USING DEEP LEARNING MODELS WITH OPTIMIZATION ALGORITHM AND STATISTICAL MODELS TO FORECAST THE STOCK PRICE OF THREE VIETNAMESE BANKS

NGO VAN MANH[1], NGUYEN NGOC THANH[2], AND TRAN QUOC KHANG[3]

[1]Faculty of Information Systems, University of Information Technology, (e-mail: 21522328@gm.uit.edu.vn)
[2]Faculty of Information Systems, University of Information Technology, (e-mail: 21522600@gm.uit.edu.vn)
[3]Faculty of Information Systems, University of Information Technology, (e-mail: 21522200@gm.uit.edu.vn)

ABSTRACT This paper conducts an analysis and stock price forecasts for VCB, BID, and CTG, the top three banks in Vietnam, crucial entities in the financial sector. These banks play a pivotal role in the country's economic landscape. Leveraging a diverse set of models including Linear Regression, ARIMA, RNN, GRU, LSTM, and MLP, we predict the close stock prices of these banks. Furthermore, we employ optimization algorithms such as Nadam and Adadelta to enhance the performance of our Deep learning model and compare when not using optimization models to give insights on efficiency. By scrutinizing stock price fluctuations and employing advanced forecasting methodologies, this study provides valuable insights for investors and stakeholders in the Vietnamese banking industry.

INDEX TERMS Stock price forecasting, Vietnamese banks, VCB, BID, CTG, Linear Regression, ARIMA, RNN, GRU, LSTM, MLP, Nadam, Adadelta.

I. INTRODUCTION

The stock market refers to the collection of markets and ex-change centers where economic activities like buying, selling,and deploying shares of publicly held companies take place.Such financial practices are conducted through institutionalized formal exchanges through over-the-counter marketplaces that function under a defined set of regulations. The stock market is a very dynamic and uncertain field, so the stock market prediction naturally becomes a burning topic. Due to the advancement of computational power in recent times, pre-dicting the stock market has been much faster and accurate.Artificial Intelligence and machine learning models play a crucial role in predicting stock prices and, hence, determining an accurate result [1]

This study chooses the Ho Chi Minh Stock Exchange (HOSE) in VietNam, including three different stock market of three VietNamese Banks. There are many algorithms and techniques that help us predict prices. In this paper, we will use Linear Regression, LSTM, RNN, GRU, MLP models to predict the stock prices of VietcomBank, BIDV, VietinBank for the next 30 days

II. RELATED WORKS

This section reviews relevant studies that explore the application of mathematical models for stock price prediction. In this study, we evaluate the performance of six algorithms: Linear regression, ARIMA, RNN, GRU, LSTM, and MLP (using Nadam and Adadelta optimizers) for forecasting stock prices in Vietnam.

A Multi Parameter Forecasting for Stock Time Series Data Using LSTM and Deep Learning Model by Shahzad Zaheer et al. introduces a method using LSTM and deep learning to predict stock prices, focusing on predicting both closing and high prices for the next day. Their approach outperforms existing methods in accuracy for short-term forecasting. [2]

A Comparative Research of Stock Price Prediction of Selected Stock Indexes and the Stock Market by Using ARIMA Model by Nayab Minhaj et al. demonstrates the effectiveness of the ARIMA model in predicting Johnson & Johnson (JNJ) stock prices in the short term, showing superiority over traditional methods. [3]

Nadam: A novel long term solar photovoltaic power forecasting approach using LSTM with Nadam optimizer by Jatin Sharma et al. compares LSTM models using various optimizers and shows that the Nadam optimizer significantly enhances forecasting accuracy compared to other methods. [4]

ADADELTA: An Adaptive Learning Rate Method by Matthew D. Zeiler introduces Adadelta, a robust per-dimension learning rate method that adapts dynamically during training, demonstrating effectiveness across different datasets and architectures. [5]

Stock Price Prediction Using Deep Learning Techniques by Lee J. et al. explores various deep learning models, including MLP, for stock price prediction. The study highlights the effectiveness of MLP models, particularly when using advanced optimizers like Nadam and Adadelta, in capturing complex patterns in stock data and achieving high prediction accuracy. [6]

The aforementioned studies provide a foundation for understanding the strengths and weaknesses of different models and optimizers in stock price prediction. In our research, we aim to build on these insights by comparing the performance of Linear regression, ARIMA, RNN, GRU, LSTM, and MLP models using Nadam and Adadelta optimizers, specifically in the context of the Vietnamese stock market.

