

The simplest formula to calculate page count?

[Ask Question](#)

I have an array and I want to divide them into page according to preset page size.

64

This is how I do:



16

```
private int CalcPagesCount()
{
    int totalPage = imagesFound.Length / PageSize;

    // add the last page, ugly
    if (imagesFound.Length % PageSize != 0) totalPage++;
    return totalPage;
}
```

I feel the calculation is not the simplest (I am poor in math), can you give one simpler calculation formula?

[c#](#)[pagination](#)

edited May 13 '18 at 21:27

[Vadim Ovchinnikov](#)

7,578 4 28 52

asked Oct 23 '09 at 3:29

[Benny](#)

4,647 6 46 84

Join **Stack Overflow** to learn, share knowledge, and build your career.

[Email Sign Up](#)[OR SIGN IN WITH](#)[Google](#)[Facebook](#)

Stack Overflow

Tags

Users

Jobs

Teams

Q&A for work

[Learn More](#)

148

```
totalPage = (imagesFound.Length + PageSize - 1) / PageSize
```

Or use floating point math:



```
totalPage = (int) Math.Ceiling((double) imagesFound.Length
```

answered Oct 23 '09 at 3:32

[John Kugelman](#)

254k 56 412 464

2 Danger ... this results in an overflow when `PageSize = int.MaxValue`. I added an answer that's not vulnerable to an overflow. — [Jeremy](#) Nov 11 '17 at 15:03

1 To avoid the overflow you could just refactor the formula to get: $((\text{imagesFound.Length} - 1) / \text{PageSize}) + 1$ — [AdrianRM](#) Jan 21 at 16:15



20



Actually, you are close to the best you can do. About the only thing that I can think of that might be "better" is something like this:

```
totalPage = (imagesFound.Length + PageSize - 1) / PageSize
```

And the only reason that this is any better is that you avoid the if statement.

answered Oct 23 '09 at 3:33

[Tom](#)

Join **Stack Overflow** to learn, share knowledge, and build your career.

[Email Sign Up](#)

OR SIGN IN WITH



Google

[Facebook](#)

17

NOTE: you will always get at least 1 page, even for 0 count, if the page size is > 1 , which is what I needed but may not be what you need. A page size of 1 (silly but technically valid) and a count of 0 would be zero pages. Depending on your needs you may want to check for a zero value for count & page size of 1

```
int pages = ((count - 1) / PAGESIZE) + 1;
```

edited May 23 at 12:11

answered Dec 5 '14 at 19:30



Booji Boy

3,856 4 30 44

- 1 This seems much simpler than the accepted answer. – [Greg Chabala](#) Apr 27 '17 at 16:16

Yes, simpler. Simpler but Wrong. if count = 0 then pages = 1 – [Pavel Melnikov](#) Aug 4 '17 at 15:04

- 1 @Pavel Melnikov . I suppose in a purely pedantic sense it is wrong, but it depends on context. In the code I used this in I always wanted at least 1 page (0 pages was invalid even if there were 0 items). I should at least add a note. – [Booji Boy](#) Aug 14 '17 at 19:46 ✎

- 1 @PavelMelnikov, it's not exactly wrong. Just depends on how you want to look at it. The assumption that it always returns at

Join **Stack Overflow** to learn, share knowledge, and build your career.

Email Sign Up

OR SIGN IN WITH



Facebook



The OP contains a valid answer. If I wanted to *turn off* paging then I could set `PageSize = int.MaxValue`.

9



Several answers here add to `PageSize (imagesFound.Length + PageSize)` and that would cause an overflow. Which then leads to an incorrect result.

This is the code I am going to use:

```
int imageCount = imagesFound.Length;

// include this if when you always want at least 1 page
if (imageCount == 0)
{
    return 1;
}

return imageCount % PageSize != 0
    ? imageCount / PageSize + 1
    : imageCount / PageSize;
```

edited Sep 26 '18 at 21:09

answered Nov 11 '17 at 15:01



Jeremy

395 3 9

To avoid having errors with page numbering the best way I

Join Stack Overflow to learn, share knowledge, and build your career.

Email Sign Up

OR SIGN IN WITH



Google

Facebook



This should not give you page 2 when `PageSize == imagesFound.Length`

edited Apr 11 '16 at 9:06

answered May 28 '14 at 6:46



Clayton C

391 3 12

-
- 1 I don't think this is valid. If you have a length of 3 and a pagesize of 2, you would expect 2 pages (first page has 2 items, second page has 1). Doing this will give you $(3-1)/2 = 1$ page. – [joshhendo](#) Sep 1 '15 at 0:23
-

Altered the original post to accommodate for that aswell, thanks for noticing – [Clayton C](#) Apr 11 '16 at 9:07

Join **Stack Overflow** to learn, share knowledge, and build your career.

Email Sign Up

OR SIGN IN WITH



Google

Facebook 