

# Solutions to Homework 3

Nguyen Trung Hai

Sep 17, 2019

## Problem 1

(a)

Experience  $E$  is the set of training data which includes about 55M rows of data. Each row corresponds to a taxi ride that has input features (`pickup_datetime`, `pickup_longitude`, `pickup_latitude`, `dropoff_longitude`, `dropoff_latitude`, `passenger_count`) and target `fare_amount`. The class of the tasks  $T$  of the algorithms used to solve this problem is prediction of a numerical target value given the input features.

(b)

We can use either RMSE (Root Mean Square Error) or  $R_2$  ( $R$ -squared) calculated on the set of test data as a performance measure  $P$ .

RMSE is defined as

$$\text{RMSE} = \sqrt{\frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N (y_i - \hat{y}_i)^2}, \quad (1)$$

where  $y_i$  and  $\hat{y}_i$  are the true and predicted values, respectively, of the target variable of test data point  $i$ . RMSE is the standard deviation of the unexplained residuals  $\epsilon_i = y_i - \hat{y}_i$ . The lower RMSE the better a model is. An advantage of RMSE is that it has the same unit as  $y$ , so RMSE gives an absolute measure of how good a model is in predicting the target.

$R$ -squared is defined as

$$R_2 = \frac{\text{TSS}}{\text{TSS} - \text{RSS}}, \quad (2)$$

where the *total sum of squares* (TSS) is given by

$$\text{TSS} = \sum_{i=1}^N (y_i - \bar{y})^2, \quad (3)$$

where  $\bar{y}$  is the mean value of  $y$ . The *residual sum of squares* (RSS) is given by

$$\text{RSS} = \sum_{i=1}^N (y_i - \hat{y}_i)^2. \quad (4)$$

$R_2$  is interpreted as the fraction of variance explained by the model. It ranges from zero to one, so it gives a relative measure of how good a model is. Zero  $R_2$  means that the model being considered does not improve the prediction over the mean model (the model that predicts  $\bar{y}$  for every input).  $R_2 = 1$  means perfect prediction; all variance is explained by the model.

(c)

This is a supervised learning problem because the training data set has label for all the data points. We know how much the `fare_amount` is for each of the taxi rides in the training set.

(d)

This is a regression problem because want to learn a model that predicts continuous numerical values for the target.

## Problem 2

$n = 1000$	Predicted Low-risk	Predicted High-risk
Actual Low-risk	TN = 850	FP = 50
Actual High-risk	FN = 20	TP = 80

(a)

$$\text{TPR} = \frac{\text{TP}}{\text{TP} + \text{FN}} = \frac{80}{80 + 20} = 0.8 \quad (5)$$

$$\text{FPR} = \frac{\text{FP}}{\text{FP} + \text{TN}} = \frac{50}{50 + 850} = 0.0556 \quad (6)$$

$$\text{TNR} = \frac{\text{TN}}{\text{TN} + \text{FP}} = \frac{850}{850 + 50} = 0.9444 \quad (7)$$

$$\text{FNR} = \frac{\text{FN}}{\text{FN} + \text{TP}} = \frac{20}{20 + 80} = 0.2 \quad (8)$$

(b)

In general, the cost of making a mistake depends on the type of the mistake. In the case of predicting risk level of borrowers, making a false positive mistake means that the company may refuse to give loan to a low-risk customer. Although this is undesirable, it does not incur in a significant cost to the company. On the other hand, making a false negative mistake can lead the company to giving loan to a high-risk customer, who is likely to default on their loan. So making a false negative mistake may result in a much higher cost than making a false positive one.

(c)

$$\text{Accuracy} = \frac{\text{TN} + \text{TP}}{\text{TN} + \text{TP} + \text{FN} + \text{FP}} = \frac{850 + 80}{1000} = 0.93 \quad (9)$$

$$\text{Precision} = \frac{\text{TP}}{\text{TP} + \text{FP}} = \frac{80}{80 + 50} = 0.615 \quad (10)$$

$$\text{Recall} = \text{TPR} = 0.8 \quad (11)$$

$$F_1 = 2 \times \frac{\text{Precision} \times \text{Recall}}{\text{Precision} + \text{Recall}} = 2 \times \frac{0.615 \times 0.8}{0.615 + 0.8} = 0.695 \quad (12)$$

### Problem 3

The loss function of  $L_2$ -regularized linear regression method is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}(\mathbf{w}) &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^N (y^{(i)} - \mathbf{w}^T x^{(i)})^2 + \frac{\lambda}{2} \sum_{j=1}^D w_j^2 \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^N \left( y^{(i)} - \sum_{j=0}^D w_j x_j^{(i)} \right)^2 + \frac{\lambda}{2} \sum_{j=1}^D w_j^2, \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

where  $w_0$  is the intercept and  $x_0^{(i)} = 1$ .

