next Hop



- Suppose router 1c **imports** the path (more on this soon)
- Router 1c will propagate the announcement **inside the AS** using **iBGP**
- The next hop of this (iBGP) announcement is set to 1c
 - In particular, the next hop is an AS1 **internal** address




Q2. The algorithm



- A BGP router does *not* consider every routing advertisement it receives by default to make routing decisions!
 - An **import policy** determines whether a route is even considered a candidate
 - Once imported, the router performs **route selection**
 - A BGP router does *not* propagate its chosen path to a destination to all other AS'es by default!
 - An **export policy** determines whether a (chosen) path can be advertised to other AS'es and routers
- Programmed by network operator

Policy considerations make BGP very different from intra-domain (LS / DV) protocols




Policies in BGP



Policy arises from business relationships

- Customer-provider relationships:
 - E.g., Rutgers is a customer of AT&T
- Peer-peer relationships:
 - E.g., Verizon is a peer of AT&T
- Business relationships depend on **where** connectivity occurs
 - “Where”, also called a “point of presence” (PoP)
 - e.g., customers at one PoP but peers at another
 - Internet-eXchange Points (IXPs) are large PoPs where ISPs come together to connect with each other (often for free)

BGP Export Policy



legend:



provider
network





customer
network:

Suppose an ISP only wants to route traffic to/from its customer networks (does not want to carry **transit traffic** between other ISPs)

- A,B,C are **provider networks**
- X,W,Y are customers (of provider networks)
- X is **dual-homed**: attached to two networks
- policy to enforce: X does not want to route from B to C via X
 - So, X **will not announce** to B a route to C



BGP Export Policy





Suppose an ISP only wants to route traffic to/from its customer networks (does not want to carry **transit traffic** between other ISPs)

- A announces path Aw to B and to C
- B **will not announce** BAw to C:
 - B gets no “revenue” for routing CBAw, since none of C, A, w are B’s customers
- C will route CAw (not using B) to get to w

BGP Import Policy




legend:  provider network
 customer network:

Suppose an ISP wants to **minimize costs** by avoiding routing through its providers when possible.

- Suppose C announces path Cy to x
- Further, y announces a direct path (“y”) to x
- Then x may **choose not to import** the path Cy to y since it has a peer path (“y”) towards y


Q2. BGP Route Selection



- When a router imports more than one route to a destination IP prefix, it selects route based on:
 1. **local preference value** attribute (import policy decision -- set by network admin)
 2. shortest AS-PATH
 3. closest NEXT-HOP router
 4. Several additional criteria: You can read up on the full, complex, list of criteria, e.g., at <https://www.cisco.com/c/en/us/support/docs/ip/border-gateway-protocol-bgp/13753-25.html>


Example of route selection

- Suppose AS A and B are connected to each other both in North America (NA) and in Europe (EU)
- A source in NA wants to reach a destination in EU
- There are two paths available
 - *Assume* same local preference
 - Same AS path length
- **Closest next hop-router:** choose path via B1 rather than B2





Example of route selection

- Choosing closest next-hop results in **early exit routing**
 - Try to exit the local AS as early as possible
 - Also called **hot potato routing**
- Reduce resource use within local AS
 - potentially at the expense of another AS




Computing the forwarding table




- Suppose a router in AS1 wants to forward a packet destined to external prefix X.
- How is the forwarding table entry for X at 1d computed?
- How is the forwarding table entry for X at 1c computed?

eBGP and iBGP announcements



- AS2 router 2c receives path announcement **AS3,X** (via **eBGP**) from AS3 router 3a
- Based on AS2 import policy, AS2 router 2c imports and selects path **AS3,X**, propagates (via **iBGP**) to all AS2 routers
- Based on AS2 export policy, AS2 router 2a announces (via **eBGP**) path **AS2, AS3, X** to AS1 router 1c


eBGP and iBGP announcements



A given router may learn about **multiple** paths to destination:


- AS1 gateway router 1c learns path **AS2,AS3,X** from 2a (next hop 2a)
- AS1 gateway router 1c learns path **AS3,X** from 3a (**next hop 3a**)
- Through BGP route selection process, AS1 gateway router 1c chooses path **AS3,X**, and announces path within AS1 via iBGP (**next hop 1c**)

Setting forwarding table entries



- recall: 1a, 1b, 1d learn about dest X via iBGP from **next-hop** 1c: “path to X goes through 1c”
- 1d: **intra-domain routing**: to get to 1c, forward over outgoing local interface 1

Setting forwarding table entries



- recall: 1c learns about dest X via eBGP from **next-hop** 3a: “path to X goes through 3a”
- 1c: to get to link-local neighbor 3a, forward out interface 2

Summary: Inter-domain routing

- **Federation** and **scale** introduce new requirements for routing on the Internet
- **BGP** is *the* protocol that handles Internet routing
- **Path vector**: exchange paths to a destination with attributes
- **Policy-based** import of routes, route selection, and export