

# CONTENT-BASED RS

# CONTENT-BASED RS

- Idea :

- ▶ Base on description, content of each item and profile of user's preferences to recommend other item to the user.
- ▶ Item is represented as a vector  $x = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$ , each feature describes a properties of the item.
- ▶ The level of the user's concern about an item is described as a function  $y = f(x)$ .

# CONTENT-BASED RS

- Idea :

- ▶ Base on description, content of each item and profile of user's preferences to recommend other item to the user.
- ▶ Item is represented as a vector  $x = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$ , each feature describes a properties of the item.
- ▶ The level of the user's concern about an item is described as a function  $y = f(x)$ .

- Pros

- ▶ CBRs does not need data about other users. This makes it easier to scale to a large number of users.
- ▶ The model can record the specific interests of a user, and recommend items that very few users are interested in.

# CONTENT-BASED RS

- Idea :

- ▶ Base on description, content of each item and profile of user's preferences to recommend other item to the user.
- ▶ Item is represented as a vector  $x = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$ , each feature describes a properties of the item.
- ▶ The level of the user's concern about an item is described as a function  $y = f(x)$ .

- Pros

- ▶ CBRs does not need data about other users. This makes it easier to scale to a large number of users.
- ▶ The model can record the specific interests of a user, and recommend items that very few users are interested in.

- Cons

- ▶ The model can only make recommendations based on existing interests of the user.
- ▶ The model does not use the preferences of other users.

# How to encode data?

# How to encode data?

- One-hot encoding
- Word embeddings
- Term frequency - inverse document frequency (TF-IDF) encoding

# TF - IDF representation :

# TF - IDF representation :

- TF - IDF is used to weigh a keyword in any documents and assign the importance to that keyword
- The higher the TF-IDF score, the more important the term/keyword



# TF - IDF representation :

- TF - IDF is used to weigh a keyword in any documents and assign the importance to that keyword
- The higher the TF-IDF score, the more important the term/keyword
- TF(term frequency) :
  - ▶ binary :  $tf = 0, 1$
  - ▶ raw count :  $tf = f_{t,d}$
  - ▶ term frequency :  $tf = \frac{f_{t,d}}{\sum_{t' \in d} f_{t',d}}$
  - ▶ log normalization :  $tf = \log(1 + f_{t,d})$
  - ▶ double normalization  $k$  :  $tf = k + (1 - k) \frac{f_{t,d}}{\max_{t' \in d} f_{t',d}}$

# TF - IDF representation :

- IDF(Inverse document frequency)

# TF - IDF representation :

- IDF(Inverse document frequency)

- ▶ Unary :  $idf = 1$

- ▶ Inverse document frequency :  $idf = \log \frac{N}{n_t}$

- ▶ Inverse document frequency smooth:  $idf = \log \frac{N}{1 + n_t}$

- ▶ Inverse document frequency max:  $idf = \log \left( \frac{\max_{t' \in d} n_{t'}}{1 + n_t} \right)$

- ▶ Probabilistic inverse document frequency:  $idf = \log \frac{N - n_t}{n_t}$

# TF - IDF representation :

- IDF(Inverse document frequency)
  - ▶ Unary :  $idf = 1$
  - ▶ Inverse document frequency :  $idf = \log \frac{N}{n_t}$
  - ▶ Inverse document frequency smooth:  $idf = \log \frac{N}{1 + n_t}$
  - ▶ Inverse document frequency max:  $idf = \log \left( \frac{\max_{t' \in d} n_{t'}}{1 + n_t} \right)$
  - ▶ Probabilistic inverse document frequency:  $idf = \log \frac{N - n_t}{n_t}$
- The product  $tf * idf$  represents the TF-IDF score.

# TF - IDF representation :

Loss function for Linear Regression

# TF - IDF representation :

## Loss function for Linear Regression

- Linear regression :  $y_{mn} = x_m w_n + b_n$

# TF - IDF representation :

## Loss function for Linear Regression

- Linear regression :  $y_{mn} = x_m w_n + b_n$
- Loss function :

$$\mathbb{L} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{m:r_{mn}=1} (x_m w_n + b_n - y_{mn})^2 + \frac{\lambda}{2} \|w_n\|_2^2$$

# TF - IDF representation :

## Loss function for Linear Regression

- Linear regression :  $y_{mn} = x_m w_n + b_n$
- Loss function :

$$\mathbb{L} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{m:r_{mn}=1} (x_m w_n + b_n - y_{mn})^2 + \frac{\lambda}{2} \|w_n\|_2^2$$