III. MATERIALS AND METHODOLOGY

A. DATASET

The historical stock price of Joint Stock Commercial Bank for Foreign Trade of Vietnam (VCB), Bank for Investment and Development of Vietnam (BIDV) and Military Commercial Joint Stock Bank (CTG) from 01/01/2015 to 01/06/2024 will be applied. The data contains column such as Date, Price, Open, High, Low, Close, Adj Close, Volume. As the goal is to forecast high and low prices, data relating to column “High", "Low" (VND) will be processed.

B. DESCRIPTIVE STATISTICS

TABLE 1. VCB, BIDV, CTG's Close Price Descriptive Statistics

Close	VCB	BID	CTG
Count	2339	2345	2345
Mean	49573.072	25785.842	19510.972
Std	22421.328	10557.657	7060.096
Min	15680.371	9101.713	9637.772
25%	25332.525	15240.078	13451.279
50%	50432.793	26740.693	16606.089
75%	66027.141	32138.221	25729.027
Max	97400	54400	37719.051



FIGURE 1. Vietcombank stock close price's line chart



FIGURE 2. BIDV stock close price's line chart



FIGURE 3. Vietinbank stock close price's line chart

IV. METHODOLOGY

A. LINEAR REGRESSION

Regression models are used for describing relationships between variables by fitting a line to the observed data. Regression can estimate how a dependent variable changes as the independent variables change. Multiple linear regression is used for estimating the relationship between two or more independent variables and one dependent variable A multiple linear regression model has the form: [7]

$$Y = \beta_0 + \beta_1 X_1 + \beta_2 X_2 + \cdots + \beta_k X_k + \varepsilon$$

Where:

- Y is the predicted value of the dependent variable.
- $X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_k$ are the independent variables.
- β_0 is the intercept term.
- $\beta_1, \ldots, \beta_k$ are the regression coefficients for the independent variables.
- ε is the error term.

B. ARIMA

An autoregressive integrated moving average (ARIMA) model is a statistical tool utilized for analyzing time series data, aimed at gaining deeper insights into the dataset or forecasting forthcoming trends. [8]

Autoregressive (AR)

AR is Auto Regression, and p is the number of autoregressive terms . The equation for AR model is:

$$Y_t = \varphi_1 Y_{t-1} + \varphi_2 Y_{t-2} + \ldots + \varphi_p Y_{t-p} + \delta + \varepsilon_t$$

Moving Average (MA)

MA is the Moving Average, and q is the number of terms in the moving average. The equation for MA model is:

$$Y_t = \theta_1 \varepsilon_{t-1} + \theta_2 \varepsilon_{t-2} + \ldots + \theta_p \varepsilon_{t-p} + \mu + \varepsilon_t$$

Differencing (I)

Last, the I part is Integrated, and d is the number of differences (order) required to make it a stationary sequence. For example:

$$\begin{aligned} d = 0: &\quad \Delta Y_t = Y_t \\ d = 1: &\quad \Delta Y_t = Y_t - Y_{t-1} \\ d = 2: &\quad \Delta Y_t = (Y_t - Y_{t-1}) - (Y_{t-1} - Y_{t-2}) \end{aligned}$$

5

After combining them, we will have the ARIMA (p, d, q) express as follow:

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta Y_t = \mu &+ \varphi_1 Y_{t-1} + \varphi_2 Y_{t-2} + \cdots + \varphi_p Y_{t-p} \\ &+ \theta_1 \varepsilon_{t-1} + \theta_2 \varepsilon_{t-2} + \cdots + \theta_p \varepsilon_{t-p} + \varepsilon_t \end{aligned}$$

C. GRU

GRU is another version of the RNN algorithm that solves the problems of vanishing and exploding gradients in traditional RNN when learning long-term dependencies. GRU consists of three main components (Reset gate, Update gate and Output) [9]



FIGURE 4. GRU unit's structure

- Reset gate is used to decide what information from the past should be forgotten by using a sigmoid function.

$$r_t = \sigma(W_r[h_{t-1}, x_t] + b_r)$$

- Update gate just like a combination of forget gate and input gate in LSTM, it decides how much information from the previous state will be retained and how much new information will be added.

$$z_t = \sigma(W_z[h_{t-1}, x_t] + b_z)$$

- The equation of the output.

$$\tilde{h}_t = \tanh(W_h[r_t h_{t-1}, x_t])$$

$$h_t = (1 - z_t) h_{t-1} + z_t \tilde{h}_t$$

D. RNN

Recurrent Neural Networks (RNNs) are a type of deep learning model designed for processing sequential data. They maintain an internal state (memory) that allows them to capture temporal dependencies. This makes RNNs ideal for tasks such as natural language processing, speech recognition, and time series analysis.

