

## LATIN SQUARE DESIGNS (LSD)

- Like CBD, but arranged with 2 KINDS of blocks, crossed with each other
- Example:
  - machined parts are made  $t$  different ways (treatments)
  - $b_1$  “machinists” may also have an effect (type 1 blocks)
  - $b_2$  “lathes” may also have an effect (type 2 blocks)
- Might consider an all-possible-combinations experiment, but
  - $N = tb_1b_2$ , (as CBD w/  $b_1b_2$  blks) too large in many cases
  - each machinist would need to make  $tb_2$  parts ...
  - $tb_1$  parts would need to be made on each lathe ...
  - note, even THEN there would be no true replication

- A smaller alternative:

		machinist				
		1	2	3	...	$b_1$
lathe	1	*	*	*	...	*
	2	*	*	*	...	*
	3	*	*	*	...	*
	...	...	...	...	...	...
	$b_2$	*	*	*	...	*

- Each “\*” stands for one treatment (rather than all)
- Unlike CBD, no groups of units are assumed to be “as much alike as possible” ... possible systematic differences exist between every pair, even before treatments are applied.

- Would result in  $N = b_1 b_2$  rather than  $N = b_1 b_2 t$
- Requires a rule to assign a treatment to each “\*”
- The general form of this is called a “row-column design” ... we will consider only a special case ...
- Assign units to treatments so that the design is:
  - a CBD with lathes as blocks if machinists are ignored
  - a CBD with machinists as blocks if lathes are ignored
- Implications:
  - $b_1 = b_2 = t$
  - each treatment is used once in each row and once in each column

## EXAMPLE

1	2	3	4
2	3	4	1
3	4	1	2
4	1	2	3

- This is a “standard form” Latin Square (ordered symbols in first row and column), one of 4 unique standard form Latin Squares of order 4
- Restricted randomization of units to treatments:
  - randomly select a unique Latin Square
  - randomly permute the rows
  - randomly permute the columns

- As with CBD's, block-treatment structure does not allow estimation of interactions, leading to an assumption of additivity:
- $y_{i,j,k} = \alpha + \beta_i + \gamma_j + \tau_k + \epsilon_{i,j,k}$
- $i = 1 \dots t, \quad j = 1 \dots t, \quad k = 1 \dots t$
- All possible pair  $(i, j)$ ,  $(i, k)$ ,  $(j, k)$  appears once, and for each, only one value of the 3rd index appears
- Ordering observations so that those in the same physical row are taken together, model matrix for  $\alpha, \beta, \gamma$ :

$$\mathbf{X}_1 = \left( \begin{array}{c|cc|cc|c} \mathbf{1} & \mathbf{1} & & \dots & & \mathbf{I} \\ \mathbf{1} & & \mathbf{1} & \dots & & \mathbf{I} \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ \mathbf{1} & & & \dots & \mathbf{1} & \mathbf{I} \end{array} \right)$$

- i.e.  $\mathbf{X}_1$  is the same as the ENTIRE  $\mathbf{X}$  for a CBD with  $b = t$
- Again, the first column can be ignored

- Model matrix for  $\tau$ :

$$\mathbf{X}_2 = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{P}_1 \\ \mathbf{P}_2 \\ \dots \\ \mathbf{P}_t \end{pmatrix}$$

- Each  $\mathbf{P}_i$  is a *permutation matrix*:
  - i.e., a single 1 in each row and each column, all other entries 0
  - $\sum \mathbf{P}_i = \mathbf{J}_{t \times t}$
  - “Standard Form” if:

$$\mathbf{P}_1 = \mathbf{I}, \{\mathbf{P}_2\}_{1,2} = 1, \{\mathbf{P}_3\}_{1,3} = 1, \dots, \{\mathbf{P}_t\}_{1,t} = 1$$

Reduced Normal Equations for  $\tau$ :

$$\mathbf{X}_2'(\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{H}_1)\mathbf{X}_2\hat{\tau} = \mathbf{X}_2'(\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{H}_1)\mathbf{y}$$

- For simplicity, consider  $\mathbf{X}_1$  model without  $\alpha$

- As before, the key is the matrix product:

$\mathbf{H}_1$	$\mathbf{X}_2$
$\mathbf{X}_1(\mathbf{X}_1'\mathbf{X}_1)^-$	$\mathbf{X}_1'\mathbf{X}_2$
(g-inv now needed)	(counts trt's in each row or col)
	(“incidence matrix”)

$$\mathbf{J}_{2t \times t}$$

$$\mathbf{X}_1' \frac{1}{t} \mathbf{J}_{t^2 \times t}$$

(leading matrix is  $2t$  by  $t^2$ ,  
each row with  $t$  ones)

