

Loop 1

```
for (i=0; i<N; i++) {
    a[(N+1)*i+k1] = b[i]*c[i];
    d[i] = a[(N+1)*i+k2*N]+e;
}
```

1. Substitute N=5590, k1=k2=559 to the above loop:

```
for (int i = 0; i < 5590; i++) {
    a[(5591)*i+559] = b[i]*c[i]; // statement 1: a1 = 5591, a0 = 559
    d[i] = a[(5591)*i+3124810]+e; // statement 2: b1 = 5591, b0 = 3124810
}
```

2. We have let $s_1 = 5591 * i_1 + 559$ and $s_2 = 5591 * i_2 + 3124810$

3. By GCD Test, data dependence occur if $s_1 = s_2$, which implies

$$\begin{aligned} 5591 * i_1 + 559 &= 5591 * i_2 + 3124810 \\ \Rightarrow 5591 * i_1 - 5591 * i_2 &= 3124810 - 559 \\ \Rightarrow 5591 * (i_1 - i_2) &= 3124251 \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

Observe that equation (1) has integral solution iff $\gcd(3124251, 5591)$ exists. And, in fact, only 1 is gcd of them. Hence, by GCD test, i_1 and i_2 are not dependent.

4. Banerjee's Test

$$s_1 - s_2 \Leftrightarrow 5591 * (i_1 - i_2) - 3124251$$

Consider the four points:

$$\begin{aligned} (i_1 = 0, i_2 = 0) &\Rightarrow s_1 - s_2 = 5591 * (0 - 0) - 3124251 = -3124251 \\ (i_1 = 0, i_2 = 5589) &\Rightarrow s_1 - s_2 = 5591 * (0 - 5589) - 3124251 = -34372350 \\ (i_1 = 5589, i_2 = 0) &\Rightarrow s_1 - s_2 = 5591 * (5589 - 0) - 3124251 = 28123848 \\ (i_1 = 5589, i_2 = 5589) &\Rightarrow s_1 - s_2 = 5591 * (5589 - 5589) - 3124251 = -3124251 \end{aligned}$$

Thus, $L = -34372350$, $U = 28123848$.

Note that $b_0 - a_0 = 3124810 - 559 = 3124251$. So $L < b_0 - a_0 < U$. This doesn't break the dependence between statement 1 and statement 2.

Now let's add constraint $i_1 \leq i_2$, which allows us to exclude the point $(i_1 = 5589, i_2 = 0)$ from our test. Hence, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (i_1 = 0, i_2 = 0) &\Rightarrow s_1 - s_2 = 5591 * (0 - 0) - 3124251 = -3124251 \\ (i_1 = 0, i_2 = 5589) &\Rightarrow s_1 - s_2 = 5591 * (0 - 5589) - 3124251 = -34372350 \\ (i_1 = 5589, i_2 = 5589) &\Rightarrow s_1 - s_2 = 5591 * (5589 - 5589) - 3124251 = -3124251 \end{aligned}$$

This implies $L = \min(-3124251, -34372350, -3124251) = -34372350$ and $U = \max(-3124251, -34372350, -3124251) = -3124251$. But $b_0 - a_0 = 3124810 - 559 = 3124251 > U$. This breaks data dependence between statement1 and statement2.

Loop 2

1. Substitution:

Given the loop as below:

```
for (i=0; i<N; i++) {  
    a[k3*N+i] = b[i]*c[i];  
    d[i] = a[(k3+1)*N+i]+e;  
}
```

Now substitute N=5590,k3=124 to obtain the new loop where statement 1 writes to and statement 2 reads from a

```
for (i=0; i<5590; i++) {  
    a[693160+i] = b[i]*c[i]; // statement 1: a1 = 1, a0 = 693160  
    d[i] = a[698750+i]+e; // statement 2: b1 = 1, b0 = 698750  
}
```

Let s1 = 693160+i and s2 = 698750+i

2. Calculation:

The data dependence occurs iff

$$\begin{aligned} s1 &= s2 \text{ for any } 0 \leq i_1, i_2 \leq 5590 \\ \Leftrightarrow 693160+i_1 &= 698750+i_2 \\ \Leftrightarrow i_1 - i_2 - 5590 &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

3. By GCD Test, the data dependence occurs iff equation $i_1 - i_2 - 550 = 0 \Leftrightarrow i_1 - i_2 = 550$ has integral solutions. Indeed, $\gcd(a_1 = 1, b_1 = 1) = 1$ divides $b_0 - a_0 = 550$. Hence, GCD test doesn't break data dependence between statement 1 and statement 2.