The basic structure of an RNN involves a recurrent layer where sequential inputs are processed at each time step. At each time step t, the RNN receives the input x_t and the hidden state from the previous time step h_{t-1}, then calculates the current hidden state h_t and the output $\hat{y}_t$. [10]

$$h_t = \phi(W_{xh} x_t + W_{hh} h_{t-1} + b_h)$$

$$\hat{y}_t = \sigma(W_{hy} h_t + b_y)$$

Where:

- x_t: Input at time step t
- h_t: Hidden state at time step t
- $\hat{y}_t$: Output at time step t
- W_{xh}: Weight matrix for input to hidden state
- W_{hh}: Weight matrix for hidden state to hidden state
- W_{hy}: Weight matrix for hidden state to output
- b_h, b_y: Bias terms
- ϕ: Activation function (typically tanh or ReLU)
- σ: Output activation function (typically softmax or sigmoid)



FIGURE 5. RNN model's network [11]

E. LSTM

Long Short-Term Memory (LSTM) networks are a specialized type of recurrent neural network (RNN) designed to address the issue of learning from long sequences of data. LSTMs achieve this through memory cells and gates, which regulate information flow, allowing the network to selectively retain or discard information from previous time steps. This selective memory enables LSTMs to effectively model long-term dependencies in sequential data, making them valuable for applications like time series forecasting and natural language processing [12]



The following formulas are used to compute the various components of the LSTM cell: [13]

$$
\begin{aligned}
\text{Input gate:} \quad & i_t = \sigma(W_i[h_{t-1}, x_t] + b_i) \\
\text{Forget gate:} \quad & f_t = \sigma(W_f[h_{t-1}, x_t] + b_f) \\
\text{Cell update:} \quad & \tilde{C}_t = \tanh(W_c[h_{t-1}, x_t] + b_c) \\
\text{Cell state:} \quad & C_t = f_t * C_{t-1} + i_t * \tilde{C}_t \\
\text{Output gate:} \quad & o_t = \sigma(W_o[h_{t-1}, x_t] + b_o) \\
\text{Hidden state:} \quad & h_t = o_t * \tanh(C_t)
\end{aligned}
$$

- W_i, W_f, W_c, W_o: Weight matrices for the input, forget, cell, and output gates, respectively.
- h_{t-1}: The hidden state from the previous time step.
- x_t: The input at the current time step.
- b_i, b_f, b_c, b_o: Bias vectors for the input, forget, cell, and output gates, respectively.

These elements are crucial for the LSTM to process sequential data by updating the cell state and hidden state through various gates.

F. MLP

The MLP model is a supervised artificial neural network typically consisting of three main parts: an input layer, hidden layers, and an output layer. The input layer receives input vectors and passes each data point to neurons in the hidden layer. Neurons in the hidden layer use a summation function and an activation function. [14]

$$y = \varphi(xw + b)$$

Where:

- x is the input vector.
- w is the weight vector.
- b is the bias.
- ϕ is the activation function, typically a nonlinear function like sigmoid, tanh, or ReLU.
- y is the output value.



FIGURE 6. Single-neuron perceptron model [15]



FIGURE 7. Structure of the multilayer perceptron (MLP) model [16]

G. NADAM

Nadam is an extension to the Adam optimization algorithm. It combines two techniques leveraging the benefits of both approaches

• Adam's adaptive learning rates for efficient parameter updates (Adaptive Moment Estimation).

• Nesterov Momentum's lookahead strategy for potentially faster convergence (Nesterov Accelerated Gradient, NAG)

Algorithm

- Compute gradient gt at time step t.
- Update biased first-moment estimate:

$$m_t = \beta_1 \cdot m_{t-1} + (1 - \beta_1) \cdot g_t.$$

- Update biased second raw moment estimate:

$$v_t = \beta_2 \cdot v_{t-1} + (1 - \beta_2) \cdot g_t^2.$$

- Compute bias-corrected first moment estimate:

$$\hat{m}_t = \frac{m_t}{1-\beta_1^t}.$$

- Compute bias-corrected second raw moment estimate:

$$\hat{v}_t = \frac{v_t}{1-\beta_2^t}.$$

- Compute Nesterov-accelerated gradient:

$$n_t = \beta_1 \cdot \hat{m}_t + \frac{(1-\beta_1)\cdot g_t}{1-\beta_1^t}.$$

- Update parameters:

$$\theta_{t+1} = \theta_t - \alpha \cdot \frac{n_t}{\sqrt{\hat{v}_t}+\epsilon}.$$

H. ADADELTA

ADADELTA is an adaptive learning rate method for gradient descent introduced by Matthew D. Zeiler. It addresses the limitations of ADAGRAD, such as the continuous decay of learning rates and the need for a manually selected global learning rate.

