

A note on Mathematical Methods

Tran Khoi Nguyen
Department of Theoretical Physics

December 30, 2025

These notes are a review of the mathematical methods course, focusing on the content most relevant for physics. The primary sources were mostly come from David Skinner's [lecture notes on Methods](#).

Eigenfunction methods

Fourier series

We begin by reviewing Fourier series. Fourier series are defined for functions $f : S^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, parametrized by $\theta \in [-\pi, \pi)$. We defined the Fourier coefficients by an inner product

$$\hat{f}_n = \frac{1}{2\pi} (e^{in\theta}, f) \equiv \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} f(\theta) e^{-in\theta} d\theta.$$

We then claim that

$$f(\theta) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \hat{f}_n e^{in\theta},$$

is the complex Fourier series for $f(\theta)$. In particular, via reality condition, the Fourier series can be obtained by

$$f(\theta) = \frac{a_0}{2} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n \cos n\theta + b_n \sin n\theta,$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} a_0 &= \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} f(\theta) d\theta, \\ a_n &= \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \cos n\theta f(\theta) d\theta, \\ b_n &= \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \sin n\theta f(\theta) d\theta. \end{aligned}$$

We then investigate whether this sum converges to f , if it converges at all. One can show that the Fourier series converges to f for continuous functions with bounded continuous derivatives. When f has a discontinuity, the Fourier series converges to the average of the left and right limits.

Fejer's theorem

Fejer's theorem states that one can always recover f from the \hat{f}_n as long as f is continuous except at finitely many points, though it makes no statement about the convergence of the Fourier series. Also, the Fourier series converges to f as long as $\sum_n |\hat{f}_n|$ converges. In other words,

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n &= 0, \\ \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} b_n &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Sawtooth function

The sawtooth function defined by

$$f(\theta) = \theta \quad \text{for } \theta \in [-\pi, \pi),$$

and the Fourier coefficients for it are

$$\begin{aligned} a_0 &= 0, \quad n = 0, \\ a_n &= \frac{1}{in} (-1)^{n+1}, \quad n \neq 0. \end{aligned}$$

Parseval's identity

$$\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} |f(\theta)|^2 d\theta = 2\pi \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} |\hat{f}_k|^2.$$

Sturm-Liouville Theory

Self-adjoint matrices

Fourier series are simply changes of basis in function space, and linear differential operators are linear operators in function space. But Fourier series is just the tip of the iceberg, you might well be wondering whether we couldn't have found some other basis in which to expand our functions. The eigenfunction problem is defined by

$$Ly_n(x) = \lambda_n y_n(x),$$

along with homogeneous boundary conditions. We define the inner product on the function space as

$$(u, v) = \int_a^b u(x)v(x)dx,$$

note that there is no conjugation because we only work with real functions. Also, we define the adjoint L^* of a linear operator L by

$$(Ly, w) = (y, L^*w).$$

Suppose that we have certain homogeneous boundary conditions on y . Demanding that the boundary terms vanish will induce homogeneous boundary conditions on w . If $L = L^*$ and the boundary conditions stay the same, the problem is self-adjoint. If only $L = L^*$, then we call L self-adjoint, or Hermitian.

Example: We take $L = \partial^2$ with $y(a) = 0$, $y'(b) - 3y(b) = 0$. Then we have

$$\int_a^b wy''dx = (wy' - w'y) \Big|_a^b + \int_a^b yw''dx.$$

Hence we have $L^* = \partial^2 = L$, and the induced boundary conditions are

$$w'(b) - 3w(b) = 0, \quad w(a) = 0.$$

Hence the problem is self-adjoint.

Now we move our interest in the eigenfunctions. The eigenfunctions of the adjoint problem have the same eigenvalues as the original problem. If $Ly = \lambda y$, there is a w so that $L^*w = \lambda w$. (One might have thought of L^* as the transpose of L , though we can't formally prove it). In particular,

$$Ly_j = \lambda_j y_j, \quad Ly_k = \lambda_k y_k,$$

where the latter yields $L^*w_k = \lambda_k w_k$. Then, if $\lambda_j \neq \lambda_k$, then $\langle y_j, w_k \rangle = 0$. To solve a general inhomogeneous boundary value problem, we solve the eigenvalue problem as well as the adjoint eigenvalue problem, to obtain (λ_j, y_j, w_j) . To obtain a solution for $Ly = f(x)$ we assume

$$y = \sum_i c_i y_i(x).$$

We then solve for the coefficients by projection,

$$\langle f, w_k \rangle = \langle Ly, w_k \rangle = \langle y, \lambda_k w_k \rangle = \lambda_k c_k \langle y_k, w_k \rangle,$$

from which we may find c_k . Finally, consider the case of inhomogeneous boundary conditions. Such a problem can always be split into an inhomogeneous problem with homogeneous boundary conditions, and a homogeneous problem with inhomogeneous boundary conditions. Since solving homogeneous problems tend to be easier, this isn't much harder.

