Early exit from function?

Asked 9 years ago Active 1 year, 2 months ago Viewed 692k times



I have a function:

366

```
function myfunction() {
  if (a == 'stop') // How can I stop the function here?
}
```



Is there something like exit() in JavaScript?

57

javascript



asked Jul 25 '10 at 17:16



- 3 do you want to stop the execution or return? Ken Struys Jul 25 '10 at 17:20
 - @Ken Struys what is the difference? as i understand, if i make return, it stops the execution? isn't it? Simon Jul 25 '10 at 17:23 /
- Well here's the thing, using a return will just return to the context of the calling function. If you actually want the exit semantic you want to stop execution, you could do something like this: wikku.info/codesnippets/javascript/... Ken Struys Jul 25 '10 at 17:34
- 0 (Men That link you provided deals with stopping execution of a for loop. Even then, I have no idea why the method suggested would be used, when you could just call break; . To use the example from the article: if(i==5) break; Using return will halt the execution of the function, whether or not you're in a for loop. − user113716 Jul 25 '10 at 18:09 ✓

Syom - Yes, return will stop the execution of the function, which seems to be what you asked. - user113716 Jul 25 '10 at 18:11

11 Answers



You can just use return .



```
function myfunction() {
     if(a == 'stop')
         return;
```

This will send a return value of undefined to whatever called the function.

```
var x = myfunction();
console.log( x ); // console shows undefined
```

Of course, you can specify a different return value. Whatever value is returned will be logged to the console using the above example.

```
return false;
return true;
return "some string";
return 12345;
```

edited Jul 25 '10 at 17:25

answered Jul 25 '10 at 17:20



- I know this is an old post, and this is common practice BUT I think this is a bad solution. If the function is SUPPOSED to return a value, you should use return. If you just blindly use return you might run into problems later. Especially if you start binding events that have a return in them. Check out this post for more info: fuelyourcoding.com/jquery-events-stop-misusing-return-false – user603284 Jul 14 '11 at 21:53
- @dbme: Functions in JavaScript always return. The return statement is implicit if it hasn't been provided. The default return value is undefined, and that's what my primary solution provides. The article you referenced is talking about doing return false in a jQuery event handler. That's an entirely different issue. This is the proper way to exit a JavaScript function. Obviously if the caller relies on the value returned, the value needs to be defined appropriately. - user113716 Jul 14 '11 at 22:03
- ...An implicit variation would be if(a != 'stop') { /* run my code */ } so that the code only runs when a doesn't equal 'stop' without providing an explicit return. But the return value is identical to my solution. In both cases, undefined will be returned. - user113716 Jul 14 '11 at 22:06 🥕
- Awesome response. I didn't realize there was any difference between returning undefined vs false vs a different value. I've been trying to find a definitive answer regarding this behavior for the past hour. So is it safe to say (to reiterate your point) that return is a 100% safe way to exit a method, even if the caller is bound to events etc? - user603284 Jul 14 '11 at 22:11
 - @dbme: Well, it all depends on what is expected by the method calling the function. If some code library defines an event system that will break if it receives undefined (or it doesn't receive some other value) as a return value, then you'll need to conform to the specification of that API, and return the correct value. Generally for an event handling system, it will expect undefined as a simple indication that the handler has finished, and there are

no further instructions. With jQuery, return false; has special meaning giving instruction to do a preventDefault and stopPropagation .— user113716 Jul 14 '11 at 22:19



Apparently you can do this:

47

```
function myFunction() {myFunction:{
    console.log('i get executed');
    break myFunction;
    console.log('i do not get executed');
}}
```

See block scopes through the use of a label: https://developer.mozilla.org/en-US/docs/Web/JavaScript/Reference/Statements/label

I can't see any downsides yet. But it doesn't seem like a common use.

Derived this answer: JavaScript equivalent of PHP's die



answered Mar 8 '14 at 10:34



CMCDragonkai 3,273 6 39 69

is it possible to use this solution with nodejs exports module? when I try it I get "not used label" error. exports.MyFunction = function(data){myFunction: {break myFunction;}} - Yuri Almeida Feb 1 '17 at 16:12



This:



```
function myfunction()
{
    if (a == 'stop') // How can I stop working of function here?
    {
        return;
    }
}
```

answered Jul 25 '10 at 17:20







```
function myfunction() {
    if(a == 'stop')
         return false;
```

return false; is much better than just return;



answered Feb 1 '13 at 2:54



xlaok **351** 2 8

12 Why is false better? I'd say the default undefined is better in the generic case. Either way, you're correct to say it's often better to return a meaningful value. - Brad Koch Apr 30 '13 at 15:06

It's up to the programmer and dependant on the use case. For example, a function might validate something, so if the validation fails it makes more sense to return false than undefined . - Adam McArthur Oct 1 '14 at 4:06



Using a little different approach, you can use try catch, with throw statement.

```
function name() {
   try {
        //get out of here
        if (a == 'stop')
           throw "exit";
   } catch (e) {
        // TODO: handle exception
```

answered Feb 26 '16 at 13:58

Rodney Salcedo





if you are looking for a script to avoid submitting form when some errors found, this method should work

3

```
function verifyData(){
    if (document.MyForm.FormInput.value.length == "") {
        alert("Write something!");
    }
    else {
        document.MyForm.submit();
    }
}
```

change the **Submit Button** type to "button"

```
<input value="Save" type="button" onClick="verifyData()">
```

hope this help.

edited Oct 9 '12 at 9:41

answered Oct 9 '12 at 8:08



Awal Istirdja



Using a return will stop the function and return undefined, or the value that you specify with the return command.

3



function myfunction(){
 if(a=="stop"){
 //return undefined;
 return; /** Or return "Hello" or any other value */
 }
}

edited May 1 '16 at 3:43



4castle 23.2k 6 48 answered Apr 30 '16 at 17:47



Seizefire



I dislike answering things that aren't a real solution...

0

...but when I encountered this same problem, I made below workaround:



```
function doThis() {
  var err=0
  if (cond1) { alert('ret1'); err=1; }
  if (cond2) { alert('ret2'); err=1; }
  if (cond3) { alert('ret3'); err=1; }
  if (err < 1) {
    // do the rest (or have it skipped)
  }
}</pre>
```

Hope it can be useful for anyone.

answered Nov 5 '16 at 12:29



Leo

2 14 15

Duh. That's so simple I never thought of it!! Pretty useful to avoid big nested IF's. Thanks. - Debbie A Mar 27 at 19:20

This would be better with a switch. - Nazka Apr 30 at 12:57

Depends if you wish to have all error alerts at once, of be notified of just one. However each condition needs to be executed anyway. – Leo May 1 at 11:10 /



exit(); can be use to go for the next validation.





answered Aug 9 '16 at 20:15



5

Unclear and faulty answer. – Frank Conijn Jun 5 '18 at 10:06 /



If you are using jquery. This should stop the function from bubbling up to so the parent function calling this should stop as well.



```
function myfunction(e)
{
    e.stopImmediatePropagation();
    ..................}
```

answered Oct 29 '12 at 21:02



6 stopImmediatePropagation() is not a jQuery thing, and stopping propagation is not the same thing as exiting a function. — Brad Koch Apr 30 '13 at 15:01 /



type any random command that throws an error, for example:

-11

exit



or

die:-)

answered Sep 15 '16 at 2:12



This may stop all code from being executed, not only the rest of the function. Check fiddle: jsfiddle.net/b3k0xo7n/1 - treecon Jun 19 '17 at 21:13 /

1 What a terrible programming approach. How is this intuitive for another developer who works on the same codebase? – osullic Jun 26 '18 at 15:07