

# Regular expression to stop at first match [duplicate]



440

This question already has an answer here:

[My regex is matching too much. How do I make it stop?](#) 5 answers



My regex pattern looks something like



111

```
<xxxx location="file path/level1/level2" xxxx some="xxx">
```

I am only interested in the part in quotes assigned to location. Shouldn't it be as easy as below without the greedy switch?

```
/.*location="(.*?)".*/
```

Does not seem to work.

regex

edited Dec 1 '12 at 18:43

community wiki  
3 revs, 2 users 75%  
publicRavi

**marked** as duplicate by [Wiktor Stribizew](#)

regex

 Feb 18 at 9:45

This question has been asked before and already has an answer. If those answers do not fully address your question, please [ask a new question](#).

What's your source, is it HTML or xml or something? – [Oskar Kjellin](#) Mar 23 '10 at 20:39

18 Why is this a community wiki? It's a real question. Too late now. – [Ahmad Mageed](#) Mar 23 '10 at 20:41

By using our site, you acknowledge that you have read and understand our [Cookie Policy](#), [Privacy Policy](#), and our [Terms of Service](#).

3 Not if all you want is to scan for simple attributes. Regex is appropriate and faster. – [codenheim](#) Mar 23 '10 at 20:44

---

## 6 Answers

---



921



You need to make your regular expression non-greedy, because by default, `"(.*)"` will match all of `"file path/level1/level2" xxx` some="xxx" .

Instead you can make your dot-star non-greedy, which will make it match as few characters as possible:

```
/location="(.*?)"/
```

Adding a `?` on a quantifier (`?`, `*` or `+`) makes it non-greedy.

answered Mar 23 '10 at 20:40

community wiki  
[Daniel Vandersluis](#)

---

28 FWIW, incase your using VIM, this regex needs to be a little different: instead of `.*` it's `.{\{-}` for a non-greedy match. – [SooDesuNe](#) Mar 24 '11 at 0:21

---

39 Thanks Daniel. **"Adding a ? on a quantifier (?, \* or +) makes it non-greedy."** is helpful tip for me. – [PhatHV](#) Aug 20 '14 at 2:30

---

9 The `?` describes my confusion in trying to figure this out. How appropriate. – [Robbie Smith](#) Apr 18 '16 at 17:38

---

I believe you can say 'lazy' instead of 'non-greedy' – [Manticore](#) Oct 19 '16 at 20:15

---



0



Because you are using *quantified subpattern* and as descried in [Perl Doc](#),

By default, a quantified subpattern is **"greedy"**, that is, it will match as **many times** as possible (given a particular starting location) while still allowing the rest of the pattern to match. If you want it **to match the minimum number of times** possible, follow the quantifier **with** a `"?"` . Note that the meanings don't change, just the "greediness":

By using our site, you acknowledge that you have read and understand our [Cookie Policy](#), [Privacy Policy](#), and our [Terms of Service](#).

Thus, to allow your *quantified* pattern to make minimum match, follow it by `?` :

```
/location="(.*?)"
```

answered Nov 20 '18 at 10:26

community wiki  
Mohammad Kanan

Use of Lazy quantifiers `?` with no global flag is the answer.

8

Eg,

The screenshot shows a web-based regular expression testing interface. At the top, it says 'REGULAR EXPRESSION' and '1 match, 5 steps (~601ms)'. The input field contains the pattern `<.+?>`. Below it, the 'TEST STRING' section shows two lines of text: 'This is a <EM> first</EM> test' and 'This is a <EM>first</EM> test'. The first line is highlighted with a blue background, indicating a successful match.

If you had global flag `/g` then, it would have matched all the lowest length matches as below.

The screenshot shows the same web-based regular expression testing interface, but with the global flag `/g` added to the pattern, making it `<.+?>/g`. The 'TEST STRING' section shows the same two lines of text. In this case, both lines are highlighted with a blue background, indicating that the pattern matches multiple times across the string.

By using our site, you acknowledge that you have read and understand our Cookie Policy, Privacy Policy, and our Terms of Service.

How about

29

```
.*location="(["]*)".*
```

This avoids the unlimited search with `.*` and will match exactly to the first quote.

edited Feb 21 '13 at 8:55

community wiki  
2 revs, 2 users 89%  
user193690

Due to [discrepancies in grep](#) the above should be the preferred pattern if portability is a concern. – [Josh Haldas](#) Aug 13 '18 at 5:44

47

`location="(.)"` will match from the `"` after `location=` until the `"` after `some="xxx` unless you make it non-greedy. So you either need `.+?` (i.e. make it non-greedy) or better replace `.*` with `[^"]*`.

answered Mar 23 '10 at 20:40

community wiki  
[sepp2k](#)

7 `+1`, `[^"]*` is clearer than `.+?` any day – [Kip](#) Mar 23 '10 at 20:47

3 `[^"]*` is also probably faster with most regex engines because it does not need to lookup the pattern after the current pattern. – [Jean Vincent](#) Jul 21 '12 at 10:34

1 @Kip: You're probably right, but the `.+?` notation is more general than `[^"]*` – [Bondax](#) Sep 2 '15 at 7:45

how about if I want to include the delimiter character using `[^"]*` – [Frohlich](#) Nov 28 '16 at 11:46

not at all, if you don't know what `^` and `[]` mean here. Most people will understand `.*` – [Vincent Gerris](#) Jun 4 at 14:37

Use non-greedy matching if your engine supports it. Add the `?` inside the capture

By using our site, you acknowledge that you have read and understand our [Cookie Policy](#), [Privacy Policy](#), and our [Terms of Service](#).



answered [Mar 23 '10 at 20:40](#)

community wiki  
[codenheim](#)

---

By using our site, you acknowledge that you have read and understand our [Cookie Policy](#), [Privacy Policy](#), and our [Terms of Service](#).