Anomaly Detection of Low Energy Efficiency in VMCloud Data

1. Introduction

This report details the analysis of VMCloud data [1] to detect anomalies, with a specific focus on identifying virtual machines (VMs) exhibiting anomalously low energy efficiency. We used the Isolation Forest algorithm for anomaly detection and subsequently analyzed these anomalies to understand the underlying causes and influence financial and investment decisions.

2. Methodology

2.1 Data Description

The VMCloud dataset comprises various performance metrics related to virtual machines. The key features used in this analysis are:

- cpu_usage: Percentage of CPU utilization.
- memory_usage: Percentage of memory utilization.
- network_traffic: Amount of network data transmitted and received.
- power_consumption: Power consumed by the VM.
- execution_time: The time taken to complete specific tasks.
- num_executed_instructions
- task_type: network, io, compute
- task_priority: low, medium, high
- task_status: waiting, running, completed

2.2 Data Preprocessing

- The dataset was cleaned to remove missing values and handle any inconsistencies.
- To ensure that all features contribute equally to the anomaly detection process, the data was scaled using the StandardScaler.

2.3 Anomaly Detection: Isolation Forest

- We used the Isolation Forest algorithm, an unsupervised machine learning technique, to identify anomalous VMs. Isolation Forest works by isolating anomalies rather than profiling normal data points. Anomalies are easier to isolate and thus have shorter path lengths in the isolation trees.
- Each VM was assigned an anomaly score (1: normal, -1: abnormal).

3. Analysis of Anomalous VMs

This project analyzes anomalous Virtual Machines (VMs) based on resource usage patterns, indicating low energy efficiency (below 0.4). The focus is on four cases where power consumption is low despite variations in CPU, memory, and network usage:

- Case 1: **Low Power, Low CPU, Low Memory, High Network (1 VM)**: [6]
    - High network traffic with minimal CPU and memory activity, leading to inefficient energy use.
    - Possible Causes: Inefficient network protocols, excessive small packet transmissions, unnecessary network broadcasts.
    - Investigation: Network protocol analysis, packet capture, application logging analysis.
- Case 2: **Low Power, Low CPU, Low Network, High Memory (3 VMs)**: [4], [5]
    - High memory usage without active processing or data transfer, causing idle inefficiency.
    - Possible Causes: Memory leaks, inefficient memory allocation, large unused caches, virtualization overhead.
    - Investigation: Memory profiling tools, application code reviews, virtualization memory checks.
- Case 3: **Low Power, Low Network, Low Memory, High CPU (4 VMs)**: [7]
    - High CPU usage but minimal productive output, indicating inefficiency.
    - Possible Causes: Inefficient algorithms, CPU-bound tasks with minimal output, software bugs causing loops.
    - Investigation: Application profiling, code reviews, debugging.
- Case 4: **Low Power, Low CPU, Low Network, Low Memory (4 VMs)**: [2]
    - Passive inefficiency, consuming power with little activity.
    - Possible Causes: Slow I/O operations, software bugs causing delays, hardware issues.
    - Investigation: I/O monitoring, application debugging, hardware checks.

This analysis helps diagnose energy inefficiency in VMCloud environments by categorizing anomalies into specific inefficiency patterns. By identifying inefficiencies systematically, this approach enables targeted optimizations for better VM performance and energy efficiency.

4. Future Work

To further enhance the value of this analysis, several analyses can be explored:

- Develop a real-time anomaly detection and alerting system: Implementing a system that continuously monitors VM performance and automatically detects and alerts administrators to anomalies can enable proactive intervention and prevent energy waste.
- Explore other anomaly detection algorithms and compare their performance: While Isolation Forest is a powerful tool, other algorithms, such as One-Class SVM or Autoencoders, may also be suitable for this task. Comparing their performance can help to identify the most effective approach.
- Incorporate additional metrics, such as hardware-level power consumption, to improve accuracy: Including metrics from the physical hardware, such as power consumption at the

server level, can provide a more complete picture of energy usage and improve the accuracy of the anomaly detection.

- Explore other energy usage patterns

5. Reference:

[1] Entony. (2023). Cloud Computing Performance Metrics [Data set]. Kaggle. https://doi.org/10.34740/KAGGLE/DSV/6165137
[2] Energy Efficiency versus Power Consumption. (2020, April 7). Www.ibm.com. https://www.ibm.com/support/pages/energy-efficiency-versus-power-consumption
[3] What is Energy Efficiency & Why is it Important? | NVIDIA. (n.d.). Www.nvidia.com. https://www.nvidia.com/en-us/glossary/energy-efficiency/
[4] Stocker, M. (2024, June 8). Software Efficiency and Energy Consumption - Growing Green Software - Medium. Medium; Growing Green Software. https://medium.com/growing-green-software/software-efficiency-and-energy-consumption-916b390593ec
[5] Zhang, K., Ou, D., Jiang, C., Qiu, Y., & Yan, L. (2021). Power and Performance Evaluation of Memory-Intensive Applications. Energies, 14(14), 4089. https://doi.org/10.3390/en14144089
[6] International Energy Agency. (2023, July 11). Data Centres and Data Transmission Networks. IEA. https://www.iea.org/energy-system/buildings/data-centres-and-data-transmission-networks
[7] Tradeoff Between Server Utilization and Energy Efficiency. (2022, February 16). Green Software Foundation. https://greensoftware.foundation/articles/sustainable-systems-mastering-the-tradeoff-between-high-server-utilization-and-ha