4. By Banerjee's Test,

Consider the four points:

$$\begin{aligned} (i_1 = 0, i_2 = 0) &\Rightarrow s_1 - s_2 = 0 - 0 - 5590 = -5590 \\ (i_1 = 0, i_2 = 5589) &\Rightarrow s_1 - s_2 = 0 - 5589 - 5590 = -11179 \\ (i_1 = 5589, i_2 = 0) &\Rightarrow s_1 - s_2 = 5589 - 0 - 5590 = -1 \\ (i_1 = 5589, i_2 = 5589) &\Rightarrow s_1 - s_2 = 5589 - 5589 - 5590 = -5590 \end{aligned}$$

Now $L = \min(-5590, -11179, -1, -5590) = -11179$, $U = \max(-5590, -11179, -1, -5590) = -1$. And $b_0 - a_0 = 698750 - 693160 = 5590$ which is not in the interval $[-11179, -1]$. This breaks the dependence between statement 1 and statement 2.

Loop 3

```
for (i=0; i<N; i++) {  
    a[N*i+k4] = b[i]*c[i];
```

```

d[i] = a[(N+1)*i+k5]+e;
}

```

1. Substitute N=5590, k4=94, k5=144 to the above loop:

```

for (int i = 0; i < 5590; i++) {
    a[5590*i+94] = b[i]*c[i];      // statement 1: a1 = 5590, a0 = 94
    d[i] = a[5591*i+144]+e;        // statement 2: b1 = 5591, b0 = 144
}

```

2. Let $s_1 = 5590*i + 94$ and $s_2 = 5591*i + 144$

3. Data dependence occurs iff $s_1 = s_2$, which means

$$\begin{aligned}
 5590 * i_1 + 94 &= 5591 * i_2 + 144 \text{ for any } 0 \leq i_1, i_2 \leq 5590 \\
 \Leftrightarrow 5590 * i_1 - 5591 * i_2 &= 50 \tag{1}
 \end{aligned}$$

4. GCD Test, to check if equation (1) has integral solution, we verify if $\gcd(5590, 5591)$ divides 50. Since $\gcd(5590, 5591) = 1$ and 1 divides 50, the GCD test doesn't break data dependence between statement 1 and statement 2.

5. Banerjee's test

$$s_1 - s_2 = 5590 * i_1 + 94 - 5591 * i_2 - 144 = 5590 * i_1 - 5591 * i_2 - 50$$

Consider the four points:

$$\begin{aligned}
 (i_1 = 0, i_2 = 0) &\Rightarrow s_1 - s_2 = 5590 * 0 - 5591 * 0 - 50 = -50 \\
 (i_1 = 0, i_2 = 5589) &\Rightarrow s_1 - s_2 = 5590 * 0 - 5591 * 5589 - 50 = -31248149 \\
 (i_1 = 5589, i_2 = 0) &\Rightarrow s_1 - s_2 = 5590 * 5589 - 5591 * 0 - 50 = 31242460 \\
 (i_1 = 5589, i_2 = 5589) &\Rightarrow s_1 - s_2 = 5590 * 5589 - 5591 * 5589 - 50 = -5639
 \end{aligned}$$

Thus, $L = \min(-50, -31248149, 31242460, -5639) = -31248149$ and $U = \max(-50, -31248149, 31242460, -5639) = 31242460$.

Note that $b_0 - a_0 = 144 - 94 = 50$. So $L \leq b_0 - a_0 \leq U$ which doesn't break the data dependence.

Now let's add constraint $i_1 \leq i_2$, which allows us to exclude the point $(i_1 = 5589, i_2 = 0)$ from our test. Hence, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 (i_1 = 0, i_2 = 0) &\Rightarrow s_1 - s_2 = 5590 * 0 - 5591 * 0 - 50 = -50 \\
 (i_1 = 0, i_2 = 5589) &\Rightarrow s_1 - s_2 = 5590 * 0 - 5591 * 5589 - 50 = -31248149 \\
 (i_1 = 5589, i_2 = 5589) &\Rightarrow s_1 - s_2 = 5590 * 5589 - 5591 * 5589 - 50 = -5639
 \end{aligned}$$

Thus, $L = \min(-50, -31248149, -5639) = -50$ and $U = \max(-50, -31248149, -5639) = -31248149$. This means $b_0 - a_0 = 144 - 94 = 50 > U$ and breaks the data dependence between statement 1 and statement 2.

