

Function naming conventions

Asked 9 years, 6 months ago Active 2 months ago Viewed 35k times



32



19

I am writing a library, so, I want its functions to be named as clearly and cleverly as possible. Currently, I use the following principles:

1. Self-explanatory names: a function `getName()` will tell the developer what it returns as well as `setAddress()`, `isMale()`, etc.
2. Short: a function name must be as short as possible so that it's simple to type as well as easy to remember. A function `getNumberOfPagesInTheBook()` is not good, something like `getBookPageCount()` is better.
3. Use of prefixes: I always use prefixes in the functions such as `getName()`, `setName()`, `hasHair()`, `isBlond()`, etc.

I'm interested in knowing if there's something I'm missing. Also, can you think of some other prefixes other than `is`, `has`, `get` and `set`?

[function](#)[naming-conventions](#)

edited Jan 2 '10 at 11:35



Adriaan Stander

136k 25 248 262

asked Jan 2 '10 at 11:25



Tower

46.3k 101 306 475

4 This is language-dependent to some degree, since different languages often have different naming/coding conventions. – [skaffman](#) Jan 2 '10 at 11:26



getters and setters can be an indication of poor design, if you have too many of them. – anon Jan 2 '10 at 11:28

@Neil Butterworth: Interesting! Curious to know why and what would be better approach then? (This is about getters and setters) – [Madhu](#) Jan 2 '10 at 11:31



2 If you need many get/set functions, it is often an indication that your class is simply a "record" with no real behaviour. – anon Jan 2 '10 at 11:34

Subtle but telling point. – [Kzqai](#) Jan 9 '10 at 22:49

8 Answers



One of the more universal, yet simple rules is: Function names should be verbs if the function changes the state of the program, and nouns if they're used to return a certain value.

56



answered Jan 2 '10 at 11:31

**Carl Smotricz****55.9k** 16 112 156

20 so that means getter functions are all named wrong?... ie `getSomeValue()`; – [timh](#) Aug 6 '12 at 22:24

1 @timh Accessors are a bit of a special case, they usually return properties of an object, something you'd just use property access syntax for in C# or AS3. – [futlib](#) May 23 '13 at 3:05

7 @timh yes, they are named wrong – [Kamil Tomšík](#) Apr 6 '14 at 15:44

1 @timh yes they are wrong thats why C# fixed theirs with `get;set` property – [electricalbah](#) Dec 3 '15 at 23:50

1 This isn't a good rule in languages with named closures and computed properties. A better rule for languages with those features is to always name functions as verbs or predicates. You have to think about what the function will look like when stored as a closure. A noun won't make sense; that gives the impression that it's the result of invocation. – [Jessy](#) Apr 30 '18 at 3:44

One more important thing to do when writing a library is to use the same word to describe the same action every time. don't write a function named **getName** in one class and another function named **retrieveNumber** in another class.

4



answered Jan 2 '10 at 11:31

**Moshe Levi****3,073** 15 23

I typically use `get` when it gets the data locally from class variable and or has a calculation (e.g `circle.getArea()`), and then I use `retrieve` when it must get this data from the outside, (e.g from database: `db.retrieveUserById(123)`) – [David Callanan](#) Dec 18 '18 at 9:19

Have a look at

- [Naming Conventions for .NET / C# Projects](#)
- [Naming Guidelines](#)

2



answered Jan 2 '10 at 11:29

**Adriaan Stander**



136k 25 248 262

Other prefixes? Possibly "isa", though that is only applicable in some situations.

1

Some languages can communicate "get" and/or "set" with other constructs (specifically, in Common Lisp you can make (setf (get* ...) blah) do the same as what you would've wanted (set* ... blah) do).

answered Jan 2 '10 at 11:39



Vatine

16.9k 2 45 66

0

1. Naming conventions for [Java](#)
2. Naming conventions for [.Net](#)
3. Naming conventions for [C++](#)

answered Jan 2 '10 at 11:33



Suraj Chandran

19.3k 10 54 90

If there is a universal rule, I think it should be to be consistent.

0

There is also the "on" prefix, which is widely used when dealing with events (i.e. Java Android: [onViewCreated](#)). Some other prefixes or short and/or generic verbs (such as has, get and set) widely used are:

- [can](#)
- [put / post](#)

I prefer using nouns for simple getters when there is very little logic involved (i.e. properties) but I would use the "get" prefix for complex actions:

```
func center() {  
    return (a + b) / 2  
}
```

However, in some languages where using explicitly the "get" prefix is widely extended (i.e. Android - Java), the common practice is using some verb such as "compute" (i.e. [computeVerticalScrollOffset\(\)](#))

Furthermore, in some languages (e.g. [swift](#)) you can also use property setters so you don't really use the "set" prefix:

```
var x: X {
    get {
        return foo(x)
    }
    set {
        x = bar(newValue)
    }
}

// Set x
x = y
```

And finally, there are many widely used constructions such as [instanceof](#) , [indexOf](#) , ...

answered Jan 17 '16 at 12:31



[FranMowinkel](#)

2,693 19 22

Pro get/set

0

When a class has many methods, it is better to use verb prefixes, such as get/set, to distinguish methods from each other.

PHP example:

```
$foo->setText('Hello world!');
$foo->prependText('So. ');
$foo->appendText(' And welcome');
$x = $foo->getText();
```

By the way, in Hungarian notation prefixes go with a small letter and will not detract from keyword.

Counter get/set

When you need only two methods, it is easier to use the same noun in the context of using parameters.

jQuery example:

```
$('.foo').html(); //get  
$('.foo').html('Hello world!'); //set
```

Examples

For functions and static methods with arrays as parameters I use the following rule:

If changes should occur only at run time:

```
setFoo($arr); // Replace/delete all properties, i.e. if some elements are not passed,  
the corresponding properties will get empty values.  
setFoo([]); // Delete all properties  
setFoo(); // Set all properties by default  
delFoo($arr); // Delete specified properties  
addFoo($arr); // Add/replace specified properties
```

If changes will be made forever (in DB or files):

```
deleteFoo(...); // Delete specified properties  
insertFoo(...); // Add specified properties  
replaceFoo(...); // Add or replace specified properties  
updateFoo(...); // Update specified properties
```

For both cases:

```
$arr = getFoo(); // Get all properties  
$val = getFoo($level1, $level2, ...); // You can obtain the value of the given level,  
placing the list of arguments  
or  
$val=getFoo()[$level1][$level2];
```

edited Mar 28 '16 at 5:27

answered Mar 27 '16 at 8:51



[Vlad Alivanov](#)

526 5 8



0



Here is a great resource advising the same as @Carl's answer: <https://swift.org/documentation/api-design-guidelines/#strive-for-fluent-usage>

Name functions and methods according to their side-effects

- Those without side-effects should read as noun phrases, e.g. `x.distance(to: y)` , `i.successor()` .
- Those with side-effects should read as imperative verb phrases, e.g., `print(x)` , `x.sort()` , `x.append(y)` .

answered May 1 at 0:50



[gamliela](#)

1,511

1

20

34