Feedback on the project

Assessing, editing, and offering thoughtful feedback are useful skills to develop. Well practice them here. That means you will read the document(s) and watch the video carefully and write a report on them of about a page in length. On the next page is a rubric to fill out right after you watch the video.

The nature of your feedback will depend a bit on the type of document (e.g., paper or lesson plan or discussion of an algorithm), but I suggest you use the following structure for your written report.

(1) Start with (at least) a paragraph outlining what the project is about and describing what it does well, such as the ways in which it succeeds in reaching its goals.

(2) Include (at least) a paragraph on the feedback you have on the project as a whole. For instance, do you have any comments or suggested improvements regarding the structure of the document as a whole? Are there broad issues (e.g., missing motivation or context or examples) to address?

(3) Finally, give a list of granular comments: typos you saw, factual corrections, places where the text is hard to interpret (e.g., a statement is unclear or ambiguous), etc. A numbered list with references to specific pages, sections, or lines in the paper is very helpful for the author when revising.

While you should not hesitate to point out any errors or problems you found, make sure to be kind in your writing throughout. (Unfortunately, we all receive uncharitable and unkind feedback more often than we would like. Dont let your report be one of them!)

Feedback on the video

Please rate about the following aspects	Lowest				Highest
Organization	1	2	3	4	5
Engagingness	1	2	3	4	5
Clarity	1	2	3	4	5
Quality of mathematical content and explanation	1	2	3	4	5

What is something you found really compelling or effective from the video?

I think you did a good job documenting your algorithm and implementing it to code. It is also great that explain everything cleary in the video. We didn't go over Eisenstein number in class, so it's really cool to learn about it through your video.

What is something you found ineffective about the video or missing from it?

Overall, I think everything you did an execellent job in your video. I can't think of any room for improvement about your video yet.

Any further feedback? (For instance, how to enhance the video? or, how is your curiosity piqued about the topic? or, tweaks you'd suggest?)

I think you don't have to go over your code in your video since the viewers may not be experienced with coding. It'd be better if you talk about the pseudocode in your paper. But it's just my personal preference. Feel free to do it if you think that would be informative to the audience.