- Take the average :

$$\mathbb{L} = \frac{1}{2s_n} \sum_{m:r_{mn}=1} (x_m w_n + b_n - y_{mn})^2 + \frac{\lambda}{2s_n} \|w_n\|_2^2$$



# NEIGHBORHOOD-BASED COLLABORATIVE FILTERING

# NEIGHBORHOOD-BASED COLLABORATIVE FILTERING

- Idea

- ▶ Make prediction about the interests of a user by collecting preferences from many users.
- ▶ Each user ( or item) is represented as a vector  $x = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$ , each feature describes a level of user's concern to the item.
- ▶ The level of a user's concern to a item can be predicted by calculating the vector similarity between the given user and other.

# NEIGHBORHOOD-BASED COLLABORATIVE FILTERING

- Idea

- ▶ Make prediction about the interests of a user by collecting preferences from many users.
- ▶ Each user ( or item) is represented as a vector  $x = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$ , each feature describes a level of user's concern to the item.
- ▶ The level of a user's concern to a item can be predicted by calculating the vector similarity between the given user and other.

- Pros

- ▶ Better RMSE (more exactly) than content-based RS
- ▶ Use preferences of other users/items to make prediction.

# NEIGHBORHOOD-BASED COLLABORATIVE FILTERING

- Idea

- ▶ Make prediction about the interests of a user by collecting preferences from many users.
- ▶ Each user ( or item) is represented as a vector  $x = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$ , each feature describes a level of user's concern to the item.
- ▶ The level of a user's concern to a item can be predicted by calculating the vector similarity between the given user and other.

- Pros

- ▶ Better RMSE (more exactly) than content-based RS
- ▶ Use preferences of other users/items to make prediction.

- Cons

- ▶ Hard to scale a large number of users/items.

# NEIGHBORHOOD-BASED COLLABORATIVE FILTERING

How to know two users are similar?

# NEIGHBORHOOD-BASED COLLABORATIVE FILTERING

How to know two users are similar?

- Cosine Similarity

# NEIGHBORHOOD-BASED COLLABORATIVE FILTERING

How to know two users are similar?

- Cosine Similarity
- Person correlation

# NEIGHBORHOOD-BASED COLLABORATIVE FILTERING

How to know two users are similar?

- Cosine Similarity
- Person correlation

- Jaccard similarity :  $similarity = \frac{|A \cap B|}{|A \cup B|}$



# NEIGHBORHOOD-BASED COLLABORATIVE FILTERING

How to know two users are similar?

- Cosine Similarity
- Person correlation
- Jaccard similarity :  $similarity = \frac{|A \cap B|}{|A \cup B|}$
- Mean Measure of Divergence

# NEIGHBORHOOD-BASED COLLABORATIVE FILTERING

Cosine Similarity

# NEIGHBORHOOD-BASED COLLABORATIVE FILTERING

## Cosine Similarity

- Cosine similarity is used to calculate the similarity between two vectors.

# NEIGHBORHOOD-BASED COLLABORATIVE FILTERING

## Cosine Similarity

- Cosine similarity is used to calculate the similarity between two vectors.
- Formula :

$$\text{cosine\_similarity}(u_1, u_2) = \cos(u_1, u_2) = \frac{u_1^T u_2}{\|u_1\|_2 \cdot \|u_2\|_2}$$

# NEIGHBORHOOD-BASED COLLABORATIVE FILTERING

## Cosine Similarity

- Cosine similarity is used to calculate the similarity between two vectors.
- Formula :

$$\text{cosine\_similarity}(u_1, u_2) = \cos(u_1, u_2) = \frac{u_1^T u_2}{\|u_1\|_2 \cdot \|u_2\|_2}$$

- Predict rating of user  $u$  to item  $i$  :

$$\hat{y}_{i,u} = \frac{\sum_{u_j \in N(u,j)} \bar{y}_{i,u_j} \text{sim}(u, u_j)}{\sum_{u_j \in N(u,j)} |\text{sim}(u, u_j)|}$$

# NEIGHBORHOOD-BASED COLLABORATIVE FILTERING

## Cosine Similarity

- Cosine similarity is used to calculate the similarity between two vectors.
- Formula :

$$\text{cosine\_similarity}(u_1, u_2) = \cos(u_1, u_2) = \frac{u_1^T u_2}{\|u_1\|_2 \cdot \|u_2\|_2}$$

