

**The results are in!** See what nearly 90,000 developers picked as their most loved, dreaded, and desired coding languages and more in the 2019 Developer Survey.

## Convert linq query to string array - C#

[Ask Question](#)

What is the most efficient way of converting a single column linq query to a string array?

14



1

```
private string[] WordList()
{
    DataContext db = new DataContext();

    var list = from x in db.Words
               orderby x.Word ascending
               select new { x.Word };

    // return string array here
}
```

Note - x.Word is a string

[c#](#)[linq](#)[arrays](#)[string](#)[casting](#)

edited Sep 4 '09 at 12:03

asked Sep 4 '09 at 11:54

Andrew

Home

PUBLIC

 Stack Overflow

Tags

Users

Jobs

Teams

Q&amp;A for work

[Learn More](#)

## 3 Answers



32



I prefer the lambda style, and you really ought to be disposing your data context.

```
private string[] WordList()
{
    using (DataContext db = new DataContext())
    {
        return db.Words.Select( x => x.Word ).OrderBy( x => x ).ToArray()
    }
}
```

answered Sep 4 '09 at 12:01

[tvanfosson](#)

432k 82 651 757

2 I like to give the gc a workout! - just kidding - this is a very good point.  
Thanks. – Andrew Sep 4 '09 at 12:07



4

if you type it in Lambda syntax instead you can do it a bit easier with the ToArray method:



```
string[] list = db.Words.OrderBy(w=> w.Word).Select(w => w.Word).ToA
```

or even shorter:

```
return db.Words.OrderBy(w => w.Word).Select(w => w.Word).ToArray();
```

edited Sep 4 '09 at 12:17

answered Sep 4 '09 at 11:56



[Robban](#)

5,968 2 29 40

---

both give error - Cannot implicitly convert type 'Word[]' to 'string[]' –  
Andrew Sep 4 '09 at 12:05

---

Corrected it, missed the .Word bit – [Robban](#) Sep 4 '09 at 12:17

---



How about:

7

```
return list.ToArray();
```



This is presuming that `x.Word` is actually a string.

Otherwise you could try:

```
return list.Select(x => x.ToString()).ToArray();
```

answered Sep 4 '09 at 11:56



[Daren Thomas](#)

43.4k 37 133 184

---

The first way gives this error - Cannot implicitly convert type 'AnonymousType#1[]' to 'string[]'. The second method works! Thanks! – Andrew Sep 4 '09 at 12:02

---

Skip the new {} in your select and you won't have to unpack the anonymous type, you'll just have a string (assuming Word is a character column). – [tvanfosson](#) Sep 4 '09 at 12:04

---

@tvanfosson, What does it mean to "*unpack an anonymous type*"? (I'm assuming an edit has been made to this answer, because I no longer see any new {} .) – [kdbanman](#) Sep 3 '15 at 15:36

---