

Homework 5 solutions notes

3.

- (a) The residual sum of squares for a model containing only block effects is 1.0199, while that for a model containing both blocks and treatments is 0.1468. So for these data, an ANOVA decomposition is:

source	d.f.	sum of squares
blocks (chamber sessions)	7	$0.5194 = 1.5393 - 1.0199$
treatments after blocks	3	$0.8731 = 1.0199 - 0.1468$
residuals	13	0.1468
corrected total	23	1.5393

(b)

block	A-B	A-C	A-D	B-C	B-D	C-D
1		0.16	0.29			0.13
2	0.48		0.73		0.25	
3	0.49	0.08		-0.41		
4				-0.32	0.04	0.36
5		0.14	0.28			0.14
6	0.47		0.75		0.28	
7	0.46	0.07		-0.39		
8				-0.20	0.06	0.26

A appears to have higher response than B, C, or D. D appears to have higher response than A, B, or C. C appears to have higher response than B. This suggests the ordering $A > C > B > D$.

7. For this BIBD, $r = 8$ and $\lambda = 2$.

(a) $Var(\widehat{\tau_1 - \tau_2}) = \frac{k}{\lambda t} \mathbf{c}' \mathbf{c} \sigma^2 = \frac{2}{2 \times 5} 2 \sigma^2 = \frac{2}{5} \sigma^2$.

(b) $t_{0.975}(16) = 2.120$.

(c) $\frac{\lambda t}{k} \frac{\sum (\tau_i - \bar{\tau})^2}{\sigma^2} = \frac{2 \times 5}{2} \frac{4}{2} = 10$.

6.

- (a) Using R (for instance) with a model matrix as defined in class for both blocks (first 8 columns) and treatments (last 4):

```
fit2<-lsfit(X,y,intercept=F)
fit2$coefficients
      X1      X2      X3      X4      X5      X6
5.682917 6.110208 6.112500 6.181875 5.922917 6.176875
      X7      X8      X9     X10     X11     X12
6.075833 5.955208 0.498125 0.061250 0.333125 0.000000
```

So the intra-block estimate of $\tau_1 - \tau_2 = 0.498125 - 0.061250 = 0.436875$, and MSE can be computed as:

```
MSE2<-sum(fit2$residuals^2)/(24-11) ... 0.01129199
```

- (b) Partitioning X above into $X1$ and $X2$ representing blocks and treatments, respectively:

```
z<-t(X1)%*%y
U<-t(X1)%*%X2
fit3<-lsfit(U,z)
fit3$coefficients
Intercept      X1      X2      X3      X4
    17.480    0.575    0.935    0.185    0.000
```

So the inter-block estimate of $\tau_1 - \tau_2 = 0.575 - 0.937 = -0.362$, and MSE for this fit is:

```
MSE3<-sum(fit3$residuals^2)/(8-4) ... 0.1291125
```

- (c) Estimated weights are proportional to:

$$\hat{w}_1 \propto \frac{1}{k} \text{MSE3} / (r - \lambda) = \frac{1}{3} 0.1291125 / (6 - 4) = 0.02151875.$$

$$\hat{w}_2 \propto \text{MSE2} / (t\lambda) = 0.01129199 / (4 \times 4) = 0.0007057493.$$

Hence the weights are $\hat{w}_1 = 0.96824$ and $\hat{w}_2 = 0.03176$, so the combined estimate of $\tau_1 - \tau_2$ is:

$$0.43688 \times 0.96824 - 0.36200 \times 0.03176 = 0.41151.$$

- (d)

$$\text{Intra-block analysis: } \sqrt{\mathbf{c}'\mathbf{c} \times k \times \text{MSE2} / (\lambda t)} = \sqrt{2 \times 0.002117248} = 0.065073.$$

$$\text{Inter-block analysis: } \sqrt{\mathbf{c}'\mathbf{c} \times \text{MSE3} / (r - \lambda)} = \sqrt{2 \times 0.06455625} = 0.3593223.$$

$$\text{Combined: } \sqrt{\hat{w}_1^2 \text{Var}_{intra} + \hat{w}_2^2 \text{Var}_{inter}} = \sqrt{0.96824^2 \times 0.065073^2 + 0.03176^2 \times 0.359322^2} = 0.064031.$$

7.

- (a) $\mathbf{H}_1 = \frac{1}{2} \text{diag}(\mathbf{J}_{2 \times 2}, \mathbf{J}_{2 \times 2}, \mathbf{J}_{2 \times 2}, \dots, \mathbf{J}_{2 \times 2})$,

$$\mathbf{X}_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{X}_{2|1} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{2} & -\frac{1}{2} \\ -\frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} \\ -\frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} \\ -\frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} \\ -\frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} \\ -\frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} \\ 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

The resulting reduced normal equation, $\mathbf{X}_2(\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{H}_1)\mathbf{X}_2\hat{\tau} = \mathbf{X}_2(\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{H}_1)\mathbf{y}$, are:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{3}{2}\hat{\tau}_1 - \frac{3}{2}\hat{\tau}_2 &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^3 y_{i1} - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^3 y_{i2} \\ -\frac{3}{2}\hat{\tau}_1 + \frac{3}{2}\hat{\tau}_2 &= -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^3 y_{i1} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^3 y_{i2} \end{aligned}$$

Either, when simplified, leads to $\hat{\tau}_1 - \hat{\tau}_2 = \frac{1}{3}(\sum_{i=1}^3 y_{i1} - \sum_{i=1}^3 y_{i2})$.

Residual degrees of freedom are $17(\text{corrected total}) - 8(\text{blocks}) - 1(\text{treatments}) = 8$.

(b) Using a model for block totals denoted by \mathbf{z} :

$$\mathbf{X}'_1\mathbf{X}_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \\ 2 & 0 \\ 2 & 0 \\ 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 \\ 0 & 2 \\ 0 & 2 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (\mathbf{I} - \frac{1}{9}\mathbf{J})\mathbf{X}'_1\mathbf{X}_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \\ 1 & -1 \\ 1 & -1 \\ 1 & -1 \\ -1 & 1 \\ -1 & 1 \\ -1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

The resulting reduced normal equation, $\mathbf{X}'_2\mathbf{X}_1(\mathbf{I} - \frac{1}{9}\mathbf{J})\mathbf{X}'_1\mathbf{X}_2\hat{\tau} = \mathbf{X}'_2\mathbf{X}_1(\mathbf{I} - \frac{1}{9}\mathbf{J})\mathbf{z}$, are:

$$\begin{aligned} 6\hat{\tau}_1 - 6\hat{\tau}_2 &= \sum_{i=4}^6 y_i - \sum_{i=7}^9 y_i. \\ -6\hat{\tau}_1 + 6\hat{\tau}_2 &= -\sum_{i=4}^6 y_i + \sum_{i=7}^9 y_i. \end{aligned}$$

Either, when simplified, leads to $\widehat{\tau_1 - \tau_2} = \frac{1}{6}(\sum_{i=4}^6 y_i - \sum_{i=7}^9 y_i)$.

Residual degrees of freedom are $8(\text{corrected total}) - 1(\text{treatments}) = 7$.