Key Features of ADADELTA

- **Adaptive Learning Rate:**
  - Automatically adjusts the learning rate for each dimension without manual tuning.
- **Gradient Accumulation Over a Window:**
  - Uses a fixed-size window to accumulate gradients, preventing the learning rate from becoming too small.
- **Unit Correction with Hessian Approximation:**
  - Ensures that the units of parameter updates match the units of the parameters themselves, similar to second-order methods.

Main Equations

- **Accumulation of Squared Gradients:**

$$E[g^2]_t = \rho E[g^2]_{t-1} + (1-\rho)g_t^2$$

  - ρ is the decay constant (typically 0.95).
  - g_t is the gradient at time t.
- **Parameter Update:**

$$\Delta x_t = -\frac{\sqrt{E[\Delta x^2]_{t-1}+\epsilon}}{\sqrt{E[g^2]_t+\epsilon}} g_t$$

  - ϵ is a small value to avoid division by zero (typically 10^{-6}).
- **Accumulation of Squared Updates:**

$$E[\Delta x^2]_t = \rho E[\Delta x^2]_{t-1} + (1-\rho)(\Delta x_t)^2$$

V. RESULT

A. EVALUATION METHODS

Mean Absolute Percentage Error (MAPE):

$$MAPE = \frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n}\frac{|y_i-\hat{y}_i|}{y_i}$$

Root Mean Squared Error (RMSE):

$$RMSE = \sqrt{\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n}(\hat{y}_i-y_i)^2}$$

Mean Absolute Error (MAE):

$$MAE = \frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n}|\hat{y}_i-y_i|$$

Where:

- n is the number of observations in the dataset.
- y_i is the true value.
- $\hat{y}_i$ is the predicted value.

B. VCB DATASET

VCB Dataset's Evaluation				
Model	Train:Test	RMSE	MAPE (%)	MAE
LinearRegression	7:3	1203.93	1.202	897.592
	8:2	1211.825	1.132	897.484
	9:1	**1076.21**	**0.876**	**781.363**
ARIMA	7:3	16595.984	15.568	12940.214
	8:2	20251.496	20.632	17554.791
	9:1	**4235.542**	**3.726**	**3381.306**
RNN	**7:3**	**1401.584**	**1.511**	**1120.498**
	8:2	2835.221	2.776	2312.088
	9:1	1537.64	1.368	1224.557
GRU	7:3	1576.812	1.621	1256.5
	8:2	1335.92	1.254	1011.515
	9:1	**1183.521**	**0.955**	**855.624**
LSTM	7:3	1847.941	1.835	1463.161
	8:2	1236.83	1.15	907.573
	9:1	**1072.735**	**0.854**	**762.832**
MLP	7:3	2237.89	2.469	1906.388
	8:2	**1372.386**	**1.31**	**1036.164**
	9:1	2032.843	1.983	1765.105
MLP + Nadam	7:3	1419,878	1,434	1081,151
	8:2	**1344,64**	**1,278**	**1010,847**
	9:1	3001,918	3,16	2809,841
MLP + Adadelta	7:3	3066.939	3.218	2398.455
	8:2	3025.458	2.965	2345.799
	9:1	**2643.177**	**2.380**	**2123.748**

