

Anomaly Detection of Low Energy Efficiency in VMCloud Data

1. Introduction

In this report, we looked at identifying virtual machines (VMs) with anomalously low energy efficiency using Isolation Forest for anomaly detection. Subsequently, these anomalies are analyzed to find the underlying causes and future action plan.

2. Methodology

2.1 Data Description

The VMCloud dataset [1] includes performance metrics related to virtual machines:

- `cpu_usage`: Percentage of CPU utilization.
- `memory_usage`: Percentage of memory utilization.
- `network_traffic`: Amount of network data transmitted and received.
- `power_consumption`: Power consumed by the VM.
- `execution_time`: The time taken to complete specific tasks.
- `num_executed_instructions`
- `task_type`: network, io, compute
- `task_priority`: low, medium, high
- `task_status`: waiting, running, completed

2.2 Data Preprocessing

- The dataset was cleaned to remove missing values and handle any inconsistencies.
- To ensure that all features contribute equally to the anomaly detection process, the data was scaled using the StandardScaler.

2.3 Anomaly Detection: Isolation Forest

- We used the Isolation Forest algorithm, an unsupervised machine learning technique, to identify anomalous VMs. Isolation Forest works by isolating anomalies rather than profiling normal data points. Anomalies are easier to isolate and thus have shorter path lengths in the isolation trees.
- Each VM was assigned an anomaly score (1: normal, -1: abnormal).

3. Analysis of Anomalous VMs

The focus is on four contradicting cases where energy efficiency is low (below 0.4) despite low power consumption with variations in CPU, memory, and network usage:

- **Case 1: Low Power, Low CPU, Low Memory, High Network (1 VM): [6]**
 - High network traffic with low CPU and memory activity, leading to inefficient energy use.

- Possible Causes: Inefficient network protocols, excessive small packet transmissions, unnecessary network broadcasts.
- Investigation: Network protocol analysis, packet capture, application logging analysis.
- **Case 2: Low Power, Low CPU, Low Network, High Memory (3 VMs): [4], [5]**
 - High memory usage without active processing or data transfer, causing idle inefficiency.
 - Possible Causes: Memory leaks, inefficient memory allocation, large unused caches, virtualization overhead.
 - Investigation: Memory profiling tools, application code reviews, virtualization memory checks.
- **Case 3: Low Power, Low Network, Low Memory, High CPU (4 VMs): [7]**
 - High CPU usage but minimal productive output, indicating inefficiency.
 - Possible Causes: Inefficient algorithms, CPU-bound tasks with minimal output, software bugs causing loops.
 - Investigation: Application profiling, code reviews, debugging.
- **Case 4: Low Power, Low CPU, Low Network, Low Memory (4 VMs): [2]**
 - Passive inefficiency, consuming power with little activity.
 - Possible Causes: Slow I/O operations, software bugs causing delays, hardware issues.
 - Investigation: I/O monitoring, application debugging, hardware checks.

This research helps diagnose energy inefficiency in VMCloud systems by classifying anomalies into distinct inefficiency patterns.

4. Future Work

- Develop a real-time anomaly detection and alerting system to enable proactive intervention and prevent energy waste.
- Explore other anomaly detection algorithms and compare their performance, such as One-Class SVM or Autoencoders, and compare their performance to identify the most effective approach.
- Incorporate additional metrics, such as hardware-level power consumption, to improve accuracy.
- Explore other energy usage patterns.

5. Reference:

[1] Entony. (2023). Cloud Computing Performance Metrics [Data set]. Kaggle.

<https://doi.org/10.34740/KAGGLE/DSV/6165137>

[2] Energy Efficiency versus Power Consumption. (2020, April 7). Wwww.ibm.com.

<https://www.ibm.com/support/pages/energy-efficiency-versus-power-consumption>

[3] What is Energy Efficiency & Why is it Important? | NVIDIA. (n.d.). Wwww.nvidia.com.

<https://www.nvidia.com/en-us/glossary/energy-efficiency/>

[4] Stocker, M. (2024, June 8). Software Efficiency and Energy Consumption - Growing Green Software - Medium. Medium; Growing Green Software.

<https://medium.com/growing-green-software/software-efficiency-and-energy-consumption-916b390593ec>

[5] Zhang, K., Ou, D., Jiang, C., Qiu, Y., & Yan, L. (2021). Power and Performance Evaluation of Memory-Intensive Applications. *Energies*, 14(14), 4089. <https://doi.org/10.3390/en14144089>

[6] International Energy Agency. (2023, July 11). Data Centres and Data Transmission Networks. IEA.

<https://www.iea.org/energy-system/buildings/data-centres-and-data-transmission-networks>

[7] Tradeoff Between Server Utilization and Energy Efficiency. (2022, February 16). Green Software Foundation.

<https://greensoftware.foundation/articles/sustainable-systems-mastering-the-tradeoff-between-high-server-utilization-and-ha>