## What do {curly braces} around javascript variable name mean [duplicate]

Asked 5 years ago Active 3 years, 3 months ago Viewed 41k times



135

This question already has an answer here:

What is this JavaScript syntax: {Ci, CC}? [duplicate] 2 answers



EDIT After looking at JSHint I found this 'destructuring expression' is available in ES6 (use esnext option) or Mozilla JS extensions (use moz) and this however after reading it I still don't understand why it is used



I have come across the following code on MDN

```
var ui = require("sdk/ui");
var { ActionButton } = require("sdk/ui/button/action");
```

What do the braces on the second line do and why are they used? Why are there no braces on the first line?

javascript firefox firefox-addon

edited Aug 7 '14 at 16:57

asked Aug 7 '14 at 16:44



marked as duplicate by Bergi

javascript | Aug 27 '15 at 21:09

This question has been asked before and already has an answer. If those answers do not fully address your question, please ask a new question.

I think that's an EcmaScript 6 destructuring binding pattern. – Pointy Aug 7 '14 at 16:50

@Pointy after looking at JSHint I found this 'destructuring expression' is available in ES6 (use esnext option) or Mozilla JS extensions (use moz) and this however after reading it I still don't understand why it is used developer.mozilla.org/en-US/docs/Web/JavaScript/... - Georgi Georgiev Aug 7 '14 at 16:54

4 @Bergi surely closing this as a duplicate of a pre-ES6 question whose answers claim that this is a JS 1.7 feature unique to Firefox isn't helpful? The world has changed since that question was asked and the answers there are obsolete - plus this question is drawing far more views. We should improve this Q&A pair to clearly include the history of this syntax so that nobody gets confused about the relationship between JS 1.7 and ES 6, then close the other question as a duplicate of this one. − Mark Amery Nov 23 '15 at 11:21 ▶

@MarkAmery: Both these questions ask about the JS 1.7 feature, and are even tagged <u>firefox</u>. For ES6 questions, I'm using <u>Javascript object bracket notation on left side to assign</u> as the canonical question. – Bergi Nov 23 '15 at 16:19

@Bergi Hmm. Given the context in which he found this code, you're clearly right that the code the OP was reading was using the JS 1.7 feature, but I think the OP in this case has come across this syntax and *doesn't know* which version of the syntax he's seeing. Wouldn't it be more useful to have a comprehensive duplicate target that explains that there are two specifications that introduce the syntax (as well as any differences between the two, although I think they're identical and the ES spec just copied the JavaScript 1.7 version exactly)? – Mark Amery Nov 23 '15 at 16:26

## 1 Answer



This is what's known as a <u>destructuring assignment</u>, and it's a new feature of <u>JavaScript 1.7</u> (and <u>ECMAScript 6</u>) (Currently, only available in the FireFox JavaScript engine.) Roughly, it would translate into this:

185

var ActionButton = require("sdk/ui/button/action").ActionButton;



It seems silly in this example, as there's only one item being assigned. However, you'd be able to use this pattern to assign multiple variables at once:

```
\{x, y\} = foo;
```

Is the equivalent to:

```
x = foo.x;
y = foo.y;
```

This can also be used for arrays. For example, you could easily swap two values without using a temporary variable:

```
var a = 1;
var b = 3;
[a, b] = [b, a];
```

Browser support can be tracked using kangax' ES6 compatibility table.

edited May 13 '16 at 16:45

Daniel Samuels

476 4 20

answered Aug 7 '14 at 16:52

Mike Christensen

59.2k 40 175 280

- A much more useful example would be something like {width, height, color} = options, which would replace the lines width = options.width; height = options.height; color = options.color. meagar ♦ Aug 7 '14 at 16:55 ✓
- 2 @CaseyFalk From what I can tell,  $var \{x, y\} = \dots$  would be the equivalent of  $var x = \dots$  and  $var y = \dots$  and  $var y = \dots$  would be the equivalent of  $var x = \dots$  and  $var y = \dots$  and  $var y = \dots$  would be the equivalent of  $var x = \dots$  and  $var y = \dots$  and  $var y = \dots$  would be the equivalent of  $var x = \dots$  and  $var y = \dots$  and  $var y = \dots$  would be the equivalent of  $var x = \dots$  and  $var y = \dots$  and  $var y = \dots$  would be
- 2 @CaseyFalk In other words, you're right. Since the example above was declaring { ActionButton }, my rough translation was incorrect. I've updated it. Mike Christensen Aug 7 '14 at 17:08
- 1 I realize it was "rough" -- sorry to be nit-picky. : ) Have an upvote. Casey Falk Aug 7 '14 at 17:13
- "JavaScript 1.7 support was introduced in Firefox 2 (October 2006)": D Anyway, it is part of the ECMA-6 draft (<u>assignment</u>, <u>binding</u>), so it will <u>get broader browser support</u> in the foreseeable future. Also: (function(arg1, {opt1, opt2}) { console.log(arg1, opt1, opt2); })(1, {opt1: 2, opt2: 3}). Also: var {ActionButton: ab} = ...; nmaier Aug 7 '14 at 17:36

Got a question that you can't ask on public Stack Overflow? Learn more about sharing private information with Stack Overflow for Teams.

X