However, by using a python script to verify the overlapping between sets of indices of statement 1 and 2, we find that the two indices overlap. This means our banerjee's test, especially the complete test which restrict $i_1 \leq i_2$, is overly conservative.

```

# Generate sets of indices accessed by each statement
statement1_indices = set([5590 * i + 94 for i in range(5590)]) # Write indices
statement2_indices = set([5591 * i + 144 for i in range(5590)]) # Read indices

# Find intersection
intersection = statement1_indices.intersection(statement2_indices)

print("Statement 1 (Write) accesses indices:", min(statement1_indices), "to",
      max(statement1_indices))
print("Statement 2 (Read) accesses indices:", min(statement2_indices), "to",
      max(statement2_indices))
print("Intersection of indices:", intersection)
print("Number of intersecting indices:", len(intersection))

if len(intersection) == 0:
    print("No data dependency exists between Statement 1 and Statement 2")
else:
    print("Data dependency exists! Conflicting indices:", sorted(intersection))

```

Loop 4

```

for (i=0; i<N; i++) {
    a[(N+1)*i] = b[i]*c[i];
    d[i] = a[(k6+1)*N+i]+e;
}

```

1. Substitute N=5590, k6 = 559 to the above loop:

```

for (int i = 0; i < 5590; i++) {
    a[5591*i] = b[i]*c[i];           // statement 1: a1 = 5591, a0 = 0
    d[i] = a[3130400+i]+e;          // statement 2: b1 = 1, b0 = 3130400
}

```

2. Let $s1 = 5591 * i_1$ and $s2 = 3130400 + i_2$

3. Data dependence occurs iff $s1 = s2$, which means

$$\begin{aligned}
 5591 * i_1 &= 3130400 + i_2 \text{ for any } 0 \leq i_1, i_2 \leq 5590 \\
 \Leftrightarrow 5591 * i_1 - i_2 &= 3130400 \quad (1)
 \end{aligned}$$

For equation (1) to have integral solutions, $\gcd(5591, 1) = 1$ must divide 3130400. Since 1 divides any integer, the GCD test doesn't break data dependence between statement 1 and statement 2.

4. Banerjee's Test

```
s1 - s2 = 5591 * i1 - 3130400 - i2
```

Consider the four corner points:

```
(i1 = 0, i2 = 0)      => s1 - s2 = 5591 * 0 - 3130400 - 0      = -3130400
(i1 = 0, i2 = 5589)   => s1 - s2 = 5591 * 0 - 3130400 - 5589    = -3135989
(i1 = 5589, i2 = 0)   => s1 - s2 = 5591 * 5589 - 3130400 - 0    = 28117699
(i1 = 5589, i2 = 5589) => s1 - s2 = 5591 * 5589 - 3130400 - 5589 = 28112110
```

Thus, $L = \min(-3130400, -3135989, 28117699, 28112110) = -3135989$ and $U = \max(-3130400, -3135989, 28117699, 28112110) = 28117699$

Note that $b_0 - a_0 = 3130400 - 0 = 3130400$. Since $L \leq b_0 - a_0 \leq U$ ($-3135989 \leq 3130400 \leq 281122999$), this doesn't break the data dependence.

Now let's add constraint $i1 \leq i2$, which allows us to exclude the point $(i1 = 5589, i2 = 0)$ from our test:

```
(i1 = 0, i2 = 0)      => s1 - s2 = 5591 * 0 - 3130400 - 0      = -3130400
(i1 = 0, i2 = 5589)   => s1 - s2 = 5591 * 0 - 3130400 - 5589    = -3135989
(i1 = 5589, i2 = 5589) => s1 - s2 = 5591 * 5589 - 3130400 - 5589 = 28112110
```

Thus, $L = \min(-3130400, -3135989, 28112110) = -3135989$ and $U = \max(-3130400, -3135989, 28112110)$
 $= 28112110$

Since $b_0 - a_0 = 3130400$ and $L \leq b_0 - a_0 \leq U$ ($-3135989 \leq 3130400 \leq 28112110$), the Banerjee test with the $i1 \leq i2$ constraint still doesn't break the data dependence.