For  $j = 0$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}(\mathbf{w})}{\partial w_0} &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^N 2 \left( y^{(i)} - \sum_{j=0}^D w_j x_j^{(i)} \right) \frac{\partial}{\partial w_0} \left[ - \sum_{j=0}^D w_j x_j^{(i)} \right] + 0 \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^N \left( y^{(i)} - \sum_{j=0}^D w_j x_j^{(i)} \right) (-x_0^{(i)}) \\ &= - \sum_{i=1}^N \left( y^{(i)} - \sum_{j=0}^D w_j x_j^{(i)} \right) \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

For  $j = 1, 2, \dots, D$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}(\mathbf{w})}{\partial w_j} &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^N 2 \left( y^{(i)} - \sum_{j=0}^D w_j x_j^{(i)} \right) \frac{\partial}{\partial w_j} \left[ - \sum_{j=0}^D w_j x_j^{(i)} \right] + \frac{\lambda}{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial w_j} \left[ \sum_{j=1}^D w_j^2 \right] \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^N \left( y^{(i)} - \sum_{j=0}^D w_j x_j^{(i)} \right) (-x_j^{(i)}) + \lambda w_j \\ &= - \sum_{i=1}^N \left( y^{(i)} - \sum_{j=0}^D w_j x_j^{(i)} \right) x_j^{(i)} + \lambda w_j \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

## Problem 4

The loss function of  $L_2$ -regularized logistic regression method is given by

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{w}) &= -\frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N [y^{(i)} \ln(\sigma(\mathbf{w}^T x^{(i)})) + (1 - y^{(i)}) \ln(1 - \sigma(\mathbf{w}^T x^{(i)}))] + \frac{\lambda}{2N} \sum_{j=1}^N w_j^2 \\
&= -\frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N [y^{(i)} \ln(\sigma(z^{(i)})) + (1 - y^{(i)}) \ln(1 - \sigma(z^{(i)}))] + \frac{\lambda}{2N} \sum_{j=1}^N w_j^2 \\
&\equiv \mathcal{L}_c(\mathbf{w}) + \mathcal{L}_r(\mathbf{w}),
\end{aligned} \tag{16}$$

where

$$z^{(i)} \equiv \mathbf{w}^T x^{(i)}, \tag{17}$$

and

$$\sigma(z) = \frac{1}{1 + e^{-z}}. \tag{18}$$

For  $j = 0$ , we have

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}_r(\mathbf{w})}{\partial w_0} = 0. \tag{19}$$

For  $j = 1, 2, \dots, D$

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}_r(\mathbf{w})}{\partial w_j} = \frac{\lambda}{N} w_j. \tag{20}$$

For  $j = 0, 1, 2, \dots, D$

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}_c(\mathbf{w})}{\partial w_j} &= -\frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N \left[ \frac{y^{(i)}}{\sigma(z^{(i)})} \frac{\partial \sigma(z^{(i)})}{\partial w_j} - \frac{1 - y^{(i)}}{1 - \sigma(z^{(i)})} \frac{\partial \sigma(z^{(i)})}{\partial w_j} \right] \\
&= -\frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N \left[ \frac{y^{(i)}}{\sigma(z^{(i)})} - \frac{1 - y^{(i)}}{1 - \sigma(z^{(i)})} \right] \frac{\partial \sigma(z^{(i)})}{\partial w_j}.
\end{aligned} \tag{21}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial \sigma(z^{(i)})}{\partial w_j} &= \frac{d\sigma(z^{(i)})}{dz^{(i)}} \frac{\partial z^{(i)}}{\partial w_j} \\
&= \frac{e^{-z^{(i)}}}{(1 + e^{-z^{(i)}})^2} x_j^{(i)} \\
&= \left( \frac{1}{1 + e^{-z^{(i)}}} \right) \left( \frac{1 + e^{-z^{(i)}} - 1}{1 + e^{-z^{(i)}}} \right) x_j^{(i)} \\
&= \left( \frac{1}{1 + e^{-z^{(i)}}} \right) \left( 1 - \frac{1}{1 + e^{-z^{(i)}}} \right) x_j^{(i)} \\
&= \sigma(z^{(i)}) (1 - \sigma(z^{(i)})) x_j^{(i)}
\end{aligned} \tag{22}$$

Substituting Eq. (22) into Eq. (21), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}_c(\mathbf{w})}{\partial w_j} &= -\frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N \left[ \frac{y^{(i)}}{\sigma(z^{(i)})} - \frac{1 - y^{(i)}}{1 - \sigma(z^{(i)})} \right] \sigma(z^{(i)})(1 - \sigma(z^{(i)})) x_j^{(i)} \\
&= -\frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N [y^{(i)}(1 - \sigma(z^{(i)})) - (1 - y^{(i)})\sigma(z^{(i)})] x_j^{(i)} \\
&= -\frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N [y^{(i)} - \sigma(z^{(i)})] x_j^{(i)}
\end{aligned} \tag{23}$$

So for  $j = 0$ , we have

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}(\mathbf{w})}{\partial w_0} = -\frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N [y^{(i)} - \sigma(z^{(i)})] . \tag{24}$$

For  $j = 1, 2, \dots, D$ , we have

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}(\mathbf{w})}{\partial w_j} = -\frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N [y^{(i)} - \sigma(z^{(i)})] x_j^{(i)} + \frac{\lambda}{N} w_j. \tag{25}$$