$\mathbf{X}_1(\mathbf{X}_1'\mathbf{X}_1)^- \mathbf{X}_1'$	$\frac{1}{t} \mathbf{J}$
(projects into $\mathbf{X}_1$ -space)	(already in $\mathbf{X}_1$ -space)

- So yet again,  $\mathbf{X}_2'\mathbf{H}_1 = \frac{1}{t} \mathbf{J}$ , and  $\hat{\tau}$  is as with CRD or CBD with same treatment group sizes

- Solutions:

- $\bar{\hat{\tau}} = 0 \rightarrow \hat{\tau}_k = \bar{y}_{.k} - \bar{y}_{..}$

- $\hat{\tau}_t = 0 \rightarrow \hat{\tau}_k = \bar{y}_{.k} - \bar{y}_{.t}$

- ...

- Estimable functions are  $\sum c_k \tau_k$  such that  $\sum c_k = 0$

- $Var[\sum \widehat{c_k \tau_k}] = \sigma^2 \sum c_k^2 \frac{1}{t}$

- $\sigma_{LSD}/\sigma_{CRD} < t(1 - \frac{\alpha}{2}, N - t)/t(1 - \frac{\alpha}{2}, N - 3t + 2)?$

- Power of F-test for  $\tau_1 = \tau_2 = \dots = \tau_t$

- $Q$  as with CRD and CBD

- $F'(t - 1, N - 3t + 2, Q/\sigma_{LSD}^2) : F'(t - 1, N - t, Q/\sigma_{CRD}^2)$



## A NOTE ABOUT INTERACTIONS

- Think of a Latin Square dataset as a two-way table, corresponding to the two kinds of blocks:

Two-Way		LSD	
source	df	source	df
rows	$t - 1$	rows	$t - 1$
cols	$t - 1$	cols	$t - 1$
row-col interaction	$(t - 1)^2$	trts	$t - 1$
<i>or</i> resid		<i>and</i> resid	$(t - 1)(t - 2)$

- Treatments

2	1	4	3
4	3	2	1
1	4	3	2
3	2	1	4

- R-by-C patterns belonging to LSD treatments, and LSD residuals:

8	8	5	5
5	5	8	8
8	5	5	8
5	8	8	5

5	8	8	5
5	8	8	5
8	5	5	8
8	5	5	8

## REPLICATED LATIN SQUARES

- Strength of LSD that it can accommodate 2 simultaneous kinds of block structure in a small study
- Weakness is that the design is sometimes *too* small

d.f. for $SSE(Hyp_A)$	
$t$	$t^2 - 3t + 2$
3	2
4	6
5	12
...	...

- One solution is to use multiple (replicated) Latin Squares
- For example, think of machined parts problem, blocks representing “machinist” and “lathe” ... Ref: Montgomery, “Design and Analysis of Exp’s” Wiley

- Perform Latin Square experiment  $r$  times, using  $t$  machinists crossed with  $t$  lathes each time, to test  $t$  methods
- $y_{mijk}$  = measurement:
  - from rep  $m = 1 \dots r$ ,
  - from machinist  $i = 1 \dots t$ ,
  - from lathe  $j = 1 \dots t$ , and
  - using method  $k = 1 \dots t$ , (treatments of interest):
    - \* but only one value of  $k$  per  $(m, i, j)$  combination
    - \* restricted randomized assignment of  $k$  to  $(i, j)$  should ordinarily be carried out independently in each rep

Source	$df$	$SS$
Replicate Squares	$r - 1$	$\sum t^2(\bar{y}_{m...} - \bar{y}_{....})^2$
Machinists	—	—
Lathes	—	—
Treatments	$t - 1$	$\sum rt(\bar{y}_{...k} - \bar{y}_{....})^2$
Error	(subtraction)	(subtraction)
Corr Tot	$rt^2 - 1$	$\sum (y_{mijk} - \bar{y}_{...})^2$

### Version 1: Same Machinists and Lathes in each Rep

- $y_{mijk} = \alpha + \rho_m + \beta_i + \gamma_j + \tau_k + \epsilon_{mijk}$   
(putting treatment terms and subscripts last)
- Machinists and Lathes are the same physical entities within each rep

Source	$df$	$SS$
Machinists	$t - 1$	$\sum rt(\bar{y}_{..i.} - \bar{y}_{....})^2$
Lathes	$t - 1$	$\sum rt(\bar{y}_{..j.} - \bar{y}_{....})^2$

### Version 2: Different Machinists but Same Lathes in each Rep

- $y_{m,i(m),j,k} = \alpha + \rho_m + \beta_{i(m)} + \gamma_j + \tau_k + \epsilon_{m,i(m),j,k}$
- Machinists are physically different entities within each rep (and so “nested”)

