

Delete a single record from Entity Framework?

[Ask Question](#)

▲
166 ▼
I have a SQL Server table in Entity Framework named `employ` with a single key column named `ID`.

★
28 How do I delete a single record from the table using Entity Framework?

[c#](#)[sql-server](#)[entity-framework](#)

edited Feb 2 '18 at 6:45



[CharithJ](#)

36.4k 16 93 112

asked Jul 18 '13 at 12:20



[user2497476](#)

883 2 7 6

```
db.employ.Remove(  
    db.employ.Find(ID1))  
– Carter Medlin Dec  
1 '16 at 19:17
```

- 1 [@CarterMedlin](#) - while that will work, those are two database hits: one SELECT and one DELETE. Most people find that extremely wasteful, especially since select will probably take significantly more time than a delete. – [Davor](#) Jul 4 '17 at 13:48

I would not suggest to use entity

framework Remove
or RemoveRange
due to the
performance issues.
I would rather just
use something super
simple as following:
var sql = "DELETE
FROM
YOUR_TABLE
WHERE
YOUR_FIELD=
@your_parameter";
this.your_context.Da
tabase.ExecuteSqlC
ommand(sql, new
SqlParameter("@yo
ur_parameter",
yourParameter)); –
[curiousBoy](#) Apr 24
'18 at 0:44

@curiousBoy I think
that when you
execute statements
like you suggested,
the EF6 cache
doesn't reflect the
change. – [Yitzchak](#)
Jul 8 '18 at 10:09

12 Answers



313



It's not necessary to
query the object first,
you can attach it to
the context by its id.
Like this:



```
var employer = new Emp
ctx.Employ.Attach(empl
ctx.Employ.Remove(empl
ctx.SaveChanges();
```

Alternatively, you can
set the attached
entry's state to
deleted :

```
var employer = new Emp
ctx.Entry(employer).St
ctx.SaveChanges();
```

[edited Feb 20 '18 at 18:26](#)

**Brian Webster****20.6k** 40 130 208

answered Jul 18 '13 at 12:37

**mt_serg****5,832** 2 21 41

76 Alternatively,
ctx.Entry(employ
er).State =
EntityState.Dele
ted –
[Simon Belanger](#)
Jul 18 '13 at 12:44



11 this will only work if
the relationships
are defined as
delete cascade.
otherwise the code
above will fail on an
FK exception. –
[baruchi](#) Sep 29 '14
at 19:08

5 @mt_serg, I'm
looking 3 steps
ahead. when was
the last time you
really had to
remove such a
simple record from
the DB? usually
you are dealing
with more complex
records that include
FK relations. hence
my comment. –
[baruchi](#) Sep 30 '14
at 18:46

2 @IanWarburton
The 2nd and 3rd
line (Attach and
Remove) –
[Simon Belanger](#)
May 25 '16 at 11:22

2 @PaulZahra:
sometimes you
have a list of IDs
from some other
query or source,
and you need to
delete one. Rather
than loading up the
objects just to
delete them, this
way you can delete

by ID. You know,
that's how the
DELETE statement
works in SQL
normally. – [siride](#)
Jul 11 '16 at 18:18

70 You can use
`SingleOrDefault` to get
a single object
matching your criteria,
and then pass that to
the `Remove` method of
your EF table.

```
var itemToRemove = Context.SingleOrDefault(
    item => item.Id == id);

if (itemToRemove != null)
{
    Context.Remove(itemToRemove);
    Context.SaveChanges();
}
```

answered Jul 18 '13 at 12:24



[Mansfield](#)

7,847 14 58 95


2 this is not good way,
because you are
select all field from
database! –
[Ali Yousefie](#) May 12
'16 at 5:31

2 This is the way I do
it. – [Jack Fairfield](#)
Aug 31 '16 at 20:22

@JackFairfield
checkout the
accepted answer.
There is no need to
hit the database and
retrieve data. EF
only looks at the Id
of the object being
deleted anyway. –
[Chazt3n](#) Dec 27 '16
at 23:34