- Predict rating of user  $u$  to item  $i$  :

$$\hat{y}_{i,u} = \frac{\sum_{u_j \in N(u,j)} \bar{y}_{i,u_j} \text{sim}(u, u_j)}{\sum_{u_j \in N(u,j)} |\text{sim}(u, u_j)|}$$

- Loss function :

$$\mathbb{L} = \|y - \hat{y}\|_2^2$$

# MATRIX FACTORIZATION COLLABORATIVE FILTERING

# MATRIX FACTORIZATION COLLABORATIVE FILTERING

- Idea :
  - ▶ Approximating the user-item reaction matrix into the product of two lower dimensionality matrix  $Y = XW$ .
  - ▶ By evaluating the product of two matrix, we get a complete matrix of user-item reaction



# MATRIX FACTORIZATION COLLABORATIVE FILTERING

- Idea :
  - ▶ Approximating the user-item reaction matrix into the product of two lower dimensionality matrix  $Y = XW$ .
  - ▶ By evaluating the product of two matrix, we get a complete matrix of user-item reaction
- Pros :
  - ▶ Able to discover some new data, based on latent feature between two matrices.
  - ▶ Simple inference by evaluating the matrix product.
  - ▶ Save memory.

# MATRIX FACTORIZATION COLLABORATIVE FILTERING

- Idea :
  - ▶ Approximating the user-item reaction matrix into the product of two lower dimensionality matrix  $Y = XW$ .
  - ▶ By evaluating the product of two matrix, we get a complete matrix of user-item reaction
- Pros :
  - ▶ Able to discover some new data, based on latent feature between two matrices.
  - ▶ Simple inference by evaluating the matrix product.
  - ▶ Save memory.
- Cons :
  - ▶ Take much time to train the model.

# MATRIX FACTORIZATION COLLABORATIVE FILTERING

How to train the model?

# MATRIX FACTORIZATION COLLABORATIVE FILTERING

How to train the model?

- Gradient descent

# MATRIX FACTORIZATION COLLABORATIVE FILTERING

How to train the model?

- Gradient descent
- Alternating least square

# MATRIX FACTORIZATION COLLABORATIVE FILTERING

How to train the model?

- Gradient descent
- Alternating least square
- Generalized low rank models

# MATRIX FACTORIZATION COLLABORATIVE FILTERING

How to train the model?

- Gradient descent
- Alternating least square
- Generalized low rank models
- Singular value decomposition (SVD)

# MATRIX FACTORIZATION COLLABORATIVE FILTERING

Gradient descent to optimize the linear-regression loss function



# MATRIX FACTORIZATION COLLABORATIVE FILTERING

Gradient descent to optimize the linear-regression loss function

- Loss function :

$$\mathbb{L}(X, W) = \frac{1}{2s} \sum_{n=1}^N \sum_{m:r_{mn}=1} (y_{mn} - x_m w_n)^2 + \frac{\lambda}{2} \left( \|X\|_F^2 + \|W\|_F^2 \right)$$

# MATRIX FACTORIZATION COLLABORATIVE FILTERING

Gradient descent to optimize the linear-regression loss function

- Loss function :

$$\mathbb{L}(X, W) = \frac{1}{2s} \sum_{n=1}^N \sum_{m:r_{mn}=1} (y_{mn} - x_m w_n)^2 + \frac{\lambda}{2} \left( \|X\|_F^2 + \|W\|_F^2 \right)$$

- Update  $W$  :

$$w_n = w_n - \eta \left( -\frac{1}{s} \hat{X}_n^T (\hat{y}^n - \hat{X}_n w_n) + \lambda w_n \right)$$

# MATRIX FACTORIZATION COLLABORATIVE FILTERING

Gradient descent to optimize the linear-regression loss function

- Loss function :

$$\mathbb{L}(X, W) = \frac{1}{2s} \sum_{n=1}^N \sum_{m:r_{mn}=1} (y_{mn} - x_m w_n)^2 + \frac{\lambda}{2} (\|X\|_F^2 + \|W\|_F^2)$$

- Update  $W$  :

$$w_n = w_n - \eta \left( -\frac{1}{s} \hat{X}_n^T (\hat{y}^n - \hat{X}_n w_n) + \lambda w_n \right)$$

- Update  $X$  :

$$x_m = x_m - \eta \left( -\frac{1}{s} (\hat{y}^m - x_m \hat{W}_m) \hat{W}_m^T + \lambda x_m \right)$$