Source	$df$	$SS$
Machinists	$r(t - 1)$	$\sum t(\bar{y}_{mi..} - \bar{y}_{m...})^2$
Lathes	$t - 1$	$\sum rt(\bar{y}_{..j.} - \bar{y}_{....})^2$

### Version 3: Different Machinists and Lathes in each Rep

- $y_{m,i(m),j(m),k} = \alpha + \rho_m + \beta_{i(m)} + \gamma_{j(m)} + \tau_k + \epsilon_{m,i(m),j(m),k}$
- Machinists and lathes are physically different entities within each rep (and so “nested”)

Source	<i>df</i>	<i>SS</i>
Machinists	$r(t - 1)$	$\sum t(\bar{y}_{mi..} - \bar{y}_{m...})^2$
Lathes	$r(t - 1)$	$\sum t(\bar{y}_{m.j.} - \bar{y}_{m...})^2$

As with unreplicated LSDs, versions 1-3 are also “Condition E” equivalent to a CRD of the same size.



## GRAECO-LATIN SQUARE DESIGNS

- Now, 3 KINDS of blocks, crossed with each other
- Example:
  - machined parts are made  $t$  different ways (treatments)
  - $t$  “machinists” may also have an effect (type 1 blocks)
  - $t$  “lathes” may also have an effect (type 2 blocks)
  - $t$  “batches of stock” may also have an effect (type 3 blocks)
- Construct two *orthogonal Latin Squares*, different squares of order  $t$ , using “Latin” letters in one and “Greek” letters in the other, such that when the two squares are superimposed, each pair of letters appears together exactly once.

- Example:

$A\alpha$	$B\beta$	$C\gamma$	$D\delta$
$B\gamma$	$A\delta$	$D\alpha$	$C\beta$
$C\delta$	$D\gamma$	$A\beta$	$B\alpha$
$D\beta$	$C\alpha$	$B\delta$	$A\gamma$

- Use rows, columns and Greek letters for blocking;  
Latin letters for treatments

- $y_{ijmk} = \alpha + \beta_i + \gamma_j + \delta_m + \tau_k + \epsilon_{ijmk}$
- (or equivalently, drop the  $\alpha$ )

$$\mathbf{X}_1 = \left( \begin{array}{cccc|c|c} \mathbf{1} & & \dots & & \mathbf{I} & \mathbf{P}_1 \\ & \mathbf{1} & & & \mathbf{I} & \mathbf{P}_2 \\ & & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ & & & \mathbf{1} & \mathbf{I} & \mathbf{P}_t \end{array} \right) \quad \mathbf{X}_2 = \left( \begin{array}{c} \mathbf{R}_1 \\ \mathbf{R}_2 \\ \dots \\ \mathbf{R}_t \end{array} \right)$$

- Each  $\mathbf{P}$  and  $\mathbf{R}$  is a permutation matrix:
  - $\sum \mathbf{P}_i = \mathbf{J}_{t \times t}$
  - $\sum \mathbf{R}_i = \mathbf{J}_{t \times t}$
  - $\sum \mathbf{P}_i' \mathbf{R}_i = \mathbf{J}_{t \times t}$

- Once again!

$$\mathbf{X}'_1 \mathbf{X}_2 = \mathbf{J}_{3t \times t}$$

- So ...

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{H}_1 \mathbf{X}_2 &= [\mathbf{X}_1 (\mathbf{X}'_1 \mathbf{X}_1)^-] [\mathbf{J}_{3t \times t}] \\ &= [\mathbf{X}_1 (\mathbf{X}'_1 \mathbf{X}_1)^-] [\mathbf{X}'_1 (\frac{1}{t} \mathbf{J}_{N \times t})] \\ &= [\mathbf{H}_1] [\frac{1}{t} \mathbf{J}_{N \times t}] \\ &= \frac{1}{t} \mathbf{J}_{N \times t} \end{aligned}$$

- So, reduced normal equations for estimation of  $\boldsymbol{\tau}$ ,  $Q(\boldsymbol{\tau})$ , and  $Var[\widehat{\mathbf{c}'\boldsymbol{\tau}}]$  are as with CRD's (with  $t$  treatments and all sample sizes equal to  $t$ ), CBD's (with  $t$  treatments and  $t$  blocks), and LSD's (with  $t$  treatments, rows, and columns).

- Note that the same patterns exist here as with LSD's for replication. Each of the "rows", "columns", or "Greek letters" can represent:
  - the SAME physical entities in each rep ("crossed",  $t - 1$  df)
  - DIFFERENT physical entities ("nested",  $r(t - 1)$  df)

GLSDs, replicated or not, are also "Condition E" equivalent to a CRD of the same size.