4 @Ali, Jack - But I
think this is
preferable because it

first checks if the data you are trying to delete actually exists which can prevent any trouble. The accepted answer has no check as such. – [Michael Philips](#) Mar 20 '17 at 7:31

- 4 This is the better way. Think about it. What if John Smith is trying to remove an item with an id = 1 that Susie Smith removed 30 seconds ago but John doesn't know? You need to hit the database in that case. – [Yusha](#) Jan 9 '18 at 17:47 



```
var stud = (from s1 :
            where s1.ID
            select s1)
```

```
//Delete it from memory
entities.DeleteObject(stud);
//Save to database
entities.SaveChanges();
```

edited Feb 21 '18 at 18:35



[Brian Webster](#)

20.6k 40 130 208


answered Jul 18 '13 at 12:27



[Alex G](#)

416 4 16

- 1 FirstOrDefault is dangerous. Either you know there's only one (so use SingleOrDefault), or there is more than one, and it should be done in a loop. – [Mark Sowul](#) Feb 6 '18 at 19:36

8  **Employer** employer = coi
context.**Customers.Delete**
context.**SaveChanges()**;

answered Jul 18 '13 at 12:24




[Sam Leach](#)

9,388 7 35 65

Does this protect if
there is no object
with Id 1? Wouldn't it
throw an exception?
– [Jack Fairfield](#) Aug
31 '16 at 20:22

@JackFairfield i
think you should
check for null object.
and according to it
perform remove. –
[Jawand Singh](#) Apr 7
'17 at 13:38 

First is
dangerous. Either
you know there's
only one (so use
Single), or there is
more than one, and
it should be done in
a loop. – [Mark Sowul](#)
Feb 6 '18 at 19:34

4  I am using entity
framework with LINQ.
Following code was
helpful for me;

1- For multiple records

```
using (var dbContext :
{
    var allRec= dbConti
    dbContext.myEntit:
    dbContext.SaveChai
}
```

2- For Single record

```
using (var dbContext :
{
    var singleRec = dl
    object your want to del
    dbContext.ChatUser
    dbContext.SaveChanges
}
```

edited Feb 7 '18 at 15:38

answered Jun 23 '17 at 9:28



Baqer Naqvi

1,815 2 23 36

For Single record
why not use
SingleOrDefault
instead of
FirstOrDefault ? –
[Mark Sowul](#) Feb 6
'18 at 19:35

Whenever you use
SingleOrDefault, you
clearly state that the
query should result
in at most a single
result. On the other
hand, when
FirstOrDefault is
used, the query can
return any amount of
results but you state
that you only want
the first one
stackoverflow.com/a/1745716/3131402 –
[Baqer Naqvi](#) Feb 7
'18 at 10:47

- 1 Yes, so why would it
be correct to delete
an arbitrary record, if
there is more than
one? Particularly in
this case the id is the
key, so there should
be one: if there is
more than one, it is a
bug (which Single
would detect) –
[Mark Sowul](#) Feb 7
'18 at 14:56
-

@MarkSowul you
are right. I have
edited the answer to

use FirstOrDefault. –

[Baqer Naqvi](#) Feb 7

'18 at 15:39

@BaqerNaqvi

RemoveRange is
terrible way to
remove entity from
the performance
perspective..

Especially when
your entity is heavy
with all the
navigational
properties by foreign
keys. I would rather
use var sql =

```
"DELETE FROM
YOUR_TABLE
WHERE
YOUR_FIELD=
@your_parameter";
this.your_context.Da
tabase.ExecuteSqlC
ommand(sql, new
SqlParameter("@yo
ur_parameter",
yourParameter)); –
```

[curiousBoy](#) Apr 24

'18 at 0:43



More generic
approach

1



```
public virtual void De:
{
    T instance = Activi
instance.Id = id;
    if (dbContext.Entry
    {
        dbContext.Set<
    }

    dbContext.Set<T>()
}
```

answered Feb 11 '18 at 10:52



[valentasm](#)

375 2 9

u can do it simply like

1

this

```

public ActionResult
{
    using (var db :
    {
        Models.Reg:
        Registrati
db.RegisterDbTable.Fin
        if (person:
        {
            return
        }
        else
        {
            Obj.Use
            Obj.Fin
            Obj.La:
            Obj.Ci:
        }
        return Vie
    }
}