TABLE 2. VCB Dataset's Evaluation



FIGURE 8. RNN model's result with 7:3 splitting proportion



FIGURE 9. GRU model's result with 9:1 splitting proportion

FIGURE 10. LSTM model's result with 9:1 splitting proportion



FIGURE 11. MLP model's result with 8:2 splitting proportion

C. BID DATASET

BID Dataset's Evaluation				
Model	Train:Test	RMSE	MAPE (%)	MAE
LinearRegression	7:3	822.182	1.597	594.782
	8:2	**820.474**	**1.485**	**593.121**
	9:1	834.095	1.354	612.316
ARIMA	7:3	10079.951	19.105	7954.857
	8:2	9970.061	19.103	8243.155
	9:1	**7728.882**	**12.459**	**5975.497**
RNN	7:3	1053.944	1.979	774.755
	8:2	827.201	1.54	601.537
	9:1	**936.1**	**1.507**	**683.849**
GRU	7:3	922.985	1.782	683.561
	8:2	881.985	1.574	637.272
	9:1	**819.893**	**1.388**	**620.413**
LSTM	**7:3**	**954.939**	**1.839**	**706.562**
	8:2	1098.96	2.01	842.664
	9:1	1243.067	2.035	963.498
MLP	7:3	1220.597	2.682	995.578
	8:2	**896.795**	**1.636**	**655.182**
	9:1	982.078	1.548	715.512
MLP + Nadam	7:3	1018,737	1,963	752,029
	8:2	**907,84**	**1,677**	**670,579**
	9:1	1925,255	3,826	1747,529
MLP + Adadelta	7:3	3330.254	6.812	2620.923
	8:2	**1324.327**	**2.595**	**1034.115**
	9:1	1809.620	3.202	1442.423

TABLE 3. BID Dataset's Evaluation



FIGURE 12. RNN model's result with 9:1 splitting proportion



FIGURE 13. GRU model's result with 9:1 splitting proportion



FIGURE 14. LSTM model's result with 7:3 splitting proportion

FIGURE 15. MLP model's result with 8:2 splitting proportion

D. CTG DATASET

CTG Dataset's Evaluation				
Model	Train:Test	RMSE	MAPE (%)	MAE
LinearRegression	7:3	582.08	1.614	425.579
	8:2	**548.029**	**1.466**	**394.24**
	9:1	578.528	1.328	410.543
ARIMA	7:3	4599.286	15.521	3915.266
	8:2	5601.481	15.535	4502.582
	9:1	**4935.34**	**11.122**	**3623.256**
RNN	7:3	823.732	2.539	678.896
	8:2	1227.0	4.183	1119.526
	9:1	**634.317**	**1.633**	**484.374**
GRU	7:3	575.480	1.595	423.656
	8:2	**545.471**	**1.451**	**389.686**
	9:1	575.852	1.349	414.24
LSTM	**7:3**	**593.119**	**1.678**	**442.965**
	8:2	662.685	1.857	510.267
	9:1	669.614	1.586	492.945
MLP	**7:3**	**623.118**	**1.758**	**465.702**
	8:2	815.214	2.389	650.622
	9:1	1666.636	1.576	1405.051
MLP + Nadam	7:3	740,389	2,197	582,564
	8:2	**591,791**	**1,62**	**437,889**
	9:1	1225,713	3,435	1064,49
MLP + Adadelta	7:3	1765.624	5.097	1340.111
	8:2	**1356.085**	**3.754**	**1025.133**
	9:1	2858.715	2.725	2436.841

TABLE 4. CTG Dataset's Evaluation

FIGURE 16. RNN model's result with 9:1 splitting proportion



FIGURE 17. GRU model's result with 8:2 splitting proportion



FIGURE 18. LSTM model's result with 7:3 splitting proportion



FIGURE 19. MLP model's result with 7:3 splitting proportion

VI. CONCLUSION

After employing six algorithms: ARIMA, Linear Regression, RNN, LSTM, GRU, MLP on three different datasets about stock prices BID, CTG and VCB, we found that the model GRU and LSTM are the two best algorithms giving the best results based on three measures RMSE, MAPE, MAE on all six algorithms. Moreover, between Nadam and Adadelta, Nadam always gives better results and better at minimizing loss function.

A. SUMMARY

In the realm of stock price forecasting, a wide range of methodologies has been explored, spanning from traditional statistical models to cutting-edge machine learning algorithms. Among the performed models, Linear Reregression (LR), Auto Regressive Integrated Moving Average (ARIMA), GRU, LSTM,RNN and MLP, it shows evident thatGRU and LSTM as the most promising and effective models for predicting stock prices. While Nadam optimization is better than Adadelta optimization in terms of better results.

Evaluation metrics such as RMSE, MAPE, and MSLE consistently highlight the superior performance of the GRU, and LSTM models across various aspects of forecasting accuracy. Their ability to handle the inherent uncertainties of stock markets makes them powerful tools for investors and analysts seeking reliable predictions.

B. FUTURE CONSIDERATIONS

In our future research, we want to try other optimization algorithms with different deep learning models:

• Enhancing the accuracy of the model. While the above algorithms have demonstrated promising results in predicting stock prices, there are some methods that improve the accuracy to ensure more precise forecasting outcomes.

