Assignment 5: Dependency Theory

Hien Tu - tun1

November 14, 2021

D1 Reasoning with dependencies

- 1. Assume $AB \longrightarrow C, A \longrightarrow D$ and $CD \longrightarrow EF$
 - Apply Augmentation on $AB \longrightarrow C$ with A to derive $AB \longrightarrow CA$
 - Apply Augmentation on $A \longrightarrow D$ with C to dervie $CA \longrightarrow CD$
 - \bullet Apply Transitivity on $AB\longrightarrow CA$ and $CA\longrightarrow CD$ to derive $AB\longrightarrow CD$
 - Apply Transitivity on $AB \longrightarrow CD$ and $CD \longrightarrow EF$ to derive $AB \longrightarrow EF$
 - Apply Reflection on $F \subseteq EF$ to derive $EF \longrightarrow F$
 - \bullet Apply Transitivity on $AB \longrightarrow EF$ and $EF \longrightarrow F$ to derive $AB \longrightarrow F$
 - Hence, $AB \longrightarrow F$
- 2. Assume we have rows $r_1, r_2 \in I$ of instance I such that $r_1[XW] = r_2[XW]$
 - By $r_1[XW] = r_2[XW]$, we have $r_1[X] = r_2[X]$ and $r_1[W] = r_2[W]$
 - Using $X \longrightarrow Y$ and $r_1[X] = r_2[X]$, we conclude that $r_1[Y] = r_2[Y]$
 - By $r_1[Y] = r_2[Y]$ and $r_1[W] = r_2[W]$, we have $r_1[YW] = r_2[YW]$
 - Using $YW \longrightarrow Z$ and $r_1[YW] = r_2[YW]$, we conclude that $r_1[Z] = r_2[Z]$

- Hence, $r_1[Z] = r_2[Z]$ holds.
- 3. Assume we have $r_1[X]$ for every instance I_1 of R and every row $r_1 \in I_1$
 - Using $R[X] \subseteq S[Y]$ and $r_1[X]$, there exists a row in instance I_2 of S with $r_1[X] = r_2[X]$
 - Using $S[Y] \subseteq T[Z]$ and $r_2[Y]$, there exists a row in instance I_3 of T with $r_2[Y] = r_3[Z]$
 - Thus, for every instance I_1 of R and every row $r_1 \in R$, there exists a row in instance I_3 of T such that $r_1[X] = r_3[Z]$
 - Hence, $R[X] \subseteq T[Z]$
- 4. Assume $X \to Y$ and $XY \longrightarrow Z$
 - Apply Complementation on X woheadrightarrow Y to derive X woheadrightarrow Z (with Z all attributes of $\mathbf R$ not in X and Y)
 - Apply Reflexivity on $Z \setminus (X \cup Y) \subseteq Z$ to derive $Z \longrightarrow Z \setminus (X \cup Y)$
 - Apply Transitivity on $XY \longrightarrow Z$ and $Z \longrightarrow Z \setminus (X \cup Y)$ to derive $XY \longrightarrow Z \setminus (X \cup Y)$
 - Since Z is all attributes of **R** not in X and Y, $Z \cap XY = \emptyset$
 - Apply Coalensence on $X \to Z$, $XY \longrightarrow Z \setminus (X \cup Y)$, $Z \cap XY = \emptyset$ and $Z \setminus (X \cup Y) \subseteq Z$, we conclude that $X \longrightarrow Z \setminus (X \cup Y)$
 - Hence, $X \longrightarrow Z \setminus (X \cup Y)$
- 5. Consider the following table of the schema

person(name, number, birthdate, age)

name	number	birthdate	age
Alice	1	2001-01-01	20
Alice	2	2005-09-05	16

Since <u>name</u>, <u>number</u> are the primary keys, they determine all attributes. Thus, "name, number \longrightarrow birthdate".

We know from the lecture that birthdate determines age since people who have the same birthdate would have the same age, and so "birthdate \longrightarrow age".

Since {birthdate} \subseteq {name, birthdate}, by applying Reflexivity, we get "name, birthdate \longrightarrow birthdate".

Applying Transitivity on "name, birthdate \longrightarrow birthdate" and "birthdate \longrightarrow age", we derive "name, birthdate \longrightarrow age"

Hence, we have "name, number \longrightarrow birthdate" and "name, birthdate \longrightarrow age".

However, from the table, we can see that "name \longrightarrow age" does not hold.

Let X = name, W = number, Y = birthdate, Z = age, we have shown that the inference rule from the question is not sound.

6. TODO: Do Question 6

- 7. The attribute closure of set of attributes C:
 - Initially, $closure = \{C\}$
 - From $C \longrightarrow A$, since $C \subseteq closure$ and $A \not\subseteq closure$, $closure = \{C, A\}$
 - From $AC \longrightarrow E$, since $AC \subseteq closure$ and $E \not\subseteq closure$, $closure = \{C, A, E\}$
 - From $E \longrightarrow B$, since $E \subseteq closure$ and $B \not\subseteq closure$, $closure = \{C, A, E, B\}$
 - From $AB \longrightarrow D$, since $AB \subseteq closure$ and $D \not\subseteq closure$, $closure = \{C, A, E, B, D\}$
 - From $BC \longrightarrow D$, since $BC \subseteq closure$ and $D \subseteq closure$, we don't need to add D into closure more (as D is already in closure)
 - From $D \longrightarrow A$, since $D \subseteq closure$ and $A \subseteq closure$, we don't need to add A into closure more (as A is already in closure)
 - Therefore, $C^+ = \{A, B, C, D, E\}$

The attribute closure of set of attributes (EA):

- Initially, $closure = \{E, A\}$
- From $E \longrightarrow B$, since $E \subseteq closure$ and $B \not\subseteq closure$, $closure = \{E, A, B\}$
- From $AB \longrightarrow D$, since $AB \subseteq closure$ and $D \not\subseteq closure$, $closure = \{E, A, B, D\}$
- From $AC \longrightarrow E$, since $AC \not\subseteq closure$, we don't need to add E into closure
- From $BC \longrightarrow D$, since $BC \not\subseteq closure$, we don't need to add D into closure
- From $C \longrightarrow A$, since $C \not\subseteq closure$, we don't need to add A into closure
- From $D \longrightarrow A$, since $D \subseteq closure$ and $A \subseteq closure$, we don't need to add A into closure more (as A is already in closure)
- Therefore, $(EA)^+ = \{A, B, D, E\}$
- 8. Compute X^+ for every $X\subseteq \{A,B,C,D,E\}$
 - $A^+ = \{A\}$ since there is no $A \longrightarrow \dots$ in $\mathfrak S$
 - $B^+ = \{B\}$ since there is no $B \longrightarrow \dots$ in \mathfrak{S}
 - $C^+ = \{A, B, C, D, E\}$ from question 7
 - $D^+ = \{A, D\}$ since there is only $D \longrightarrow A$ that would satisfy the closure algorithm
 - $E^+ = \{B, E\}$ since there is only $E \longrightarrow B$ that would satisfy the closure algorithm

9.