Does CSS have a :blur selector (pseudo-class)?

Asked 7 years, 1 month ago Active 3 years, 4 months ago Viewed 71k times



I know there is a :focus selector. I can't seem to find use of or documentation of a :blur selector. Is there one?

48

css css-selectors pseudo-class







asked Jul 28 '12 at 17:36 user656925

4 Answers



There is no :blur pseudo-class in CSS.



The <u>dynamic pseudo-classes</u>, like other pseudo-classes and in fact all other selectors, represent *states*; they do not represent *events* or transitions between states in terms of the document tree. To wit: the <code>:focus</code> pseudo-class represents an element that is in focus; it does not represent an element that has just received focus, nor does there exist a <code>:blur</code> pseudo-class to represent an element that has just lost focus.



Similarly, this applies to the :hover pseudo-class. While it represents an element which has a pointing device over it, there is neither a :mouseover pseudo-class for an element that has just been pointed to nor a :mouseout pseudo-class for an element that has just been pointed away from.

If you need to apply styles to an element that is not in focus, you have two choices:

```
1. Use :not(:focus) (with less browser support):
    input:not(:focus), button:not(:focus) {
        /* Styles for only form inputs and buttons that do not have focus */
}
```

By using our site, you acknowledge that you have read and understand our Cookie Policy, Privacy Policy, and our Terms of Service.

```
input, button {
    /* Styles for all form inputs and buttons */
}
input:focus, button:focus {
    /* Styles for only form inputs and buttons that have focus */
}
```

edited Dec 11 '14 at 9:00

answered Jul 28 '12 at 17:47



1 would .button:not(:focus) equal .button? They elect the same but the latter has a specificity of 10 and the first a specificity of 20. —

Torsten Walter Jul 28 '12 at 18:01 /

@Torsten Walter: No, they're different; .button will match all elements with that class whether or not they are in focus, while .button:not(:focus) will never match the elements that are matched by .button:focus . The reason why you use .button and .button:focus together is to take advantage of the cascade. But, yes, the latter is less specific. − BoltClock ◆ Jul 28 '12 at 18:02 ▶

Right. I didn't think about it from that perspective. – Torsten Walter Jul 28 '12 at 19:14

- What if you want to style something only after a user focused on it the left? For example, you wouldn't want to shout at someone for not entering a password when they load the page, only after they left the input. Marcel Jul 25 '14 at 18:33
- 2 @Marcel: Then you will need to use a JavaScript event handler, for example to update the element with a class corresponding to your desired styles. CSS only works with states and not events, which means as far as CSS is concerned, an element is either in focus or not in focus. In particular, it doesn't know whether or not the element has been focused since the page was loaded (unless you tell it by updating the DOM after the fact, something only a script can do). − BoltClock ◆ Jan 12 '15 at 15:18 ✓



No, CSS has no blur pseudo-selector, presumably because blur is more of an event than a state. (It would be unclear when something should lose its blur state).

5



answered Jul 28 '12 at 17:40



By using our site, you acknowledge that you have read and understand our Cookie Policy, Privacy Policy, and our Terms of Service.



These are the selectors by precedence.

3

```
.myButton
.myButton:hover
.myButton:focus
.myButton:active
```

transition-delay: .1s;

edited Jul 28 '12 at 17:50

user656925

answered Jul 28 '12 at 17:46



Torsten Walter **4,834** 17 22



Use the general transition to set the blur transition.

2

```
.example {
   transition-property: opacity;
   transition-duration: 2s; /* This will happen after the hover state and can also be
used for consistency on the overall experience */
   opacity: 0;
}
.example:hover {
   opacity: .8;
   transition-duration: .3s;
```

edited Apr 26 '16 at 1:23



Barry Michael Doyle 2.802 8 45 77 answered Apr 25 '16 at 23:30



userDepth

By using our site, you acknowledge that you have read and understand our Cookie Policy, Privacy Policy, and our Terms of Service.