• Exploring alternative deep learning algorithms. Ensemble techniques, such as combining multiple models or using various ensemble learning methods, can also improve the robustness and accuracy of the forecasts.

• Researching new forecasting models. The field of forecasting continuously evolves, with new algorithms and models being researched and developed.

By continuously exploring and incorporating new features, data sources, and modeling techniques, we can strive for ongoing optimization of the forecasting models and enhance their ability to predict stock prices with greater precision and reliability.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

First and foremost, we would like to express our sincere gratitude to **Assoc. Prof. Dr. Nguyen Dinh Thuan** and **Mr. Nguyen Minh Nhut** for their exceptional guidance, expertise, and invaluable feedback throughout the research process. Their mentorship and unwavering support have been instrumental in shaping the direction and quality of this study. Their profound knowledge, critical insights, and attention to detail have significantly contributed to the success of this research.

This research would not have been possible without the support and contributions of our mentors. We would like to extend our heartfelt thanks to everyone involved for their invaluable assistance, encouragement, and belief in our research. Thank you all for your invaluable assistance and encouragement.

REFERENCES

[1] Somenath Mukherjee, Bikash Sadhukhan, Stock market prediction using deep learning algorithms, ResearchGate, https://www.researchgate.net/publication/354268488_Stock_market_prediction_using_deep_learning_algorithms
[2] Shahzad Zaheer, Nadeem Anjum, Saddam Hussain, Abeer D. Algarni, Jawaid Iqbal, Sami Bourouis, Syed Sajid Ullah, A Multi Parameter Forecasting for Stock Time Series Data Using LSTM and Deep Learning Model, https://www.mdpi.com/2227-7390/11/3/590
[3] Nayab Minhaj, Roohi Ahmed, Irum Abdul Khalique, Mohammad Imran, A Comparative Research of Stock Price Prediction of Selected Stock Indexes and the Stock Market by Using Arima Model, https://ojs.wiserpub.com/index.php/GES/article/view/1426/1046
[4] Jatin Sharma1, Sameer Soni, Priyanka Paliwal, Shaik Saboor, Prem K. Chaurasiya, Mohsen Sharifpur, Nima Khalilpoor, Asif Afzal, A novel long term solar photovoltaic power forecastingapproach using LSTM with Nadam optimizer: A case studyof India, https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/epdf/10.1002/ese3.1178
[5] Matthew D. Zeiler, ADADELTA: AN ADAPTIVE LEARNING RATE METHOD, https://browse.arxiv.org/pdf/1212.5701v1
[6] Vivek Kumar Prasad, Stock Market Prediction with Deep Learning: A Case Study on MLP and LSTM. Journal of Financial Technology and Data Science, https://link.springer.com/chapter/10.1007/978-981-99-1479-1_35
[7] Rebecca Bevans, Multiple Linear Regression, Published on February 20,2020,https://www.scribbr.com/statistics/multiple-linear-regression/
[8] Duke University, ARIMA models for time series forecasting, https://people.duke.edu/~rnau/411arim.htm
[9] FARHAD MORTEZAPOUR SHIRI, A Comprehensive Overview and Comparative Analysis on Deep Learning Models: CNN, RNN, LSTM, GRU, https://arxiv.org/pdf/2305.17473
[10] Zachary C. Lipton, A Critical Review of Recurrent Neural Networks for Sequence Learning, https://arxiv.org/abs/1506.00019
[11] Rishikesh Gawde, Image Caption Generation Methodologies, https://www.researchgate.net/publication/351840108_Image_Caption_Generation_Methodologies
[12] Savvas Varsamopoulos, Designing neural network based decoders for surface codes, https://www.researchgate.net/publication/329362532_Designing_neural_network_based_decoders_for_surface_codes
[13] Savvas Varsamopoulos, Forecasting stock prices with long-short term memory neural network based on attention mechanism, https://journals.plos.org/plosone/article?id=10.1371/journal.pone.0227222
[14] Iqbal H. Sarker, Deep Learning: A Comprehensive Overview on Techniques, Taxonomy, Applications and Research Directions, https://link.springer.com/article/10.1007/s42979-021-00815-1
[15] Kun-Cheng Ke, Quality Prediction for Injection Molding by Using a Multilayer Perceptron Neural Network, https://link.springer.com/article/10.1007/s42979-021-00815-1
[16] FARHAD MORTEZAPOUR SHIRI, A Comprehensive Overview and Comparative Analysis on Deep Learning Models: CNN, RNN, LSTM, GRU, https://arxiv.org/pdf/2305.17473