Example: Consider the inhomogeneous problem

$$y'' = f(x), \quad y(0) = a, \quad y(1) = b,$$

where $0 \leq x \leq 1$.

Solution: We suppose

$$y(x) = u(x) + v(x),$$

where v satisfies the homogeneous boundary conditions, and u satisfies the inhomogeneous boundary conditions. We assume that $u(0) = a$ and $u(1) = b$, then one can write $u(x)$ as

$$u(x) = a(1-x) + b,$$

hence

$$u''(x) = 0.$$

We then have

$$(u + v)'' = f(x) \Rightarrow v'' = f(x),$$

where the boundary conditions is $v(0) = v(1) = 0$. The homogeneous boundary conditions are simply $y(0) = y(1) = 0$. With $\lambda > 0$, the eigenfunction are

$$y(x) = Ce^{\sqrt{\lambda}x} + De^{-\sqrt{\lambda}x},$$

are not satisfies the boundary conditions. With $\lambda = 0$, this leads to the trivial solutions $y = Ax + B$. With $\lambda < 0$, so the eigenfunctions are

$$y_k(x) = \sin(k\pi x), \\ \lambda_k = -k^2\pi^2, \quad k = 1, 2, \dots$$

The problem is self-adjoint, so $y_k = w_k$ and we have

$$c_k = \frac{\langle f, w_k \rangle}{\lambda_k \langle y_k, w_k \rangle} = -\frac{2 \int_0^1 f(x) \sin(k\pi x) dx}{k^2\pi^2}.$$

Linear differential operators

For most applications, we are interested in second-order linear differential operators,

$$\mathcal{L} = P(x) \frac{d^2}{dx^2} R(x) \frac{d}{dx} - Q(x), \quad \mathcal{L}y = 0.$$

One may simplify \mathcal{L} using the method of integratin factors,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{P(x)} \mathcal{L} &= \frac{d^2}{dx^2} + \frac{R(x)}{P(x)} \frac{d}{dx} - \frac{Q(x)}{P(x)} \\ &= e^{-\int^x \frac{R(t)}{P(t)} dt} \frac{d}{dx} \left(e^{\int^x \frac{R(t)}{P(t)} dt} \right) - \frac{Q(x)}{P(x)}. \end{aligned}$$

Assuming $P(x) \neq 0$, the equation $\mathcal{L}y = 0$ is equivalent to $\frac{1}{P(x)} \mathcal{L}y = 0$. Hence any \mathcal{L} can be taken to have the form

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{d}{dx} \left(p(x) \frac{d}{dx} \right) - q(x),$$

operators in this form are called Sturm-Liouville operators. Sturm-Liouville operators are self-adjoint under the inner product

$$(f, g) = \int_a^b f^*(x) g(x) dx,$$

provided that the functions on which they act obey appropriate boundary conditions. To see this, apply integration by parts for

$$(\mathcal{L}f, g) = \left[p(x) \left(\frac{df^*}{dx} g - f^* \frac{dg}{dx} \right) \right]_a^b - (f, \mathcal{L}g).$$

There are several possible conditions that ensure the boundary term vanishes. For example, we can demand

$$\frac{f(a)}{f'(a)} = c_a, \quad \frac{f(b)}{f'(b)} = c_b,$$

for constants c_a, c_b and for all functions f . Alternatively, we can demand periodicity,

$$f(a) = f(b), \quad f'(a) = f'(b).$$

Another possibility is that $p(a) = p(b) = 0$, in which case the term automatically vanishes. Naturally, we always assume the functions are smooth. Next, we will consider the eigenfunctions of the Sturm-Liouville operators.

Sturm-Liouville operators

A function $y(x)$ is an eigenfunction of \mathcal{L} with eigenvalue λ and weight function $w(x)$ if

$$\mathcal{L}y(x) = \lambda w(x)y(x),$$

Note:

The weight function must be real, non-negative, and have finitely many zeroes on the domain $[a, b]$.

We define the inner product with weight w to be

$$(f, g)_w = \int_a^b f^*(x) g(x) w(x) dx,$$

so that $(f, g)_w = (f, wg) = (wf, g)$. The conditions on the weight function are chosen so that the innerproduct remains non-degenerate, i.e. $(f, f)_w = 0$ implies $f = 0$. We take the weight function to be fixed for each problem. By usual this