```

```

[HttpPost, ActionN:

public ActionResult
{
    using (var db :
    {
        Registrati
db.RegisterDbTable.Fin
        db.Register
        db.SaveChar
        return Red:
    }
}

```

model

```

public class Register
{

    public int UserID
    { get; set; }

    public string First
    { get; set; }

    public string Last
    { get; set; }

    public string Pass
    { get; set; }

    public string City
    { get; set; }
}

```

```
}
```

view from which u will
call it

```
<table class="table">
  <tr>
    <th>
      FirstName
    </th>
    <th>
      LastName
    </th>
    <th>
      City
    </th>
  </tr>

  @foreach (var item
  {
    <tr>
      <td> @item
      <td> @item
      <td> @item
      <td>
        <a href=
      </td>
    </tr>

    <td>
      <a href=
    </td>
  }

  </table>
```

i hope this will be easy
for u to understand

answered Oct 3 '18 at 13:18

[Sikander Iqbal](#)

58 7



For generic DAO my
work finnaly this:

0



```
public void Delete
{
    db.Entry(entity
```

```
db.SaveChanges();
```

```
}
```

answered May 25 '18 at 20:19



Tom Trnka

1 2



0

Using
[EntityFramework.Plus](#)
could be an option:



```
dbContext.Employ.Where
```

More examples are
available [here](#)

answered Aug 18 '18 at 12:07



Mohammad Reza
Sadreddini

158 1 10



0

**You can do
something like this
in your click or
celldoubleclick event
of your grid(if you
used one)**

```
if(dgEmp.CurrentRow.Index < dgEmp.Rows.Count)
{
    employ.Id = (Int32)dgEmp.Rows[dgEmp.CurrentRow.Index].Cells[0].Text;
    //Some other stuff
}
```

**Then do something
like this in your
Delete Button:**

```
using (Context context : DbContext)
{
    var entry = context.Employees.FirstOrDefault(e => e.Id == id);
    if (entry.State == EntityState.Deleted)
    {
        //Attached it :
        context.Employees.Attach(entry);
        //Use Remove method
        context.Employees.Remove(entry);
    }
}
```

```
//Finally, execute
//to the actual table
context.SaveChanges()

//Some stuff here
}
```

Alternatively, you can use a LINQ Query instead of using LINQ To Entities Query:

```
var query = (from emp :
where emp.Id == employ
select emp).Single();
```

employ.Id is used as filtering parameter which was already passed from the CellDoubleClick Event of your DataGridView.

edited Dec 21 '18 at 5:12

answered Dec 21 '18 at 3:19



arvin aquio

1 3

The Idea behind the code is you wire the id(*employ.Id*) of the record you want to delete to the model(*Employee Class*) and then attach it to the actual Table from the Context then execute in-memory *Remove()* Method then finally execute actual saving to the database using *SaveChanges()* Method. Though the LINQ Query also works fine but I don't like the idea of querying to the table just to get the id of the record. —

arvin aquio Dec 21
'18 at 5:53



0

With Entity Framework 6, you can use `Remove`. Also it's a good tactic to use `using` for being sure that your connection is closed.

```
using (var context = new MyDbContext())
{
    Employee emp = context.Employee.FirstOrDefault(e => e.Id == id);
    context.Employee.Remove(emp);
    context.SaveChanges();
}
```

answered Dec 3 '18 at 14:57



Gizmo
11 3



0

```
[HttpPost]
public JsonResult Delete(int id)
{
    using (MyContext db = new MyContext())
    {
        Contact row = db.Contact.FirstOrDefault(c => c.Id == id);
        db.Contact.Remove(row);
        db.SaveChanges();

        return Json(new { success = true });
    }
}
```

What do you think of this, simple or not, you could also try this:

```
var productrow = cnn.Product.FirstOrDefault(p => p.Id == id);
cnn.Product.Remove(productrow);
cnn.SaveChanges();
```

edited Apr 18 '18 at 14:50

answered Apr 18 '18 at 14:03



[Namroy](#)

1 1
